

Erratum to: Two Optimal Inequalities for Anti-holomorphic Submanifolds and Their Applications

Falleh R. Al-Solamy, Bang-Yen Chen* and Sharief Deshmukh

Abstract. Theorem 4.1 of [1] is not correctly stated. In this erratum we make a correction on Theorem 4.1. As a consequence, we also make the corresponding correction on Theorem 5.2 of [1].

We follow the notation from the original article [1]. Theorem 4.1 of [1] is not correctly stated. The correct statement shall read as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let N be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}^{h+p}(4c)$ with $h = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D} \geq 1$ and $p = \text{rank } \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \geq 2$. Then we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \delta(\mathcal{D}) \leq \frac{(2h+p)^2}{2} H^2 + \frac{p}{2}(4h+p-1)c - \frac{3p^2}{2(p+2)} |H_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}|^2.$$

The equality sign of (4.3) holds identically if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (a) N is \mathcal{D} -minimal, i.e., $\vec{H}_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$,
- (b) N is mixed totally geodesic, and
- (c) there exists an orthonormal frame $\{e_{2h+1}, \dots, e_n\}$ of \mathcal{D}^{\perp} such that the second fundamental σ of N satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_{rr}^r = 3\sigma_{ss}^r & \text{for } 2h+1 \leq r \neq s \leq 2h+p, \\ \sigma_{st}^r = 0 & \text{for distinct } r, s, t \in \{2h+1, \dots, 2h+p\}. \end{cases}$$

This correction shall be made since the last formula (4.13) in the proof of Theorem 4.1 contains an error. The corrected (4.13) shall read as

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(2h+p)^2}{2} H^2 + \frac{p}{2}(4h+p-1)c - \delta(\mathcal{D}) \\ & \geq 2h^2 |\vec{H}_{\mathcal{D}}|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2h} \sum_{r=2h+1}^{2h+p} \|\sigma(e_i, e_r)\|^2 + \frac{3p^2}{2(p+2)} |H_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}|^2 \\ & \geq \frac{3p^2}{2(p+2)} |H_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Received January 8, 2018; Accepted April 17, 2018.

Communicated by Tai-Chia Lin.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40.

Key words and phrases. anti-holomorphic submanifolds, optimal inequality, CR δ -invariant.

*Corresponding author.

Consequently, Theorem 5.2 in [1] shall be replaced by

Theorem 5.2. *Let N be an anti-holomorphic submanifold in a complex space form $\widetilde{M}^{1+p}(4c)$ with $h = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D} = 1$ and $p = \text{rank } \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \geq 2$. Then we have*

$$(5.4) \quad \delta(\mathcal{D}) \leq \frac{(p+2)^2}{2} H^2 + \frac{p(p+3)}{2} c - \frac{3p^2}{2(p+2)} |H_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}|^2.$$

The equality case of (5.4) holds identically if and only if $c = 0$ and either

- (i) N is a totally geodesic anti-holomorphic submanifold of \mathbf{C}^{h+p} or,
- (ii) up to dilations and rigid motions, N is given by an open portion of the following product immersion:

$$\phi: \mathbf{C} \times S^p(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{1+p}; \quad (z, x) \mapsto (z, w(x)), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}, x \in S^p(1),$$

where $w: S^p(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^p$ is the Whitney p -sphere.

References

- [1] F. R. Al-Solamy, B.-Y. Chen and S. Deshmukh, *Two optimal inequalities for anti-holomorphic submanifolds and their applications*, Taiwanese J. Math. **18** (2014), no. 1, 199–217.

Falleh R. Al-Solamy

Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: falleh@hotmail.com

Bang-Yen Chen

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, 619 Red Cedar Road, East Lansing, MI 48824–1027, USA

E-mail address: chenb@msu.edu

Sharief Deshmukh

Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: shariefd@ksu.edu.sa