

Research Article

Homomorphisms and Derivations in C^* -Ternary Algebras

Abbas Najati,¹ Choonkil Park,² and Jung Rye Lee³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil 56199-11367, Iran

² Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, South Korea

³ Department of Mathematics, Daejin University, Kyeonggi 487-711, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Jung Rye Lee, jrlee@daejin.ac.kr

Received 20 November 2008; Revised 31 January 2009; Accepted 28 February 2009

Recommended by John Rassias

In 2006, C. Park proved the stability of homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras and of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras for the following generalized Cauchy-Jensen additive mapping: $2f((\sum_{j=1}^p x_j/2) + \sum_{j=1}^d y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^p f(x_j) + 2\sum_{j=1}^d f(y_j)$. In this note, we improve and generalize some results concerning this functional equation.

Copyright © 2009 Abbas Najati et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations is originated from a question of Ulam [1] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Hyers [2] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] for additive mappings and by Rassias [4] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference.

Theorem 1.1 (Th. M. Rassias). *Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ε and p are constants with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \quad (1.2)$$

exists for all $x \in E$, and $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p \quad (1.3)$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p < 0$, then inequality (1.1) holds for $x, y \neq 0$ and (1.3) for $x \neq 0$. Also, if for each $x \in E$ the mapping $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then L is linear.

It was shown by Gajda [5] as well as by Rassias and Šemrl [6] that one cannot prove a Rassias's type theorem when $p = 1$. The counter examples of Gajda [5] as well as of Rassias and Šemrl [6] have stimulated several mathematicians to invent new definitions of *approximately additive* or *approximately linear* mappings; compare Găvruta [7] and Jung [8], who among others studied the stability of functional equations. Theorem 1.1 provided a lot of influence in the development of a generalization of the Hyers-Ulam stability concept. This new concept is known as *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations (cf. the books of Czerwik [9], Hyers et al. [10]).

Theorem 1.2 (Rassias [11–13]). *Let X be a real normed linear space and Y a real Banach space. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r = p + q \neq 1$ and f satisfies the functional inequality (Cauchy-Găvruta-Rassias inequality)*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q \quad (1.4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $L : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{|2^r - 2|} \|x\|^r \quad (1.5)$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping such that the transformation $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$, then L is linear.

For the case $r = 1$, a counter example has been given by Găvruta [14]. The stability in Theorem 1.2 involving a product of different powers of norms is called *Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability* (see [15–17]). In 1994, a generalization of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 was obtained by Găvruta [7], who replaced the bounds $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ and $\theta\|x\|^p\|y\|^q$ by a general control function $\varphi(x, y)$. During past few years several mathematicians have published on various generalizations and applications of generalized Hyers-Ulam stability to a number of functional equations and mappings (see [16–44]).

Following the terminology of [45], a nonempty set G with a ternary operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] : G \times G \times G \rightarrow G$ is called a *ternary groupoid* and is denoted by $(G, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$. The ternary groupoid $(G, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ is called *commutative* if $[x_1, x_2, x_3] = [x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}]$ for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in G$ and all permutations σ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

If a binary operation \circ is defined on G such that $[x, y, z] = (x \circ y) \circ z$ for all $x, y, z \in G$, then we say that $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is derived from \circ . We say that $(G, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ is a *ternary semigroup* if the operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is *associative*, that is, if $[[x, y, z], u, v] = [x, [y, z, u], v] = [x, y, [z, u, v]]$ holds for all $x, y, z, u, v \in G$ (see [46]).

A C^* -ternary algebra is a complex Banach space A , equipped with a ternary product $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x, y, z]$ of A^3 into A , which are \mathbb{C} -linear in the outer variables, conjugate \mathbb{C} -linear

in the middle variable, and associative in the sense that $[x, y, [z, w, v]] = [x, [w, z, y], v] = [[x, y, z], w, v]$, and satisfies $\|[x, y, z]\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|z\|$ and $\|[x, x, x]\| = \|x\|^3$ (see [45, 47]). Every left Hilbert C^* -module is a C^* -ternary algebra via the ternary product $[x, y, z] := \langle x, y \rangle z$.

If a C^* -ternary algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ has an identity, that is, an element $e \in A$ such that $x = [x, e, e] = [e, e, x]$ for all $x \in A$, then it is routine to verify that A , endowed with $x \circ y := [x, e, y]$ and $x^* := [e, x, e]$, is a unital C^* -algebra. Conversely, if (A, \circ) is a unital C^* -algebra, then $[x, y, z] := x \circ y^* \circ z$ makes A into a C^* -ternary algebra.

A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism if

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)] \tag{1.6}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If, in addition, the mapping H is bijective, then the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a C^* -ternary algebra isomorphism. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is called a C^* -ternary derivation if

$$\delta([x, y, z]) = [\delta(x), y, z] + [x, \delta(y), z] + [x, y, \delta(z)] \tag{1.7}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ (see [23, 45, 48]).

Let (A, \circ) be a C^* -algebra and $[x, y, z] := x \circ y^* \circ z$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. The mapping $H : A \rightarrow A$ defined by $H(x) = -ix$ is a C^* -ternary algebra isomorphism. Let $a \in A$ with $a^* = a$. The mapping $\delta_a : A \rightarrow A$ defined by $\delta_a(x) = i(ax - xa)$ is a C^* -ternary derivation. There are some applications, although still hypothetical, in the fractional quantum Hall effect, the nonstandard statistics, supersymmetric theory, and Yang-Baxter equation (cf. [49–51]).

Throughout this paper, assume that p, d are nonnegative integers with $p + d \geq 3$, and that A and B are C^* -ternary algebras.

2. Stability of Homomorphisms in C^* -Ternary Algebras

The stability of homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras has been investigated in [31] (see also [37]). In this note, we improve some results in [31]. For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$, we define

$$C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) := 2f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu f(x_j) - 2\sum_{j=1}^d \mu f(y_j) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$.

One can easily show that a mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ satisfies

$$C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$ if and only if

$$f(\mu x + \lambda y) = \mu f(x) + \lambda f(y) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$.

We will use the following lemmas in this paper.

Lemma 2.1 (see [30]). *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be an additive mapping such that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{x_n\}_n, \{y_n\}_n$ and $\{z_n\}_n$ be convergent sequences in A . Then the sequence $\{[x_n, y_n, z_n]\}_n$ is convergent in A .*

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in A$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z. \quad (2.4)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} [x_n, y_n, z_n] - [x, y, z] &= [x_n - x, y_n - y, z_n - z] + [x_n - x, y_n, z] \\ &\quad + [x, y_n - y, z_n] + [x_n, y, z_n - z] \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|[x_n, y_n, z_n] - [x, y, z]\| &\leq \|x_n - x\| \|y_n - y\| \|z_n - z\| + \|x_n - x\| \|y_n\| \|z\| \\ &\quad + \|x\| \|y_n - y\| \|z_n\| + \|x_n\| \|y\| \|z_n - z\| \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all n . So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n, y_n, z_n] = [x, y, z]. \quad (2.7)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.3 (see [31]). *Let r and θ be nonnegative real numbers such that $r \notin [1, 3]$, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_B \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r \right), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \theta (\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) \quad (2.9)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^r(p+d)\theta}{|2(p+2d)^r - (p+2d)2^r|} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x \in A$.

In the following theorem we have an alternative result of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. Let r, s , and θ be nonnegative real numbers such that $0 < r < 1$, $0 < s < 3$ (resp., $r > 1$, $s > 3$), and let $d \geq 2$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$, satisfying (2.8) and

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s + \|z\|_A^s) \quad (2.11)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{d\theta}{2|d-d^r|} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.12)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof. We prove the theorem in two cases.

Case 1. $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < s < 3$.

Letting $\mu = 1$, $x_1 = \dots = x_p = 0$ and $y_1 = \dots = y_d = x$ in (2.8), we get

$$\|f(dx) - df(x)\|_B \leq \frac{d\theta}{2} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.13)$$

for all $x \in A$. If we replace x by $d^n x$ in (2.13) and divide both sides of (2.13) to d^{n+1} , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{d^{n+1}} f(d^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{d^n} f(d^n x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{2} d^{(r-1)n} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.14)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all nonnegative integers n . Therefore,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{d^{n+1}} f(d^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{d^m} f(d^m x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \sum_{i=m}^n d^{(r-1)i} \|x\|_A^r \quad (2.15)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all nonnegative integers $n \geq m$. From this it follows that the sequence $\{(1/d^n)f(d^n x)\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in A$. Since B is complete, the sequence $\{(1/d^n)f(d^n x)\}$ converges. Thus one can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} f(d^n x) \quad (2.16)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.15), we get (2.12). It follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2H \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_j \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu H(x_j) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu H(y_j) \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \left\| 2f \left(d^n \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_j}{2} + d^n \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_j \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu f(d^n x_j) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu f(d^n y_j) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{nr}}{d^n} \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. Hence

$$2H \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu H(x_j) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu H(y_j) \quad (2.18)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. So $H(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda H(x) + \mu H(y)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H([x, y, z]) - [H(x), H(y), H(z)]\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^{3n}} \|f([d^n x, d^n y, d^n z]) - [f(d^n x), f(d^n y), f(d^n z)]\|_B \\ &= \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{ns}}{d^{3n}} (\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s + \|z\|_A^s) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Thus

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)] \quad (2.20)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore the mapping H is a \mathbb{C}^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.

Now let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be another C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism satisfying (2.12). Then we have

$$\|H(x) - T(x)\|_B = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \|f(d^n x) - T(d^n x)\|_B \leq \frac{d\theta}{2|d - d^r|} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{nr}}{d^n} \|x\|_A^r = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

for all $x \in A$. So we can conclude that $H(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in A$. This proves the uniqueness of H . Thus the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism satisfying (2.12), as desired.

Case 2. $r > 1$ and $s > 3$.

Similar to the proof of Case 1, we conclude that the sequence $\{d^n f(d^{-n}x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in B . So we can define the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^n f(d^{-n}x) \quad (2.22)$$

for all $x \in A$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Case 1.

□

Theorem 2.5 (see [31]). *Let r and θ be nonnegative real numbers such that $r \notin [1/(p + d), 1]$, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_B \leq \theta \prod_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^r \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^r \|z\|_A^r \quad (2.24)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\|_B \leq \frac{2^{(p+d)r}\theta}{|2(p + 2d)^{(p+d)r} - 2^{(p+d)r}(p + 2d)|} \|x\|_A^{(p+d)r} \quad (2.25)$$

for all $x \in A$.

The following theorem shows that the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ in Theorem 2.5 is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism when $r > 0$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $r, s, q, r_1, \dots, r_p, s_1, \dots, s_d$, and θ be nonnegative real numbers such that $r + s + q \neq 3$ and $r_k > 0$ ($s_k > 0$) for some $1 \leq k \leq p$, $p \geq 2$ ($1 \leq k \leq d$, $d \geq 2$).

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\|C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_B \leq \theta \prod_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^{r_j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^{s_j}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s \|z\|_A^q \quad (2.27)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbb{C}^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. (We put $\|\cdot\|_A^0 = 1$).

Proof. Let $r_k > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq p$ (we have similar proof when $s_k > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq d$). We now assume, without loss of generality, that $r_1 > 0$. Letting $x_1 = \dots = x_p = y_1 = \dots = y_d = 0$ in (2.26), we get that $f(0) = 0$. Letting $x_2 = 2x$ and $x_1 = x_3 = \dots = x_p = y_1 = \dots = y_d = 0$ in (2.26), we get

$$\mu f(2x) = 2f(\mu x) \quad (2.28)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. Setting $\mu = 1$ in (2.28), we get that $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Therefore,

$$f(\mu x) = \mu f(x), \quad f(2\mu x) = 2\mu f(x) \quad (2.29)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. If we put $x_2 = 2x$ and $y_1 = y$ and $x_1 = x_3 = \dots = x_p = y_2 = \dots = y_d = 0$ in (2.26), we get

$$2f(\mu x + \mu y) = \mu f(2x) + 2\mu f(y) \quad (2.30)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x \in A$. It follows from (2.29) and (2.30) that

$$f(\mu x + \lambda y) = \mu f(x) + \lambda f(y) \quad (2.31)$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear. Let $r + s + q > 3$. Then it follows from (2.27) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), f(y), f(z)]\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 8^n \left\| f\left(\left[\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right]\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right), f\left(\frac{z}{2^n}\right)\right] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^s \|z\|_A^q \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{8}{2^{r+s+q}}\right)^n = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Therefore,

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \quad (2.33)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Similarly, for $r + s + q < 3$, we get (2.33). \square

In the rest of this section, assume that A is a unital C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and unit e , and that B is a unital C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ and unit e' .

We investigate homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras associated with the functional equation $C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) = 0$.

Theorem 2.7 (see [31]). *Let $r > 1$ ($r < 1$) and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.8) such that*

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), f(y), f(z)] \quad (2.34)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((p + 2d)^n / 2^n) f(2^n e / (p + 2d)^n) = e'$ ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2^n / (p + 2d)^n) f((p + 2d)^n / 2^n) e = e'$), then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra isomorphism.

In the following theorems we have alternative results of Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $r < 1$, $s < 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying (2.8) and (2.11). If there exist a real number $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$) and an element $x_0 \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/\lambda^n) f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$ ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(x_0/\lambda^n) = e'$), then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. By using the proof of Theorem 2.4, there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (2.12). It follows from (2.12) that

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x), \quad \left(H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \right) \quad (2.35)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all real numbers $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$). Therefore, by the assumption we get that $H(x_0) = e'$. Let $\lambda > 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/\lambda^n) f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$. It follows from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| [H(x), H(y), H(z)] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ &= \left\| H[x, y, z] - [H(x), H(y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} \left\| f([\lambda^n x, \lambda^n y, z]) - [f(\lambda^n x), f(\lambda^n y), f(z)] \right\|_B \\ &\leq \theta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} (\lambda^{ns} \|x\|_A^s + \lambda^{ns} \|y\|_A^s + \|z\|_A^s) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

for all $x \in A$. So $[H(x), H(y), H(z)] = [H(x), H(y), f(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = x_0$ in the last equality, we get $f(z) = H(z)$ for all $z \in A$. Similarly, one can show that $H(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in A$ when $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f(x_0/\lambda^n) = e'$. Therefore, the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. \square

3. Derivations on C^* -Ternary Algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$.

Park [31] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras for the following functional equation:

$$C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$, let

$$\mathbf{D}f(x, y, z) = f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)] \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Theorem 3.1 (see [31]). *Let r and θ be nonnegative real numbers such that $r \notin [1, 3]$, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ a mapping satisfying (2.8) and*

$$\|\mathbf{D}f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^r + \|y\|_A^r + \|z\|_A^r) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \leq \frac{2^r(p+d)}{|2(p+2d)^r - (p+2d)2^r|} \theta \|x\|_A^r \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Theorem 3.2 (see [31]). *Let r and θ be nonnegative real numbers such that $r \notin [1/(p+d), 1]$, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (2.23) and*

$$\|\mathbf{D}f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta \|x\|_A^r \|y\|_A^r \|z\|_A^r \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\|_A \leq \frac{2^{(p+d)r}}{|2(p+2d)^{(p+d)r} - (p+2d)2^{(p+d)r}|} \theta \|x\|_A^{(p+d)r} \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x \in A$.

In the following theorems we generalize and improve the results in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\varphi : A^{p+d} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{-n} \varphi(\gamma^n x, \dots, \gamma^n x) < \infty, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{-n} \varphi(\gamma^n x_1, \dots, \gamma^n x_p, \gamma^n y_1, \dots, \gamma^n y_d) = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{-3n} \psi(\gamma^n x, \gamma^n y, \gamma^n z) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{-2n} \psi(\gamma^n x, \gamma^n y, z) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$ where $\gamma = (p + 2d)/2$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_A \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (3.11)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation.

Proof. Let us assume $\mu = 1$ and $x_1 = \dots = x_p = y_1 = \dots = y_d = x$ in (3.10). Then we get

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{p+2d}{2}x\right) - (p+2d)f(x) \right\|_A \leq \varphi(x, \dots, x) \quad (3.12)$$

for all $x \in A$. If we replace x in (3.12) by $\gamma^n x$ and divide both sides of (3.12) to γ^{n+1} , then we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} f(\gamma^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{\gamma^n} f(\gamma^n x) \right\|_A \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma^{n+1}} \varphi(\gamma^n x, \dots, \gamma^n x) \quad (3.13)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all integers $n \geq 0$. Hence

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\gamma^{n+1}} f(\gamma^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{\gamma^m} f(\gamma^m x) \right\|_A \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \sum_{i=m}^n \frac{1}{\gamma^i} \varphi(\gamma^i x, \dots, \gamma^i x) \quad (3.14)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all integers $n \geq m \geq 0$. From this it follows that the sequence $\{(1/\gamma^n)f(\gamma^n x)\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\{(1/\gamma^n)f(\gamma^n x)\}$ converges. Thus we can define the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} f(\gamma^n x) \quad (3.15)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.14), we get

$$\|\delta(x) - f(x)\|_A \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \tilde{\varphi}(x) \quad (3.16)$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (3.8) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|C_\mu \delta(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d)\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} \|C_\mu f(\gamma^n x_1, \dots, \gamma^n x_p, \gamma^n y_1, \dots, \gamma^n y_d)\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} \varphi(\gamma^n x_1, \dots, \gamma^n x_p, \gamma^n y_1, \dots, \gamma^n y_d) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. Hence

$$2\delta\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu \delta(x_j) + 2\sum_{j=1}^d \mu \delta(y_j) \quad (3.18)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$. So $\delta(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda \delta(x) + \mu \delta(y)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

It follows from (3.9) and (3.11) that

$$\|\mathbf{D}\delta(x, y, z)\|_A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{3n}} \|\mathbf{D}f(\gamma^n x, \gamma^n y, \gamma^n z)\|_A \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{3n}} \varphi(\gamma^n x, \gamma^n y, \gamma^n z) = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Hence

$$\delta([x, y, z]) = [\delta(x), y, z] + [x, \delta(y), z] + [x, y, \delta(z)] \quad (3.20)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is a \mathbb{C}^* -ternary derivation.

It follows from (3.9) and (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta[x, y, z] - [\delta(x), y, z] - [x, \delta(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{2n}} \|f[\gamma^n x, \gamma^n y, z] - [f(\gamma^n x), \gamma^n y, z] \\ &\quad - [\gamma^n x, f(\gamma^n y), z] - [\gamma^n x, \gamma^n y, f(z)]\|_A \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{2n}} \varphi(\gamma^n x, \gamma^n y, z) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Thus

$$\delta[x, y, z] = [\delta(x), y, z] + [x, \delta(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \quad (3.22)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Hence we get from (3.20) and (3.22) that

$$[x, y, \delta(z)] = [x, y, f(z)] \quad (3.23)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = f(z) - \delta(z)$ in (3.23), we get

$$\|f(z) - \delta(z)\|_A^3 = \|[f(z) - \delta(z), f(z) - \delta(z), f(z) - \delta(z)]\|_A = 0 \quad (3.24)$$

for all $z \in A$. Hence $f(z) = \delta(z)$ for all $z \in A$. So the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation, as desired. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $r < 1$, $s < 2$, and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (2.8) and*

$$\|\mathbf{D}f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta(\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s + \|z\|_A^s) \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation.

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) &= \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r \right), \\ \psi(x, y, z) &= \theta(\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s + \|z\|_A^s) \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

for all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$, and apply Theorem 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let r, s , and θ be nonnegative real numbers such that $s, r(p + d) < 1$, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (2.23) and*

$$\|\mathbf{D}f(x, y, z)\|_A \leq \theta \|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s \|z\|_A^s \quad (3.27)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation.

Proof. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) &= \theta \prod_{j=1}^p \|x_j\|_A^r \prod_{j=1}^d \|y_j\|_A^r, \\ \psi(x, y, z) &= \theta \|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s \|z\|_A^s \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$, and apply Theorem 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $\varphi : A^{p+d} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{x}{\gamma^n}\right) < \infty, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{x_p}{\gamma^n}, \frac{y_1}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{y_d}{\gamma^n}\right) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{3n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^n}, \frac{y}{\gamma^n}, \frac{z}{\gamma^n}\right) &= 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{2n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^n}, \frac{y}{\gamma^n}, z\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

for all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$ where $\gamma = (p + 2d)/2$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a mapping satisfying (3.10) and (3.11). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation.

Proof. If we replace x in (3.12) by x/γ^{n+1} and multiply both sides of (3.12) by γ^n , then we get

$$\left\| \gamma^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^{n+1}}\right) - \gamma^n f\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^n}\right) \right\|_A \leq \frac{\gamma^n}{2} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{\gamma^{n+1}}\right) \quad (3.30)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all integers $n \geq 0$. Hence

$$\left\| \gamma^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^{n+1}}\right) - \gamma^m f\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^m}\right) \right\|_A \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n+1} \gamma^i \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^i}, \dots, \frac{x}{\gamma^i}\right) \quad (3.31)$$

for all $x \in A$ and all integers $n \geq m \geq 0$. From this it follows that the sequence $\{\gamma^n f(x/\gamma^n)\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\{\gamma^n f(x/\gamma^n)\}$ converges. Thus we can define the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\delta(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n f\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^n}\right) \quad (3.32)$$

for all $x \in A$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3, and we omit it. \square

Corollary 3.7. Let r, s , and θ be nonnegative real numbers such that $s, r(p + d) > 1$, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (2.23) and (3.27). Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referees for their useful comments and suggestions. The corresponding author was supported by Daejin University Research Grant in 2009.

References

- [1] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 8, Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1960.

- [2] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 222–224, 1941.
- [3] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.
- [4] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.
- [5] Z. Gajda, "On stability of additive mappings," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 431–434, 1991.
- [6] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, "On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 989–993, 1992.
- [7] P. Găvruta, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.
- [8] S.-M. Jung, "On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 204, no. 1, pp. 221–226, 1996.
- [9] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 2002.
- [10] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, 34, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 1998.
- [11] J. M. Rassias, "On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 126–130, 1982.
- [12] J. M. Rassias, "On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 445–446, 1984.
- [13] J. M. Rassias, "Solution of a problem of Ulam," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 268–273, 1989.
- [14] P. Găvruta, "An answer to a question of John M. Rassias concerning the stability of Cauchy equation," in *Advances in Equations and Inequalities*, Hadronic Mathematics Series, pp. 67–71, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Fla, USA, 1999.
- [15] B. Bouikhalene and E. Elqorachi, "Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability of the Pexider functional equation," *International Journal of Applied Mathematics & Statistics*, vol. 7, no. Fe07, pp. 27–39, 2007.
- [16] P. Nakmahachalasint, "On the generalized Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stability of mixed-type linear and Euler-Lagrange-Rassias functional equations," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2007, Article ID 63239, 10 pages, 2007.
- [17] P. Nakmahachalasint, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias and Ulam-Găvruta-Rassias stabilities of an additive functional equation in several variables," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2007, Article ID 13437, 6 pages, 2007.
- [18] C. Baak and M. S. Moslehian, "On the stability of J^* -homomorphisms," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 42–48, 2005.
- [19] K.-W. Jun, H.-M. Kim, and J. M. Rassias, "Extended Hyers-Ulam stability for Cauchy-Jensen mappings," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 1139–1153, 2007.
- [20] H.-M. Kim, K.-W. Jun, and J. M. Rassias, "Extended stability problem for alternative Cauchy-Jensen mappings," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 4, article 120, 17 pages, 2007.
- [21] A. Najati, "Hyers-Ulam stability of an n -Apollonius type quadratic mapping," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 755–774, 2007.
- [22] A. Najati, "Stability of homomorphisms on JB^* -triples associated to a Cauchy-Jensen type functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 83–103, 2007.
- [23] A. Najati and A. Ranjbari, "On homomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 387–407, 2007.
- [24] A. Najati, "On the stability of a quartic functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 340, no. 1, pp. 569–574, 2008.
- [25] A. Najati and M. B. Moghimi, "Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 399–415, 2008.
- [26] A. Najati and C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated to the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 335, no. 2, pp. 763–778, 2007.
- [27] A. Najati and C. Park, "The Pexiderized Apollonius-Jensen type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^* -algebras," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 459–479, 2008.

- [28] C.-G. Park, "Lie $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie C^* -algebras and Lie $*$ -derivations on Lie C^* -algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 293, no. 2, pp. 419–434, 2004.
- [29] C.-G. Park, "Homomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebras and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -algebra derivations," *Journal of Lie Theory*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 393–414, 2005.
- [30] C.-G. Park, "Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras," *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 79–97, 2005.
- [31] C. Park, "Isomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 47, no. 10, Article ID 103512, 12 pages, 2006.
- [32] C.-G. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized Euler-Lagrange type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^* -algebras," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 619–632, 2006.
- [33] C. Park and A. Najati, "Homomorphisms and derivations in C^* -algebras," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2007, Article ID 80630, 12 pages, 2007.
- [34] J. M. Rassias, "On a new approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings," *Discussiones Mathematicae*, vol. 7, pp. 193–196, 1985.
- [35] J. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the Euler-Lagrange functional equation," *Chinese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 185–190, 1992.
- [36] J. M. Rassias, "Solution of a Cauchy-Jensen stability Ulam type problem," *Archivum Mathematicum*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 161–177, 2001.
- [37] J. M. Rassias and H.-M. Kim, "Approximate homomorphisms and derivations between C^* -ternary algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 49, no. 6, Article ID 063507, 10 pages, 2008.
- [38] J. M. Rassias, "Refined Hyers-Ulam approximation of approximately Jensen type mappings," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 131, no. 1, pp. 89–98, 2007.
- [39] H.-M. Kim and J. M. Rassias, "Generalization of Ulam stability problem for Euler-Lagrange quadratic mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 336, no. 1, pp. 277–296, 2007.
- [40] Th. M. Rassias, "The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 246, no. 2, pp. 352–378, 2000.
- [41] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 251, no. 1, pp. 264–284, 2000.
- [42] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 23–130, 2000.
- [43] Th. M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [44] F. Skof, "Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori," *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 113–129, 1983.
- [45] M. Amyari and M. S. Moslehian, "Approximate homomorphisms of ternary semigroups," *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2006.
- [46] N. Bazunova, A. Borowiec, and R. Kerner, "Universal differential calculus on ternary algebras," *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 195–206, 2004.
- [47] H. Zettl, "A characterization of ternary rings of operators," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 117–143, 1983.
- [48] M. S. Moslehian, "Almost derivations on C^* -ternary rings," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 135–142, 2007.
- [49] V. Abramov, R. Kerner, and B. Le Roy, "Hypersymmetry: a \mathbb{Z}_3 -graded generalization of supersymmetry," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 1650–1669, 1997.
- [50] R. Kerner, "Ternary algebraic structures and their applications in physics," preprint.
- [51] L. Vainerman and R. Kerner, "On special classes of n -algebras," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 2553–2565, 1996.