

Waring-Goldbach Problem: Two Squares and Three Biquadrates

Yingchun Cai* and Li Zhu

Abstract. Assume that ψ is a function of positive variable t , monotonically increasing to infinity and $0 < \psi(t) \ll \log t / (\log \log t)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_3(n)$ denote the number of representations of the integer n as sums of two squares and three biquadrates of primes and we write $\mathcal{E}_3(N)$ for the number of integers n satisfying $n \leq N$, $n \equiv 5, 53, 101 \pmod{120}$ and

$$\left| \mathcal{R}_3(n) - \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)\Gamma^3(1/4)}{\Gamma(7/4)} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_3(n)n^{3/4}}{\log^5 n} \right| \geq \frac{n^{3/4}}{\psi(n)\log^5 n},$$

where $0 < \mathfrak{S}_3(n) \ll 1$ is the singular series. In this paper, we prove

$$\mathcal{E}_3(N) \ll N^{23/48+\varepsilon} \psi^2(N)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This result constitutes a refinement upon that of Friedlander and Wooley [2].

1. Introduction

The celebrated Waring problem involving two squares still remains one of the most elegant problems in additive number theory. Here we outline several pieces of research about it.

Let $v(n)$ denote the number of representations of n as sums of two squares and three nonnegative cubes. In 1972, Linnik [10] proved that $v(n) \gg_{\varepsilon} n^{2/3-\varepsilon}$ for all large integers n and any $\varepsilon > 0$. In 1981, Hooley [5] improved upon the work of Linnik by obtaining the expected asymptotic formula for $v(n)$. In 2000, he [6] also obtained the asymptotic formula for the number of representations of n as sums of three squares and a k -th power.

Let $R_s(n)$ denote the number of representations of natural number n as sums of two squares and s biquadrates. The expected asymptotic formula for $R_s(n)$ can be established for $s \geq 5$, see Hooley [4]. But for $s \leq 4$, all techniques fail to obtain the expected asymptotic formula for $R_s(n)$. Let $E_s(N)$ be the number of integers $n \leq N$ such that the expected asymptotic formula for $R_s(n)$ fails to be valid. In 2014, Friedlander and Wooley [2] showed

$$E_3(N) \ll N^{1/2+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad E_4(N) \ll N^{1/4+\varepsilon}.$$

Received March 28, 2018; Accepted November 14, 2018.

Communicated by Yu-Ru Liu.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11P32, 11N36.

Key words and phrases. Waring-Goldbach problem, Hardy-Littlewood method, asymptotic formula.

This work was supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11771333).

*Corresponding author.

Later on, Zhao [13] strengthened these results by showing

$$E_3(N) \ll N^{3/8+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad E_4(N) \ll N^{1/8+\varepsilon}.$$

Let

$$\Omega = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 5, 53, 101 \pmod{120}\}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the cognate problem concerning the representation of integers n in Ω such that

$$(1.1) \quad n = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^4 + p_4^4 + p_5^4,$$

where p_i are prime numbers. The congruence condition is necessary here, since we have $p^2 \equiv 1$ or $49 \pmod{120}$ and $p^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{120}$ for primes $p > 5$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}_3(n)$ the number of representations of natural number $n \in \Omega$ as the form (1.1). By applying a pruning process into the Hardy-Littlewood method, we obtain the following result, which constitutes an improvement upon that of Friedlander and Wooley [2].

Theorem 1.1. *For a function ψ of a positive variable t , monotonically increasing to infinity and $0 < \psi(t) \ll \log t / (\log \log t)$, let $\mathcal{E}_3(N)$ be the number of integers $n \in \Omega$ and $n \leq N$ such that*

$$\left| \mathcal{R}_3(n) - \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)\Gamma^3(1/4)}{\Gamma(7/4)} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_3(n)n^{3/4}}{\log^5 n} \right| \geq \frac{n^{3/4}}{\psi(n) \log^5 n},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}_3(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi^5(q)} \sum_{a(q)^*} S_2(q, a)^2 S_4(q, a)^3 e_q(-an) \quad \text{and} \quad S_k(q, a) = \sum_{r(q)^*} e\left(\frac{ar^k}{q}\right).$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_3(N) \ll N^{23/48+\varepsilon} \psi^2(N).$$

2. Notations and some preliminary lemmas

In this paper, $\varepsilon \in (0, 10^{-100})$ and the value of ε may change from line to line. Let N denote a sufficiently large positive integer in terms of ε . The constants in O -term and \ll symbol depend at most on ε . The letter p , with or without subscript, is reserved for a prime number. As usual, $\varphi(n)$ denotes Euler’s function. We use $e(\alpha)$ to denote $e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ and $e_q(\alpha) = e(\alpha/q)$. We denote by $\sum_{x(q)^*}$ a sum with x running over a reduced system of residues modulo q . For a set \mathcal{F} , $|\mathcal{F}|$ denotes the cardinality of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$g_k(\alpha) = \sum_{2 \leq p \leq N^{1/k}} e(\alpha p^k).$$

Then for $\alpha = a/q + \lambda$, $(a, q) = 1$, $q \leq Q$ and $|\lambda| \leq Q/(qN)$, we have

$$g_2(\alpha) \ll Q^{1/2} N^{11/40+\varepsilon} + V_2(\alpha),$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad V_2(\alpha) = \frac{N^{1/2} \log^c N}{q^{1/2-\varepsilon} (1 + N|\lambda|)^{1/2}}$$

and $c > 0$ denotes some absolute constant.

Proof. It follows from [9, Theorem 2]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let*

$$S_k(q, a) = \sum_{r(q)^*} e\left(\frac{ar^k}{q}\right).$$

Then for $(q, a) = 1$, we have

- (i) $|S_k(q, a)| \ll q^{1/2+\varepsilon}$;
- (ii) $|S_k(p, a)| \leq ((k, p - 1) - 1)p^{1/2} + 1$;
- (iii) $S_k(p^l, a) = 0$ for $l \geq \gamma(p)$, where

$$\gamma(p) = \begin{cases} \theta + 2 & \text{if } p^\theta \parallel k, p \neq 2 \text{ or } p = 2, \theta = 0, \\ \theta + 3 & \text{if } p^\theta \parallel k, p = 2, \theta > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For (i), see [7, Lemma 8.5]. For (ii), see [11, Lemma 4.3]. For (iii), see [7, Lemma 8.3]. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $2 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_s$ be natural numbers such that*

$$\sum_{i=j+1}^s \frac{1}{k_i} \leq \frac{1}{k_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s - 1.$$

Then we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| \prod_{i=1}^s g_{k_i}(\alpha) \right|^2 d\alpha \leq N^{1/k_1 + \dots + 1/k_s + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. By considering the number of solutions of the underlying equation, Lemma 2.3 follows from [1, Lemma 1]. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{F}(N)$ denote a subset of integers in the interval $(N/2, N]$ and $Z = |\mathcal{F}(N)|$. Let $\xi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function with $|\xi(n)| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and set*

$$K(\alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}(N)} \xi(n)e(-n\alpha).$$

Then we have

- (i) $\int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)^2 K(\alpha)^2| d\alpha \ll Z^2 N^\varepsilon + ZN^{1/2};$
- (ii) $\int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll N^{2/3+\varepsilon} Z + N^{11/12+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}.$

Proof. By [12, (2.4)] and the bound $|\xi(n)| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)^2 K(\alpha)^2| d\alpha &= \sum_{p_1, p_2 \leq N^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in \mathcal{F}(N) \\ p_1^2 - p_2^2 = n - m}} \xi(m) \overline{\xi(n)} \\ &\ll \sum_{p_1, p_2 \leq N^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in \mathcal{F}(N) \\ p_1^2 - p_2^2 = n - m}} 1 \\ &\ll Z^2 N^\varepsilon + ZN^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder’s inequality and (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll \left(\int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^4| d\alpha \right)^{5/12} \left(\int_0^1 |g_4(\alpha)|^{16} d\alpha \right)^{1/12} \left(\int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)^2 K(\alpha)^2| d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll N^{5/12+\varepsilon} N^{1/4+\varepsilon} (Z^2 N^\varepsilon + ZN^{1/2})^{1/2} \\ &\ll N^{2/3+\varepsilon} Z + N^{11/12+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where Hua’s inequality and Lemma 2.3 are used. This completes the proof. □

In order to apply the Hardy-Littlewood method, we first define the Farey dissection. For this purpose, we set

$$A = 10^{100}(1 + c), \quad Q_0 = \log^A N, \quad Q_1 = N^{1/4} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2 = N^{3/4},$$

where c is defined by (2.1). For $(a, q) = 1, 0 \leq a < q$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_0(q, a) &= \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{Q_0^A}{N}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{Q_0^A}{N} \right], & \mathfrak{M}(q, a) &= \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_2}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_2} \right], \\ \mathfrak{M}_0 &= \bigcup_{q \leq Q_0^A} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \mathfrak{M}_0(q, a), & \mathfrak{M} &= \bigcup_{q \leq Q_1} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \mathfrak{M}(q, a), \\ \mathfrak{J} &= \left[-\frac{1}{Q_2}, 1 - \frac{1}{Q_2} \right], & \mathfrak{m}_1 &= \mathfrak{J} \setminus \mathfrak{M}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_2 = \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_0, \quad \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cup \mathfrak{m}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the Farey dissection

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{M}_0 \cup \mathfrak{m}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, we have*

$$|g_2(\alpha)| \ll N^{7/16+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. It follows from [3, Theorem 1]. □

Lemma 2.6. *For $(a, q) = 1$, let $\mathfrak{N}_0(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qN^{7/8}}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qN^{7/8}}\right]$. Then we have*

$$(i) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}_0(q,a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} d\alpha \ll N^{-\frac{77}{96}+\varepsilon};$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}_0(q,a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll Q_0^3,$$

where $V_2(\alpha)$ is defined by (2.1).

Proof. By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}_0(q,a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} d\alpha \\ & \ll \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} q^\varepsilon \int_{|\lambda| \leq 1/(qN^{7/8})} \frac{N^{1/12} \log^{c/6} N}{(q + qN|\lambda|)^{1/12}} d\lambda \\ & \ll N^{-11/12+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} q^{-1/12+\varepsilon} \int_{|u| \leq N^{1/8}/q} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{1/12}} du \\ & \ll N^{-11/12+\varepsilon} Q_0^{23/12+\varepsilon} \int_0^{N^{1/8}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{1/12}} du \ll N^{-77/96+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, (i) is proved, and (ii) can be proved by similar arguments. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let*

$$v_k(\lambda) = \sum_{2 < n \leq N} \frac{e(n\lambda)}{n^{1-1/k} \log n}.$$

Then for $\alpha = a/q + \lambda \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, we have

$$g_k(\alpha) = \frac{S_k(q, a)}{\varphi(q)} v_k(\lambda) + O(N^{1/k} \exp(-\log^{1/3} N)).$$

Proof. See [7, Lemma 7.15]. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\Omega = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \equiv 5, 53, 101 \pmod{120}\}$, and let*

$$A_3(q, n) = \frac{1}{\varphi^5(q)} \sum_{a(q)^*} S_2(q, a)^2 S_4(q, a)^3 e_q(-an) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{S}_3(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A_3(q, n).$$

Then the series $\mathfrak{S}_3(n)$ is convergent and $\mathfrak{S}_3(n) > 0$ for $n \in \Omega$.

Proof. The convergence of $\mathfrak{S}_3(n)$ follows from Lemma 2.2(i). By Lemma 2.2(iii) and the fact that $A_3(q, n)$ is multiplicative in q , we get

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{S}_3(n) = (1 + A_3(2, n) + A_3(4, n) + A_3(8, n)) \prod_{p>2} (1 + A_3(p, n)).$$

When $p > 22$, we conclude from Lemma 2.2(ii) that

$$|A_3(p, n)| \leq \frac{(p^{1/2} + 1)^2 (3p^{1/2} + 1)^3}{(p - 1)^4} \leq \frac{100}{p^{3/2}}.$$

So we get

$$(2.4) \quad \prod_{p>22} (1 + A_3(p, n)) \geq \prod_{p>22} \left(1 - \frac{100}{p^{3/2}}\right) > c > 0.$$

Let $L(q, n)$ denote the number of solutions to the congruence

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^4 + x_4^4 + x_5^4 \equiv n \pmod{q}, \quad 1 \leq x_i \leq q, \quad (x_i, q) = 1.$$

Then by [7, Lemma 8.6], we have

$$(2.5) \quad 1 + A_3(2, n) + A_3(4, n) + A_3(8, n) = \frac{L(8, n)}{2^7},$$

$$(2.6) \quad 1 + A_3(p, n) = \frac{pL(p, n)}{(p - 1)^5}.$$

For $n \equiv 5, 53, 101 \pmod{120}$, it is easy to verify that

$$(2.7) \quad L(8, n) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L(p, n) > 0 \quad \text{for } 2 < p \leq 19.$$

Now the conclusion $\mathfrak{S}_3(n) > 0$ follows from (2.3)–(2.7). □

3. Mean value estimates

Let

$$I_j = \int_{\mathfrak{m}_j} |g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where $K(\alpha)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.4.

Proposition 3.1. *We have*

$$I_1 \ll N^{71/96+\varepsilon} Z + N^{95/96+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2},$$

where Z is defined as in Lemma 2.4.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4(ii) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\ll \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_1} |g_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} \int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll N^{7/96+\varepsilon} (N^{2/3+\varepsilon} Z + N^{11/12+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}) \\ &\ll N^{71/96+\varepsilon} Z + N^{95/96+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *We have*

$$I_2 \ll N^{3/4} Q_0^{-A/4} Z + N^{95/96+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}.$$

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 with $Q = N^{1/4}$ that

$$(3.1) \quad |g_2(\alpha)| \ll V_2(\alpha) + N^{2/5+\varepsilon},$$

where $V_2(\alpha)$ is defined by (2.1). By (3.1) and Lemma 2.4(ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad I_2 &\ll \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\quad + N^{1/15+\varepsilon} \int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha + N^{11/15+\varepsilon} Z + N^{59/60+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{N}_0(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qN^{7/8}}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qN^{7/8}} \right], \quad \mathfrak{N}(q, a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_0}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_0} \right],$$

and

$$\mathfrak{N}_1(q, a) = \mathfrak{N}(q, a) \setminus \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a).$$

From Dirichlet's approximation theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad &\int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\leq \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \mathfrak{N}_1(q,a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q,a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = a/q + \lambda \in \mathfrak{N}_1(q, a)$, it is easy to see that $q(1 + N|\lambda|) \gg N^{1/8}$, hence

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_1(q, a)} |V_2(\alpha)| \ll N^{7/16+\varepsilon}.$$

By (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \mathfrak{N}_1(q, a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ & \ll \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_1(q, a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} \int_0^1 |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ & \ll N^{7/96+\varepsilon} (N^{2/3+\varepsilon} Z + N^{11/12+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}) \\ & \ll N^{71/96+\varepsilon} Z + N^{95/96+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.4(ii) is used. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2$, we have $q + qN|\lambda| \gg Q_0^A$. Then it follows from [8, Lemma 3.3] that

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2} |g_4(\alpha)| \ll N^{31/128+\varepsilon} + \frac{N^{1/4} \log^4 N}{q^{1/8-\varepsilon} (1 + N|\lambda|)^{1/8}} \ll \frac{N^{1/4}}{Q_0^{A/9}}.$$

Moreover for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)$, by Lemma 2.1 with $Q = N^{1/8}$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad |g_2(\alpha)| \ll V_2(\alpha) + N^{27/80+\varepsilon}.$$

From (3.6), (3.7) and Lemma 2.6(i)(ii), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} |g_2(\alpha)|^{11/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ & \ll \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |V_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ & \quad + N^{99/160+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} |g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ & \ll Z \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2} |g_4(\alpha)|^3 \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |V_2(\alpha)^2| d\alpha \\ & \quad + ZN^{99/160+\varepsilon} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_2} |g_4(\alpha)|^3 \sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{a=-q \\ (a,q)=1}}^{2q} \int_{\mathfrak{N}_0(q, a)} |V_2(\alpha)|^{1/6} d\alpha \\ & \ll ZN^{3/4} Q_0^{-3A/8} Q_0^3 + ZN^{99/160+\varepsilon} N^{3/4} Q_0^{-A/2} N^{-77/96+\varepsilon} \\ & \ll N^{3/4} Q_0^{-A/4} Z, \end{aligned}$$

where the trivial bound $|K(\alpha)| \leq Z$ is used. Now from (3.2), (3.3), (3.5) and (3.8), we get

$$I_2 \ll N^{3/4} Q_0^{-A/4} Z + N^{95/96+\varepsilon} Z^{1/2}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.3. *For $N/2 \leq n \leq N$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)\Gamma^3(1/4)}{\Gamma(7/4)} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_3(n)n^{3/4}}{\log^5 n} + O\left(\frac{n^{3/4} \log \log n}{\log^6 n}\right).$$

Proof. For $\alpha = a/q + \lambda$, let $f_k(\alpha) = \frac{S_k(q,a)}{\varphi(q)} v_k(\lambda)$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} f_2(\alpha)^2 f_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha + O(n^{3/4} \exp(-\log^{1/4} n)). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} f_2(\alpha)^2 f_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{q \leq Q_0^A} A_3(q, n) \int_{|\lambda| \leq Q_0^A/N} v_2(\lambda)^2 v_4(\lambda)^3 e(-n\lambda) d\lambda.$$

It follows from [7, Lemma 7.16] that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{|\lambda| \leq Q_0^A/N} v_2(\lambda)^2 v_4(\lambda)^3 e(-n\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^1 v_2(\lambda)^2 v_4(\lambda)^3 e(-n\lambda) d\lambda + O\left(\int_{Q_0^A/N}^1 \frac{1}{\lambda^{7/4} \log^5 N} d\lambda\right) \\ &= \int_0^1 v_2(\lambda)^2 v_4(\lambda)^3 e(-n\lambda) d\lambda + O(N^{3/4} Q_0^{-3A/4}). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to [7, Lemma 7.19], we have

$$(3.12) \quad \int_0^1 v_2(\lambda)^2 v_4(\lambda)^3 e(-n\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)\Gamma^3(1/4)}{\Gamma(7/4)} \frac{n^{3/4}}{\log^5 n} + O\left(\frac{n^{3/4} \log \log n}{\log^6 n}\right).$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{|\lambda| \leq Q_0^A/N} v_2(\lambda)^2 v_4(\lambda)^3 e(-n\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)\Gamma^3(1/4)}{\Gamma(7/4)} \frac{n^{3/4}}{\log^5 n} + O\left(\frac{n^{3/4} \log \log n}{\log^6 n}\right).$$

From Lemma 2.2(i) and the inequality $\varphi(q) \gg q/\log q$, we get

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q_0^A} A_3(q, n) = \mathfrak{S}_3(n) + O\left(\sum_{q > Q_0^A} q^{-3/2+\varepsilon}\right) = \mathfrak{S}_3(n) + O(Q_0^{-A/2+\varepsilon}).$$

Now combining (3.9), (3.10), (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)\Gamma^3(1/4)}{\Gamma(7/4)} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_3(n)n^{3/4}}{\log^5 n} + O\left(\frac{n^{3/4} \log \log n}{\log^6 n}\right). \quad \square$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By the Farey dissection (2.2), we have

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{R}_3(n) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}_0} g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{m}} g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Let ψ be a function of positive variable t , monotonically increasing to infinity and $0 < \psi(t) \ll \log t / (\log \log t)$. By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 2.8, we may define $\mathcal{F}(N)$ to be the set of integers $n \in \Omega$, $N/2 \leq n \leq N$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \left| \mathcal{R}_3(n) - \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2)\Gamma^3(1/4)}{\Gamma(7/4)} \frac{\mathfrak{S}_3(n)n^{3/4}}{\log^5 n} \right| \geq \frac{n^{3/4}}{\psi(n) \log^5 n}.$$

For $n \in \mathcal{F}(N)$, by (4.1), (4.2) and Proposition 3.3, we get

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}} g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha \right| \geq \frac{n^{3/4}}{\psi(n) \log^5 n}.$$

For $n \in \mathcal{F}(N)$, let $\xi(n)$ be defined by the following equation

$$(4.4) \quad \left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}} g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha \right| = \xi(n) \int_{\mathfrak{m}} g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Then it is easy to see that $|\xi(n)| \leq 1$. Write $Z(N) = |\mathcal{F}(N)|$. From (4.3), (4.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{Z(N)N^{3/4}}{\psi(N) \log^5 N} &\ll \sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}(N)} \frac{n^{3/4}}{\psi(n) \log^5 n} \\ &\ll \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll \left(\int_{\mathfrak{m}_1} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}_2} \right) |g_2(\alpha)^2 g_4(\alpha)^3 K(\alpha)| d\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(\alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}(N)} \xi(n) e(-n\alpha).$$

From (4.5), Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{Z(N)N^{3/4}}{\psi(N) \log^5 N} \ll N^{3/4} Q_0^{-A/4} Z(N) + N^{95/96+\varepsilon} Z(N)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from (4.6) that

$$(4.7) \quad Z(N) \ll N^{23/48+\varepsilon} \psi^2(N).$$

Now by (4.7), we have

$$\mathcal{E}_3(N) \ll N^{1/3} + \sum_{1 \leq 2^j \leq N^{2/3}} Z\left(\frac{N}{2^j}\right) \ll N^{23/48+\varepsilon} \psi^2(N),$$

and the proof of the Theorem 1.1 is completed.

References

- [1] J. Brüdern, *Sums of squares and higher powers I*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **35** (1987), no. 2, 233–243.
- [2] J. B. Friedlander and T. D. Wooley, *On Waring's problem: two squares and three biquadrates*, Mathematika **60** (2014), no. 1, 153–165.
- [3] G. Harman, *Trigonometric sums over primes I*, Mathematika **28** (1981), no. 2, 249–254.
- [4] C. Hooley, *On a new approach to various problems of Waring's type*, in: *Recent Progress in Analytic Number Theory I*, (Durham, 1979), 127–191, Academic Press, London, 1981.
- [5] ———, *On Waring's problem for two squares and three cubes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **328** (1981), 161–207.
- [6] ———, *On Waring's problem for three squares and an l th power*, Asian J. Math. **4** (2000), no. 4, 885–903.
- [7] L. K. Hua, *Additive Theory of Prime Numbers*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1965.
- [8] K. Kawada and T. D. Wooley, *On the Waring-Goldbach problem for fourth and fifth powers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), no. 1, 1–50.
- [9] A. V. Kumchev, *On Weyl sums over primes and almost primes*, Michigan Math. J. **54** (2006), no. 2, 243–268.
- [10] Y. V. Linnik, *Additive problems involving squares, cubes and almost primes*, Acta Arith **21** (1972), 413–422.
- [11] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood Method*, Second edition, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **125**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [12] T. D. Wooley, *Slim exceptional sets for sums of four squares*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **85** (2002), no. 1, 1–21.
- [13] L. Zhao, *Exceptional sets in Waring's problem: two squares and s biquadrates*, Acta Arith **162** (2014), no. 4, 369–379.

Yingchun Cai and Li Zhu

School of Mathematical Science, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, P. R. China

E-mail address: yingchunca@mail.tongji.edu.cn, zhuli15@tongji.edu.cn