

Toeplitz Operator for Dirichlet Space Through Sobolev Multiplier Algebra

Shuaibing Luo and Jie Xiao*

Abstract. This paper is mainly concerned with the Toeplitz operator T_ϕ over the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} with the symbol ϕ in the Sobolev multiplier algebra $M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$, thereby extending several known ones in a very different manner.

1. Introduction

1.1. Sobolev and Dirichlet spaces

From now on, let

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$$

be the open unit disk and the closed unit circle in the complex plane \mathbb{C} respectively.

On the one hand, the squared Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ comprises all locally integrable functions f on \mathbb{D} with

$$\|f\|_{1,2}^2 = \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} f \, dA \right|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right|^2 \, dA + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|^2 \, dA < \infty,$$

where dA is the normalized area measure on \mathbb{D} and $(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}})$ is a pair of the generalized derivatives. As is well-known, $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{1,2} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f \, dA \right) \overline{\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} g \, dA \right)} + \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} + \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f \, dA \right) \overline{\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} g \, dA \right)} + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right)} \, dA + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}} \right)} \, dA. \end{aligned}$$

Associated with $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ is the Sobolev multiplier algebra:

$$(1.1) \quad M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})) = \{ \phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) : M_\phi f = \phi f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}), f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \}.$$

Received April 25, 2017; Accepted August 13, 2017.

Communicated by Duy-Minh Nhieu.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B35, 30H25, 30H10, 30H05.

Key words and phrases. Toeplitz operator, Dirichlet space, Sobolev multiplier algebra.

Luo is supported by AARMS postdoctoral fellowship and NSERC of Canada.

Xiao is supported by NSERC of Canada.

*Corresponding author.

Interestingly, if $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is the Lebesgue space of essentially bounded functions on \mathbb{D} , then the algebra $M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$ in (1.1) contains the bounded Sobolev space

$$W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) : f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \right\}.$$

Here, it is perhaps appropriate to mention that any function in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ enjoys such a nice property: $f \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if there exist a constant $C > 0$ and a continuous function \tilde{f} on \mathbb{D} such that $\tilde{f} = f$ a.e. on \mathbb{D} and

$$|\tilde{f}(z) - \tilde{f}(w)| \leq C|z - w|, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D};$$

see [1, Theorem 5.4], [10, p. 279, Theorem 4] or [7, Proposition 3].

On the other hand, the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} consists of all holomorphic $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ -functions f with $f(0) = 0$. It is well known that \mathcal{D} is not only a reproducing Hilbert space with kernel

$$R_w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{w}^k z^k}{k} = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}z}, \quad w, z \in \mathbb{D},$$

but also can be regarded as an orthogonal projection of $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ under

$$Pf(w) = \langle f, R_w \rangle_{1,2} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial R_w(z)}{\partial z} \right)} dA(z), \quad f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Interestingly, \mathcal{D} can be treated as a typical member of the Dirichlet-type spaces, more precisely, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let \mathcal{D}_α be the space of holomorphic functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^\alpha |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty,$$

and

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^\alpha \hat{f}(n)\overline{\hat{g}(n)}, \quad (f, g) \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \times \mathcal{D}_\alpha.$$

As is well-known, \mathcal{D}_0 is the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) =: H^2$, \mathcal{D}_{-1} is the Bergman space $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) =: L_a^2$, and the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} consists of all functions $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$ with $f(0) = 0$. Along this direction, it is worth mentioning two basic facts: the first is that a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ amounts to $f' \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha-2}$, see also [3] for details and some related facts; the second is that (cf. [7])

$$f \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \text{ and } F = P[f|_{\mathbb{T}}] \implies \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}} \in H^2 \implies F \in \mathcal{D}_2 + \overline{\mathcal{D}_2},$$

in short,

$$P(W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})|_{\mathbb{T}}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_2 + \overline{\mathcal{D}_2}.$$

1.2. Toeplitz operators on \mathcal{D} with Sobolev symbols

Given a function $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, define the Toeplitz operator T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} with the symbol ϕ by

$$(1.2) \quad T_\phi f = P[\phi f], \quad f \in \mathcal{D}.$$

It is not hard to see that T_ϕ decided by (1.2) is a bounded operator on \mathcal{D} . Now, the problem is how to extend the boundedness (and its immediately-induced properties) from the ‘smallest’ symbol class $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ to the ‘biggest’ symbol class $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. As a matter of fact, this problem has attracted a lot of attention lately from various people; see e.g., [4, 5, 7, 9, 11–16, 18, 20], especially,

- (1) Lee [11] considered the commutativity of two Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$.
- (2) Chen and Nguyen [7] generalized Lee’s results to the Toeplitz operators with general $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ symbols.
- (3) Lee and Zhu [14] investigated finite sums of products of several Toeplitz operators with $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ symbols.

In this paper, keeping in mind the following fundamental fact

$$M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})) \subseteq W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D}),$$

we will not just show that the main results in the just-mentioned three papers are actually true for Toeplitz operators on \mathcal{D} with more general symbols, by using a method essentially different from any of the ones used in these papers, but also discover several more new results through exploiting the action of T_ϕ on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. More precise information can be seen as below.

In §2, as the mid-process of this paper we handle the basic behaviour of T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} with $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$; see Theorem 2.2 whose (i) was established in [7, Proposition 2] via a different argument, and whose (iv) shows that the boundedness of T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} amounts to $P[\phi|_{\partial D}] \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $|\partial_z P[\phi|_{\partial D}]|^2 dA$ is a Carleson measure for \mathcal{D} .

In §3, as the major issue of this paper we discover two intrinsic properties of T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} with $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$, the first is Theorem 3.2 whose (i)–(ii) extend [12, Theorem 1.2], and whose (iii) corresponds nicely to Aleman-Vutotic’s finite rank property of T_ϕ acting on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ in [2, Theorem A]; the second is Theorem 3.6 showing that compactness of $\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m T_{\phi_{ij}}$ on \mathcal{D} with $\phi_{ij} \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$ forces $\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \phi_{ij}|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$.

Notation. Throughout this paper, we will use C for a general constant which may change from one line to another. We say two quantities A and B are equivalent, denoted by $A \asymp B$, if there exist constants $c, C > 0$ such that $cA \leq B \leq CA$.

2. T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} with $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$

2.1. Nature of $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$

First, a positive measure μ is called a Carleson measure for \mathcal{D} , denoted by $\mu \in \text{CM}(\mathcal{D})$, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}.$$

The smallest C is called the square of the Carleson measure norm of μ , denoted by $\|\mu\|_{\text{CM}(\mathcal{D})}$.

Secondly, we identify the functions in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. From the Poincaré inequality [10, p. 275, Theorem 1] it follows that for any $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ there exists a constant C (independent of f) enjoying

$$\left\| f - \int_{\mathbb{D}} f dA \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} + \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \right).$$

This implies

$$\begin{cases} f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}), \\ \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \|f\|_{1,2} & \text{for a constant } C > 0, \\ \|f\|_{1,2}^2 \asymp \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right|^2 dA + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|^2 dA. \end{cases}$$

Accordingly,

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}) : \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \in L^2(\mathbb{D}) \right\},$$

where the derivatives are taken in the sense of distributions. We will use $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ as the norm of $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ unless otherwise specified. Note that

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \|f\|_{1,2}, \quad f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$$

holds for a constant $C > 0$. So, by the closed graph theorem, every function $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$ induces that $M_\phi: f \rightarrow \phi f$ exists as a bounded linear operator on $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$.

From [7, p. 370] we see such a statement that if $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ then $(r, \theta) \mapsto f(re^{i\theta})$ belongs to $W^{1,2}(E)$ with $E = [0, 1) \times [0, 2\pi)$. But nevertheless we remark here that the statement is not generally true, in fact, if

$$f(z) = |z|^\alpha \quad \text{under } 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2},$$

then $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ (see [10, p. 246]) and however

$$(r, \theta) \mapsto f(re^{i\theta}) = r^\alpha$$

is not in $W^{1,2}(E)$ because

$$r \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = \alpha r^{\alpha-1}$$

is not in $L^2(0, 1)$. If

$$C^1(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \left\{ f \in C^1(\mathbb{D}) : \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \text{ are continuous on the closed unit disk } \overline{\mathbb{D}} \right\},$$

then $C^1(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is dense in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. By [10, p. 258, Theorem 1], we have

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$$

and a constant $C > 0$ enjoying

$$\|f|_{\mathbb{T}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq C\|f\|_{1,2}, \quad f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Here and henceforth, this last $f|_{\mathbb{T}}$ is treated as the trace of f on \mathbb{T} , and can be calculated by

$$f|_{\mathbb{T}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n|_{\mathbb{T}} \quad (\text{taken in norm}),$$

where $f_n \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and f_n converges to f in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$.

Lemma 2.1. *If*

$$f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad f_k(1) = \int_0^{2\pi} f|_{\mathbb{T}}(e^{i\theta}) e^{-ik\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

then

$$Pf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z e^{-i\theta} \frac{f(e^{i\theta})}{1 - z e^{-i\theta}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(1) z^k.$$

Consequently, if

$$\Lambda = \left\{ f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_k(1) r^{|k|} e^{ik\theta} : f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \right\},$$

then

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) = \Lambda \oplus \mathcal{D} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Proof. To verify this projection formula, for $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ let ∇f be the gradient of f , i.e., $\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)$, then

$$|\nabla f|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right|^2 = 2 \left[\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right|^2 \right],$$

and hence for $g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{1,2} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f \, dA \right) \overline{\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} g \, dA \right)} + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right)} + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}} \right)} \right) dA \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f \, dA \right) \overline{\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} g \, dA \right)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \nabla f \cdot \nabla \bar{g} \, dA. \end{aligned}$$

By approximation, we only need to prove the lemma for all functions $f \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. By Green’s first identity, if $u, v \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (\Delta u)v + \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{T}} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} \, d\theta,$$

where \vec{n} is the outward unit normal vector, and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} = \nabla u \cdot \vec{n}.$$

Consequently, an application of the last formula for $\langle f, g \rangle_{1,2}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} Pf(z) &= \langle f, R_z \rangle_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \nabla f \cdot \nabla \overline{R_z} \, dA \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{D}} \nabla f \cdot \nabla \overline{R_z} \, dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{D}} (\Delta \overline{R_z}) f \, dx dy \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} f \frac{\partial \overline{R_z}}{\partial \vec{n}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $dA = \frac{1}{\pi} \, dx dy$ and $\Delta \overline{R_z} = 0$. Recall that

$$R_z(w) = \log \frac{1}{1 - \bar{z}w},$$

by a simple calculation, we get

$$\left. \frac{\partial R_z(w)}{\partial \vec{n}} \right|_{w=e^{i\theta}} = \frac{\bar{z}e^{i\theta}}{1 - \bar{z}e^{i\theta}},$$

thereby finding

$$P[f](z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} ze^{-i\theta} \frac{f(e^{i\theta})}{1 - ze^{-i\theta}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(1)z^k.$$

Next, observe that $P: W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is bounded. By the above formula for Pf we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|f_k(1)|^2 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|f_{-k}(1)|^2 < \infty.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_k(1)r^{|k|}e^{ik\theta} \in \mathcal{D} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{D}}.$$

So, each $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ can be represented as

$$f = f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_k(1)r^{|k|}e^{ik\theta} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_k(1)r^{|k|}e^{ik\theta}.$$

The previous argument actually shows

$$P \left(f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_k(1)r^{|k|}e^{ik\theta} \right) = 0,$$

and thus

$$f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_k(1)r^{|k|}e^{ik\theta} \perp \mathcal{D}, \quad f - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f_k(1)r^{|k|}e^{ik\theta} \perp \overline{\mathcal{D}}.$$

This in turn reveals the desired decomposition:

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) = \Lambda \oplus \mathcal{D} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{D}};$$

see also [7, Theorem 1] for an analogous argument. □

2.2. T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} with $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$

The Toeplitz operator can be defined for a Sobolev symbol. To be more precise, for $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, define the Toeplitz operator T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} as

$$(2.1) \quad T_\phi f(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\partial(\phi f)}{\partial z} \right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial R_w(z)}{\partial z} \right)} dA(z), \quad (f, w) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{D}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let*

$$\phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}), \quad \Phi(re^{i\theta}) = P[\phi|_{\mathbb{T}}](re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_k(1)r^{|k|}e^{ik\theta}, \quad \psi = \phi - P[\phi|_{\mathbb{T}}].$$

Then

(i)

$$T_\phi(z^n)(w) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} we^{-i\theta} \frac{(z^n \phi)(e^{i\theta})}{1 - we^{-i\theta}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{k-n}(1)w^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

(ii) $T_\psi(z^n) = 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$

(iii) *If T_ϕ is a bounded operator on \mathcal{D} , then it is determined by the boundary function $\phi|_{\mathbb{T}}$.*

(iv) *T_ϕ is bounded on \mathcal{D} if and only if $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $|\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}|^2 dA$ is a Carleson measure for \mathcal{D} .*

(v) *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $T_\phi = 0$ on \mathcal{D} ,
- (b) $\phi|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$,
- (c) T_ϕ is compact on \mathcal{D} .

Proof. (i) This (i.e., [7, Proposition 2]) follows from Lemma 2.1.

(ii) This follows from $\psi = \phi - P[\phi|_{\mathbb{T}}]$ and (i) above.

(iii) This follows from either (i) or the Green’s first identity.

(iv) Suppose that T_ϕ is bounded on \mathcal{D} . An application of (2.1) gives that $T_\Phi = T_\phi$ is bounded on \mathcal{D} . Motivated somewhat by [6, Theorems 2.2–2.3], we consider

$$\Phi_1(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k(1)z^k, \quad \overline{\Phi_2(z)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{-k}(1)\bar{z}^k, \quad c = \phi_0(1).$$

Then

$$\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = \Phi_1 + \overline{\Phi_2} + c.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, let

$$h_a(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - \bar{a}z} z.$$

Then $\|h_a\|_{\mathcal{D}} = 1$, and $h'_a(z) = k_a(z)$ is the normalized reproducing kernel for $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\Phi h_a, h_a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} &= \left\langle \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} h_a, k_a \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} + \langle \Phi k_a, k_a \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \\ (2.2) \qquad &= \langle \Phi'_1 h_a, k_a \rangle_{L^2_a} + \Phi(a) \\ &= a(1 - |a|^2)\Phi'_1(a) + \Phi(a). \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_0 &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{B} : \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

are the Bloch space and its little one respectively, then \mathcal{D} is contained in \mathcal{B}_0 (cf. [21]). So, it follows that

$$(1 - |a|^2)|\Phi'_1(a)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |a| \rightarrow 1,$$

and Φ is bounded thanks to (2.2). Notice that for each $g, h \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\langle T_\Phi g, h \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle \Phi'_1 g + \Phi g', h \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}.$$

Thus

$$\|\Phi'_1 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|T_\Phi g\|_{\mathcal{D}} + \|\Phi g'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq C\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

As a result, we find that

$$|\Phi'_1|^2 dA = \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} \right|^2 dA$$

is a Carleson measure for \mathcal{D} . The converse part of (iv) is evident.

(v) The equivalence (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) can be found in [20, Theorem 3.1]. Trivially, (a) implies (c), so, it is enough to show that (c) implies (b). Using

$$\Phi = P[\phi|_{\mathbb{T}}]$$

and noticing that h_a converges to 0 weakly in \mathcal{D} as $|a| \rightarrow 1$, we get that if (c) holds then an application of (2.2) yields

$$|\Phi(a)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |a| \rightarrow 1,$$

and thus (b) follows from $\phi|_{\mathbb{T}} = \Phi|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$. □

3. T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} with $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$

3.1. Structure of $M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$

This part can be seen from the following implications (cf. [19]):

Lemma 3.1. *Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the class of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} .*

(i) *If $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$, then $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.*

(ii) *If $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})) \cap H(\mathbb{D})$, then*

$$\phi \in M(\mathcal{D}) = \{\phi \in H(\mathbb{D}) : M_\phi f = \phi f \in \mathcal{D}, f \in \mathcal{D}\},$$

equivalently, $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{X}(D)$, where

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)| < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{X}(D) = \{f \in \mathcal{D} : |f'|^2 dA \text{ is a Carleson measure for } \mathcal{D}\}.$$

(iii) *If $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})) \cap H(\mathbb{D})$ and $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$, then $P(\bar{\phi}P(u)) = P(\bar{\phi}u)$.*

Proof. (i) Note that $M_\phi : f \rightarrow \phi f$ is the pointwise multiplication associated with ϕ . So it obeys

$$\|\phi^2\|_{1,2} \leq \|M_\phi\| \|\phi\|_{1,2} \leq \|M_\phi\|^2.$$

This gives by induction

$$\|\phi^n\|_{1,2} \leq \|M_\phi\|^n,$$

and then

$$\|\phi^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}^{1/n} \leq [C\|\phi^n\|_{1,2}]^{1/n} \leq C^{1/n}\|M_\phi\|.$$

Consequently, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|M_\phi\|.$$

(ii) If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\phi f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. Since ϕf is holomorphic with $(\phi f)(0) = 0$, it follows that $\phi \in M(\mathcal{D})$.

(iii) This result was proved in [11, Lemma 3] for $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \cap H(\mathbb{D})$. The same proof works for $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})) \cap H(\mathbb{D})$. □

3.2. Finite rank $\prod_{j=1}^n T_{\phi_j}$ on \mathcal{D} with $\phi_j \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$

First of all, it should be pointed out that if

$$\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})), \quad \Phi = P[\phi|_{\mathbb{T}}],$$

then $T_\phi = T_\Phi$ is bounded on \mathcal{D} .

Next, we take a look at T_ϕ on \mathcal{D} via the action of T_ϕ on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ with $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$. Given $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, let $t_\phi: H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$ be the Toeplitz operator defined by

$$t_\phi f = P_{H^2}(\phi f),$$

where P_{H^2} is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ to $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad t_\phi f(z) = \langle \phi f, Q_z \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(\phi f)(e^{i\theta})}{1 - ze^{-i\theta}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\phi f)_k(1) z^k.$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, thus $\phi|_{\mathbb{T}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and so we have the corresponding Toeplitz operator $t_{\phi|_{\mathbb{T}}}$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. For convenience, we will write t_ϕ for $t_{\phi|_{\mathbb{T}}}$.

In [2], Aleman and Vukotic proved that if the product of n Toeplitz operators on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ has finite rank then at least one of symbols of the operator is zero almost everywhere. Such a finite rank property can be carried over to \mathcal{D} through the following result whose (i) and (ii) extend [12, Theorem 1.2] and whose (iii) extends [20, Lemma 3.3].

Theorem 3.2. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ let $\phi, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$.*

(i) *If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $T_\phi f = z t_{\phi} g$, where $g = f/z$.*

(ii) *If $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, then $(T_\phi^* h)' = t_\phi^* g$, where $h(z) = \int_0^z g(\zeta) d\zeta$.*

(iii) If $T_{\phi_1}T_{\phi_2}\cdots T_{\phi_n}$ has finite rank on \mathcal{D} , then there exists some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\phi_i = 0$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} .

Proof. (i) By (3.1), we have

$$t_\phi \frac{f}{z} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(\phi \frac{f}{z})(e^{i\theta})}{1 - ze^{-i\theta}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-i\theta} \frac{(\phi f)(e^{i\theta})}{1 - ze^{-i\theta}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$T_\phi f = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-i\theta} z \frac{(\phi f)(e^{i\theta})}{1 - ze^{-i\theta}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = z t_\phi \frac{f}{z}.$$

(ii) By

$$\langle t_\phi^* g, z^n \rangle_{H^2} = \langle g, t_\phi z^n \rangle_{H^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(1) \overline{(\phi z^n)_k(1)},$$

we have

$$t_\phi^* g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(1) \overline{(\phi z^n)_k(1)} z^n.$$

Similarly, we get

$$\langle T_\phi^* h, z^n \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle h, T_\phi z^n \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k h_k(1) \overline{(\phi z^n)_k(1)}, \quad h \in \mathcal{D},$$

whence reaching

$$T_\phi^* h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k h_k(1) \overline{(\phi z^n)_k(1)} \frac{z^n}{n} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i+1) h_{i+1}(1) \overline{(\phi z^l)_i(1)} \frac{z^{l+1}}{l+1}.$$

If

$$g \in H^2(\mathbb{D}), \quad h(z) = \int_0^z g(\zeta) d\zeta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(1) \frac{z^{k+1}}{k+1},$$

then

$$(i+1)h_{i+1}(1) = g_i(1),$$

hence

$$T_\phi^* h = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i(1) \overline{(\phi z^l)_i(1)} \frac{z^{l+1}}{l+1},$$

and so $(T_\phi^* h)' = t_\phi^* g$.

(iii) If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then an application of (i) gives

$$T_{\phi_1}T_{\phi_2}\cdots T_{\phi_n}f = z t_{\phi_1} t_{\phi_2} \cdots t_{\phi_n} \frac{f}{z}.$$

Since the space

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{z} := \left\{ \frac{f}{z} : f \in \mathcal{D} \right\}$$

is dense in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, it follows from the hypothesis that the operator $t_{\phi_1}t_{\phi_2} \cdots t_{\phi_n}$ has finite rank on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Thus by the remark right after [2, Theorem A], we obtain that ϕ_i vanishes almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\phi \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$. Then:*

- (i) *both $\dim \ker T_\phi \leq \dim \ker t_\phi$ and $\dim \ker t_\phi^* \leq \dim \ker T_\phi^*$ hold;*
- (ii) *$\text{ran } t_\phi$ is dense in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ whenever $\text{ran } T_\phi$ is dense in \mathcal{D} ;*
- (iii) *$\text{ran } T_\phi^*$ is dense in \mathcal{D} whenever $\text{ran } t_\phi^*$ is dense in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ be any linearly independent vectors in $\ker T_\phi$. If

$$g_i = \frac{f_i}{z}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

then Theorem 3.2(i) is used to imply

$$T_\phi f_i = z t_\phi g_i = 0,$$

hence

$$\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \ker t_\phi.$$

Since f_1, \dots, f_n are linearly independent, g_1, \dots, g_n are linearly independent and

$$\dim \ker T_\phi \leq \dim \ker t_\phi.$$

Similarly, by Theorem 3.2(ii), we have

$$\dim \ker t_\phi^* \leq \dim \ker T_\phi^*.$$

Obviously, (ii) and (iii) follow from (i). □

Remark 3.4. (b) and (c) also follow easily from the following two inequalities for integer $k \geq 0$:

$$\left\| t_\phi \frac{f}{z} - z^k \right\|_{H^2} = \|T_\phi f - z^{k+1}\|_{H^2} \leq \|T_\phi f - z^{k+1}\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\left\| T_\phi^* \left(\int_0^z g(\zeta) d\zeta \right) - \frac{z^{k+1}}{k+1} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} = \|t_\phi^* g - z^k\|_{L_a^2} \leq \|t_\phi^* g - z^k\|_{H^2}, \quad g \in H^2(\mathbb{D}).$$

3.3. Compactness of $\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m T_{\phi_{ij}}$ on \mathcal{D} with $\phi_{ij} \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$

For a pair $(e^{i\theta}, \alpha) \in \mathbb{T} \times (1, \infty)$, let

$$\Gamma_\alpha(e^{i\theta}) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z - e^{i\theta}| < \alpha(1 - |z|)\}$$

be the nontangential region with vertex $e^{i\theta}$. A function f , defined on \mathbb{D} , is said to have nontangential limit L at $e^{i\theta}$, if $f(z) \rightarrow L$ as $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ within any nontangential region $\Gamma_\alpha(e^{i\theta})$ (see [17]). It is known that if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, then $P[f]$ has nontangential limit $f(e^{i\theta})$ at almost all points of \mathbb{T} . Recall that

$$\phi_a(z) = \frac{a - z}{1 - \bar{a}z}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D}$$

and

$$P_\zeta(z) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\zeta - z|^2}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$$

are the Möbius transform and the Poisson kernel, respectively.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

(i) *If $u_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, then for almost every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$,*

$$t_{u_n \circ \phi_a} t_{u_{n-1} \circ \phi_a} \cdots t_{u_1 \circ \phi_a} 1 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(\zeta) \quad \text{in } H^2(\mathbb{D}) \text{ as } a \rightarrow \zeta \text{ nontangentially.}$$

(ii) *If p, q are polynomials, then*

$$\langle (zp)', q \rangle_{L_a^2} = \langle p, q \rangle_{H^2}.$$

Proof. (i) We will use induction argument to prove the lemma. Fix $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $P[u_1], \dots, P[u_n]$ have finite nontangential limit at ζ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1 \circ \phi_a - u_1(\zeta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u_1(\phi_a(t)) - u_1(\zeta)|^2 \frac{|dt|}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u_1(s) - u_1(\zeta)|^2 P_a(s) \frac{|ds|}{2\pi} \\ &= P[|u_1 - u_1(\zeta)|^2](a) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } a \rightarrow \zeta \text{ nontangentially}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$t_{u_1 \circ \phi_a} 1 \rightarrow u_1(\zeta) \quad \text{in } H^2(\mathbb{D}) \text{ as } a \rightarrow \zeta \text{ nontangentially.}$$

Suppose

$$t_{u_{n-1} \circ \phi_a} \cdots t_{u_1 \circ \phi_a} 1 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i(\zeta) \quad \text{in } H^2(\mathbb{D}) \text{ as } a \rightarrow \zeta \text{ nontangentially.}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t_{u_n \circ \phi_a} t_{u_{n-1} \circ \phi_a} \cdots t_{u_1 \circ \phi_a} 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(\zeta) \\
 &= t_{u_n \circ \phi_a} \left[t_{u_{n-1} \circ \phi_a} \cdots t_{u_1 \circ \phi_a} 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i(\zeta) \right] + \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i(\zeta) [t_{u_n \circ \phi_a} 1 - u_n(\zeta)]
 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\|t_{u_n \circ \phi_a}\|_{B(H^2)} \leq \|u_n\|_{L^\infty}.$$

So, it follows that

$$t_{u_n \circ \phi_a} t_{u_{n-1} \circ \phi_a} \cdots t_{u_1 \circ \phi_a} 1 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(\zeta) \quad \text{in } H^2(\mathbb{D}) \text{ as } a \rightarrow \zeta \text{ nontangentially.}$$

(ii) This follows from a straightforward computation. □

Given $a \in \mathbb{D}$, let $U_a: H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$ be defined by

$$U_a f = (f \circ \phi_a) q_a,$$

where

$$q_a(z) = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{a}z}$$

is the normalized reproducing kernel for $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Since $U_a q_a = 1$, it follows that U_a is a unitary operator with

$$U_a^* = U_a^{-1} = U_a \quad \text{and} \quad U_a t_\phi U_a = t_{\phi \circ \phi_a}, \quad \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}).$$

Moreover, given $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, it is known that if $\prod_{i=1}^n t_{\phi_i}$ is compact on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ then $\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0$ (cf. [8]). Below is an analogue of this implication for \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 3.6. *For $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ let $\phi_{ij} \in M(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}))$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m T_{\phi_{ij}}$ is compact on \mathcal{D} , then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \phi_{ij}|_{\mathbb{T}} = 0.$$

Proof. Let

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m T_{\phi_{ij}} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m t_{\phi_{ij}}.$$

By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$T_{\phi_{ij}} f = z t_{\phi_{ij}} \frac{f}{z}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}.$$

This yields $Tf = zt \frac{f}{z}$. Thus, an application of Lemma 3.5(ii) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Th_a, h_a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} &= \left\langle zt \frac{h_a}{z}, h_a \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \left\langle \left(zt \frac{h_a}{z} \right)', (h_a)' \right\rangle_{L_a^2} = \left\langle t \frac{h_a}{z}, k_a \right\rangle_{H^2} \\ &= \left\langle t \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right), \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^2} \right\rangle_{H^2} = \left\langle tq_a, \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{3/2}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^2} \right\rangle_{H^2} \\ &= \left\langle U_a t U_a U_a q_a, U_a \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{3/2}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^2} \right\rangle_{H^2} = \langle U_a t U_a 1, 1 - \bar{a}z \rangle_{H^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is compact on \mathcal{D} and h_a converges weakly to 0 in \mathcal{D} as $|a| \rightarrow 1$, it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad \langle Th_a, h_a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle U_a t U_a 1, 1 - \bar{a}z \rangle_{H^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |a| \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that

$$U_a t U_a 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m t_{\phi_{ij} \circ \phi_a}.$$

By Lemma 3.5(i) we have for almost every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$U_a t U_a 1 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \phi_{ij}(\zeta) \quad \text{in } H^2(\mathbb{D}) \text{ as } a \rightarrow \zeta \text{ nontangentially,}$$

whence

$$\langle U_a t U_a 1, 1 - \bar{a}z \rangle_{H^2} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \phi_{ij}(\zeta) \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \zeta \text{ nontangentially.}$$

This, together with (3.2), derives

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \phi_{ij}(\zeta) = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } \zeta \in \mathbb{T},$$

whence completing the argument. □

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **65**, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] A. Aleman and D. Vukotić, *Zero products of Toeplitz operators*, *Duke Math. J.* **148** (2009), no. 3, 373–403.
- [3] L. Brown and A. L. Shields, *Cyclic vectors in the Dirichlet space*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285** (1984), no. 1, 269–303.

- [4] G. Cao, *Fredholm properties of Toeplitz operators on Dirichlet spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **188** (1999), no. 2, 209–223.
- [5] Y. Chen, *Commuting Toeplitz operators on the Dirichlet space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **357** (2009), no. 1, 214–224.
- [6] Y. Chen, Y. J. Lee and Q. D. Nguyen, *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Dirichlet space*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **69** (2011), no. 2, 183–201.
- [7] Y. Chen and Q. D. Nguyen, *Toeplitz and Hankel operators with $L^{\infty,1}$ symbols on Dirichlet space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **369** (2010), no. 1, 368–376.
- [8] R. G. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **179**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [9] J. J. Duistermaat and Y. J. Lee, *Toeplitz operators on the Dirichlet space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **300** (2004), no. 1, 54–67.
- [10] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **19**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [11] Y. J. Lee, *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators on the Dirichlet space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2007), no. 2, 1316–1329.
- [12] ———, *Finite sums of Toeplitz products on the Dirichlet space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **357** (2009), no. 2, 504–515.
- [13] ———, *A Coburn type theorem for Toeplitz operators on the Dirichlet space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **414** (2014), no. 1, 237–242.
- [14] Y. J. Lee and K. Zhu, *Sums of products of Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the Dirichlet space*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **71** (2011), no. 2, 275–302.
- [15] S. Richter and C. Sundberg, *A formula for the local Dirichlet integral*, Michigan Math. J. **38** (1991), no. 3, 355–379.
- [16] R. Rochberg and Z. J. Wu, *Toeplitz operators on Dirichlet spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **15** (1992), no. 2, 325–342.
- [17] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Third edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
- [18] Z. J. Wu, *Hankel and Toeplitz operators on Dirichlet spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **15** (1992), no. 3, 503–525.

- [19] J. Xiao, *The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem for multipliers of the Sobolev space*, Manuscripta Math. **97** (1998), no. 2, 217–232.
- [20] T. Yu, *Toeplitz operators on the Dirichlet space*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **67** (2010), no. 2, 163–170.
- [21] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Second edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **138**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

Shuaibing Luo

College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan, 410082,
P. R. China

and

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St.
John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail address: shuailuo2@126.com

Jie Xiao

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St.
John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail address: jxiao@mun.ca