

DISCRETE SERIES FOR SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS I

CONSTRUCTION OF INVARIANT EIGENDISTRIBUTIONS

BY

HARISH-CHANDRA

The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., U.S.A.

Table of contents

§ 1. Introduction	242
PART I. THEORY ON THE LIE ALGEBRA	
§ 2. Reduction of Theorem 1 to the semisimple case	243
§ 3. Second reduction	249
§ 4. Third reduction	250
§ 5. New expressions for J and J'	252
§ 6. Proof of Lemma 7	255
§ 7. A consequence of Theorem 1	258
§ 8. Some elementary facts about tempered distributions	259
§ 9. Proof of Lemma 17	263
§ 10. An auxiliary result	265
§ 11. Proof of Lemma 21	267
§ 12. Recapitulation of some elementary facts	268
§ 13. Proof of Lemma 26	269
§ 14. Tempered and invariant eigendistributions	271
§ 15. Proof of Lemma 30	275
§ 16. The distribution T_λ	277
§ 17. Application of Theorem 1 to T_λ	281
§ 18. Proof of Lemma 41	283
PART II. THEORY ON THE GROUP	
§ 19. Statement of Theorem 3	288
§ 20. Proof of the uniqueness	289

§ 21. Some elementary facts about Cartan subgroups	291
§ 22. Proof of the existence.	292
§ 23. Further properties of Θ	302
§ 24. The distribution Θ_λ^*	305
§ 25. Statement of Theorem 4	308
§ 26. A simple property of the function Δ	309
§ 27. Reduction of Theorem 4 to Lemma 66	310
§ 28. Proof of Lemma 66	313
§ 29. Some convergence questions.	315
§ 30. Appendix.	317

§ 1. Introduction

Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with a compact Cartan subgroup B , and B^* the character group of B . Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{b} denote the Lie algebras of G and B respectively. Then every $b^* \in B^*$ defines a linear function $\lambda = \log b^*$ on \mathfrak{b}_c by the relation

$$\langle b^*, \exp H \rangle = e^{\lambda(H)} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}).$$

Let W be the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$. We say that b^* is regular if $s\lambda \neq \lambda$ for every $s \neq 1$ in W . Let $B^{*'}$ denote the set of all regular elements of B^* and define \mathfrak{J} as in [2 (m), § 1]. Then corresponding to every $b^* \in B^{*'}$, we construct in Theorem 3 an invariant eigendistribution Θ_{b^*} of \mathfrak{J} on G (cf. [2 (h), Theorem 2]). We shall see later in another paper that those irreducible characters of G which correspond to the discrete series (see [2 (a), § 5]) are actually finite linear combinations of these distributions (cf. [2 (h), Theorems 3 and 4]).

The second main result of this paper is contained in Theorem 4 which gives an alternative formula for the distribution Θ_{b^*} . This will be needed for the determination of the contribution of the discrete series to the Plancherel formula of G .

Our method consists in first proving analogous results on \mathfrak{g} and then lifting them to G , roughly speaking, by means of the exponential mapping. Theorem 1 is the \mathfrak{g} -analogue of Theorem 4 and its proof depends very much on Theorem 5 of [2 (k)]. Then in § 8 we introduce the notion of a tempered distribution on an open subset of a Euclidean space (see also [2 (c), p. 90]) and prove some elementary results which are then applied in § 14 to certain tempered and invariant eigendistributions on a reductive subalgebra \mathfrak{z} of \mathfrak{g} containing \mathfrak{b} . Lemma 28 asserts the uniqueness of such distributions and the existence is proved in Theorem 2 and Lemma 37. Lemma 41

contains the key result required for the reduction of the proof of Theorem 4 from the group to the Lie algebra.

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 3 and 4. The uniqueness part of Theorem 3 is relatively easy and follows from Lemma 28. However the problem of existence is more delicate. Lemma 50 contains the main step required in its solution. Lemma 59 gives a rather explicit formula for Θ_{b^*} which will be useful in later work. The main burden of the proof of Theorem 4 rests on Lemma 66.

Let L' be the set of all linear functions λ on \mathfrak{b} of the form $\lambda = \log b^*$ ($b^* \in B^*$) and write $\Theta_\lambda = \Theta_{b^*}$. Define $\varpi \in S(\mathfrak{b}_c)$ as in [2 (k), § 11]. Then we show in § 29 that for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, the series

$$\sum_{\lambda \in L'} \varpi(\lambda) \Theta_\lambda(f)$$

converges absolutely and its sum represents a distribution T on G . We shall see later that, apart from a constant factor, T is just the contribution of the discrete series to the Plancherel formula of G (cf. [2 (h), Theorem 4]).

This work was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

Part I. Theory on the Lie algebra

§ 2. Reduction of Theorem 1 to the semisimple case

We use the notation and terminology of [2 (l)]. Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra over \mathbf{R} , Ω a completely invariant open subset of \mathfrak{g} , T a distribution on Ω satisfying the conditions of [2 (l), Theorem 1] and F the corresponding analytic function on $\Omega' = \Omega \cap \mathfrak{g}'$. Then we have seen in [2 (l), § 9] that $\Phi = \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F$ extends to a continuous function on Ω .

Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . For any function ϕ on Ω' let $\phi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ denote its restriction on $\mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega'$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $D \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$. Then the function $D\Phi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is locally bounded⁽¹⁾ on $\mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$.*

Fix a point $H_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$ and select a positive-definite quadratic form Q on \mathfrak{h} . For any $\varepsilon > 0$, consider the set $\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon)$ of all $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $Q(H - H_0) < \varepsilon^2$. Then if ε is sufficiently small, $\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon) \subset \Omega$. Moreover the set $\mathfrak{h}'(\varepsilon) = \mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon) \cap \Omega'$ has only a finite number of connected components. It follows from [2 (l), Lemma 2] that $D\Phi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ remains bounded on each connected component of $\mathfrak{h}'(\varepsilon)$ and therefore also on $\mathfrak{h}'(\varepsilon)$. Obviously this implies the statement of the lemma.

(1) This means that $D\Phi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ remains bounded on $C \cap \Omega'$ for any compact subset C of $\mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$.

COROLLARY. For any $D \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$, $D\Phi$ is locally summable on Ω .

Fix \mathfrak{h} as above. Then by [2 (j), Lemma 14],

$$(D\Phi)_{\mathfrak{h}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}'(D)\Phi_{\mathfrak{h}} = \pi^{-1}(\delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) \circ \pi)\Phi_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

But $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) \circ \pi \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ by [2 (j), Theorem 1] and therefore we conclude from the above lemma that $\pi(D\Phi)_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is locally bounded on $\mathfrak{h} \cap \Omega$.

Let $m = (n-l)/2$ where $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$, $l = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$. Then $m = d^0 \pi$. Let t be an indeterminate and $\eta(X)$ the coefficient of t^l in $\det(t - adX)$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$). Then η is an invariant polynomial function on \mathfrak{g}_c and $\eta(H) = (-1)^m \pi(H)^2$ ($H \in \mathfrak{h}_c$). Moreover it follows from the above result (see the proof of Lemma 3 of [2 (l)]) that $|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} |D\Phi|$ is locally bounded on Ω . Therefore since $|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is locally summable on \mathfrak{g} [2 (k), Corollary 2 of Lemma 30], our assertion is now obvious.

Let $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ denote the adjoint of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ is also an invariant and analytic differential operator on \mathfrak{g}' .

LEMMA 2. Put $f(x:H) = f(H^x)$ ($x \in G$, $H \in \mathfrak{h}$) for $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$. Then

$$f(H^x; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^*) = (-1)^m f(x:H; \pi^{-1} \partial(\varpi) \circ \pi^2) \quad (x \in G, H \in \mathfrak{h}')$$

where $m = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{g})$.

Put $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}} = (\mathfrak{h}')^G$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an open subset of \mathfrak{g}' . Fix $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}})$. Then

$$\int \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^* f \cdot g dX = \int f \cdot \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} g dX$$

and therefore we conclude from Corollary 1 of Lemma 30 of [2 (k)] that

$$\int \pi(H)^2 f(x^*H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^*) g(x^*H) dx^* dH = \int \pi(H)^2 f(x^*H) g(x^*H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) dx^* dH.$$

Now define $\phi(x^*:H) = \phi(x^*H)$ ($x^* \in G^*$, $H \in \mathfrak{h}$) for $\phi = f$ or g . Then it follows from the definition of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ [2 (l), Lemma 24] that

$$g(x^*H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) = g(x^*:H; \partial(\varpi) \circ \pi).$$

Therefore

$$\int \pi(H)^2 f(x^*H) g(x^*H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) dx^* dH = (-1)^m \int \pi(H)^2 f(x^*:H; \pi^{-1} \partial(\varpi) \circ \pi^2) g(x^*H) dx^* dH$$

since ϖ is homogeneous of degree m . The differential operator $\pi^{-1} \partial(\varpi) \circ \pi^2$ being in-

variant under the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, there exists (see the proof of Lemma 24 of [2 (1)]) a unique invariant differential operator D on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that

$$f(x^*H; D) = (-1)^m f(x^*; H; \pi^{-1}\partial(\varpi)\circ\pi^2)$$

for $x^* \in G^*$, $H \in \mathfrak{h}'$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence it is clear that

$$\int \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^* f \cdot g \, dX = \int Df \cdot g \, dX.$$

This being true for every $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}})$, we conclude that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^* = D$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and therefore

$$f(H^*; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^*) = (-1)^m f(x^*; H; \pi^{-1}\partial(\varpi)\circ\pi^2)$$

for $x^* \in G^*$, $H \in \mathfrak{h}'$. This is equivalent to the statement of the lemma.

COROLLARY. $f(H^*; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \circ \eta^{-1}) = f(x; H; \pi^{-1}\partial(\varpi))$ ($x \in G$, $H \in \mathfrak{h}'$).

Since $\eta(H) = (-1)^m \pi(H)^2$, this is obvious from Lemma 2.

By Chevalley's theorem [2 (c), Lemma 9], there exists a unique element $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ such that $p_{\mathfrak{h}} = (\varpi^{\mathfrak{h}})^2$ for every Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . (Here we have used the notation of [2 (i), § 8] and [2 (1), Theorem 3].) Put $\square = \partial(p)$.

LEMMA 3. Let f be a locally invariant C^∞ function on an open subset U of \mathfrak{g}' . Then

$$(\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}})f = \square f.$$

Fix a point $H_0 \in U$ and let \mathfrak{h} be the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and it follows from the corollary of Lemma 2 that

$$f(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) = f_1(H; \pi^{-1}\partial(\varpi)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h} \cap U)$$

where $f_1 = \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} f$. However

$$f_1(H) = f(H; \partial(\varpi)\circ\pi) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h} \cap U)$$

from the definition of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Therefore

$$f(H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) = f(H; \pi^{-1}\partial(\varpi^2)\circ\pi).$$

On the other hand since f is locally invariant, we have

$$f(H; \square) = f(H; \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(\square)) = f(H; \pi^{-1}\partial(\varpi^2)\circ\pi) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h} \cap U)$$

from [2 (c), Theorem 1] and the definition of \square . This shows that

$$f(H_0; \nabla_g^* \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \nabla_g) = f(H_0; \square)$$

and so the lemma is proved.

COROLLARY. $\square F = (\nabla_g^* \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \nabla_g) F = \nabla_g^*(\eta^{-1}\Phi)$.

This is obvious since F is invariant and $\nabla_g F = \Phi$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ let $g(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of all $X \in g$ where $|\eta(X)| > \varepsilon^2$. Let u be a measurable function on g' which is integrable (with respect to the Euclidean measure dX) on $g(\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we define⁽¹⁾

$$\text{p.v.} \int u dX = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{g(\varepsilon)} u dX$$

provided this limit exists and is finite.

THEOREM 1. For any $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\int f \square F dX = \text{p.v.} \int \eta^{-1} \nabla_g f \cdot \Phi dX.$$

Since $\square \in \mathfrak{S}(g_c)$, it follows from [2 (l), Lemma 16] that $\square F$ is locally summable on Ω . Hence the left side of the above equation is well defined. Now consider the right side. Let V_δ ($0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$) be a family of invariant measurable functions on g with the following properties.

- 1) There exists a number a such that $|V_\delta(X)| \leq a$ for $X \in g$ and all δ .
- 2) $V_\delta(X) = 0$ if $|\eta(X)| < \delta^2$ ($X \in g, 0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$).
- 3) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} V_\delta(X) = 1$ for $X \in g'$.

Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of g and put $g_{\mathfrak{h}} = (\mathfrak{h}')^G$ as before. Then we can choose a real number $c = c(\mathfrak{h}) \neq 0$ such that

$$\int g dX = c \int \pi(H)^2 g(x^*H) dx^* dH$$

for $g \in C_c(g_{\mathfrak{h}})$ in the notation of Corollary 1 of [2 (k), Lemma 30]. Since $V_\delta \eta^{-1} \nabla_g f \cdot \Phi$ vanishes outside a compact subset of g' , it is obviously integrable on g . Therefore

$$\int_{g_{\mathfrak{h}}} V_\delta \eta^{-1} \nabla_g f \cdot \Phi dX = (-1)^m c \int_{\mathfrak{h}} V_\delta(H) \Phi(H) dH \int_{G^*} f(x^*H; \nabla_g) dx^*$$

⁽¹⁾ p.v. stands for "principal value".

if we recall that $\eta = (-1)^m \pi^2$ on \mathfrak{h} . On the other hand it follows from the definition of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ that

$$\int_{G^*} f(x^* H; \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}) dx^* = \varepsilon_R(H) \psi_f(H; \partial(\varpi)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}')$$

in the notation of [2 (k), § 5]. Therefore since $\partial(\varpi)^* = (-1)^m \partial(\varpi)$, we get

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}}} V_{\delta} \eta^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} f \cdot \Phi dx = c \int_{\mathfrak{h}} V_{\delta, \mathfrak{h}} \varepsilon_R \Phi_{\mathfrak{h}} \partial(\varpi)^* \psi_f dH$$

where $V_{\delta, \mathfrak{h}}$ denotes the restriction of V_{δ} on \mathfrak{h} . Since Φ is continuous on Ω , it is clear (see [2 (k), § 15]) that

$$\int |\Phi_{\mathfrak{h}} \partial(\varpi)^* \psi_f| dH < \infty.$$

Therefore the following lemma is now obvious.

LEMMA 4. *Let $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}}} V_{\delta} \eta^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} f \cdot \Phi dX = c \int_{\mathfrak{h}} \varepsilon_R \Phi_{\mathfrak{h}} \partial(\varpi)^* \psi_f dH.$$

Select a maximal set \mathfrak{h}_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) of Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} no two of which are conjugate under G . Put $\mathfrak{g}_i = (\mathfrak{h}_i')^G$. Then \mathfrak{g}' is the disjoint union of $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_r$. Fix a Euclidean measure $d_i H$ on \mathfrak{h}_i and put $c_i = c(\mathfrak{h}_i)$, $\Phi_i = \Phi_{\mathfrak{h}_i}$ and $\varpi_i = \varpi^{\mathfrak{h}_i}$. Then we have the following result in the notation of ⁽¹⁾ [2 (k), § 16].

COROLLARY. *For any $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$,*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathfrak{g}} V_{\delta} \eta^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} f \cdot \Phi dX = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R, i} \Phi_i \partial(\varpi_i)^* \psi_{f, i} d_i H = \text{p.v.} \int \eta^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} f \cdot \Phi dX.$$

The first equality is obvious from Lemma 4 and the second follows by taking V_{δ} to be the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{g}(\delta)$.

On the other hand (see the proof of Lemma 3),

$$F(H; \square) = F(H; \pi^{-1} \partial(\varpi)^2 \circ \pi) = \Phi(H; \pi^{-1} \partial(\varpi)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}' \cap \Omega).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{h}}} f \cdot \square F dX = c \int \varepsilon_R \psi_f \partial(\varpi) \Phi_{\mathfrak{h}} dH$$

and so it is obvious that Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following lemma.

⁽¹⁾ $\varepsilon_{R, i}$ denotes ε_R for $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_i$.

LEMMA 5. *Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int_{b_i} \varepsilon_{R,i} (\psi_{f,i} \partial(\varpi_i) \Phi_i - \partial(\varpi_i)^* \psi_{f,i} \cdot \Phi_i) d_i H = 0.$$

We shall now prove Theorem 1 by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} J(f) &= \int f \square F dX - \text{p.v.} \int \eta^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}^* f \cdot \Phi dX \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int_{b_i} \varepsilon_{R,i} (\psi_{f,i} \partial(\varpi_i) \Phi_i - \partial(\varpi_i)^* \psi_{f,i} \cdot \Phi_i) d_i H \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then it follows from [2 (k), § 15] that J is an invariant distribution on Ω . We have to prove that $J = 0$.

Let \mathfrak{c} be the center and \mathfrak{g}_1 the derived algebra of \mathfrak{g} and first assume that $\mathfrak{c} \neq \{0\}$. Fix a point $X_0 \in \Omega$. We have to show that $J = 0$ around X_0 . Let $X_0 = C_0 + Z_0$ ($C_0 \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$). Select an open and relatively compact neighborhood c_0 of C_0 in \mathfrak{c} such that $Z_0 + \text{Cl}(c_0) \subset \Omega$. Let Ω_1 be the set of all points $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ such that $Z + \text{Cl}(c_0) \subset \Omega$. Then Ω_1 is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of Z_0 in \mathfrak{g}_1 (see [2 (l), Lemma 9]). It would be sufficient to prove (see [2 (i), Lemma 3]) that

$$J(\alpha \times g) = 0 \quad (\alpha \in C_c^\infty(c_0), g \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)).$$

Fix $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(c_0)$ and consider the distributions

$$T_\alpha(g) = T(\alpha \times g), \quad J_\alpha(g) = J(\alpha \times g) \quad (g \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1))$$

on Ω_1 . Then T_α and J_α are both invariant. Put $\mathfrak{U}_1 = \mathfrak{U} \cap I(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})$ where \mathfrak{U} has the same meaning as in [2 (l), Theorem 1]. Then

$$\dim I(\mathfrak{g}_{1c})/\mathfrak{U}_1 \leq \dim I(\mathfrak{g}_c)/\mathfrak{U} < \infty$$

and $\partial(\mathfrak{U}_1) T_\alpha = \{0\}$. Hence Theorem 1 of [2 (l)] is also applicable to $(T_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_1, \Omega_1)$ instead of $(T, \mathfrak{g}, \Omega)$. Put $\Omega_1' = \Omega_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ and fix Euclidean measures dC and dZ on \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{g}_1 respectively such that $dX = dC dZ$ ($X = C + Z$, $C \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$). Let F_α be the analytic function on Ω_1' such that

$$T_\alpha(g) = \int F_\alpha g dZ \quad (g \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)).$$

Then it is clear that

$$F_\alpha(Z) = \int \alpha(C) F(C + Z) dC \quad (Z \in \Omega_1').$$

Put $\Phi_\alpha = \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}_1} F_\alpha$. If \mathfrak{h} is any Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , it is clear that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{h}_1$ where $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$. Moreover π and $\partial(\varpi)$ are in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_{1c})$ and $\square \in \partial(I(\mathfrak{g}_{1c}))$. Hence it follows without difficulty that

$$J_\alpha(g) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} g \square F_\alpha dZ - \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \eta^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}_1} g \cdot \Phi_\alpha dZ$$

for $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_1)$. But since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, we conclude from the induction hypothesis that $J_\alpha = 0$. This shows that $J(\alpha \times g) = 0$ for $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{c}_0)$ and $g \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)$ and therefore $J = 0$ around X_0 .

§ 3. Second reduction

Hence we may now assume that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple and identify \mathfrak{g} with its dual space by means of the Killing form ω of \mathfrak{g} . For any $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$, let p_i denote the restriction of p on \mathfrak{h}_i and put $\pi_i = \pi^{\mathfrak{h}_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). We also identify \mathfrak{h}_i with its dual space by means of ω_i . Then $\varpi_i = \pi_i$. Put $\delta_i(D) = \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}(D)$ ($D \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$) in the notation of [2 (j), Theorem 1].

LEMMA 6. *Let $D \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$, $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \partial(\omega_i p_i) (\pi_i \psi_{f,i}) \cdot \delta_i(D) \Phi_i d_i H \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \partial(p_i) (\pi_i \psi_{f,i}) \cdot \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D) \Phi_i d_i H \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \partial(\omega_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot (\delta_i(D) \circ \pi_i \circ \partial(p_i)) \Phi_i d_i H \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \psi_{f,i} (\delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D) \circ \pi_i \circ \partial(p_i)) \Phi_i d_i H. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove this in § 4.

COROLLARY 1. *For any $k \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \partial(\omega_i^k) \psi_{f,i} \cdot (\delta_i(D) \circ \pi_i \circ \partial(p_i)) \Phi_i d_i H \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \psi_{f,i} (\partial(\omega_i^k) \circ \delta_i(D) \circ \pi_i \circ \partial(p_i)) \Phi_i d_i H. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi_{\partial(\omega)_f, i} = \partial(\omega_i) \psi_{f, i}$ and $\delta_i(\partial(\omega^k) \circ D) = \partial(\omega_i^k) \circ \delta_i(D)$, this follows immediately from the second statement of Lemma 6 by induction on k .

COROLLARY 2.

$$\sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \partial(\omega_i^k) (\pi_i \psi_{f,i}) \cdot \delta_i(D) \Phi_i d_i H = \sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \pi_i \psi_{f,i} \cdot \delta_i(\partial(\omega^k) \circ D) \Phi_i d_i H$$

for $k \geq 0$.

This follows from the first statement of Lemma 6 by induction on k .

COROLLARY 3.

$$\sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} (\partial(\omega_i^j) \circ \pi_i \circ \partial(\omega_i^k)) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \Phi_i d_i H = \sum_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \psi_{f,i} (\partial(\omega_i^k) \circ \pi_i \circ \partial(\omega_i^j)) \Phi_i d_i H$$

for $j, k \geq 0$.

Apply Corollary 2 to $f_k = \partial(\omega)^k f$ with $D = 1$. Then since

$$\psi_{f_k,i} = \partial(\omega_i^k) \psi_{f,i}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} (\partial(\omega_i^j) \circ \pi_i \circ \partial(\omega_i^k)) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \Phi_i d_i H \\ = \sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \partial(\omega_i^k) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \pi_i \partial(\omega_i^j) \Phi_i d_i H. \end{aligned}$$

Now apply Corollary 1 with $D = 1$ and $p = \omega^j$. This gives the required result.

We shall now complete the proof of Lemma 5 and therefore also of Theorem 1. Let Λ_i denote the derivation of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_{ic})$ given by⁽¹⁾

$$\Lambda_i \xi = \frac{1}{2} \{ \partial(\omega_i), \xi \} \quad (\xi \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_{ic})).$$

Then since π_i is homogeneous of degree m , it is clear that (see [2 (c), p. 99]) that

$$\Lambda_i^m \pi_i = m! \partial(\pi_i).$$

Therefore
$$\partial(\pi_i) = (m! 2^m)^{-1} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} C_k^m (-1)^{m-k} \partial(\omega_i^k) \circ \pi_i \circ \partial(\omega_i^{m-k})$$

where C_k^m denotes the usual binomial coefficient. Hence Lemma 5 follows immediately from Corollary 3 above.

§ 4. Third reduction

Fix $D \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ and put

(¹) As usual $\{D_1, D_2\} = D_1 \circ D_2 - D_2 \circ D_1$ for two differential operators D_1, D_2 .

$$J(f) = \sum_i c_i \int_{\varepsilon_{R,i}} \{ \partial(\omega_i) (\pi_i \psi_{f,i}) \cdot \delta_i(D) \Phi_i - \pi_i \psi_{f,i} \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D) \Phi_i \} d_i H$$

and
$$J'(f) = \sum_i c_i \int_{\varepsilon_{R,i}} \{ \partial(\omega_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \delta_i(D) (\pi_i \Phi_i) - \psi_{f,i} \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D) (\pi_i \Phi_i) \} d_i H$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then J and J' are invariant distributions on Ω .

LEMMA 7. *No semiregular element of Ω of noncompact type lies in*

$$(\text{Supp } J) \cup (\text{Supp } J').$$

Assuming this result, we shall now prove Lemma 6. For $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, define

$$J_p(f) = \sum_i c_i \int_{\varepsilon_{R,i}} \{ \partial(\omega_i p_i) (\pi_i \psi_{f,i}) \cdot \delta_i(D) \Phi_i - \partial(p_i) (\pi_i \psi_{f,i}) \cdot \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D) \Phi_i \} d_i H.$$

Then J_p is an invariant distribution on Ω . We shall now show that $J_p = J' = 0$.

Fix a point $X_0 \in \Omega$ and, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, define $U_{X_0}(\varepsilon)$ as in [2 (1), Lemma 14] and put $\Omega(\varepsilon) = \Omega \cap U_{X_0}(\varepsilon)$. Then $\Omega(\varepsilon)$ is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of X_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Put

$$\mathfrak{h}_i(\varepsilon) = \mathfrak{h}_i \cap \Omega(\varepsilon), \quad \mathfrak{h}_i(0) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathfrak{h}_i(\varepsilon) \quad (1 \leq i \leq r).$$

Then we have seen during the proof of [2 (1), Lemma 13] that $\mathfrak{h}_i(0)$ is a finite set. For every $H \in \mathfrak{h}_i(0)$, select two open, convex neighborhoods U_H, V_H of H in \mathfrak{h}_i such that $\text{Cl } U_H \subset V_H \subset \mathfrak{h}_i(1)$ and $V_H \cap V_{H'} = \emptyset$ for $H \neq H'$ ($H, H' \in \mathfrak{h}_i(0)$). Put

$$U_i = \bigcup_{H \in \mathfrak{h}_i(0)} U_H, \quad V_i = \bigcup_{H \in \mathfrak{h}_i(0)} V_H$$

and select $\alpha_H \in C_c^\infty(V_H)$ such that $\alpha_H = 1$ on U_H ($H \in \mathfrak{h}_i(0)$). Define

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{H \in \mathfrak{h}_i(0)} \alpha_H$$

and put
$$g_i = c_i \varepsilon_{R,i} \alpha_i \delta_i(D) \Phi_i, \quad g_i' = c_i \varepsilon_{R,i} \alpha_i \delta_i(D) (\pi_i \Phi_i).$$

Then it follows from [2 (j), Theorem 1], [2 (1), Theorem 2] and [2 (1), § 4] that g_i and g_i' are functions of class C^∞ on the closure of each connected component of $\mathfrak{h}_i'(R)$.

Now choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $\mathfrak{h}_i(\varepsilon) \subset U_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Then if $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega(\varepsilon))$, it is clear that $\text{Supp } \psi_{f,i} \subset U_i$. Since $\alpha_i = 1$ on U_i , it follows that

$$J_p(f) = \sum_i \int \{ \partial(\omega_i p_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot g_i - \partial(p_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \partial(\omega_i) g_i \} d_i H$$

and
$$J'(f) = \sum_i \int \{ \partial(\omega_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot g_i' - \psi_{f,i} \cdot \partial(\omega_i) g_i' \} d_i H$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega(\varepsilon))$. Moreover $\Omega(\varepsilon)$ being completely invariant, we can choose an open neighborhood V of X_0 in \mathfrak{g} such that $\text{Cl}(V^G) \subset \Omega(\varepsilon)$. Now $J = J_1$ and therefore it follows from Lemma 7 and [2 (k), Theorem 5] that $J_p = 0$ on V^G for $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Hence $X_0 \notin \text{Supp } J_p$. But X_0 was an arbitrary point of Ω . Therefore we conclude that $J_p = 0$. This proves the first statement of Lemma 6.

Similarly by applying [2 (k), Theorem 4] we conclude that $J' = 0$. This gives the second statement of Lemma 6 in the special case $p = 1$. Now fix $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and consider the distribution $T_0 = \partial(p) T$. Then T_0 also satisfies the conditions of [2 (1), Theorem 1] and therefore $T_0 = F_0$ where $F_0 = \partial(p) F$. Put $\Phi_0 = \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F_0$ and let Φ_{0i} denote the restriction of Φ_0 on $\mathfrak{h}_i \cap \Omega'$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$).

LEMMA 8.
$$\Phi_{0i} = \partial(p_i) \Phi_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq r).$$

Let F_i and F_{0i} respectively denote the restrictions of F and F_0 on $\mathfrak{h}_i \cap \Omega'$. Since F is an invariant function, we know [2 (c), Theorem 1] that

$$F_{0i} = \pi_i^{-1} \partial(p) (\pi_i F_i).$$

Therefore
$$\Phi_{0i} = \partial(\pi_i) (\pi_i F_{0i}) = \partial(p_i \pi_i) (\pi_i F_i) = \partial(p_i) \Phi_i.$$

Now if we apply the result $J' = 0$ to the distribution T_0 (instead of T), we obtain

$$\sum_i c_i \int_{\varepsilon_{R,i}} \{ \partial(\omega_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \delta_i(D) (\pi_i \Phi_{0i}) - \psi_{f,i} \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D) (\pi_i \Phi_{0i}) \} d_i H = 0$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. In view of Lemma 8, this is equivalent to the second assertion of Lemma 6.

§ 5. New expressions for J and J'

Define η as in § 2. Then $\eta \in I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and $\eta_i = (-1)^m \pi_i^2$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Moreover $|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are analytic functions on \mathfrak{g}' .

LEMMA 9. Define J and J' as in § 4. Then

$$J(f) = \text{p.v.} \int \{ \partial(\omega) (|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f) \cdot D(|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi) - |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\partial(\omega) \circ D \circ |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \Phi \} dX$$

and
$$J'(f) = \int \{ \partial(\omega) f \cdot D\Phi - f \partial(\omega) (D\Phi) \} dX$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Since η takes only real values on \mathfrak{g} , it is obvious that $|\eta_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \varepsilon_i \pi_i$ on \mathfrak{h}_i' where ε_i is a locally constant function on \mathfrak{h}_i' such that $\varepsilon_i^4 = 1$. Since Φ and $|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are invariant functions, it follows from [2 (j), Lemma 14] that

$$D'(|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi) = \varepsilon_i^{-1} \pi_i^{-1} \delta_i(D') \Phi_i$$

on $\mathfrak{h}_i \cap \Omega'$ for any $D' \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$.

For any $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, let g_f denote the function on \mathfrak{g}' given by

$$g_f = \partial(\omega) (|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f) \cdot D(|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi) - |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f \partial(\omega) \circ D \circ |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi.$$

Fix a function $v \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $v(t) = 0$ if $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $v(t) = 1$ if $|t| \geq 1$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, put $v_\varepsilon(t) = v(\varepsilon^{-2}t)$ and

$$V_\varepsilon(X) = v_\varepsilon(\eta(X)) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

Then V_ε is an invariant C^∞ function on \mathfrak{g} and $V_\varepsilon = 1$ on $\mathfrak{g}(\varepsilon)$ (in the notation of § 2). Put $f'_\varepsilon = V_\varepsilon f$ and $f_\varepsilon = |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} f'_\varepsilon$. It is clear that f_ε and f'_ε are in $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and $f = f'_\varepsilon$ on $\mathfrak{g}(\varepsilon)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\varepsilon)} g_f dX &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}(\varepsilon)} g_{f'_\varepsilon} dX \\ &= \sum_i c_i \int_{\mathfrak{h}_i(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon_{i,R} \varepsilon_i^{-1} \{ \partial(\omega_i) \psi_{f'_\varepsilon, i} \cdot \delta_i(D) \Phi_i - \psi_{f'_\varepsilon, i} \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D) \Phi_i \} d_i H \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_i(\varepsilon) = \mathfrak{h}_i \cap \mathfrak{g}(\varepsilon)$. However it is obvious that

$$\psi_{f'_\varepsilon, i} = \varepsilon_i \pi_i \psi_{f, i}$$

on $\mathfrak{h}_i(\varepsilon)$. Therefore

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}(\varepsilon)} g_f dX = \sum_i c_i \int_{\mathfrak{h}_i(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon_{i,R} \{ \partial(\omega_i) (\pi_i \psi_{f, i}) \cdot \delta_i(D) \Phi_i - \pi_i \psi_{f, i} \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D) \Phi_i \} d_i H.$$

Making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get
$$\text{p.v.} \int g_f dX = J(f)$$

and this proves the first statement of the lemma.

We know from the corollary of Lemma 1 that the integral

$$\int \{\partial(\omega) f \cdot D\Phi - f\partial(\omega)(D\Phi)\} dX$$

is well defined. Moreover since Φ is an invariant function,

$$D'\Phi = \pi_i^{-1}\delta_i(D')(\pi_i\Phi_i) \quad (D' \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g}_c))$$

on $\mathfrak{h}_i \cap \Omega'$ and therefore the above integral is equal to $J'(f)$. This proves the second statement of the lemma.

LEMMA 10. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define the function V_ε as above and put

$$J_\varepsilon(f) = \int V_\varepsilon \{\partial(\omega)(|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}f) \cdot D(|\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Phi) - |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}f(\partial(\omega) \circ D \circ |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}})\Phi\} dX$$

and
$$J'_\varepsilon(f) = \int V_\varepsilon \{\partial(\omega) f \cdot D\Phi - f\partial(\omega)(D\Phi)\} dX$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then

$$J(f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_\varepsilon(f), \quad J'(f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J'_\varepsilon(f).$$

Put $f_\varepsilon = |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}V_{\varepsilon/2}f$. Then $f_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and $J_\varepsilon(f) = J_\varepsilon(V_{\varepsilon/2}f)$. Hence it follows that

$$J_\varepsilon(f) = \sum_i c_i \int V_{\varepsilon,i} \varepsilon_{i,R} \varepsilon_i^{-1} \{\partial(\omega_i) \psi_{f_\varepsilon,i} \cdot \delta_i(D)\Phi_i - \psi_{f_\varepsilon,i} \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D)\Phi_i\} d_i H$$

where $V_{\varepsilon,i}$ is the restriction of V_ε on \mathfrak{h}_i . On the other hand, it is clear that

$$\psi_{f_\varepsilon,i}(H) = \varepsilon_i \pi_i(H) \psi_{f,i}(H)$$

if $|\pi_i(H)| \geq \varepsilon/2$ ($H \in \mathfrak{h}_i$). Hence

$$J_\varepsilon(f) = \sum_i c_i \int V_{\varepsilon,i} \varepsilon_{i,R} \{\partial(\omega_i)(\pi_i \psi_{f,i}) \cdot \delta_i(D)\Phi_i - \pi_i \psi_{f,i} \delta_i(\partial(\omega) \circ D)\Phi_i\} d_i H.$$

The two assertions of the lemma are now obvious.

LEMMA 11. Put⁽¹⁾

$$\Psi_\varepsilon = (|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} \{\partial(\omega), V_\varepsilon\} \circ D \circ |\eta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \Phi,$$

$$\Psi'_\varepsilon = (\{\partial(\omega), V_\varepsilon\} \circ D) \Phi$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

(¹) See footnote 1, p. 250.

$$J_\varepsilon(f) = \int f \Psi_\varepsilon dX, \quad J'_\varepsilon(f) = \int f \Psi'_\varepsilon dX$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Since $\text{Supp } V_\varepsilon \subset \mathfrak{g}'$, this follows from Lemma 10 if we observe that

$$(V_\varepsilon \partial(\omega) \circ |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}})^* = |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial(\omega) \circ V_\varepsilon, \quad (V_\varepsilon \partial(\omega))^* = \partial(\omega) \circ V_\varepsilon.$$

Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} and let us use the notation introduced at the beginning of § 2. In particular $V_{\varepsilon, \mathfrak{h}}$ and $\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}$ denote the restrictions of V_ε and ω on \mathfrak{h} .

LEMMA 12. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(\Psi_\varepsilon)_{\mathfrak{h}} = (\{\partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}), V_{\varepsilon, \mathfrak{h}}\} \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D)) \Phi_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

and

$$(\Psi'_\varepsilon)_{\mathfrak{h}} = (\pi^{-1} \{\partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}), V_{\varepsilon, \mathfrak{h}}\} \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) \circ \pi) \Phi_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

$|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, Φ and V_ε are invariant C^∞ functions on Ω' . Moreover there exists a locally constant function a on \mathfrak{h}' such that $a^4 = 1$ and $|\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} = a\pi$ on \mathfrak{h}' . The required relations now follow easily by a repeated use of [2 (j), Lemma 14] and [2 (c), Theorem 1].

§ 6. Proof of Lemma 7

We now come to the proof of Lemma 7. Fix a semiregular element $H_0 \in \Omega$ of noncompact type and let \mathfrak{z} denote the centralizer of H_0 in \mathfrak{g} . Define ζ and \mathfrak{z}' as in [2 (j), § 2] and put $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} = \Omega \cap \mathfrak{z}'$. Then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of H_0 in \mathfrak{z} . Fix a Euclidean measure dZ on \mathfrak{z} and define

$$j = \sigma_J, \quad j' = \sigma_{J'}, \quad j_\varepsilon = \sigma_{J_\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad j'_\varepsilon = \sigma_{J'_\varepsilon} \quad (\varepsilon > 0)$$

in the notation of [2 (j), Lemma 17] corresponding to $G_0 = G$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$. Since $J_\varepsilon = \Psi_\varepsilon$ and $J'_\varepsilon = \Psi'_\varepsilon$ (Lemma 11), it is obvious that

$$j_\varepsilon(\gamma) = \int \gamma(Z) \Psi_\varepsilon(Z) dZ, \quad j'_\varepsilon(\gamma) = \int \gamma(Z) \Psi'_\varepsilon(Z) dZ$$

for $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}})$. Moreover

$$j(\gamma) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} j_\varepsilon(\gamma), \quad j'(\gamma) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} j'_\varepsilon(\gamma) \quad (\gamma \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}))$$

from Lemma 10.

Now we use the notation of [2 (k), § 7]. In particular σ is the center of \mathfrak{z} and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbf{R}H' + \sigma$, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathbf{R}(X' - Y') + \sigma$ are two Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{z} . Fix Euclidean measures $d\sigma$, da , db on σ , \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} respectively such that

$$d\alpha = dt d\sigma, \quad d\mathfrak{b} = d\phi d\sigma$$

where $t = \alpha/2$ and $\phi = (-1)^{\dagger} \beta/2$ in the notation of [2 (k), Lemma 13]. Then $d\sigma$ can be so normalized that (see [2 (e), Lemma 3])

$$\int \gamma dZ = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \alpha J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}} d\alpha + (-1)^{\dagger} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{b}} \beta J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}} d\mathfrak{b}$$

for $\gamma \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g})$. Here

$$J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}}(H) = J_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma: H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}),$$

$$J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}}(H) = J_{\mathfrak{b}}(\gamma: H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}'')$$

in the notation of [2 (k), Lemma 14], \mathfrak{a}^+ is the set of all points H in \mathfrak{a} where $\alpha(H) > 0$ and \mathfrak{b}'' is the set of those $H \in \mathfrak{b}$ where $\beta(H) \neq 0$. Therefore since Ψ_{ε} is an invariant C^{∞} function on Ω , it is clear that

$$j_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \alpha J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\Psi_{\varepsilon})_{\mathfrak{a}} d\alpha + (-1)^{\dagger} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{b}} \beta J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}}(\Psi_{\varepsilon})_{\mathfrak{b}} d\mathfrak{b}$$

for $\gamma \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Now apply Lemma 12 and observe that $\text{Supp } V_{\varepsilon, \mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ and

$$(\partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}) \circ V_{\varepsilon, \mathfrak{h}})^* = V_{\varepsilon, \mathfrak{h}} \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}) \quad (\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \text{ or } \mathfrak{b}).$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} V_{\varepsilon, \mathfrak{a}} \{ \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{a}}) (\alpha J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}}) \cdot \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{a}} - \alpha J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{a}}) \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{a}} \} d\alpha \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\dagger} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{b}} V_{\varepsilon, \mathfrak{b}} \{ \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{b}}) (\beta J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}}) \cdot \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{b}} - \beta J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}} \cdot \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{b}}) \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{b}} \} d\mathfrak{b} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_{0, \mathfrak{h}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) \Phi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ ($\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$ or \mathfrak{b}). Hence it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} j(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \{ \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{a}}) (\alpha J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}}) \cdot \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{a}} - \alpha J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}} \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{a}}) \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{a}} \} d\alpha \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\dagger} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{b}} \{ \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{b}}) (\beta J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}}) \cdot \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{b}} - \beta J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}} \partial(\omega_{\mathfrak{b}}) \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{b}} \} d\mathfrak{b} \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Now $\omega_{\mathfrak{a}} = \omega_{\sigma} + |\alpha|^{-2} \alpha^2$ where ω_{σ} is the restriction of ω on σ . Similarly $\omega_{\mathfrak{b}} = \omega_{\sigma} + |\beta|^{-2} \beta^2$. Hence (see [2 (k), Lemma 21]) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} j(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \partial(\alpha) \{ \partial(\alpha) (\alpha J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}}) \cdot \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{a}} - \alpha J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}} \partial(\alpha) \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{a}} \} d\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{\dagger}}{2|\beta|^2} \int_{\mathfrak{b}} \partial(\beta) \{ \partial(\beta) (\beta J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}}) \cdot \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{b}} - \beta J_{\gamma}^{\mathfrak{b}} \partial(\beta) \Phi_{0, \mathfrak{b}} \} d\mathfrak{b}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $d\alpha = d\sigma dt$, $d\mathfrak{b} = d\sigma d\phi$ and

$$\partial(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^2 \partial/\partial t, \quad \partial(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\beta|^2 \partial/\partial \phi$$

since $H' = 2 |\alpha|^{-2} H_\alpha$, $X' - Y' = -2 (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\beta|^{-2} H_\beta$

in the notation of [2 (k), § 7]. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} j(\gamma) &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\sigma} \{ \partial(\alpha) (\alpha J_{\gamma^a}) \cdot \Phi_{0,a} - \alpha J_{\gamma^a} \cdot \partial(\alpha) \Phi_{0,a} \}^+ d\sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\sigma} \{ \partial(\beta) (\beta J_{\gamma^b}) \cdot \Phi_{0,b} - \beta J_{\gamma^b} \partial(\beta) \Phi_{0,b} \}^- d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$u_a^+(H) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} u_a(H + tH'), \quad u_b^{\pm}(H) = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow +0} u_b(H \pm \phi(X' - Y')) \quad (H \in \sigma; t, \phi \in \mathbf{R})$$

for two functions u_a and u_b on \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} respectively and $(u_b)_-^+ = u_b^+ - u_b^-$. Since $\alpha = \beta = 0$ on σ and $|\alpha|^2 = |\beta|^2$ [2 (k), Lemma 13], it follows that

$$j(\gamma) = \frac{1}{4} |\alpha|^2 \int_{\sigma} \{ (J_{\gamma^b} \Phi_{0,b})_-^+ - (J_{\gamma^a} \Phi_{0,a})^+ \} d\sigma.$$

However $\Phi_{0,a}$ and $\Phi_{0,b}$ are continuous functions on $\mathfrak{a} \cap \Omega_3$ and $\mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega_3$ respectively and $\Phi_{0,a} = \Phi_{0,b}$ on $\sigma \cap \Omega_3$ [2 (l), Lemma 18]. Therefore

$$j(\gamma) = \frac{1}{4} |\alpha|^2 \int_{\sigma} \{ (J_{\gamma^b})_-^+ - J_{\gamma^a} \} \Phi_{0,a} d\sigma \quad (\gamma \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_3)).$$

But $(J_{\gamma^b})_-^+ = J_{\gamma^a}$ on σ [2 (k), § 19]. Hence $j = 0$ on Ω_3 .

Now put ⁽¹⁾ $\pi_\alpha = \alpha^{-1} \pi^a$, $\pi_\beta = \beta^{-1} \pi^b$ and

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{h}}' = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}}(D) (\pi^{\mathfrak{h}} \Phi_{\mathfrak{h}})$$

for $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$ or \mathfrak{b} . Then if $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j'_\varepsilon(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \alpha J_{\gamma^a} (\Psi'_\varepsilon)_a d\mathfrak{a} + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{b}} \beta J_{\gamma^b} (\Psi'_\varepsilon)_b d\mathfrak{b} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} V_{\varepsilon,a} \{ \partial(\omega_a) (\pi_\alpha^{-1} J_{\gamma^a}) \cdot \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}}' - \pi_\alpha^{-1} J_{\gamma^a} \partial(\omega_a) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}}' \} d\mathfrak{a} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathfrak{b}} V_{\varepsilon,b} \{ \partial(\omega_b) (\pi_\beta^{-1} J_{\gamma^b}) \cdot \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}}' - \pi_\beta^{-1} J_{\gamma^b} \partial(\omega_b) \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}}' \} d\mathfrak{b} \end{aligned}$$

⁽¹⁾ We assume, as we may, that $(\pi^a)^v = \pi^b$ in the notation of [2 (k), § 7].

from Lemma 12. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} j'(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \partial(\alpha) \{ \partial(\alpha) (\pi_\alpha^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}}) \cdot \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}' - \pi_\alpha^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}} \partial(\alpha) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}' \} d\mathfrak{a} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2|\beta|^2} \int_{\mathfrak{b}} \partial(\beta) \{ \partial(\beta) (\pi_\beta^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{b}}) \cdot \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}'}' - \pi_\beta^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{b}} \partial(\beta) \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}'}' \} d\mathfrak{b} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\sigma} \{ \partial(\alpha) (\pi_\alpha^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}}) \cdot \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}' - \pi_\alpha^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}} \partial(\alpha) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}' \}^+ d\sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\sigma} \{ \partial(\beta) (\pi_\beta^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{b}}) \cdot \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}'}' - \pi_\beta^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{b}} \partial(\beta) \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}'}' \}^- d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\pi_\alpha^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a C^∞ function on \mathfrak{a} which is invariant under the Weyl reflexion s_α . Hence $\partial(\alpha) (\pi_\alpha^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}}) = 0$ on σ . Moreover, $\partial(\beta) (\pi_\beta^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{b}})$ is a continuous function on \mathfrak{b} by [2 (k), Theorem 1] and $\partial(\alpha) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}'$, $\partial(\beta) \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}'}'$ are continuous functions on $\mathfrak{a} \cap \Omega_3$, $\mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega_3$ respectively and they are equal on $\sigma \cap \Omega_3$ [2 (l), Lemma 18]. Finally $\Phi_{\mathfrak{b}'}'$ is an analytic function on $\mathfrak{b} \cap \Omega_3$ [2 (l), Theorem 2]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} j'(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\sigma} \pi_\alpha^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}} \partial(\alpha) \Phi_{\mathfrak{a}'}' d\sigma - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\sigma} (\pi_\beta^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{b}})^- \partial(\beta) \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}'}' d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\sigma} \{ \pi_\alpha^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}} - (\pi_\beta^{-1} J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{b}})^- \} \partial(\beta) \Phi_{\mathfrak{b}'}' d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

But⁽¹⁾ $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$ and $J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}} = (J_\gamma^{\mathfrak{b}})^-$ on σ . Therefore $j' = 0$ on Ω_3 . In view of [2 (j), Lemma 17] this completes the proof of Lemma 7.

§ 7. A consequence of Theorem 1

We now return to the notation of § 2 so that \mathfrak{g} is again reductive. For any $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$, let p_i denote the projection of p in $I(\mathfrak{h}_{ic})$ (see [2 (j), § 8]).

LEMMA 13. Fix $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \{ \partial(\mathfrak{w}_i p_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \Phi_i - \psi_{f,i} \partial(\mathfrak{w}_i p_i)^* \Phi_i \} d_i H = 0$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

We note that $\partial(\mathfrak{w}_i)^* = (-1)^m \partial(\mathfrak{w}_i)$. Therefore applying Lemma 5 to $\partial(p)f$, instead of f , we get

⁽¹⁾ See footnote 1, p. 257.

$$\sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{i,R} \partial(\varpi_i p_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \Phi_i d_i H = \sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{i,R} \partial(p_i) \psi_{f,i} \partial(\varpi_i)^* \Phi_i d_i H = (-1)^m \int \partial(p) f \cdot \square F dX.$$

But it follows from the corollary of [2 (l), Lemma 16] that

$$\int \partial(p) f \cdot \square F dX = \int f \cdot \square (\partial(p)^* F) dX.$$

Hence we conclude from Lemma 8 and [2 (j), Lemma 13] that

$$(-1)^m \int f \square (\partial(p)^* F) dX = \sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{i,R} \psi_{f,i} \partial(p_i \varpi_i)^* \Phi_i d_i H.$$

The statement of Lemma 13 is now obvious.

§ 8. Some elementary facts about tempered distributions

Let E be a vector space over \mathbf{R} of finite dimension. Define $S(E_c)$, $P(E_c)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(E_c)$ as usual (see [2 (j), § 3]). Let U be an open subset of E and T a distribution on U . We say that T is tempered if we can choose $D_i \in \mathfrak{D}(E_c)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) such that

$$|T(f)| \leq \sum_i \sup |D_i f| \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(U)).$$

It is clear that if T is tempered, the same holds for DT for any $D \in \mathfrak{D}(E_c)$.

Fix a Euclidean measure dX on E and let g be a locally summable function on U . Then g will be said to be tempered (on U) if the distribution

$$f \rightarrow \int fg dX \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(U))$$

on U is tempered.

Introduce a Euclidean norm $\| \cdot \|$ on E .

LEMMA 14. *Let g be a measurable function on U such that*

$$\sup_{x \in U} |g(X)| (1 + \|X\|)^{-m} < \infty$$

for some $m \geq 0$. Then g is tempered.

We can choose $r \geq 0$ such that

$$c_1 = \int_E (1 + \|X\|)^{-r} dX < \infty.$$

Put $c_2 = \sup_{X \in U} |g(X)| (1 + \|X\|)^{-m}$. Then

$$\left| \int g f dX \right| \leq c_1 c_2 \nu_{m+r}(f) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty U)$$

where

$$\nu_{m+r}(f) = \sup_{X \in U} |f(X)| (1 + \|X\|)^{m+r}.$$

Since $X \rightarrow \|X\|^2$ is a quadratic form on E , it is now clear that g is tempered.

A subset V of E is called full if $tX \in V$ whenever $X \in V$ and $t \geq 1$.

LEMMA 15. *Let V be a non-empty, open and full subset of E . Put*

$$g(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} p_i(X) e^{\lambda_i(X)} \quad (X \in E)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are distinct linear functions on E_c and $p_i \in P(E_c)$ ($p_i \neq 0$). Then g is tempered on V if and only if⁽¹⁾

$$\Re \lambda_i(X) \leq 0$$

for all $X \in V$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$.

We recall that $S(E_c)$ is the algebra of polynomial functions on the dual space E_c' of E_c . Fix $p \in S(E_c)$ and $\lambda \in E_c'$. Then

$$\partial(p) \circ e^\lambda = e^\lambda \partial(p_\lambda)$$

where p_λ is the polynomial function $\mu \rightarrow p(\lambda + \mu)$ ($\mu \in E_c'$). Therefore if $q \in P(E_c)$ and $\partial(p)(e^\lambda q) = 0$, we conclude that $\partial(p_\lambda)q = 0$. Now assume that $q \neq 0$ and let q_0 be the homogeneous component of q of the highest degree. Then it is clear that $p_\lambda(0)q_0 = 0$ and therefore $p_\lambda(0) = 0$. We shall need this fact presently.

Let us now turn to the proof of Lemma 15. If $\Re \lambda_i(X) \leq 0$ for $X \in V$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$, it follows from Lemma 14 that g is tempered on V . To prove the converse we use induction on r .

So let us assume that g is tempered on V . It would be enough to show that $\Re \lambda_1(X) \leq 0$ for $X \in V$. First suppose that $r \geq 2$. Then $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_r$ and therefore we can choose $q \in S(E_c)$ such that $q(\lambda_r) = 0$ while $q(\lambda_1) \neq 0$. Put $p = q^d$ where $d > d^0 p_r$. Then

$$\partial(p)(e^{\lambda_i} p_i) = p_i' e^{\lambda_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

where $p_i' = \partial(p_{\lambda_i}) p_i$. Since $p(\lambda_1) = q(\lambda_1)^d \neq 0$, it follows from what we have seen above, that $p_1' \neq 0$. On the other hand $p_{\lambda_r} = (q_{\lambda_r})^d$ and $q_{\lambda_r}(0) = q(\lambda_r) = 0$. Therefore since $d > d^0 p_r$, it is obvious that $p_r' = 0$. Hence

⁽¹⁾ $\Re c$ denotes the real part of a complex number c .

$$\partial(p)g = \sum_{1 \leq i < r} p_i' e^{\lambda_i}.$$

Now $\partial(p)g$ is also tempered on V and $p_1' \neq 0$. Therefore we conclude from the induction hypothesis that $\Re \lambda_1(X) \leq 0$ for $X \in V$.

Thus it remains to consider the case $r=1$. Fix $X_1 \in V$ and write λ and p instead of λ_1 and p_1 respectively. Then we have to prove that $\Re \lambda(X_1) \leq 0$. If $X_1 = 0$, this is obvious. So let us assume that $X_1 \neq 0$. Choose a linear subspace F of E complementary to $\mathbf{R}X_1$ and an open convex neighborhood U of zero in F such that $X_1 + U \subset V$. Then

$$tX_1 + U = t(X_1 + t^{-1}U) \subset t(X_1 + U) \subset V$$

for $t \geq 1$. Let J denote the open interval $(1, \infty)$ in \mathbf{R} . Fix $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(U)$ and for any $\beta \in C_c^\infty(J)$, consider the function $\gamma_\beta \in C_c^\infty(V)$ given by

$$\gamma_\beta(tX_1 + X_2) = \beta(t)\alpha(X_2) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}, X_2 \in F).$$

Put
$$\sigma(\beta) = \int \gamma_\beta g dX = \int \beta(t)\alpha(X_2)g(tX_1 + X_2) dt dX_2$$

where dX_2 is the Euclidean measure on F normalized in such a way that $dX = dt dX_2$ for $X = tX_1 + X_2$. Then

$$\sigma(\beta) = \int e^{ct} q(t) \beta(t) dt \quad (\beta \in C_c^\infty(J))$$

where $c = \lambda(X_1)$ and
$$q(t) = \int p(tX_1 + X_2)\alpha(X_2)e^{\lambda(X_2)} dX_2.$$

Since $p \neq 0$, α can obviously be so selected that $q \neq 0$. Moreover since g is tempered on V , it is easy to see that σ is a tempered distribution on J . Hence it would be sufficient to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 16. *Fix $c \in \mathbf{C}$, $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ and let $q \neq 0$ be a (complex-valued) polynomial function on \mathbf{R} . Then if the function $q(t)e^{ct}$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}$) is tempered on the open interval $J = (t_0, \infty)$, we can conclude that $\Re c \leq 0$.*

Put
$$T(\beta) = \int \beta(t)q(t)e^{ct} dt \quad (\beta \in C_c^\infty(J))$$

and $D = d/dt$. Let
$$T_0 = (D - c)^d T$$

where $d = d^0 q$. Then T_0 is also a tempered distribution on J . But

$$(D - c)^d (qe^{ct}) = e^{ct} D^d q = ae^{ct}$$

where a is a nonzero constant. Hence it would be enough to consider the case when $q = 1$. Then T being tempered, we can choose a number $A \geq 0$ and an integer $r \geq 0$ such that

$$\left| \int \alpha(t) e^{ct} dt \right| \leq A \sum_{0 \leq m, n \leq r} \sup |t^m D^n \alpha|$$

for $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(J)$. Let $c = 2c_1 + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} c_2$ where $c_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$). We have to show that $c_1 \leq 0$. So let us assume that $c_1 > 0$. Put

$$\alpha(t) = \beta(t) e^{-c't}$$

where $c' = c_1 + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} c_2$ and $\beta \in C_c^\infty(J)$. Then

$$|D^n \alpha| = e^{-c't} |(D - c')^n \beta|.$$

Therefore we can select a number $A_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$\left| \int \beta(t) e^{c_1 t} dt \right| \leq A_1 \sum_{0 \leq m, n \leq r} \sup e^{-c_1 t} |t^m D^n \beta|$$

for all $\beta \in C_c^\infty(J)$.

Now fix a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that 1) $0 \leq f \leq 1$, 2) $f(t) = 0$ if $t \leq 0$ and 3) $f(t) = 1$ if $t \geq 1$. For any $M > t_0 + 2$, define

$$\beta_M(t) = f(t - t_0 - 1) f(M + 1 - t) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Then $\beta_M \in C_c^\infty(J)$ and $\int \beta_M(t) e^{c_1 t} dt \geq \int_{t_0+2}^M e^{c_1 t} dt$.

On the other hand $\sup |e^{-c_1 t} t^m D^n \beta_M| \leq a_m b_n$

where $a_m = \sup_{t \geq t_0} |t^m e^{-c_1 t}|$, $b_n = 2^n \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sup |D^k f|^2$.

Therefore $\left| \int \beta_M(t) e^{c_1 t} dt \right| \leq A' \sum_{0 \leq m \leq r} a_m \sum_{0 \leq n \leq r} b_n = B$ (say).

This proves that $B \geq \int_{t_0+2}^M e^{c_1 t} dt$.

But as $M \rightarrow +\infty$, the right side tends to $+\infty$ giving a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Let U be an open subset of E and $C(U)$ the space of all C^∞ functions f on U such that

$$v_D(f) = \sup |Df| < \infty$$

for all $D \in \mathfrak{D}(E_c)$. The seminorms v_D ($D \in \mathfrak{D}(E_c)$) define the structure of a locally convex space on $C(U)$.

It is well known (see [3, p. 93]) that the inclusion mapping of $C_c^\infty(E)$ into $C(E)$ is continuous and the image is dense in $C(E)$. Hence tempered distributions on E are the same as continuous linear functions on $C(E)$.

§ 9. Proof of Lemma 17

We now return to the notation of § 2. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and define g as in [2 (1), Theorem 2].

LEMMA 17. *Suppose T is tempered on Ω . Then we can choose an integer $q \geq 0$ such that $\pi^q g$ is tempered on $\Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}'$.*

Let A be the Cartan subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{h} and $x \rightarrow x^*$ the natural projection of G on $G^* = G/A$. The group W_G operates on G^* on the right in the usual way (see [2 (1), § 9]). Fix an invariant measure dx^* on G^* and a function $\alpha_0 \in C_c^\infty(G^*)$ such that

$$\int \alpha_0(x^*) dx^* = 1.$$

Put
$$\alpha(x^*) = [W_G]^{-1} \sum_{s \in W_G} \alpha_0(x^* s).$$

Select a compact set C in G such that $\text{Supp } \alpha \subset C^*$ and $C^* s = C^*$ for $s \in W_G$ and, for any $\beta \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{h}')$, define a function $f_\beta \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows.

$$f_\beta(x^* H) = [W_G]^{-1} \alpha(x^*) \sum_{s \in W_G} \beta(s^{-1} H)$$

for $x^* \in C^*$ and $H \in \text{Supp } \beta$ and $\text{Supp } f_\beta \subset (\text{Supp } \beta)^{C^*}$.

Now define $f(x: X) = f(X^x)$ as usual ($x \in G$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$) for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$. Fix $D \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Then

$$f(xH; D) = f(x: H; D^{x^{-1}}) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h})$$

and it is clear that
$$D^{x^{-1}} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} a_i(x) D_i \quad (x \in G)$$

where a_1, \dots, a_r are analytic functions on G and D_1, \dots, D_r are linearly independent elements in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Hence

$$f(xH; D) = \sum_i a_i(x) f(x: H; D_i).$$

On the other hand if $q = [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}]$, we can choose (see [2 (j), § 2]) an integer $m \geq 0$ and elements $q_{ij} \in \mathfrak{S}_+(q_c)$, $\xi_{ij} \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ ($1 \leq j \leq N$) such that

$$f(x; H; D_i) = \pi(H)^{-m} \sum_j f(x; q_{ij}; H; \xi_{ij}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$, $x \in G$ and $H \in \mathfrak{h}'$. Put $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x^*)$ ($x \in G$). Then if $x \in C$ and $H \in \mathfrak{h}'$, we get

$$f_\beta(xH; D) = \pi(H)^{-m} \sum_{i,j} a_i(x) \alpha(x; q_{ij}) \beta_0(H; \xi_{ij})$$

where

$$\beta_0(H) = [W_G]^{-1} \sum_{s \in W_G} \beta(s^{-1}H).$$

Since C is compact, it is obvious that

$$\sup |Df_\beta| \leq B \sum_{i,j} \sup |\pi^{-m} \xi_{ij} \beta_0| \quad (\beta \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{h}')),$$

where B is a constant which depends only on D . Thus we have obtained the following result.

LEMMA 18. *For any $D \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$, we can choose an integer $m \geq 0$ and a finite number of elements $\xi_i \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$) such that*

$$\sup |Df_\beta| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sup |\pi^{-m} \xi_i \beta|$$

for all $\beta \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{h}')$.

Now we come to the proof of Lemma 17. Since T is tempered, there exist $D_i \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) such that

$$|T(f)| \leq \sum_i \sup |D_i f|$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Therefore by Lemma 18, we can choose an integer $m_0 \geq 0$ and elements $\xi_j \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ ($1 \leq j \leq N$) such that

$$|T(f_\beta)| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sup |D_i f_\beta| \leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \sup |\pi^{-m_0} \xi_j \beta|$$

for $\beta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}')$. On the other hand

$$T(f_\beta) = \int f_\beta F dx = c \int \varepsilon_R \psi_{f_\beta} g dH$$

where $c = c(\mathfrak{h})$ in the notation of § 2. Moreover

$$\psi_{f_\beta}(H) = \varepsilon_R(H) \pi(H) \int f_\beta(x^* H) dx^* = \varepsilon_R(H) \pi(H) \beta_0(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}').$$

Hence

$$T(f_\beta) = c \int \pi \beta_0 g dH = c \int \pi \beta g dH$$

if we take into account the fact that $g^s = \varepsilon(s)g$ ($s \in W_G$). Put $\gamma = \pi^{m-1}\beta$ ($m \geq 1$). Then

$$\left| \int \beta \pi^m g dH \right| = |c^{-1}T(f_\gamma)| \leq |c|^{-1} \sum_j \sup |\pi^{-m} \xi_j(\pi^{m-1}\beta)|$$

for $\beta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}')$. If m is sufficiently large, it is clear that $\pi^{-m} \xi_j \circ \pi^{m-1} \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$. This shows that $\pi^m g$ is tempered on $\Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}'$.

Fix a Euclidean norm $\|X\|$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$) on \mathfrak{g} and for any Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} define $g^{\mathfrak{h}}$ as in [2 (1), Theorem 3].

LEMMA 19. *Suppose for every Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} we can choose numbers $a \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$ such that*

$$|g^{\mathfrak{h}}(H)| \leq a(1 + \|H\|)^m$$

for $H \in \Omega \cap \mathfrak{h}'(R)$. Then T is tempered.

We use the notation of Lemma 5 and put $g_i = g^{\mathfrak{h}_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Then

$$T(f) = \sum_i c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} g_i \psi_{f,i} d_i H \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)).$$

Therefore we can choose $c \geq 0$ and an integer $M \geq 0$ such that

$$|T(f)| \leq c \sum_i \sup_{\mathfrak{h}_i} (1 + \|H\|)^M |\psi_{f,i}(H)|$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Our assertion now follows immediately from [2 (d), Theorem 3].

§ 10. An auxiliary result

Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and W the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.

LEMMA 20. *Let λ be a linear function on \mathfrak{h} and α a root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Suppose $s\lambda = \lambda - c\alpha$ for some $s \in W$ and $c \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C} . Then ⁽¹⁾ $s\lambda = s_\alpha \lambda$.*

For the proof we may obviously assume that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. Let \mathfrak{F} be the real vector space consisting of all linear functions μ on \mathfrak{h} such that ⁽²⁾ $\mu(H_\beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every

⁽¹⁾ As usual s_α denotes the Weyl reflexion corresponding to α .

⁽²⁾ H_β has the same meaning as in [2 (k), § 4].

root β . Fix an order in \mathfrak{F} and first assume that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then $\sigma\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ for every $\sigma \in W$. Select $\sigma_0 \in W$ such that $\sigma_0\lambda \geq \sigma\lambda$ for all $\sigma \in W$. Then if we put $\lambda' = \sigma_0\lambda$, $s' = \sigma_0 s \sigma_0^{-1}$ and $\alpha' = \sigma_0\alpha$, we obviously get $s'\lambda' = \lambda' - c\alpha'$. Moreover the relation $s\lambda = s_\alpha\lambda$ is equivalent to $s'\lambda' = s_{\alpha'}\lambda'$. Hence without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lambda \geq \sigma\lambda$ for all $\sigma \in W$. Since λ and $s\lambda$ are both in \mathfrak{F} , it is clear that $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Replacing α by $-\alpha$, if necessary, we may assume that $\alpha > 0$. Then $c > 0$ since $\lambda \geq s\lambda$. Now consider

$$s_\alpha s\lambda = s_\alpha\lambda + c\alpha = \lambda - c'\alpha$$

where $c' = 2(\lambda(H_\alpha)/\alpha(H_\alpha)) - c$. We claim $c' = 0$. For otherwise $c' > 0$ since $s_\alpha s\lambda \leq \lambda$. Moreover

$$s_\alpha\lambda = \lambda - (c+c')\alpha = s\lambda - c'\alpha.$$

Therefore

$$s^{-1}s_\alpha\lambda = \lambda - c's^{-1}\alpha, \quad s^{-1}\lambda = \lambda + cs^{-1}\alpha.$$

Since c and c' are both positive, it follows that at least one of the two elements $s^{-1}s_\alpha\lambda$, $s^{-1}\lambda$ is higher than λ in our order. But this contradicts the condition that $\lambda \geq \sigma\lambda$ for all $\sigma \in W$. Hence $c' = 0$. This shows that $s_\alpha s\lambda = \lambda$ and therefore $s\lambda = s_\alpha\lambda$.

Now consider the general case. Then $\lambda = \lambda_R + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_I$ and $c = a + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}b$ where $\lambda_R, \lambda_I \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. The relation $s\lambda = \lambda - c\alpha$ implies that

$$s\lambda_R = \lambda_R - a\alpha, \quad s\lambda_I = \lambda_I - b\alpha.$$

Hence if $ab \neq 0$, we get $s\lambda_R = s_\alpha\lambda_R$, $s\lambda_I = s_\alpha\lambda_I$ from the above proof. Therefore $s\lambda = s_\alpha\lambda$ in this case. Now suppose $a \neq 0$, $b = 0$. Then $s\lambda_R = s_\alpha\lambda_R$ and $s\lambda_I = \lambda_I$ again from the above proof. Let W_0 be the subgroup of all $\sigma \in W$ such that $\sigma\lambda_I = \lambda_I$. For $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$, let $\langle \mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle$ denote the usual scalar product defined by means of the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} so that

$$\langle \mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle = \sum_{\beta} \mu_1(H_\beta) \mu_2(H_\beta)$$

where β runs over all roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Then $\langle \sigma\mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle = \langle \mu_1, \sigma^{-1}\mu_2 \rangle$ for $\sigma \in W$. Hence

$$\langle s\lambda_R, \lambda_I \rangle = \langle \lambda_R, s^{-1}\lambda_I \rangle = \langle \lambda_R, \lambda_I \rangle.$$

But $\lambda_R - s\lambda_R = a\alpha$ and $a \neq 0$. Therefore $\langle \alpha, \lambda_I \rangle = 0$ and this implies that $s_\alpha\lambda_I = \lambda_I$. Hence $s\lambda = s_\alpha\lambda$. The case $a = 0$, $b \neq 0$ can be reduced to the one above by replacing λ by $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda$.

We shall need the above result for the proof of Lemma 26.

§ 11. Proof of Lemma 21'

We return to the notation of § 2. So \mathfrak{g} is a reductive Lie algebra over \mathbf{R} and $\mathfrak{g}_1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{a}_R the set of all points of $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ where every root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ takes a real value. Similarly let \mathfrak{a}_I be the set of those points of \mathfrak{a} where all roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ take pure imaginary values. Define $\theta, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}$ and K as in [2 (m), § 16] corresponding to \mathfrak{a} . Then it is clear that $\mathfrak{a}_R = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{a}_I = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ and therefore $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_R + \mathfrak{a}_I$, where the sum is direct.

Define $\mathfrak{a}'(R)$ as usual (see [2 (k), § 4]) and fix a connected component \mathfrak{a}_R^+ of $\mathfrak{a}'(R) \cap \mathfrak{a}_R$. Let P_R be the set of all real roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ which take only positive values on \mathfrak{a}_R^+ . We can introduce compatible orders (see [2 (d), p. 195]) in the spaces of real-valued linear functions on \mathfrak{a}_R and $\mathfrak{a}_R + (-1)^\dagger \mathfrak{a}_I$ in such a way that all roots in P_R are positive. Let P be the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ under this order.

Let \mathfrak{m} be the centralizer of \mathfrak{a}_I in \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{m} is reductive in \mathfrak{g} (see [2 (m), Cor. 3 of Lemma 26]) and it is obvious that P_R is the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a})$.

LEMMA 21. *Suppose \mathfrak{g} has a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} such that every root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is imaginary. Then \mathfrak{a}_R is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}_1 = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ and \mathfrak{a}_I is the center of \mathfrak{m} .*

We can choose $x \in G$ such that $\mathfrak{h}^x \subset \mathfrak{k}$ (see [2 (d), § 8]). Since \mathfrak{h}^x is maximal abelian in \mathfrak{k} and $\mathfrak{a}_I \subset \mathfrak{k}$, we can select $k \in K$ such that $\mathfrak{h}^{kx} \supset \mathfrak{a}_I$. Hence without loss of generality we may suppose that $\mathfrak{a}_I \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{k}$.

Let Q be the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and Q_0 the subset consisting of those $\beta \in Q$ which vanish identically on \mathfrak{a}_I . Then it is clear that

$$\mathfrak{m}_c = \mathfrak{h}_c + \sum_{\beta \in Q_0} (\mathbf{C}X_\beta + \mathbf{C}X_{-\beta})$$

in the usual notation (see [2 (k), § 4]). Since $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{k}$, both \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{p} are stable under $\text{ad } \mathfrak{h}$ and therefore, for any root γ , X_γ lies either in \mathfrak{k}_c or in \mathfrak{p}_c . Hence it is obvious that

$$[\mathfrak{m}_c, \mathfrak{m}_c] \supset [\mathfrak{h}_c, \mathfrak{m}_c] = \sum_{\beta \in Q_0} (\mathbf{C}X_\beta + \mathbf{C}X_{-\beta}) \supset \mathfrak{m}_c \cap \mathfrak{p}_c.$$

This shows that

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 \supset \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}_R.$$

On the other hand let \mathfrak{c}_m denote the center of \mathfrak{m} and put $l = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, it is clear that

$$l = \text{rank } \mathfrak{m} = \dim \mathfrak{c}_m + \text{rank } \mathfrak{m}_1.$$

But $\mathfrak{a}_I \subset \mathfrak{c}_m$, $\mathfrak{a}_R \subset \mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\dim \mathfrak{a}_I + \dim \mathfrak{a}_R = \dim \mathfrak{a} = l$. Therefore we conclude that $\mathfrak{c}_m = \mathfrak{a}_I$ and \mathfrak{a}_R is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{m}_1 .

Select a fundamental system $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a})$ and let W_R be the subgroup⁽¹⁾ of $W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ generated by⁽²⁾ s_α for $\alpha \in P_R$. Then $s_{\alpha_1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_m}$ generate W_R and $m = \dim \mathfrak{a}_R$ from Lemma 21.

LEMMA 22. *Let μ be a linear function on \mathfrak{a}_c which takes only real values on $\mathfrak{a}_R + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_I$ and suppose $\mu \geq s_{\alpha_i}\mu$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Then $\mu \geq s\mu$ for $s \in W_R$ and $\mu(H) \geq 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+$.*

Define linear functions μ_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$) on \mathfrak{a} as follows.

$$s_{\alpha_i}\mu_j = \mu_j - \delta_{ij}\alpha_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq m)$$

and $\mu_j = 0$ on \mathfrak{a}_I . Then $\mu_j \geq s\mu_j$ ($s \in W_R$) and $\mu_j(H) \geq 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+$ (see [2 (g), p. 280]). Let

$$s_{\alpha_i}\mu = \mu - c_i\alpha_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq m)$$

where $c_i \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $c_i \geq 0$. Put $\mu_0 = \sum_j c_j\mu_j$. Then $\mu = \mu_0$ on \mathfrak{a}_R . Therefore it is clear that

$$\mu - s\mu = \mu_0 - s\mu_0 \geq 0 \quad (s \in W_R)$$

and $\mu(H) = \mu_0(H) \geq 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+$.

§ 12. Recapitulation of some elementary facts

Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} and let $j = j_{\mathfrak{h}}$ denote the Chevalley isomorphism $p \rightarrow p_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ onto $I(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ [2 (j), § 9]. Let λ be a linear function on \mathfrak{h}_c . Since every element q of $S(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ is a polynomial function on the dual space of \mathfrak{h}_c , we can consider its value $q(\lambda)$ at λ . Let $\chi_\lambda = \chi_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}$ denote the homomorphism $p \rightarrow p_{\mathfrak{h}}(\lambda)$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$) of $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ into \mathbf{C} .

Let $W = W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$. Then W operates on $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$. We say that λ is regular if $s\lambda = \lambda^s \neq \lambda$ for $s \neq 1$ in W . It is well known that λ is singular or regular according as $\varpi(\lambda) = 0$ or not. Moreover if λ' is another linear function on \mathfrak{h}_c , then $\chi_\lambda = \chi_{\lambda'}$ if and only if $\lambda' = s\lambda$ for some $s \in W$.

Conversely let $\chi \neq 0$ be a homomorphism of $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ into \mathbf{C} . Then $\xi: q \rightarrow \chi(j^{-1}(q))$ ($q \in I(\mathfrak{h}_c)$) is a homomorphism of $I(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ into \mathbf{C} . Since $S(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ is a finite module over $I(\mathfrak{h}_c)$ (see [2 (c), Lemma 11]), ξ can be extended to a homomorphism of $S(\mathfrak{h}_c)$. Hence there exists a linear function λ on \mathfrak{h}_c such that $\xi(q) = q(\lambda)$ for all $q \in I(\mathfrak{h}_c)$. This shows

⁽¹⁾ $W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$.

⁽²⁾ See footnote 1, p. 265.

that $\chi = \chi_\lambda$. Moreover, as we have seen above, λ is unique up to an operation of W . We say that χ is regular if λ is regular. Put $p_0 = j^{-1}(\varpi^2)$. Then

$$\chi(p_0) = \varpi(\lambda)^2.$$

Hence χ is regular if and only if $\chi(p_0) \neq 0$. We note that p_0 is actually independent of \mathfrak{h} and therefore the concept of the regularity of χ does not depend on the choice of \mathfrak{h} .

Let \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} be two Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} and y an element of the connected complex adjoint group G_c of \mathfrak{g}_c such that $\mathfrak{b}_c = (\mathfrak{a}_c)^y$. Then y defines an isomorphism $D \rightarrow D^y$ of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{a}_c)$ onto $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{b}_c)$.

LEMMA 23. *Let λ be a linear function on \mathfrak{a}_c . Then*

$$\chi_\lambda^{\mathfrak{a}} = \chi_{\lambda^y}^{\mathfrak{b}}.$$

This follows from the obvious fact that $j_{\mathfrak{b}}(p) = (j_{\mathfrak{a}}(p))^y$ for $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$.

LEMMA 24. *Let U be a non-empty open connected subset of \mathfrak{h} and λ a regular linear function on \mathfrak{h}_c . Suppose g is an analytic function on U such that $\partial(q)g = q(\lambda)g$ for all $q \in I(\mathfrak{h}_c)$. Then there exist unique complex numbers $c_s (s \in W)$ such that*

$$g(H) = \sum_{s \in W} \varepsilon(s) c_s e^{\lambda(s^{-1}H)} \quad (H \in U).$$

For a proof see [2 (c), p. 102].

§ 13. Proof of Lemma 26

Let \mathfrak{z} be a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that 1) \mathfrak{z} is reductive in \mathfrak{g} and 2) $\text{rank } \mathfrak{z} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ be an open and completely invariant subset of \mathfrak{z} and χ a regular homomorphism of $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ into \mathbb{C} . Let Ξ denote the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} and define the isomorphism $p \rightarrow p_{\mathfrak{z}}$ of $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ into $I(\mathfrak{z}_c)$ as in [2 (j), § 9]. Consider a distribution $T_{\mathfrak{z}}$ on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ such that

- 1) $T_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is invariant under Ξ ,
- 2) $\partial(p_{\mathfrak{z}})T_{\mathfrak{z}} = \chi(p)T_{\mathfrak{z}}$ for all $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$.

Fix a Euclidean measure dZ on \mathfrak{z} and let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}'$ denote the set of those points of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$ which are regular in \mathfrak{z} . Then by [2 (j), Lemma 19] and [2 (l), Theorem 1], $T_{\mathfrak{z}}$ coincides with an analytic function on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}'$. We denote by $T_{\mathfrak{z}}(Z)$ the value of this function at any point $Z \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}'$.

Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} , P the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $P_{\mathfrak{z}}$ the subset consisting of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{h})$. Put

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{z}} = \prod_{\alpha \in P_{\mathfrak{z}}} \alpha, \quad g_{\mathfrak{z}}(H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}(H) T_{\mathfrak{z}}(H) \quad (H \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}' \cap \mathfrak{h}).$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$ denote the set of those points of \mathfrak{h} where no real root in $P_{\mathfrak{z}}$ takes the value zero. Then by [2 (l), Theorem 2], $g_{\mathfrak{z}}$ extends to an analytic function on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{h}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$. Put $W = W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ and select a linear function λ on \mathfrak{h}_c such that $\chi = \chi_{\lambda}$ in the notation of § 12.

LEMMA 25. *There exist locally constant functions c_s ($s \in W$) on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{h}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$ such that*

$$g_{\mathfrak{z}} = \sum_{s \in W} \varepsilon(s) c_s e^{s\lambda}$$

on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{h}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$.

Since $(\partial(p_{\mathfrak{z}}) - \chi_{\lambda}(p))T = 0$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$), it follows (see the proof of [2 (l), Lemma 1]) that

$$(\partial(q) - q(\lambda))g_{\mathfrak{z}} = 0 \quad (q \in I(\mathfrak{h}_c)).$$

Hence our assertion is an immediate consequence of Lemma 24.

Put $\zeta(Z) = \det(\text{ad } Z)_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ ($Z \in \mathfrak{g}$) and fix an element $H_0 \in \mathfrak{z}$ such that $\zeta(H_0) \neq 0$. Then the centralizers of H_0 in \mathfrak{z} and \mathfrak{g} are the same. Hence H_0 is semiregular in \mathfrak{z} if and only if it is so in \mathfrak{g} . Now assume $H_0 \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}}$, $\zeta(H_0) \neq 0$ and H_0 is semiregular of noncompact type. We shall now use the notation of [2 (k), § 7] without further comment. Then it is clear that \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{z} . Put $W = W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ and choose a linear function λ on \mathfrak{a}_c such that $\chi = \chi_{\lambda}$. Define G_c as in § 12 and let Ξ_c denote its complex-analytic subgroup corresponding to $\text{ad } \mathfrak{z}_c$. Then it is clear that the element ν of [2 (k), § 7] lies in Ξ_c . We assume that the orders of roots are so chosen that ⁽¹⁾ $(\mathfrak{w}^{\mathfrak{a}})^{\nu} = \mathfrak{w}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $(\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{a}})^{\nu} = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$. Then it follows from Lemma 24 that

$$\partial(\mathfrak{w}^{\mathfrak{a}})g_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{w}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\lambda) \sum_{s \in W} c_s^{\mathfrak{a}} e^{s\lambda}$$

on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{a}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$ and $\partial(\mathfrak{w}^{\mathfrak{b}})g_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{w}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\lambda) \sum_{s \in W} c_s^{\mathfrak{b}} \exp((s\lambda)^{\nu})$

on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{b}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$. Here $c_s^{\mathfrak{b}}$ are locally constant functions on $\Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{h}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$ ($\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a}$ or \mathfrak{b}). Put

$$c_s^{\pm \alpha}(H_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} c_s^{\mathfrak{a}}(H_0 \pm tH')$$

and note that $H_0 \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{z}} \cap \mathfrak{h}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$.

LEMMA 26. *For any ⁽²⁾ $s \in W$,*

⁽¹⁾ Here the notation is obvious (cf. [2 (l), Theorem 3]).

⁽²⁾ See footnote 1, p. 265.

$$c_s^\alpha(H_0) + c_{s_\alpha s}^\alpha(H_0) = c_s^{-\alpha}(H_0) + c_{s_\alpha s}^{-\alpha}(H_0) = c_s^\beta(H_0) + c_{s_\alpha s}^\beta(H_0).$$

Put $\sigma = \alpha \cap \mathfrak{b}$, $\pi_\alpha = \alpha^{-1}\pi^\alpha$, $\pi_\beta = \beta^{-1}\pi^\beta$ and let U be an open and convex neighborhood of H_0 in $\Omega_\mathfrak{z}$. We assume that U is so small that π_α and π_β never take the value zero on $U \cap \mathfrak{a}$ and $U \cap \mathfrak{b}$ respectively. Since c_s^α ($s \in W$) is locally constant on $\Omega_\mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{a}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$, it is clear that

$$c_s^\alpha(H) = c_s^{\pm\alpha}(H_0) \quad (H \in U \cap \mathfrak{a}')$$

according as $\alpha(H)$ is positive or negative. Similarly $c_s^\beta(H) = c_s^\beta(H_0)$ for $H \in U \cap \mathfrak{b}$. Moreover $\varpi^\alpha(\lambda) \neq 0$ since λ is regular. Therefore if we apply [2 (l), Lemma 18] with $D = \partial(\varpi^\alpha)$ and recall that ν leaves σ pointwise fixed, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s \in W} c_s^\alpha(H_0) \exp(\lambda(s^{-1}H)) \\ &= \sum_{s \in W} c_s^{-\alpha}(H_0) \exp(\lambda(s^{-1}H)) = \sum_{s \in W} c_s^\beta(H_0) \exp(\lambda(s^{-1}H)) \quad (H \in U \cap \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Let μ_s denote the restriction of $s\lambda$ on σ .

LEMMA 27. *Suppose s_1, s_2 are two distinct elements in W . Then $\mu_{s_1} = \mu_{s_2}$ if and only if $s_2 = s_\alpha s_1$.*

Since s_α leaves σ pointwise fixed, it is clear that $\mu_{s_1} = \mu_{s_2}$ if $s_2 = s_\alpha s_1$. Conversely suppose $\mu_{s_1} = \mu_{s_2}$. Then it is obvious that $s_2\lambda - s_1\lambda = c\alpha$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Since λ is regular, $c \neq 0$. Therefore it follows from Lemma 20 that $s_1^{-1}s_2\lambda = s_\gamma\lambda$ where $\gamma = s_1^{-1}\alpha$. But then $s_\gamma = s_1^{-1}s_\alpha s_1$ and therefore $s_2\lambda = s_\alpha s_1\lambda$. Since λ is regular, this implies that $s_2 = s_\alpha s_1$.

Now if we take into account the elementary fact that the exponentials of distinct linear functions on σ are linearly independent, Lemma 26 follows immediately from the relations proved above.

§ 14. Tempered and invariant eigendistributions

Let \mathfrak{c} be the center and \mathfrak{g}_1 the derived algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Fix a number $c > 0$ and put $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{c}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1(c)$. Here \mathfrak{c}_0 is a nonempty, open, connected subset of \mathfrak{c} and $\mathfrak{g}_1(c)$ is defined as in [2 (m), § 3]. Then \mathfrak{g}_0 is a completely invariant open set in \mathfrak{g} .

Now take $\Omega_\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ and assume that there exists a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{z} and a linear function λ on \mathfrak{h}_c such that 1) every root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is imaginary, 2) λ takes only pure imaginary values on \mathfrak{h} and 3) $\chi = \chi_\lambda^\mathfrak{b}$ in the notation of § 12. Since

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ has no real roots and $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is connected, we conclude from Lemma 25 that

$$g_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{h}}(H) = \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})} \varepsilon(s) c_s \exp(\lambda(s^{-1}H)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{h})$$

where $c_s \in \mathbb{C}$. Let C denote the additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} generated by c_s ($s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$). Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{z} and a connected component \mathfrak{a}^+ of $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{a}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$. Select a linear function $\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\chi_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \chi$. Then by Lemma 25 there exist unique complex numbers $c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+)$ such that

$$g_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) \exp(s\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}})$$

on \mathfrak{a}^+ .

LEMMA 28. *Suppose $T_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is tempered on $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then for a given $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$, $c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) = 0$ unless⁽¹⁾*

$$\Re \lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}(s^{-1}H) \leq 0$$

for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Moreover $c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) \in C$.

COROLLARY. *Under the above conditions $g_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$ implies $T_{\mathfrak{z}} = 0$.*

This is obvious from the lemma since $C = \{0\}$ if $g_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$.

Fix a real quadratic form Q on \mathfrak{g} such that 1) $Q(X) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } X)^2$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, 2) Q is negative-definite on \mathfrak{c} and 3) \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{c} are orthogonal under Q . Let U be any subspace of \mathfrak{g} such that the restriction of Q on U is nondegenerate. Then we denote by $i_U(Q)$ the index of Q on U (see the proof of Lemma 12 of [2 (k)]).

Since $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{a}$, it is obvious that the restriction of Q on \mathfrak{a} is nondegenerate. We shall prove Lemma 28 by induction on $i_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q)$. Let $l = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$. It is obvious that $i_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q) \geq -l$. Now if $i_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q) = -l$, it follows that all roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ (and therefore also of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a})$) are imaginary. Hence (see [2 (d), p. 237]) \mathfrak{a} is conjugate to \mathfrak{h} under Ξ and so our assertion is obvious in this case. Therefore we may assume that $i_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q) > -l$ so that $\mathfrak{a}_R \neq \{0\}$. Since \mathfrak{c}_0 is connected, it is clear that

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \mathfrak{a}_I \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z})$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z})$ is a connected component of $\mathfrak{a}'(\mathfrak{z}:R) \cap \mathfrak{a}_R$.

LEMMA 29. *Let $\mathfrak{a}_R(\mathfrak{z})$ be the set of points in $\mathfrak{a} \cap [\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}]$ where every root of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a})$ takes real values. Similarly let $\mathfrak{a}_I(\mathfrak{z})$ be the set of those points of \mathfrak{a} where all roots of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a})$ take pure imaginary values. Then $\mathfrak{a}_R(\mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{a}_R$ and $\mathfrak{a}_I(\mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{a}_I$.*

⁽¹⁾ See footnote 1, p. 260.

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{a}_I(\mathfrak{z}) \supset \mathfrak{a}_I$. Moreover we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathfrak{a}_I(\mathfrak{z}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ (see the proof of Lemma 21). Fix $H \in \mathfrak{a}_I(\mathfrak{z})$. Since every root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is imaginary, it is clear that every eigenvalue of $\text{ad} H$ is pure imaginary. Hence $H \in \mathfrak{a}_I$. This proves that $\mathfrak{a}_I(\mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{a}_I$. Let \mathfrak{m} be the centralizer of \mathfrak{a}_I in \mathfrak{g} and put $\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{z}$. Then it follows from Lemma 21 that

$$\mathfrak{a}_R(\mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{a} \cap [\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{z}] \subset \mathfrak{a} \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{a}_R.$$

Since $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_R + \mathfrak{a}_I = \mathfrak{a}_R(\mathfrak{z}) + \mathfrak{a}_I(\mathfrak{z})$ and both sums are direct (see § 11), we conclude that $\mathfrak{a}_R(\mathfrak{z}) = \mathfrak{a}_R$.

Let $P_R(\mathfrak{z})$ be the set of all real roots of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a})$ which take only positive values on $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z})$. Then $P_R(\mathfrak{z})$ can be regarded as the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a})$ and if $m = \dim \mathfrak{a}_R$, we can choose a fundamental system $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of roots in $P_R(\mathfrak{z})$ (see § 11). Let $W_R(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})$ be the subgroup of $W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ generated by s_α ($\alpha \in P_R(\mathfrak{z})$). Then $W_R(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})$ is also generated by s_{α_i} ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z})$ is exactly the set of those $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R$ where $\alpha_i(H) > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$).

Now fix i and choose $H_R \in \mathfrak{a}_R$ such that $\alpha_i(H_R) = 0$, $\alpha_j(H_R) > 0$ ($j \neq i$, $1 \leq j \leq m$) and $\alpha(H_R) \neq 0$ for any real root $\alpha \neq \pm \alpha_i$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Then $H_R \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z}))$ and we can obviously choose a connected component \mathfrak{a}_R^+ of $\mathfrak{a}'(R) \cap \mathfrak{a}_R$ such that 1) $\mathfrak{a}_R^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z})$ and 2) $H_R \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{a}_R^+)$. Define P and P_R as in § 11 corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_R^+ and select $H_I \in \mathfrak{a}_I \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ in such a way that $\alpha(H_I) \neq 0$ for $\alpha \in P$ unless $\alpha \in P_R$. This is obviously possible. Then it is clear that $H_0 = H_I + H_R \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{a}^+)$ and the only root in P which vanishes at H_0 is α_i . Therefore H_0 is semiregular in \mathfrak{z} and $\zeta(H_0) \neq 0$. Define \mathfrak{v} and \mathfrak{b} as in § 13. Then \mathfrak{b} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} and, as we have seen during the proof of [2 (k), Lemma 12], $i_\mathfrak{b}(Q) = i_\mathfrak{a}(Q) - 2$. Therefore the induction hypothesis is applicable to \mathfrak{b} and so it follows from Lemma 26 that

$$c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) + c_{s_{\alpha_i} s}(\mathfrak{a}^+) \in C \quad (s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})).$$

Now fix $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$. Then it follows from Lemma 23 that we can choose $y \in G_c$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_c = (\mathfrak{h}_c)^y$ and $s\lambda_\mathfrak{a} = \lambda^y$. Let $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ be a maximal set of linearly independent roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Since λ takes only pure imaginary values on \mathfrak{h} , we can choose $a_j \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\lambda - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} a_j \beta_j = 0$$

on $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$. Hence

$$s\lambda_\mathfrak{a} = \lambda^y = \sum_j a_j \beta_j^y$$

on $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$. Since β_j^y is a root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$, it follows that $s\lambda_\mathfrak{a}$ takes only real values

on \mathfrak{a}_R . Moreover $\lambda = \lambda^\nu$ on \mathfrak{c} and so $\lambda_\alpha(s^{-1}H)$ is pure imaginary for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_I$. Fix a non-empty open subset U of \mathfrak{a}_I such that 1) $U \subset \mathfrak{a}_I \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ and 2) all the roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ which take the value zero on U , are real. Also fix a connected component \mathfrak{a}_R^+ of $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z}) \cap \mathfrak{a}'(R)$. Then it is clear that

$$U + \mathfrak{a}_R^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}' \cap \mathfrak{z}_0.$$

Since $T_\mathfrak{z}$ is tempered on \mathfrak{z}_0 , it follows from Lemma 17 that $(\pi_\mathfrak{z}^\alpha)^q g_\mathfrak{z}^\alpha$ is tempered on $\mathfrak{a}' \cap \mathfrak{z}_0$ for some $q \geq 0$. Fix a function $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(U)$ and put

$$g_\gamma(H) = \int_U \gamma(H_I) (\pi_\mathfrak{z}^\alpha(H + H_I))^q g_\mathfrak{z}^\alpha(H + H_I) dH_I \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+)$$

where dH_I is a Euclidean measure on \mathfrak{a}_I . Then it is obvious that g_γ is tempered on \mathfrak{a}_R^+ . Let μ_s and ν_s respectively denote the restrictions of $s\lambda_\alpha$ ($s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$) on \mathfrak{a}_R and \mathfrak{a}_I . Then it is clear that

$$g_\gamma(H) = \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) e^{\mu_s(H)} \int \gamma(H_I) (\pi_\mathfrak{z}^\alpha(H + H_I))^q e^{\nu_s(H_I)} dH_I$$

for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+$. Fix $s_0 \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ and suppose $\mu_{s_0}(H) > 0$ for some $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+$. Let W_0 be the set of all $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ such that $\mu_s = \mu_{s_0}$. Then it follows from Lemma 15 that

$$\sum_{s \in W_0} \varepsilon(s) c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) \int \gamma(H_I) (\pi_\mathfrak{z}^\alpha(H + H_I))^q e^{\nu_s(H_I)} dH_I = 0.$$

This being true for every $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(U)$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{s \in W_0} \varepsilon(s) c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) e^{\nu_s} = 0.$$

But since $\mu_s = \mu_{s_0}$ ($s \in W_0$), it follows that

$$\sum_{s \in W_0} \varepsilon(s) c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) e^{s\lambda_\alpha} = 0.$$

However λ_α being regular, this implies that $c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) = 0$ ($s \in W_0$). Therefore in particular $c_{s_0}(\mathfrak{a}^+) = 0$. Since \mathfrak{a}_R^+ was an arbitrary component of $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z}) \cap \mathfrak{a}'(R)$, the first assertion of Lemma 28 is now obvious.

It remains to show that $c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) \in C$ for all $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$. Suppose this is false. Let W_1 be the set of all $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ such that $c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) \notin C$. We have seen above that

$$c_s(\mathfrak{a}^+) + c_{s_{\alpha_i} s}(\mathfrak{a}^+) \in C \quad (s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}), 1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Therefore $s_{\alpha_i} s \in W_1$ whenever $s \in W_1$. This shows that W_1 is a union of cosets of the form $W_R(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a}) s$.

Introduce compatible orders on the spaces of real-valued linear functions on \mathfrak{a}_R and $\mathfrak{a}_R + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{a}_I$ corresponding to some connected component \mathfrak{a}_R^+ of $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z}) \cap \mathfrak{a}'(R)$ (see § 11). We have seen that $s\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}$ ($s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$) takes only real values on $\mathfrak{a}_R + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{a}_I$. Choose $\sigma \in W_1$ such that $\mu = \sigma\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \geq s\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for all $s \in W_1$. Then $\mu \geq s\mu$ for all $s \in W_R(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})$ and therefore we conclude from Lemma 22 (applied to $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a})$) that $\mu(H) \geq 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z})$. However $c_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{a}^+) \neq 0$ since $\sigma \in W_I$. Therefore it follows from the above proof that $\mu(H) \leq 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{z})$. This shows that $\mu = 0$ on \mathfrak{a}_R and therefore $s_{\alpha_i}\mu = \mu$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). But since $\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is regular and $m = \dim \mathfrak{a}_R \geq 1$, this is impossible. The proof of Lemma 28 is now complete.

§ 15. Proof of Lemma 30

We keep to the notation of § 14. Let $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2$ be two subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that:

- 1) \mathfrak{z}_i is reductive in \mathfrak{g} ($i=1, 2$) and $\mathfrak{z}_1 \supset \mathfrak{z}_2 \supset \mathfrak{h}$.
- 2) Every root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is imaginary.
- 3) If \mathfrak{a} is any Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z}_2 , then every real root of $(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{a})$ is also a root of $(\mathfrak{z}_2, \mathfrak{a})$.

Define χ as in § 14.

Let T_i be a tempered distribution on $\mathfrak{z}_i \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ such that

$$\partial(p_{\mathfrak{z}_i})T_i = \chi(p)T_i \quad (p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c), i=1, 2).$$

Consider the set P of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and let P_i denote the subset of those $\beta \in P$ which are roots of $(\mathfrak{z}_i, \mathfrak{h})$ ($i=1, 2$). Then $P \supset P_1 \supset P_2$. Put $\pi_i = \prod_{\alpha \in P_i} \alpha$. Then it is clear that π_1/π_2 is a polynomial function on \mathfrak{h}_c which is invariant under the Weyl reflexions s_{α} for $\alpha \in P_2$. Therefore by Chevalley's theorem [2(c), Lemma 9] there exists a unique invariant polynomial function η_0 on \mathfrak{z}_2 which coincides with π_1/π_2 on \mathfrak{h} .

Put $\mathfrak{g}'_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ where \mathfrak{g}' denotes, as before, the set of all regular elements of \mathfrak{g} .

LEMMA 30. *Suppose $T_2 = \eta_0 T_1$ pointwise on $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}'_0$. Then $T_2 = \eta_0 T_1$ pointwise on $\mathfrak{z}_2 \cap \mathfrak{g}'_0$.*

Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z}_2 . It would be enough to show that $T_2 = \eta_0 T_1$ pointwise on $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}'_0$. We shall do this by induction on $i_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q)$ as in § 14. Let Ξ_2 be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z}_2 . If $i_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q) = -l$, then \mathfrak{a} is conjugate to \mathfrak{h} under Ξ_2 and so our assertion is obvious. Hence we may assume that $i_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q) > -l$ so that $m = \dim \mathfrak{a}_R \geq 1$.

We use the notation of § 14 corresponding to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2$. In particular $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is defined corresponding to T_i and we put $g_i^{\mathfrak{a}} = g_{\mathfrak{g}_i}^{\mathfrak{a}}$, $\pi_i^{\mathfrak{a}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_i}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ ($i = 1, 2$). It follows from our assumptions on $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2$ that

$$\mathfrak{a}'(\mathfrak{g}_1 : R) = \mathfrak{a}'(\mathfrak{g}_2 : R).$$

Fix a connected component $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ of $\mathfrak{a}'(\mathfrak{g}_2 : R) \cap \mathfrak{a}_R$ and let $P_R(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ be the set of all real roots of $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{a})$ which take only positive values on $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{g}_2)$. Select the fundamental system $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of roots in $P_R(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ as in § 14.

Choose a linear function $\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ such that $\chi = \chi_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}}$. Then by Lemma 25 there exist complex numbers $c_s(i)$ ($s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$) such that

$$g_i^{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) c_s(i) e^{s\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

on $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{a}_I + \mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{g}_2)$. It is obvious that

$$\eta_0 = a \pi_1^{\mathfrak{a}} / \pi_2^{\mathfrak{a}}$$

on \mathfrak{a} where a is a constant ($a = \pm 1$). Therefore it would be sufficient to show that $g_2^{\mathfrak{a}} = a g_1^{\mathfrak{a}}$ on \mathfrak{a}^+ .

Fix j ($1 \leq j \leq m$). Then (see § 14) we can select an element $H_0 \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{a}^+)$ such that 1) $\alpha_j(H_0) = 0$ and 2) $\alpha(H_0) \neq 0$ for any root $\alpha \neq \pm \alpha_j$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. It is clear that H_0 is semiregular in each of the three algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2$ and \mathfrak{g} . Define \mathfrak{v} and \mathfrak{h} as in § 13. Then $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$ and $i_{\mathfrak{h}}(Q) = i_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q) - 2$. Hence our induction hypothesis is applicable to \mathfrak{h} and so it follows from Lemma 26 that

$$c_s(2) + c_{s_{\alpha_j} s}(2) = a \{c_s(1) + c_{s_{\alpha_j} s}(1)\}$$

for $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$.

In order to complete the proof we have to show that $c_s(2) = a c_s(1)$ for all $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$. Suppose this is false. Let W_1 be the set of all $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ such that $c_s(2) \neq a c_s(1)$. Then it follows from the above result that if $s \in W_1$, the same holds for $s_{\alpha_j} s$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$). Define $W_R(\mathfrak{g}_2/\mathfrak{a})$ as in § 14. Then W_1 is a union of cosets of the form $W_R(\mathfrak{g}_2/\mathfrak{a}) s$. Fix a connected component \mathfrak{a}_R^+ of $\mathfrak{a}_R^+(\mathfrak{g}_2) \cap \mathfrak{a}'(R)$ and define an order in the space \mathfrak{F} of real-valued linear functions on $\mathfrak{a}_R + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{a}_I$ corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_R^+ as in § 11. We have seen in § 14 that $s\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all $s \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$. Choose $\sigma \in W_1$ such that $\mu = \sigma\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}} \geq s\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for all $s \in W_1$. Then $\mu \geq s\mu$ for $s \in W_R(\mathfrak{g}_2/\mathfrak{a})$. Therefore by Lemma 22, $\mu(H) \geq 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+$. On the other hand since $\sigma \in W_1$, it is clear that $c_{\sigma}(1)$ and $c_{\sigma}(2)$ cannot both be zero. Therefore it follows from Lemma 28 that $\mu(H) \leq 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R^+$. But this

implies that $\mu = 0$ on \mathfrak{a}_R and therefore $s_{\alpha_j} \mu = \mu$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$). Since $m \geq 1$ and λ_a is regular, this is impossible and thus Lemma 30 is proved.

We continue our assumption that $\mathfrak{h}_I = \mathfrak{h}$ and define θ as in [2 (m), § 16] corresponding to \mathfrak{h} .

LEMMA 31. *Let \mathfrak{z}_1 be a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\theta(\mathfrak{z}_1) = \mathfrak{z}_1$ and $\mathfrak{z}_1 \supset \mathfrak{h}$. Fix an element $H_1 \in \mathfrak{h}$ and let \mathfrak{z}_2 be the centralizer of H_1 in \mathfrak{z}_1 . Then $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2$ satisfy all the conditions required above.*

Since $\theta = 1$ on \mathfrak{h} , it is clear that $\theta(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \mathfrak{z}_i \supset \mathfrak{h}$ and hence \mathfrak{z}_i ($i = 1, 2$) is reductive in \mathfrak{g} (see [2 (d), Lemma 10]). Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z}_2 . Then we know from Lemma 29 that $\mathfrak{a}_R(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \mathfrak{a}_R$ and $\mathfrak{a}_I(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \mathfrak{a}_I$ ($i = 1, 2$). Let \mathfrak{m} be the centralizer of \mathfrak{a}_I in \mathfrak{z}_2 . Since $H_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_I$, \mathfrak{m} is also the centralizer of \mathfrak{a}_I in \mathfrak{z}_1 . Therefore the real roots of $(\mathfrak{z}_i, \mathfrak{a})$ are the same as the roots of $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a})$ (see § 11). This proves the lemma.

§ 16. The distribution T_λ

Let \mathfrak{b} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and assume that every root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$ is imaginary. Consider the space \mathfrak{F} of all linear functions on \mathfrak{b}_c which take only pure imaginary values on \mathfrak{b} . Define π, ϖ and $W = W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})$ as usual (corresponding to \mathfrak{b}) and let \mathfrak{F}' be the set of all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ where $\varpi(\lambda) \neq 0$. Consider the subgroup $W_k = W_k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})$ of W generated by s_β corresponding to the compact roots β of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$ (see [2 (k), § 4]). Then $W_k = W_G$ (see Cor. 2 of [2 (m), Lemma 6]) in the notation of [2 (k), § 4].

THEOREM 2. *For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$, there exists a unique distribution T_λ on \mathfrak{g} with the following properties:*

- 1) T_λ is invariant and tempered.
- 2) $\partial(p) T_\lambda = p_{\mathfrak{b}}(\lambda) T_\lambda$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$).
- 3) $T_\lambda(H) = \pi(H)^{-1} \sum_{s \in W_k} \varepsilon(s) e^{\lambda(s^{-1}H)}$ ($H \in \mathfrak{b}'$).

The uniqueness is obvious from the corollary of Lemma 28. Hence only the existence requires proof.

First assume that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. We identify \mathfrak{g}_c and \mathfrak{b}_c with their respective duals by means of the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} (see [2 (j), § 6]). Fix a Euclidean measure dX on \mathfrak{g} and put

$$\hat{f}(Y) = \int f(X) \exp((-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} B(X, Y)) dX \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{g})$$

for $f \in C(\mathfrak{g})$. (As usual $B(X, Y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad } X \text{ ad } Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_c$.) Moreover for any $H_0 \in \mathfrak{b}'$ define

$$\tau_{H_0}(f) = \psi_{\hat{f}}(H_0) = \pi(H_0) \int_{G^*} \hat{f}(x^* H_0) dx^* \quad (f \in C(\mathfrak{g}))$$

in the notation of [2 (k), § 5] (for $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{b}$). Then we know from [2 (d), Theorem 3] that the integral is absolutely convergent and τ_{H_0} is an invariant and tempered distribution on \mathfrak{g} which satisfies (see [2 (d), p. 226]) the differential equations

$$\partial(p) \tau_{H_0} = p((-1)^\dagger H_0) \tau_{H_0} \quad (p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)).$$

Fix $H_0 \in \mathfrak{b}'$ and let \mathfrak{X}_{H_0} denote the space of all invariant and tempered distributions T on \mathfrak{g} such that

$$\partial(p) T = p((-1)^\dagger H_0) T \quad (p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)).$$

For any $T \in \mathfrak{X}_{H_0}$, let g_T denote the analytic function on \mathfrak{b} (see [2 (l), Theorem 2]) given by

$$g_T(H) = \pi(H) T(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}').$$

Then by Lemma 25,

$$g_T(H) = \sum_{s \in W} \varepsilon(s) c_s(T) \exp((-1)^\dagger B(sH_0, H)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b})$$

where $c_s(T)$ are uniquely determined complex numbers. It is clear that $g_{T^t} = \varepsilon(t) g_T$ and therefore $c_{ts}(T) = c_s(T)$ for $t \in W_G = W_k$ and $s \in W$. On the other hand the linear mapping $T \rightarrow g_T$ is injective from the corollary of Lemma 28. Hence it is obvious that

$$\dim \mathfrak{X}_{H_0} \leq [W : W_k].$$

On the other hand it is clear that $\tau_{sH_0} \in \mathfrak{X}_{H_0}$ ($s \in W$). Put $r = [W : W_k]$ and select $s_i \in W$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) such that

$$W = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} W_k s_i.$$

Write $\tau_i = \tau_{s_i H_0}$. Then we claim that τ_1, \dots, τ_r are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . Put

$$\sigma_i(f) = \psi_f(s_i H_0) \quad (f \in C(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Since $f \rightarrow \hat{f}$ is a topological mapping of $C(\mathfrak{g})$ onto itself, it would be enough to verify that the tempered distributions $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ are linearly independent. Since $s_i H_0$ is semi-simple, the orbit $(s_i H_0)^G$ is closed in \mathfrak{g} (see [1, p. 523]). Therefore it follows from the definition of σ_i that

$$\text{Supp } \sigma_i = (s_i H_0)^G.$$

Now we claim that $(s_i H_0)^G \cap (s_j H_0)^G = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. For otherwise $s_i H_0 = (s_j H_0)^x$ for some $x \in G$. Since H_0 is regular, this implies that $s_i = s_j s$ for some $s \in W_G = W_k$. But this is impossible from the definition of (s_1, \dots, s_r) . This shows that the sets $\text{Supp } \sigma_i$ are disjoint and non-empty and therefore the distributions σ_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are linearly independent.

So it is now obvious that $\dim \mathfrak{X}_{H_0} = r$ and τ_1, \dots, τ_r is a base for \mathfrak{X}_{H_0} . Let a_s ($s \in W$) be given complex numbers such that $a_{ts} = a_s$ ($t \in W_k$). Then it follows from the above result that we can choose a unique element $T \in \mathfrak{X}_{H_0}$ such that $a_s = c_s(T)$. Hence, in particular, there exists a distribution T in \mathfrak{X}_{H_0} such that

$$g_T(H) = \sum_{s \in W_k} \varepsilon(s) \exp((-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} B(sH_0, H)).$$

This proves Theorem 2 when \mathfrak{g} is semisimple.

Now we come to the general case. Define \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{c} as before (see § 2), put $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ and let λ_1 denote the restriction of λ on \mathfrak{h}_1 . Fix Euclidean measures dC and dZ on \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{g}_1 respectively such that $dX = dC dZ$ for $X = C + Z$ ($C \in \mathfrak{c}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$). Since \mathfrak{g}_1 is semisimple, there exists, from the above proof, an invariant and tempered distribution T_1 on \mathfrak{g}_1 such that $\partial(p) T_1 = p_{\mathfrak{h}}(\lambda) T_1$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_{1\mathfrak{c}})$) and

$$\pi(H) T_1(H) = \sum_{s \in W_k} \varepsilon(s) \exp(\lambda_1(s^{-1}H)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}').$$

Put $T_\lambda(f) = T_1(f_1)$ ($f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$)

where $f_1(Z) = \int f(Z + C) e^{\lambda(C)} dC$ ($Z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$).

Since λ takes only pure imaginary values on \mathfrak{c} , it is clear that T_λ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.

Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} and an element $y \in G_c$ such that $(\mathfrak{h}_c)^y = \mathfrak{a}_c$. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$, define the analytic function $g_\lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}$ on $\mathfrak{a}'(R)$ corresponding to T_λ as usual so that

$$g_\lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}(H) = \pi^{\mathfrak{a}}(H) T_\lambda(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}').$$

Fix a connected component \mathfrak{a}^+ of $\mathfrak{a}'(R)$. Then by Lemmas 25 and 28,

$$g_\lambda^{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{s \in W} \varepsilon(s) c(s: \lambda: \mathfrak{a}^+) e^{(s\lambda)^y}$$

on \mathfrak{a}^+ where $c(s: \lambda: \mathfrak{a}^+) \in \mathbf{Z}$.

LEMMA 32. For fixed $s \in W$ and α^+ , the integer $c(s: \lambda: \alpha^+)$ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$) depends only on the connected component of λ in \mathfrak{F}' .

In view of the last part of the proof of Theorem 2, it is clear that it would be sufficient to consider the case when \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. Define τ_{H_0} as above for $H_0 \in \mathfrak{b}'$. Then by [2 (1), Theorem 1] there exists an analytic function F_{H_0} on \mathfrak{g}' such that

$$\tau_{H_0}(f) = \psi_f(H_0) = \int F_{H_0}(X) f(X) dX \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})).$$

We know from Lemma 25 that

$$\pi^\alpha(H) F_{H_0}(H) = \sum_{s \in W} \varepsilon(s) a_s(H_0) \exp((-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} B(sH_0, y^{-1}H))$$

for $H \in \alpha^+ = \alpha^+ \cap \mathfrak{g}'$. Here $a_s(H_0)$ are uniquely determined complex numbers. Moreover we know from [2 (d), pp. 229-231] that a_s , regarded as functions on \mathfrak{b}' , are locally constant. By considering, in particular, the case $\alpha = \mathfrak{b}$, we get

$$\pi(H) F_{H_0}(H) = \sum_{s \in W} \varepsilon(s) b_s(H_0) \exp((-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} B(sH_0, H))$$

for $H, H_0 \in \mathfrak{b}'$. Here b_s are certain locally constant functions on \mathfrak{b}' .

Now define $s_1 = 1, s_2, \dots, s_r$ as in the proof of Theorem 2 and put

$$b_{ij}(H_0) = b_{s_i s_j^{-1}}(s_j H_0) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq r, H_0 \in \mathfrak{b}').$$

Fix $H_0 \in \mathfrak{b}'$. Since $b_{ts}(H_0) = b_s(H_0)$ ($t \in W_k$) and $\tau_{s_i H_0}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) are linearly independent, it follows from the proof of Theorem 2 that the matrix $(b_{ij}(H_0))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ is non-singular. Let $(b'^j(H_0))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ denote its inverse. Put $b^j = b'^j$ and

$$T_{H_0} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} b^j(H_0) \tau_{s_j H_0} \quad (H_0 \in \mathfrak{b}').$$

Then it is obvious that $T_{H_0} \in \mathfrak{T}_{H_0}$ (in the notation of the proof of Theorem 2) and

$$\pi(H) T_{H_0}(H) = \sum_{s \in W_k} \varepsilon(s) \exp((-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} B(sH_0, H)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}')$$

for $H_0 \in \mathfrak{b}'$. Hence it follows from Theorem 2 that $T_{H_0} = T_\lambda$ where λ is the element of \mathfrak{F}' given by $\lambda(H) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} B(H_0, H)$ ($H \in \mathfrak{b}$). Therefore

$$g_\lambda^\alpha(H) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} b^j(H_0) \pi^\alpha(H) F_{s_j H_0}(H) \quad (H \in \alpha')$$

and this shows that

$$c(s: \lambda: \alpha^+) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \varepsilon(s_j) b^j(H_0) a_{s s_j^{-1}}(s_j H_0) \quad (s \in W).$$

Since b^j and a_s are locally constant on \mathfrak{b}' , the assertion of the lemma is now obvious.

\mathfrak{F}^+ being any connected component of \mathfrak{F}' , we denote by $c(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+)$ the integer $c(s: \lambda : \mathfrak{a}^+)$ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$). Put

$$\phi_\lambda = \varpi(\lambda)^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{g}} F_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}')$$

where F_λ is the analytic function on \mathfrak{g}' corresponding to T_λ and $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined as before (see § 2).

LEMMA 33.
$$\phi_\lambda = \sum_{s \in W} c(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) e^{(s\lambda)^\nu}$$

on \mathfrak{a}^+ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$.

This is obvious from the definition of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the above formula for $g_\lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}$.

For any $s \in W$ define an element $s^\nu \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ as follows:

$$(sH)^\nu = s^\nu H^\nu \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}_c).$$

Then $s \rightarrow s^\nu$ is an isomorphism of $W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ whose inverse we denote by $t \rightarrow t^{\nu^{-1}}$ ($t \in W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$). Define the subgroup $W_G(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ of $W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ as usual (see [2 (k), § 4]). We have seen above that $W_k = W_G = W_G(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})$.

COROLLARY. Fix $s \in W$, $t \in W_G(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$ and $u \in W_k$. Then

$$c(t^{\nu^{-1}} s u^{-1} : u \mathfrak{F}^+ : t \mathfrak{a}^+) = c(s : \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+).$$

Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$. Then it is clear from Theorem 2 that $T_{u\lambda} = \varepsilon(u) T_\lambda$ and therefore $\phi_{u\lambda} = \phi_\lambda$. Moreover ϕ_λ is invariant under G and therefore its restriction on \mathfrak{a} is invariant under $W_G(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$. Our assertion is an immediate consequence of these facts.

§ 17. Application of Theorem 1 to T_λ

Now we use the notation of § 2 and assume that $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{b}$. Let $m_i(R)$ denote the number of positive real roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and put $m = \frac{1}{2} (\dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{g})$. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$, let $\phi_{\lambda, i}$ denote the restriction of ϕ_λ on \mathfrak{h}_i .

Define numbers $c_i > 0$ by the relation

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}} f(X) dX = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i (-1)^{m_i(I)} \int \varepsilon_{R, i} \pi_i \psi_{r, i} d_i H \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))$$

where $m_i(I)$ is the number of positive imaginary roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ (see [2 (k), Cor. 1 of Lemma 30]). Also put $dH = d_1 H$.

LEMMA 34. For any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1[W_k] \int_{\mathfrak{b}} \partial(\varpi) \psi_f e^\lambda dH &= c_1 \int_{\mathfrak{b}} \partial(\varpi) \psi_f \sum_{s \in W_k} e^{s\lambda} dH \\ &= \varpi(\lambda) T_\lambda(f) - \sum_{2 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{m_i(R)} c_i \int_{\mathfrak{h}_i} \varepsilon_{R,i} \partial(\varpi_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \phi_{\lambda,i} d_i H. \end{aligned}$$

Since the number of positive complex roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ is even (see the proof of Lemma 9 of [2 (k)]), it follows that

$$m_i(R) + m_i(I) \equiv m \pmod{2}.$$

Hence
$$(-1)^m \int_{\mathfrak{g}} f(X) dX = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i (-1)^{m_i(R)} \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \pi_i \psi_{f,i} d_i H \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Moreover $\partial(\varpi) \psi_f$ is invariant under $W_k = W_G$ (see [2 (k), § 6]) and

$$\phi_{\lambda,1} = \sum_{s \in W_k} e^{s\lambda}.$$

Therefore our assertion follows from Theorem 1 and the corollary of Lemma 4, if we take into account the fact that $\square F_\lambda = \varpi(\lambda)^2 F_\lambda$.

Fix a connected component \mathfrak{F}^+ of \mathfrak{F}' . Then for any $\mu \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{F}^+)$, we define a distribution $T_{\mu, \mathfrak{F}^+} = T_\mu^+$ as follows:

$$T_\mu^+(f) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} T_\lambda(f) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}))$$

where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$. Put $g_{\lambda,i} = g_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}_i}$. Then

$$T_\lambda(f) = (-1)^m \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{m_i(R)} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \psi_{f,i} g_{\lambda,i} d_i H$$

and so it is obvious that the above limit exists and

$$T_\mu^+(f) = (-1)^m \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{m_i(R)} c_i \int \varepsilon_{R,i} \psi_{f,i} g_{\mu,i}^+ d_i H$$

where $g_{\mu,i}^+$ is defined as follows. Fix i and put $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_i$. Then

$$g_{\mu,i}^+ = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} g_\lambda^{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{s \in W} \varepsilon(s) c(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) e^{(s\mu)^{\mathfrak{a}}}$$

on any connected component \mathfrak{a}^+ of $\mathfrak{a}'(R)$. We know from Lemma 28 that $c(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) = 0$ ($s \in W$) unless $\Re(s\lambda)^{\mathfrak{a}}(H) \leq 0$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$. Therefore it is clear from the above formulas and Lemma 19 that T_μ^+ is an invariant and tempered distribution

on \mathfrak{g} . Since $\partial(p)T_\lambda = p_b(\lambda)T_\lambda$, it follows immediately by going over to the limit that

$$\partial(p)T_\mu^+ = p_b(\mu)T_\mu^+ \quad (p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)).$$

For any Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} define the function $(\phi_\mu^+)_\mathfrak{a}$ on $\mathfrak{a}'(R)$ by

$$(\phi_\mu^+)_\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{s \in W} c(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) e^{(s\mu)\nu}$$

on \mathfrak{a}^+ .

LEMMA 35.
$$|(\phi_\mu^+)_\mathfrak{a}| \leq \sum_{s \in W} |c(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+)|$$

on \mathfrak{a}^+ .

Fix $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Then if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$, it follows from Lemma 28 that

$$|\phi_\lambda(H)| \leq \sum_{s \in W} |c(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+)|.$$

Our assertion now follows by letting λ tend to μ .

For $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_i$ we denote the function $(\phi_\mu^+)_\mathfrak{a}$ by $\phi_{\mu,i}^+$.

LEMMA 36. For any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$c_1[W_k] \int_b \partial(\varpi) \psi_f e^\mu dH = \varpi(\mu) T_\mu^+(f) - \sum_{2 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{m_i(R)} c_i \int_{\mathcal{E}_{R,i}} \partial(\varpi_i) \psi_{f,i} \cdot \phi_{\mu,i}^+ d_i H.$$

Take a variable element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$ which converges to μ . Then our assertion follows immediately from Lemma 34 by taking limits.

§ 18. Proof of Lemma 41

As in § 14, let \mathfrak{z} be a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that 1) $\mathfrak{z} \supset \mathfrak{b}$ and 2) \mathfrak{z} is reductive in \mathfrak{g} . Fix a Euclidean measure dZ on \mathfrak{z} and let $W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$ be the subgroup of $W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$ generated by the Weyl reflexions corresponding to the compact roots of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{b})$. Then $W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \subset W$ and $W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \subset W_k$. Define $\varpi_\mathfrak{z}$ and $\pi_\mathfrak{z}$ as in § 13 for $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{b}$.

LEMMA 37. Let a_s ($s \in W_k$) be continuous functions⁽¹⁾ on \mathfrak{F} such that $a_{ts} = a_s$ for $t \in W_k \cap W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$, there exists a unique distribution $T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}$ on \mathfrak{z} such that:

- 1) $T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}$ is invariant and tempered.
- 2) $\partial(p_\mathfrak{z})T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = p_b(\lambda)T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$).
- 3) $\pi_\mathfrak{z}T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = \sum_{s \in W_k} \varepsilon(s) a_s(\lambda) e^{s\lambda}$ pointwise on \mathfrak{b}' .

⁽¹⁾ For most applications a_s will be constants.

The uniqueness is obvious from the corollary of Lemma 28. The existence is proved as follows. Applying Theorem 2 to $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{b})$ instead of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$, we conclude that there exists a unique invariant and tempered distribution τ_λ on \mathfrak{z} such that $\partial(p)\tau_\lambda = p_\mathfrak{b}(\lambda)\tau_\lambda$ ($p \in I(\mathfrak{z}_c)$) and

$$\pi_\mathfrak{z} \tau_\lambda = \sum_{s \in W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})} \varepsilon(s) e^{s\lambda}$$

pointwise on \mathfrak{b}' . Put

$$T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = [W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})]^{-1} \sum_{s \in W_k} \varepsilon(s) a_s(\lambda) \tau_{s\lambda} = \sum_{s \in W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \setminus W_k} \varepsilon(s) a_s(\lambda) \tau_{s\lambda}$$

where the second sum is over a complete system of representatives. Then it is obvious that $T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}$ fulfills all the conditions of the lemma.

COROLLARY.

$$T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = [W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})]^{-1} \sum_{s \in W_k} \varepsilon(s) a_s(\lambda) \tau_{s\lambda} = \sum_{s \in W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \setminus W_k} \varepsilon(s) a_s(\lambda) \tau_{s\lambda}.$$

Fix a connected component \mathfrak{F}^+ of \mathfrak{F}' and for any $\mu \in \text{Cl } \mathfrak{F}^+$ define $T_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+$ and $\tau_\mu^+ = \tau_{\mu, \mathfrak{F}^+}$ by means of the limits

$$T_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+(f) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}(f), \quad \tau_\mu^+(f) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu} \tau_\lambda(f) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}))$$

where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$. We have seen in § 17 that τ_μ^+ is a tempered distribution and therefore it follows from the above corollary that the same holds for $T_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+$. In fact the following lemma is now obvious.

LEMMA 38.
$$T_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+ = \sum_{s \in W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \setminus W_k} \varepsilon(s) a_s(\mu) \tau_{s\mu, s\mathfrak{F}^+}.$$

Let P and $P_\mathfrak{z}$ respectively be the sets of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$ and $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{b})$ and let $P_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ denote the complement of $P_\mathfrak{z}$ in P . Put

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}} = \prod_{\alpha \in P_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}} \alpha, \quad \varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}} = \prod_{\alpha \in P_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}} H_\alpha.$$

It is clear that $\pi_\mathfrak{z}^2$, $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ are all invariant under $W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$. Hence by Chevalley's theorem [2 (c), Lemma 9], we can choose an invariant polynomial function $\eta_\mathfrak{z}$ on \mathfrak{z}_c and an element $q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}} = q \in I(\mathfrak{z}_c)$ such that $\eta_\mathfrak{z} = (-1)^r \pi_\mathfrak{z}^2$ on \mathfrak{b} and $q_\mathfrak{b} = \varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$. (Here r is the number of roots in $P_\mathfrak{z}$.) Let \mathfrak{z}' be the set of all $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ where $\eta_\mathfrak{z}(Z) \neq 0$ and define the invariant differential operator $\nabla_\mathfrak{z}$ on \mathfrak{z}' as usual (see [2 (1), § 9]). Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$. Then we know [2 (1), Lemma 25] that there exists a continuous function $S_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}$ on \mathfrak{z} such that

$S_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = \varpi(\lambda)^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}(\partial(q_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}}) T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda})$
 pointwise on \mathfrak{z}' .

LEMMA 39. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$. Then ⁽¹⁾

$$\varpi(\lambda) T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}(f) = \text{p.v.} \int \eta_{\mathfrak{z}}^{-1} S_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}(\partial(q_{\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}})^* f) dZ \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z})),$$

in the notation of Theorem 1.

Put $\square_{\mathfrak{z}} = \partial(q_1)$ where q_1 is the unique element in $I(\mathfrak{z}_c)$ such that $(q_1)_{\mathfrak{b}} = \varpi_{\mathfrak{z}}^2$. Then $(q_1 q^2)_{\mathfrak{b}} = \varpi^2$. Hence if Q is the unique element in $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ such that $Q_{\mathfrak{b}} = \varpi^2$ and $Q_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is the projection (see [2 (j), § 8]) of Q on \mathfrak{z} , it is obvious that $Q_{\mathfrak{z}} = q_1 q^2$. Therefore

$$(\square_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \partial(q^2)) T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = \partial(Q_{\mathfrak{z}}) T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = Q_{\mathfrak{z}}(\lambda) T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = \varpi(\lambda)^2 T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}.$$

Hence if $T = (\square_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \partial(q)) T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}$, it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\varpi(\lambda)^2 T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}(f) = T(\partial(q)^* f) = \varpi(\lambda) \left\{ \text{p.v.} \int \eta_{\mathfrak{z}}^{-1} S_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} (\nabla_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \partial(q)^*) f dZ \right\}$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z})$. Since $\varpi(\lambda) \neq 0$, this implies the assertion of the lemma.

Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} and $S_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the restriction of $S_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}$ on \mathfrak{a} . Then it follows from the definitions of $\nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and q and [2 (c), Lemma 8] that ⁽²⁾

$$S_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \varpi(\lambda)^{-1} \partial(\varpi^y) (\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{a}} T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda})$$

pointwise on \mathfrak{a}' .

On the other hand let $\mathfrak{F}'_{\mathfrak{z}}$ be the set of all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ where $\varpi_{\mathfrak{z}}(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{F}'_{\mathfrak{z}}+$ a connected component of $\mathfrak{F}'_{\mathfrak{z}}$. Fix a connected component \mathfrak{a}^+ of $\mathfrak{a}'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$ (see § 13). Then corresponding to Lemma 32 and the corollary of Lemma 33 we have the following result for \mathfrak{z} .

LEMMA 40. There exist integers $c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s: \mathfrak{F}'_{\mathfrak{z}}+ : \mathfrak{a}^+)$ ($s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})$) such that

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{a}} \tau_{\lambda} = \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s: \mathfrak{F}'_{\mathfrak{z}}+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) e^{s\lambda^y}$$

on $\mathfrak{a}^+ \cap \mathfrak{z}'$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'_{\mathfrak{z}}+$. Moreover

$$c_{\mathfrak{z}}(st^y : t^{-1} \mathfrak{F}'_{\mathfrak{z}}+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) = c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s: \mathfrak{F}'_{\mathfrak{z}}+ : \mathfrak{a}^+)$$

for $t \in W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$.

⁽¹⁾ As usual, the star denotes the adjoint here.

⁽²⁾ Here y is an element in the complex analytic subgroup Ξ_c of G_c corresponding to $\text{ad } \mathfrak{z}_c$ such that $(\mathfrak{b}_c)^y = \mathfrak{a}_c$. We also assume that $P_{\mathfrak{z}}^y$ is the set of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a})$.

Now write $c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s:\mathfrak{F}^+:\mathfrak{a}^+) = c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s:\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{z}}^+:\mathfrak{a}^+)$ for any connected component \mathfrak{F}^+ of \mathfrak{F}' which is contained in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{z}}^+$. Then it follows from the corollary of Lemma 37 that

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{a}} T_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda} = \sum_{t \in W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \setminus W_k} \varepsilon(t) a_t(\lambda) \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s:t\mathfrak{F}^+:\mathfrak{a}^+) e^{s(t\lambda)y}$$

on $\mathfrak{a}^+ \cap \mathfrak{z}'$ for any λ lying in a connected component \mathfrak{F}^+ of \mathfrak{F}' . Therefore it follows from the above formula for $S_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ that

$$S_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{t \in W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \setminus W_k} a_t(\lambda) \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})} c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s:t\mathfrak{F}^+:\mathfrak{a}^+) e^{s(t\lambda)y}$$

on $\mathfrak{a}^+ \cap \mathfrak{z}'$.

Now fix $\mu \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{F}^+)$. Then as λ tends to μ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$), it is clear that the functions $S_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ converge uniformly on every compact subset of \mathfrak{a} . Hence we conclude (see Lemma 69 of § 30) that the functions $S_{\mathfrak{z},\lambda}$ converge uniformly on every compact subset of \mathfrak{z} . We denote the limit function by $S_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+$. It is obviously continuous and invariant.

LEMMA 41.
$$S_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+ = \sum_{t \in W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \setminus W_k} a_t(\mu) \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})} c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s:t\mathfrak{F}^+:\mathfrak{a}^+) e^{s(t\mu)y}$$

on $\mathfrak{a}^+ \cap \mathfrak{z}'$. Moreover

$$\varpi(\mu) T_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+(f) = \text{p.v.} \int \eta_{\mathfrak{z}}^{-1} S_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+ \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}(\partial(q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}})^* f) dZ$$

for $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{z})$.

The first statement is obvious from the above formula for $S_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and the second follows from Lemma 39 if we take into account the corollary of Lemma 4.

COROLLARY.
$$S_{\mathfrak{z},\mu}^+ = 0 \text{ if } a_t(\mu) = 0 \text{ (} t \in W_k \text{).}$$

Now suppose $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2$ and η_0 are as in Lemma 30 (with $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{b}$). Then since

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{z}_1} T_{\mathfrak{z}_1,\lambda} = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}_2} T_{\mathfrak{z}_2,\lambda} = \sum_{s \in W_k} \varepsilon(s) e^{s\lambda}$$

pointwise on \mathfrak{b}' for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$, it follows from Lemma 30 that

$$T_{\mathfrak{z}_2,\lambda} = \eta_0 T_{\mathfrak{z}_1,\lambda}$$

pointwise on $\mathfrak{g}' \cap \mathfrak{z}_2$. Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{z}_2 and an element y in the complex analytic subgroup Ξ_{2c} of G_c corresponding to $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{z}_2 c}$, such that $\mathfrak{b}_c^y = \mathfrak{a}_c$. We may assume that P^y is the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Then

$$S_{\mathfrak{z}_i, \lambda} = \varpi(\lambda)^{-1} \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}_i} (\partial(q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}_i}) T_{\mathfrak{z}_i, \lambda}) = \varpi(\lambda)^{-1} \partial(\varpi^y) F_\lambda$$

pointwise on α' where

$$F_\lambda(H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}_1}^\alpha(H) T_{\mathfrak{z}_1, \lambda}(H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}_2}^\alpha(H) T_{\mathfrak{z}_2, \lambda}(H) \quad (H \in \alpha').$$

This shows that $S_{\mathfrak{z}_1, \lambda} = S_{\mathfrak{z}_2, \lambda}$ on \mathfrak{z}_2 and therefore we get the following result by taking limits.

LEMMA 42. *Fix $\mu \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{F}^+)$. Then*

$$T_{\mathfrak{z}_2, \mu}^+ = \eta_0 T_{\mathfrak{z}_1, \mu}^+$$

pointwise on $\mathfrak{g}' \cap \mathfrak{z}_2$ and

$$S_{\mathfrak{z}_1, \mu}^+ = S_{\mathfrak{z}_2, \mu}^+$$

on \mathfrak{z}_2 .

We now return to the notation of Lemma 41 and write $T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu, \mathfrak{F}^+} = T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}^+$ whenever it is convenient to do so. Let Ξ be the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} and $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_r$ a maximal set of Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{z} no two of which are conjugate under Ξ . Fix a Euclidean measure $d_i H$ on \mathfrak{h}_i and define $\psi_{\mathfrak{z}, f, i}$ ($f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z})$) as in Lemma 5 for $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ instead of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_i)$.

LEMMA 43. *Assume that the functions a_t ($t \in W_k$) remain bounded on \mathfrak{F} . Then there exists a number $C \geq 0$ with the following property. Let \mathfrak{F}^+ be a connected component of \mathfrak{F}' and μ a point in $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{F}^+)$. Then*

$$|T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu, \mathfrak{F}^+}(f)| \leq C \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \int_{\mathfrak{h}_i} |\psi_{\mathfrak{z}, f, i}| d_i H.$$

Let α be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} . It follows from Lemmas 28 and 40 that

$$|\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^\alpha(H) \tau_\lambda(H)| \leq \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\alpha)} |c_{\mathfrak{z}}(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \alpha^+)|$$

for $H \in \alpha^+ \cap \mathfrak{z}'$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$. Put

$$g_\lambda^\alpha(H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^\alpha(H) T_{\mathfrak{z}, \lambda}(H) \quad (H \in \alpha').$$

Then, in view of the corollary of Lemma 37, we can choose a number $a \geq 0$ such that

$$|g_\lambda^\alpha(H)| \leq a$$

for $H \in \alpha'$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$. Now put $g_{\lambda, i} = g_{\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}_i}}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Then, as we have seen in § 2, there exist real numbers c_1, \dots, c_r such that

$$T_{\mathfrak{b},\lambda}(f) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int \psi_{\mathfrak{b},f,i} g_{\lambda,i} \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{b},R,i} d_i H$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}'$. (Here $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{b},R,i}$ is a locally constant function on \mathfrak{h}_i' whose values are ± 1 .) Therefore

$$|T_{\mathfrak{b},\lambda}(f)| \leq C \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \int |\psi_{\mathfrak{b},f,i}| d_i H$$

where $C = a \max_i |c_i|$. The statement of the lemma now follows by letting λ tend to μ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^+$).

Part II. Theory on the group

§ 19. Statement of Theorem 3

We keep to the notation of § 16 and assume, moreover, that G is acceptable. Let B be the Cartan subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{b} . Then B is connected and therefore abelian (see [2 (m), Cor. 5 of Lemma 26]). Let B^* denote the character group of B . For any $b^* \in B^*$, we denote by $\langle b^*, b \rangle$ the value of the character b^* at a point $b \in B$. It is obvious that there exists a unique element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that

$$\langle b^*, \exp H \rangle = e^{\lambda(H)} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}).$$

We shall denote λ by $\log b^*$. b^* is called singular or regular according as $\varpi(\lambda) = 0$ or not. We have seen that $W_k = W_G$. Now W_G operates on B as usual (see [2 (m), § 20]) and therefore, by duality, also on B^* . Then

$$\langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle = \langle b^*, b^{s^{-1}} \rangle \quad (b^* \in B^*, b \in B)$$

and $\log (b^*)^s = s (\log b^*)$ ($s \in W_G$).

Define \mathfrak{Z} as in [2 (m), § 6] and let $z \rightarrow p_z$ ($z \in \mathfrak{Z}$) denote the canonical isomorphism of \mathfrak{Z} onto $I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ (see [2 (m), § 12]). For $b^* \in B^*$, define

$$\chi_{b^*}(z) = \chi_{\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}}}(p_z) \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z})$$

(in the notation of § 12) for $\lambda = \log b^*$. Then χ_{b^*} is a homomorphism of \mathfrak{Z} into \mathbb{C} .

Let t be an indeterminate and l the rank of G . For any $x \in G$, we denote by $D(x)$ the coefficient of t^l in $\det(t+1 - \text{Ad}(x))$. Then D is an analytic function on G . As usual let G' denote the set of all regular elements in G (see [2 (m), § 3]). Fix a Haar measure dx on G and let Θ be a distribution on G . We say that Θ is an invariant eigendistribution of \mathfrak{Z} if 1) $\Theta^x = \Theta$ ($x \in G$) and 2) there exists a homomorphism

χ of \mathfrak{Z} into \mathbb{C} such that $z\Theta = \chi(z)\Theta$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$. In view of [2 (m), Theorem 2], we can speak of the value $\Theta(x)$ of such a distribution at a point $x \in G'$.

Let $B^{*'}$ denote the set of all regular elements in B^* and put $\Delta = \Delta_B$ in the notation of [2 (m), § 19].

THEOREM 3. *Fix an element $b^* \in B^{*'}$. Then there exists exactly one invariant eigendistribution Θ on G such that:*

- 1) $z\Theta = \chi_{b^*}(z)\Theta \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z});$
- 2) $\sup_{x \in G'} |D(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Theta(x)| < \infty;$
- 3) $\Theta = \Delta^{-1} \sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) (b^*)^s$ pointwise on $B' = B \cap G'$.

§ 20. Proof of the uniqueness

In order to obtain the uniqueness in Theorem 3, it is sufficient to prove the following result.

LEMMA 44. *Fix $b^* \in B^{*'}$ and let Θ be an invariant eigendistribution of \mathfrak{Z} on G such that:*

- 1) $z\Theta = \chi_{b^*}(z)\Theta \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z});$
- 2) $\sup_{x \in G'} |D(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Theta(x)| < \infty;$
- 3) $\Theta = 0$ pointwise on B' .

Then $\Theta = 0$.

Fix a semisimple element $a \in G$. In view of [2 (m), Lemma 7], it would be sufficient to prove that $a \notin \text{Supp } \Theta$. We now use the notation of [2 (m), § 4] and put $\sigma = |\nu_a|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_\Theta$ in the notation of [2 (m), Lemma 15]. Since $z\Theta = \chi_{b^*}(z)\Theta$, we conclude from [2 (m), Lemma 22] that

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}(z)\sigma = \chi_{b^*}(z)\sigma \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z}).$$

Define $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{c}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1(c)$ as in § 14 where \mathfrak{c}_0 is an open and convex neighborhood of zero in \mathfrak{c} . Then \mathfrak{g}_0 is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of zero in \mathfrak{g} and if \mathfrak{c}_0 and c are sufficiently small, the exponential mapping of \mathfrak{g} into G is univalent and regular on \mathfrak{g}_0 (see [2 (m), § 9]). Put $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}$.

Now first assume that $a \in B$ and let Z_G denote the center of G . Then since

B/Z_G is compact [2 (m), § 16], every eigenvalue of $\text{Ad}(a)$ has absolute value 1. Hence if c is sufficiently small, it is obvious that no eigenvalue of $(\text{Ad}(a \exp Z))_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$ can be 1 for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0$. This shows that $\exp \mathfrak{z}_0 \subset \Xi'$. Let τ denote the distribution on \mathfrak{z}_0 obtained from σ by applying the procedure of [2 (m), § 10] to \mathfrak{z} (in place of \mathfrak{g}). Since

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}(z)\sigma = \chi_{b^*}(z)\sigma \quad (z \in \mathfrak{z}),$$

it follows from the corollary of [2 (m), Lemma 24] and the definition of $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ [2 (m), § 12] that

$$\partial(p_{\mathfrak{z}})\tau = \chi(p)\tau \quad (p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)),$$

where $\chi = \chi_{\lambda^b}$ and $\lambda = \log b^*$. Now $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{z}$ since $a \in B$. Therefore $T_{\mathfrak{z}} = \tau$ satisfies all the conditions of § 13. Let \mathfrak{z}_0' be the set of those elements of \mathfrak{z}_0 which are regular in \mathfrak{z} . Then we know from [2 (m), Lemma 32] that

$$\tau(Z) = \xi_{\mathfrak{z}}(Z) |v_a(\exp Z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta(a \exp Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0').$$

Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} and A the corresponding Cartan subgroup of G . It is easy to verify that

$$|D(a \exp H)| = |\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{a}}(H) \xi_{\mathfrak{z}}(H)|^2 |v_a(\exp H)|$$

for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and therefore

$$|\pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\mathfrak{a}}(H) \tau(H)| = |D(a \exp H)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Theta(a \exp H)|$$

for $H \in \mathfrak{a}' \cap \mathfrak{z}_0$. Hence we conclude from Lemma 19 and condition 2) that τ is a tempered distribution on \mathfrak{z}_0 . Moreover if we take $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}$, it follows from condition 3) that $\tau = 0$ pointwise on $\mathfrak{z}_0 \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. Therefore (see the corollary of Lemma 29), $\tau = 0$ on \mathfrak{z}_0 . This, in turn, implies that $\Theta = 0$ pointwise on $a \exp \mathfrak{z}_0' = G' \cap (a \exp \mathfrak{z}_0)$. But $V = (a \exp \mathfrak{z}_0)^G$ is open in G [2 (m), Lemma 14]. Hence $\Theta = 0$ on V .

Now we drop the assumption that $a \in B$. Define $\theta, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}$ and K as in [2 (m), § 16] corresponding to $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{b}$. Then $B \subset K$ [2 (m), Cor. 5 of Lemma 26]. Let \mathfrak{a} be any Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{z} . We can choose $x \in G$ such that $\theta(a^x) = a^x$ and $a^x \cap \mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ (see Lemma 45 below). Let A be the Cartan subgroup of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{h} = a^x$. Then $a^x \in A$. Let $a^x = a_0 \exp H$ where $a_0 \in A \cap K$ and $H \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ (see [2 (m), Cor. 4 of Lemma 26]). Since K is connected and K/Z_G is compact, we can choose $k \in K$ such that $b = a_0^k \in B$. Then

$$a^{kx} = b \exp Z_0$$

where $Z_0 = H^k \in \mathfrak{p} \subset [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Let \mathfrak{z}_b denote the centralizer of b in \mathfrak{g} . It is obvious that

$Z_0 \in \mathfrak{z}_b$. Moreover since $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{p}$, all the eigenvalues of $\text{ad } Z_0$ are real [2 (i), Lemma 27]. Hence by applying the result obtained above to b , we conclude that

$$a^{kx} = b \exp Z_0 \notin \text{Supp } \Theta.$$

Therefore since Θ is invariant, it follows that $a \notin \text{Supp } \Theta$. This proves the lemma.

§ 21. Some elementary facts about Cartan subgroups

Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and A the corresponding Cartan subgroup of G . Define \mathfrak{a}_R and \mathfrak{a}_I as in § 11.

LEMMA 45. *Let A_I be the subgroup of all $a \in A$ such that all eigenvalues of $\text{Ad}(a)$ have absolute values 1. Then $(a, H) \rightarrow a \exp H$ ($a \in A_I, H \in \mathfrak{a}_R$) is a topological mapping of $A_I \times \mathfrak{a}_R$ onto A . Moreover for any $a \in A_I$, we can choose $x \in G$ such that 1) $a^x \in B$, 2) $\theta(a^x) = a^x$ and 3) $(\mathfrak{a}_I)^x \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Finally, x may be selected to lie in K if $\theta(a) = a$.*

It follows from [2 (b), p. 100] that we can choose $y \in G$ such that $\theta(a^y) = a^y$. Then $(\mathfrak{a}_I)^y$ is an abelian subspace of \mathfrak{k} . Since \mathfrak{b} is maximal abelian in \mathfrak{k} and K/Z_G is compact, we can choose $k \in K$ such that $(\mathfrak{a}_I)^{ky} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Replacing a by a^{ky} , we can now obviously assume that $\theta(a) = a$ and $\mathfrak{a}_I \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Then the first statement follows from the results of [2 (m), § 16]. Moreover it is clear that $A_I = A \cap K \subset K = B^K$. Fix $a \in A_I$ and choose $k \in K$ such that $b = a^k \in B$. Let \mathfrak{z} be the centralizer of b in \mathfrak{g} and Ξ the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} . Then \mathfrak{a}^k and \mathfrak{b} are two Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{z} and $\mathfrak{a}_I^k + \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. Since \mathfrak{b} is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{k}$, we can choose $\xi \in \Xi \cap K$ such that $(\mathfrak{a}_I)^{\xi k} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Put $x = \xi k$. Then $a^x = b^\xi = b$ and $(\mathfrak{a}_I)^x \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Moreover since $x \in K$, it is clear that $\theta(a^x) = a^x$. The last statement follows from the fact that we can take $y = 1$ if $\theta(a) = a$.

COROLLARY. *An element a of G lies in B^G if and only if 1) a is semisimple and 2) all eigenvalues of $\text{Ad}(a)$ have absolute value 1.*

Since $B \subset K$, it is obvious that any $a \in B^G$ fulfills these two conditions. Conversely suppose these conditions hold. Then by 1), a is contained in some Cartan subgroup A of G [2 (m), Cor. of Lemma 5]. Therefore by 2) $a \in A_I$. But then $a \in B^G$ by Lemma 45.

We write $A_R = \exp \mathfrak{a}_R$. By Lemma 45, every $h \in A$ can be written uniquely in the form $h = h_1 h_2$ ($h_1 \in A_I, h_2 \in A_R$). We call h_1 and h_2 the components of h in A_I and A_R respectively.

§ 22. Proof of the existence

We now come to the proof of the existence of Θ in Theorem 3. In view of later applications, we shall consider a somewhat more general situation.

Fix a connected component \mathfrak{F}^+ of \mathfrak{F}' and a point $b^* \in B^*$ such that

$$\lambda = \log b^* \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{F}^+).$$

Select an open convex neighborhood \mathfrak{c}_0 of zero in \mathfrak{c} and define

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{c}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1(c) \quad (0 < c \leq \pi = 3.14 \dots)$$

as in § 14. We assume that \mathfrak{c}_0 is so small that the exponential mapping of \mathfrak{g} into G is univalent and regular on \mathfrak{g}_0 (see [2 (m), § 9]).

Fix $b \in B$ and let $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}_b$ denote the centralizer of b in \mathfrak{g} . Define $T_b^+ = T_{\mathfrak{z}, \lambda}^+$ and $S_b^+ = S_{\mathfrak{z}, \lambda}^+$ in the notation of § 18 corresponding to the constants $a_s = \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle$ ($s \in W_G$). (Here we have to observe that $b^t = b$ for $t \in W_k \cap W(\mathfrak{z}/b)$ and therefore $a_{ts} = a_s$.)

Let $\Xi = \Xi(b)$ denote the analytic subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} . Put $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}$ and $\Xi_0(b) = \Xi_0 = \exp \mathfrak{z}_0$. Then Ξ_0 is an open and completely invariant subset of Ξ [2 (m), Lemma 8]. As usual define the function $\xi_{\mathfrak{z}}$ on \mathfrak{z} by

$$\xi_{\mathfrak{z}}(Z) = |\det \{ (e^{\text{ad } Z/2} - e^{-\text{ad } Z/2}) / \text{ad } Z \}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}).$$

Then $\xi_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is analytic and nowhere zero on \mathfrak{z}_0 . Put

$$\Phi_b^+(\exp Z) = \xi_{\mathfrak{z}}(Z)^{-1} T_b^+(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}')$$

where \mathfrak{z}' is the set of those elements of \mathfrak{z} which are regular in \mathfrak{z} . Then Φ_b^+ is a locally summable function on $\Xi_0(b)$.

Define the homomorphism $\mu_b = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ as in [2 (m), § 12].

LEMMA 46.
$$\mu_b(z) \Phi_b^+ = \chi_{b^*}(z) \Phi_b^+ \quad (z \in \mathfrak{z})$$

as a distribution on $\Xi_0(b)$.

This follows immediately from the corollary of [2 (m), Lemma 24] (applied to \mathfrak{z}) and the fact (see § 18) that $\partial(p_{\mathfrak{z}}) T_b^+ = p_{\mathfrak{z}}(\lambda) T_b^+$ for $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{c}})$.

We have seen in [2 (m), § 22] that there exists an invariant analytic function D_b on \mathfrak{z} such that

$$\Delta(b \exp H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}(H) D_b(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}).$$

Put $\Xi_0''(b) = \Xi_0(b) \cap (b^{-1} G')$ and let \mathfrak{z}'' be the set of all points $Z \in \mathfrak{z}'$ where $D_b(Z) \neq 0$. Then it is clear that $\Xi_0''(b) = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}'')$. Put

$$\Theta_b^+ (\exp Z) = D_b(Z)^{-1} T_b^+(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}'').$$

Then Θ_b^+ is an analytic function on $\Xi_0''(b)$. Similarly define

$$\Psi_b^+ (\exp Z) = S_b^+(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0).$$

Then Ψ_b^+ is a continuous function on $\Xi_0(b)$.

$$\text{Define} \quad \nu_b(y) = \det(\text{Ad}(by)^{-1} - 1)_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}} \quad (y \in \Xi)$$

as in [2 (m), § 14].

LEMMA 47. *Let \mathfrak{z} be the set of all points $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ where $\nu_b(\exp Z) \neq 0$. Then there exists a locally constant function ε_b on \mathfrak{z} such that $\varepsilon_b^4 = 1$ and*

$$\xi_{\mathfrak{z}}(Z) |\nu_b(\exp Z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \varepsilon_b(Z) D_b(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}).$$

It would be enough to verify that

$$\xi_{\mathfrak{z}}(Z)^4 \nu_b(\exp Z)^2 = D_b(Z)^4$$

for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$. Since both sides are analytic functions on \mathfrak{z} which are invariant under Ξ , it would be enough to do this when Z varies in some non-empty open subset of \mathfrak{b} . Hence our assertion follows from [2 (m), Lemma 33].

$$\text{COROLLARY.} \quad |\nu_a(\exp Z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Theta_b^+(\exp Z) = \varepsilon_b(Z) \Phi_b^+(\exp Z)$$

for $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}''$.

This is obvious.

Put $\mathfrak{z}_0'' = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}''$ and let u be an element in G such that $\mathfrak{b}^u = \mathfrak{b}$.

LEMMA 48. *We have the relations*

$$\Theta_{b^u}^+(\exp Z^u) = \Theta_b^+(\exp Z), \quad \Psi_{b^u}^+(\exp Z^u) = \Psi_b^+(\exp Z)$$

for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0''$.

Since \mathfrak{z}^u is the centralizer of \mathfrak{b}^u in \mathfrak{g} , it is clear that $\Theta_{b^u}^+(\exp Z^u)$ and $\Psi_{b^u}^+(\exp Z^u)$ are defined for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0''$. Let t be an element in W_G such that $H^u = tH$ for $H \in \mathfrak{b}$. It is obvious that $\pi_{\mathfrak{z}^u} = \gamma \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}^t$ where $\gamma = \pm 1$. Therefore since

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{b}^u \exp H^u) = \varepsilon(t) \Delta(\mathfrak{b} \exp H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}),$$

it follows that $D_{b^u}(H^u) = \varepsilon(t) \gamma D_b(H)$. But the function

$$Z \rightarrow D_{b^u}(Z^u) - \varepsilon(t) \gamma D_b(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z})$$

is obviously analytic and invariant under Ξ . Hence we can conclude that

$$D_{b^u}(Z^u) = \varepsilon(t) \gamma D_b(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}).$$

Now for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}^+$, let $T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}$ be the distribution of Lemma 37 corresponding to the constants $a_s = \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle$ ($s \in W_G$). Similarly define $T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}$ on \mathfrak{z}^u corresponding to the constants $a_s = \langle (b^*)^s, b^u \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathfrak{z}^u}(uH) T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(uH) &= \sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) \langle (b^*)^s, b^u \rangle e^{s\mu(uH)} \\ &= \varepsilon(t) \sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle e^{s\mu(H)} = \varepsilon(t) \pi_{\mathfrak{z}}(H) T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(uH) = \varepsilon(t) \gamma T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}').$$

Now consider the distribution

$$T_{\mu}': f \rightarrow \int f(Z) T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(uZ) dZ \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}))$$

on \mathfrak{z} . It is obviously invariant and tempered. Moreover it is clear that $p_{\mathfrak{z}^u} = (p_{\mathfrak{z}})^u$ for $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Let dZ' denote the Euclidean measure on \mathfrak{z}^u which corresponds to dZ under the mapping $Z' = Z^u$ ($Z \in \mathfrak{z}$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu}'(\partial(p_{\mathfrak{z}})^* f) &= \int f(u^{-1}Z'; \partial(p_{\mathfrak{z}})^*) T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(Z') dZ' \\ &= \int f'(Z'; \partial(p_{\mathfrak{z}^u})^*) T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(Z') dZ' \\ &= p_{\mathfrak{z}}(\mu) \int f'(Z') T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(Z') dZ' = p_{\mathfrak{z}}(\mu) T_{\mu}'(f) \end{aligned}$$

for $p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z})$. Here f' denotes the function $Z' \rightarrow f(u^{-1}Z')$ ($Z' \in \mathfrak{z}^u$) in $C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}^u)$. Hence it follows from the uniqueness assertion of Lemma 37 that

$$T_{\mu}' = \varepsilon(t) \gamma T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}.$$

Therefore

$$T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(f') = \varepsilon(t) \gamma T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}(f)$$

and by making μ tend to λ , we conclude that

$$T_{b^u}^+(f') = \varepsilon(t) \gamma T_b^+(f) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z})).$$

This proves that

$$T_{b^{u^+}}(Z^u) = \varepsilon(t) \gamma T_{b^+}(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}')$$

The first assertion of the lemma is now obvious.

Define $\nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}$, $\nabla_{\mathfrak{z}^u}$ and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$, $\varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}^u}$ as in § 18. It is clear that

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{z}^u} f' = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{z}} f)'$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}')$. On the other hand $\varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}^u} = \varepsilon(t) \gamma (\varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}})^t$. Therefore it is clear that

$$q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}^u} = \varepsilon(t) \gamma (q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}})^u$$

in the notation of § 18. Hence

$$\varpi(\mu) S_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(Z^u) = T_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(Z^u; \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}^u} \circ \partial(q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}^u})) = T_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}(Z; \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \partial(q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}})) = \varpi(\mu) S_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}(Z)$$

for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}'$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}^+$. This shows that

$$S_{\mathfrak{z}^u, \mu}(Z^u) = S_{\mathfrak{z}, \mu}(Z)$$

and so by making μ tend to λ , we deduce that

$$S_{b^{u^+}}(Z) = S_{b^+}(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}).$$

Obviously this implies the second assertion of the lemma.

COROLLARY. *Let x be an element in G such that $b^x \in B$. Then*

$$\Theta_{b^{x^+}}(\exp Z^x) = \Theta_{b^+}(\exp Z),$$

$$\Psi_{b^{x^+}}(\exp Z^x) = \Psi_{b^+}(\exp Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0'').$$

Since $b^x \in B$, it is clear that $B^{x^{-1}} \subset \Xi$. Hence \mathfrak{b} and $\mathfrak{b}^{x^{-1}}$ are two fundamental Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{z} and therefore we can choose $y \in \Xi$ such that $\mathfrak{b}^{y^{x^{-1}}} = \mathfrak{b}$ (see [2 (d), p. 237]). Put $u = xy^{-1}$. Then $x = uy$ and $b^x = b^u$. Therefore

$$\Theta_{b^{x^+}}(\exp Z^x) = \Theta_{b^{u^+}}(\exp Z^{uy}) = \Theta_{b^+}(\exp Z^y)$$

by Lemma 48. Similarly

$$\Psi_{b^{x^+}}(\exp Z^x) = \Psi_{b^+}(\exp Z^y) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0'').$$

Since Θ_{b^+} and Ψ_{b^+} are obviously invariant under Ξ , we get the required assertion.

Since $b^x = b^u$, we have obtained the following result during the above proof.

LEMMA 49. *If two elements of B are conjugate under G , then they are also conjugate under the normalizer of B in G .*

Now fix $a \in B^G$, define \mathfrak{z}_a and $\Xi(a)$ as usual (see [2 (m), § 4]) and put $\Xi_0(a) = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_a)$, $\Xi_0''(a) = \Xi_0(a) \cap (a^{-1}G')$. Choose $x \in G$ such that $a^x \in B$ and define

$$\Theta_a^+(y) = \Theta_{a^x}^+(y^x) \quad (y \in \Xi_0''(a))$$

and

$$\Psi_a^+(y) = \Psi_{a^x}^+(y^x) \quad (y \in \Xi_0(a)).$$

It follows from the corollary of Lemma 48 that these definitions are independent of the choice of x .

We now define two functions Θ^+ and Ψ^+ on G' as follows. Fix $h \in G'$ and let \mathfrak{a} be the centralizer of h in \mathfrak{g} and A the corresponding Cartan subgroup of G . Define A_I and A_R as in § 21 and let $h = h_1 h_2$ ($h_1 \in A_I, h_2 \in A_R$). Since every eigenvalue of $\text{ad } H$ is real for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_R$ and since h is regular, it is clear that $h_2 \in \Xi_0''(h_1)$. We define

$$\Theta^+(h) = \Theta_{h_1}^+(h_2), \quad \Psi^+(h) = \Psi_{h_1}^+(h_2).$$

(Observe that $A_I \subset B^G$ from the corollary of Lemma 45.) If $x \in G$, it is obvious that

$$\Theta^+(h^x) = \Theta_{h_1 x}^+(h_2^x) = \Theta_{h_1}^+(h_2) = \Theta^+(h).$$

Similarly $\Psi^+(h^x) = \Psi^+(h)$. This shows that Θ^+ and Ψ^+ are invariant under G . We intend to prove that they are analytic on G' .

LEMMA 50. Fix $b \in B$. Then there exists a number $c_b > 0$ with the following property. Let $\mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$ be the set of all $Z \in \mathfrak{z}_b$ such that ⁽¹⁾ $|\text{Im } \mu| < c_b$ for every eigenvalue μ of $(\text{ad } Z)_{\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{z}_b}$. Then

$$\Theta_b^+(\exp Z) = \Theta^+(b \exp Z), \quad \Psi_b^+(\exp Z) = \Psi^+(b \exp Z)$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$ such that $b \exp Z \in G'$.

It is obvious that if c_b is sufficiently small, $\nu_b(\exp Z) \neq 0$ for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$. Let $\mathfrak{z}_b'(c_b)$ be the set of those elements of $\mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$ which are regular in \mathfrak{z}_b . Then for any $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$, the two conditions $b \exp Z \in G'$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b'(c_b)$ are obviously equivalent. Hence, in particular,

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b'(c_b) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b''.$$

Fix $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b'(c_b)$ and let \mathfrak{a} be the centralizer of Z_0 in \mathfrak{z}_b . Then \mathfrak{a} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Since $b = \theta(b)$, \mathfrak{z}_b is stable under θ and therefore, by Lemmas 29 and 45, we can choose $y \in \Xi(b)$ such that \mathfrak{a}^y is stable under θ and $(\mathfrak{a}_I)^y \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Put $H_0 = Z_0^y$.

⁽¹⁾ $\text{Im } \mu$ denotes, as usual, the imaginary part of a complex number μ .

Since Θ_b^+ , Θ^+ , Ψ_b^+ and Ψ^+ are all invariant under $\Xi(b)$, it would be enough to verify that

$$\Theta_b^+(\exp H_0) = \Theta^+(b \exp H_0), \quad \Psi_b^+(\exp H_0) = \Psi^+(b \exp H_0).$$

So we may assume that $Z_0 = H_0$, $y = 1$, $\theta(a) = a$ and $\mathfrak{a}_I = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{b}$.

Let $H_0 = H_1 + H_2$ where $H_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_I$, $H_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_R$. Then $h = b \exp H_0 = h_1 h_2$ where $h_1 = b \exp H_1 \in A_I$ and $h_2 = \exp H_2$. (A is, as before, the Cartan subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{a} .) It is clear that $H_1 \in \mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$ and therefore $\nu_b(\exp H_1) \neq 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{z}_{h_1} \subset \mathfrak{z}_b$. Now put $\mathfrak{z}_1 = \mathfrak{z}_b$, $\mathfrak{z}_2 = \mathfrak{z}_{h_1}$. Then \mathfrak{z}_2 is the centralizer of H_1 in \mathfrak{z}_1 so that Lemma 31 is applicable.

For $\mu \in \mathfrak{F}'$, define the distributions $T_{i,\mu} = T_{\mathfrak{z}_i,\mu}$ and $S_{i,\mu} = S_{\mathfrak{z}_i,\mu}$ on \mathfrak{z}_i ($i = 1, 2$) as in Lemma 37 corresponding to the constants $a_s = \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle$ ($s \in W_G$). For any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}_2)$, define $f_{H_1}(Z) = f(Z - H_1)$ ($Z \in \mathfrak{z}_2$) and put

$$T_{2,\mu}'(f) = T_{2,\mu}(f_{H_1}), \quad S_{2,\mu}'(f) = S_{2,\mu}(f_{H_1}).$$

Then
$$\pi_{\mathfrak{z}_2}(H) T_{2,\mu}'(H) = \sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle e^{s\mu(H+H_1)} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}').$$

Moreover H_1 lies in the center of \mathfrak{z}_2 and

$$\langle (b^*)^s, h_1 \rangle = \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle e^{s\lambda(H_1)} \quad (s \in W_G).$$

Now suppose μ tends to λ ($\mu \in \mathfrak{F}^+$). Then it follows from Lemma 38 that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} T_{2,\mu}'(f) = T_{h_1}^+(f)$$

and similarly (see the corollary of Lemma 41)

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} S_{2,\mu}'(f) = S_{h_1}^+(f) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}_2)).$$

Define $T_i^+ = T_{\mathfrak{z}_i,\lambda}^+$, $S_i^+ = S_{\mathfrak{z}_i,\lambda}^+$ ($i = 1, 2$)

in the notation of § 18. Then it is clear from the above result that

$$T_{h_1}^+(f) = T_2^+(f_{H_1}), \quad S_{h_1}^+(f) = S_2^+(f_{H_1}) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}_2)).$$

Moreover $T_b^+ = T_1^+$, $S_b^+ = S_1^+$ by definition. Hence

$$\Theta^+(h) = \Theta_{h_1}^+(h_2) = D_{h_1}(H_2)^{-1} T_{h_1}^+(H_2) = D_{h_1}(H_2)^{-1} T_2^+(H_1 + H_2).$$

On the other hand $T_2^+ = \eta_0 T_1^+$ pointwise on $\mathfrak{g}' \cap \mathfrak{z}_2$ by Lemma 42 and

$$\Theta_b^+(\exp H_0) = D_b(H_0)^{-1} T_1^+(H_0).$$

Hence it would be enough to verify that $D_b(H)\eta_0(H) = D_{h_1}(H-H_1)$ for $H \in \alpha$. Put

$$v(Z) = D_{h_1}(Z-H_1) - D_b(Z)\eta_0(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}_2).$$

Then v is an analytic function on \mathfrak{z}_2 which is invariant under $\Xi_2 = \Xi(h_1)$. So it would be enough to show that $v=0$ on \mathfrak{b}' . But it follows from the definition of D_{h_1} , D_b and η_0 that

$$\begin{aligned} v(H) &= \pi_{\mathfrak{z}_2}(H-H_1)^{-1} \Delta(h_1 \exp(H-H_1)) \\ &\quad - \pi_{\mathfrak{b}_1}(H)^{-1} \Delta(b \exp H) \pi_{\mathfrak{b}_1}(H) \pi_{\mathfrak{z}_2}(H)^{-1} = 0 \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}'), \end{aligned}$$

since $\pi_{\mathfrak{z}_2}(H-H_1) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}_2}(H)$. This proves the first statement of the lemma.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^+(h) &= \Psi_{h_1}^+(h_2) = S_{h_1}^+(H_2) = S_2^+(H_1+H_2) \\ &= S_1^+(H_1+H_2) = S_b^+(H_0) = \Psi_b^+(\exp H_0) \end{aligned}$$

since $S_1^+ = S_2^+$ on \mathfrak{z}_2 from Lemma 42. This proves the second statement.

COROLLARY. Θ^+ and Ψ^+ are both analytic on G' . Moreover Ψ^+ can be extended to a continuous function on G .

Let Ω be the set of all points $x_0 \in G$ with the following property. There exists an open neighborhood U of x_0 in G such that Θ^+ and Ψ^+ are both analytic on $U \cap G'$ and Ψ^+ extends to a continuous function on U . We have to verify that $\Omega = G$. Clearly Ω is an open and invariant subset of G . Therefore, in view of [2 (m), Lemma 7], it would be sufficient to verify that every semisimple element of G lies in Ω .

Fix a semisimple element $a \in G$. Then we can choose (see the corollary of [2 (m), Lemma 5]) a Cartan subgroup A of G containing a . Let $a = a_1 a_2$ where $a_1 \in A_I$, $a_2 \in A_R$. By Lemma 45 we can choose $x \in G$ such that $b = a_1^x \in B$. Since Ω is invariant, it would be enough to verify that $a^x \in \Omega$. Hence we may assume that $x=1$ and $a = b a_2$ where $b = a_1 \in A_I \cap B$. Now put $V = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)) \subset \Xi(b)$ in the notation of Lemma 50. Then V is an open neighborhood of 1 in $\Xi(b)$ and

$$\Theta^+(by) = \Theta_b^+(y), \quad \Psi^+(by) = \Psi_b^+(y)$$

for $y \in V' = V \cap (b^{-1}G')$. Moreover we note that Ψ_b^+ is continuous on V , $a_2 \in V$ and $\nu_b(a_2) \neq 0$.

Now let $x \rightarrow x^*$ denote the natural mapping of G on $G^* = G/\Xi(b)$ and fix open neighborhoods V_0 and G_0^* of a_2 and 1^* in V and G^* respectively. If V_0 and G_0^* are sufficiently small, we can choose an analytic mapping ϕ of G_0^* into G such that:

- 1) $(\phi(x^*))^* = x^* \quad (x^* \in G_0^*)$.
- 2) The mapping $\psi: (x^*, y) \rightarrow (by)^{\phi(x^*)}$ of $G_0^* \times V_0$ into G is univalent and regular.

This is evidently possible (see [2 (m), Lemma 14]). Put $U = \psi(G_0^* \times V_0)$. Then U is an open neighborhood of $a = ba_2$ in G and ψ defines an analytic diffeomorphism of $G_0^* \times V_0$ onto U . Put $V_0' = V_0 \cap V'$ and $U' = U \cap G'$. Then it is obvious that $\psi(G_0^* \times V_0') = U'$. Since Θ^+ and Ψ^+ are invariant functions, it is clear that

$$\Theta^+(\psi(x^*, y)) = \Theta^+(by) = \Theta_b^+(y), \quad \Psi^+(\psi(x^*, y)) = \Psi^+(by) = \Psi_b^+(y)$$

for $x^* \in G_0^*$ and $y \in V_0'$. However Θ_b^+ and Ψ_b^+ are both analytic on V' . Therefore it follows that Θ^+ and Ψ^+ are analytic on U' . Similarly since Ψ_b^+ is continuous on V , we conclude that Ψ^+ can be extended to a continuous function on U . This proves the corollary-

Define the character ξ_ρ of B as in [2 (m), § 18].

LEMMA 51. *Let Z_G be the center of G . Then*

$$\Theta^+(zx) = \xi_\rho(z)^{-1} \langle b^*, z \rangle \Theta^+(x), \quad \Psi^+(zx) = \langle b^*, z \rangle \Psi^+(x)$$

for $z \in Z_G$ and $x \in G'$.

Fix $h \in G'$ and let \mathfrak{a} be the centralizer of h in \mathfrak{g} and A the corresponding Cartan subgroup of G . Then $h = h_1 h_2$ ($h_1 \in A_I, h_2 \in A_R$) and we can choose $y \in G$ such that $h_1^y \in B$ (Lemma 45). The required result holds for $x = h$ if and only if it holds for $x = h^y$. Hence we may assume that $y = 1$ and therefore $h_1 \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^+(zh) &= \Theta_{zh_1}^+(h_2) = D_{zh_1}(H_2)^{-1} T_{zh_1}^+(H_2), \\ \Psi^+(zh) &= \Psi_{zh_1}^+(h_2) = S_{zh_1}^+(H_2) \quad (z \in Z_G) \end{aligned}$$

where⁽¹⁾ $H_2 = \log h_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_R$. Now h_1 and zh_1 have the same centralizer \mathfrak{z} in \mathfrak{g} and so it is obvious from the definitions of the various distributions that

$$T_{zh_1}^+ = \langle b^*, z \rangle T_{h_1}^+, \quad S_{zh_1}^+ = \langle b^*, z \rangle S_{h_1}^+.$$

On the other hand $\Delta(zb) = \xi_\rho(z) \Delta(b) \quad (b \in B)$.

⁽¹⁾ As usual \log denotes the inverse of the exponential mapping of \mathfrak{a}_R onto A_R .

Therefore it is clear that

$$D_{z h_1}(Z) = \xi_\rho(z) D_{h_1}(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z})$$

and now our assertions follow immediately.

LEMMA 52. *Let A be a Cartan subgroup of G and put $A' = A \cap G'$. Then*

$$\sup_{h \in A'} |\Delta_A(h) \Theta^+(h)| < \infty,$$

in the notation of [2 (m), § 19].

Since A_I/Z_G is compact, it would, in view of Lemma 51, be enough to prove the following result.

LEMMA 53. *For any $a \in A_I$, we can choose an open neighborhood U of 1 in A such that $U \supset A_R$ and*

$$\sup_{h \in U'} |\Delta_A(ah) \Theta^+(ah)| < \infty.$$

Here $U' = U \cap a^{-1}A'$.

By Lemma 45 we can select $x \in G$ such that $a^x \in B$. Hence, in view of the invariance of Θ^+ , we may assume, without loss of generality, that $a \in B$. Then from Lemma 50,

$$\Theta^+(a \exp Z) = \Theta_a^+(\exp Z) = D_a(Z)^{-1} T_a^+(Z)$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_a(c_a)$ such that $a \exp Z \in G'$. Let \mathfrak{a} be the Lie algebra of A . Then $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{z}_a$. Put $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_a(c_a)$ and $U = \exp \mathfrak{a}_0$. Then $U \supset A_R$ and if $a \exp H \in G'$ ($H \in \mathfrak{a}_0$), it is clear that

$$|\Delta_A(a \exp H) \Theta^+(a \exp H)| = |\Delta_A(a \exp H) \Theta_a^+(\exp H)| = |\pi_{\mathfrak{a}_0}(H) T_a^+(H)|$$

from the corollary of Lemma 47 and [2 (m), Lemma 33]. Hence if we take into account Lemmas 28, 38 and 40, we get

$$\sup_{h \in U'} |\Delta_A(ah) \Theta^+(ah)| < \infty.$$

LEMMA 54. Θ^+ is locally summable on G and

$$\sup_{x \in G'} |D(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Theta^+(x)| < \infty.$$

Moreover

$$z \Theta^+ = \chi_{b^*}(z) \Theta^+ \quad (z \in \mathfrak{z})$$

as a distribution on G .

Since there are only a finite number of non-conjugate Cartan subgroups of G , it follows from Lemma 52 that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{G}'} |D(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Theta^+(x)| < \infty.$$

Therefore Θ^+ is locally summable on G from [2 (m), Lemma 53].

Now fix $z \in \mathfrak{B}$ and consider the distribution

$$T = z \Theta^+ - \chi_{b^*}(z) \Theta^+$$

on G . We have to show that $T=0$. In view of [2 (m), Lemma 7], it would be enough to verify that no semisimple element of G lies in $\text{Supp } T$.

Fix a semisimple element $h \in G$. Then h lies in some Cartan subgroup A of G [2 (m), Cor. of Lemma 5]. Let $h = h_1 h_2$ ($h_1 \in A_L, h_2 \in A_R$). Then again by Lemma 45, there exists $x \in G$ such that $h_1^x \in B$. T being invariant, it would be sufficient to prove that $h^x \notin \text{Supp } T$. Hence replacing (h, A) by (h^x, A^x) , we may assume that $a = h_1 \in B$. Let σ_T and σ_{Θ^+} be the distributions on $\Xi'(a)$ corresponding to T and Θ^+ respectively under [2 (m), Lemma 15]. Then

$$\sigma_T = |v_a|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu_a(z) (|v_a|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{\Theta^+}) - \chi_{b^*}(z) \sigma_{\Theta^+}$$

by [2 (m), Lemma 22] where $\mu_a = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_a}$ as in Lemma 46. Let θ_a denote the function $y \rightarrow \Theta^+(ay)$ on $\Xi'(a)$. Then it follows from [2 (i), Cor. 2 of Theorem 1] that θ_a is locally summable and therefore $\sigma_{\Theta^+} = \theta_a$ from the definition of σ_{Θ^+} . Hence it follows from Lemma 50 and the corollary of Lemma 47 that the distribution $|v_a|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{\Theta^+}$ coincides on $V = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{h}_a(c_a))$ with the locally summable function $\varepsilon_a(0) \Phi_a^+$. Therefore we conclude from Lemma 46 that $\sigma_T = 0$ on V . Since V is an open subset of $\Xi'(a)$ containing h_2 , we conclude [2 (m), Lemma 15] that $T=0$ around $h = ah_2$. This proves Lemma 54.

LEMMA 55.
$$\Theta^+(b) = \Delta(b)^{-1} \sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle$$

for $b \in B'$.

Fix $b \in B'$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_b = \mathfrak{b}$ and therefore $D_b(H) = \Delta(b \exp H)$ and

$$T_b^+(H) = \sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle e^{\lambda(s^{-1}H)} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{b}).$$

Hence
$$\Theta^+(b) = \Theta_b^+(1) = D_b(0)^{-1} T_b^+(0) = \Delta(b)^{-1} \sum_{s \in W_G} \varepsilon(s) \langle (b^*)^s, b \rangle.$$

This shows that Θ^+ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3. Therefore in view of Lemma 44, the proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

§ 23. Further properties of Θ

Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and A the corresponding Cartan subgroup of G . Put $\mathfrak{a}_R' = \mathfrak{a}_R \cap \mathfrak{a}'(R)$ and $A_R' = A_R \cap A'(R)$ in the notation of [2 (m), § 19]. Let A^+ be a connected component of $A'(R)$. Then it is obvious that $A^+ = A_I^+ A_R^+$ where A_I^+ is a connected component of A_I and $A_R^+ \subset A_R$.

Let us assume that $\theta(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$. Then by Lemma 45 we can choose $k \in K$ such that $(A_I^+)^k \subset B$. Hence we may suppose that $A_I^+ \subset B$. Let \mathfrak{z} denote the centralizer of A_I^+ in \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are both Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{z} . Consider the complex-analytic subgroup Ξ_c of G_c corresponding to $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{z}_c}$. We can choose $y \in \Xi_c$ such that $\mathfrak{b}_c^y = \mathfrak{a}_c$. Put $W(A^+) = W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})$. Since \mathfrak{a}_I lies in the center of \mathfrak{z} , every root of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{a})$ is real. Hence (see [2 (k), Lemma 6]) every element of $W(A^+)$ is induced on \mathfrak{a} by some element of the analytic subgroup Ξ of G corresponding to \mathfrak{z} . Let W_{Ξ} be the subgroup of those elements of $W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$ which can be induced on \mathfrak{b} by some element of Ξ . Then $W_{\Xi} = W_k(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$ in the notation of § 18.

Put $\mathfrak{w}(A_I^+) = W_G \cap W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$ and write $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}(A_I^+)$ for simplicity.

LEMMA 56. *Suppose t_1, t_2 are two elements in W_G such that*

$$t_1^y \in W(A^+) t_2^y.$$

Then $t_1 \in \mathfrak{w} t_2$.

Put $t = t_1 t_2^{-1}$. Then $t \in (W(A^+))^{y^{-1}} \cap W_G = W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}) \cap W_G = \mathfrak{w}$.

COROLLARY. *Let $r = [W_G : \mathfrak{w}]$ and t_1, \dots, t_r a complete set of representatives in W_G for $\mathfrak{w} \backslash W_G$. Then the elements st_i^y ($s \in W(A^+)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$) are all distinct.*

This is obvious from the above lemma.

LEMMA 57. *Fix an element $b^* \in B^{*'}$ and define Θ as in Theorem 3. Then there exist unique complex numbers $c_{b^*}(s:t:A^+)$ ($s \in W(A^+)$, $t \in W_G$) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 1) & c_{b^*}(su^y : u^{-1}t : A^+) = c_{b^*}(s:t:A^+) \quad (u \in \mathfrak{w}), \\ 2) & \Delta_A(h_1 h_2) \Theta(h_1 h_2) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{w} \backslash W_G} \varepsilon(t) \langle (b^*)^t, h_1 \rangle \sum_{s \in W(A^+)} \varepsilon(s) c_{b^*}(s:t:A^+) \exp(s(t\lambda)^y(H_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for $h_1 \in A_I^+$, $h_2 \in A_R^+$. Here $\lambda = \log b^*$ and $(1) H_2 = \log h_2$.

Let $H_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_I$ and $H_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_R$. Then since s^{-1} and y^{-1} leave H_1 fixed, it is clear that

$$\langle (b^*)^t, \exp H_1 \rangle \exp(s(t\lambda)^y(H_2)) = \exp(s(t\lambda)^y(H_1 + H_2))$$

(1) See footnote 1, p. 299.

for $s \in W(A^+)$ and $t \in W_G$. Since λ is regular, the uniqueness is obvious from the corollary of Lemma 56. On the other hand the existence is seen as follows. We use the notation of § 18. Put $\alpha^+ = \alpha_I + \log A_R^+$. Then α^+ is a connected component of $\alpha'(\mathfrak{z}:R)$ (see § 13).

LEMMA 58. Put

$$c(s:\mathfrak{F}^+:A^+) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{w}/W_{\Xi}} c_{\mathfrak{z}}(st^y:t^{-1}\mathfrak{F}^+:\alpha^+)$$

for $s \in W(A^+)$ and any connected component \mathfrak{F}^+ of \mathfrak{F}' . Then

$$c_{b^*}(s:t:A^+) = c(s:t\mathfrak{F}^+:A^+) \quad (s \in W(A^+), t \in W_G)$$

where \mathfrak{F}^+ is the component of $\log b^*$ in \mathfrak{F}' ($b^* \in B^*$).

In view of Lemma 40, the definition of $c(s:\mathfrak{F}^+:A^+)$ is legitimate and it is obvious that

$$c(su^y:u^{-1}\mathfrak{F}^+:A^+) = c(s:\mathfrak{F}^+:A^+) \quad (u \in \mathfrak{w}).$$

Therefore it would be sufficient to prove the following result.

LEMMA 59. Fix $b^* \in B^*$ and a connected component \mathfrak{F}^+ of \mathfrak{F}' such that $\lambda = \log b^* \in \text{Cl } \mathfrak{F}^+$ and define Θ^+, Ψ^+ as in § 22 corresponding to b^* and \mathfrak{F}^+ . Then

$$\Delta_A(h_1 h_2) \Theta^+(h_1 h_2) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus W_G} \varepsilon(t) \langle (b^*)^t, h_1 \rangle \sum_{s \in W(A^+)} \varepsilon(s) c(s:t\mathfrak{F}^+:A^+) \exp(s(t\lambda)^y(H_2)),$$

and
$$\Psi^+(h_1 h_2) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus W_G} \langle (b^*)^t, h_1 \rangle \sum_{s \in W(A^+)} c(s:t\mathfrak{F}^+:A^+) \exp(s(t\lambda)^y(H_2))$$

for $h_1 \in A_I^+$ and $h_2 \in A_R^+$. Here $H_2 = \log h_2$ as before.

Fix a point $b_0 \in A_I^+$. Then we can choose $H_0 \in \alpha_I$ arbitrarily near zero such that 1) $\nu_{b_0}(\exp H_0) \neq 0$ and 2) every root of $(\mathfrak{z}_{b_0}, \alpha)$ which vanishes at H_0 is real. Put $b = b_0 \exp H_0$. Then $b \in A_I^+$ and it is obvious that $\mathfrak{z}_b = \mathfrak{z}$. This shows that the set V of those points $b \in A_I^+$ for which $\mathfrak{z}_b = \mathfrak{z}$, is dense in A_I^+ . Fix a point $b \in V$. Then from Lemma 50,

$$\Theta^+(b \exp Z) = \Theta_b^+(\exp Z) = D_b(Z)^{-1} T_b^+(Z), \quad \Psi^+(b \exp Z) = \Psi_b^+(\exp Z) = S_b^+(Z)$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$ such that $b \exp Z \in G'$. Put $U = \alpha^+ \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$ and let U' be the set of all points $H \in U$ where $\Delta_A(b \exp H) \neq 0$. Recall that P is the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$. Then we may assume, without loss of generality, that P^y is the

set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Then it is clear that

$$D_b(\exp H) = \Delta_A(b \exp H) \pi_{\mathfrak{b}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(H)^{-1}$$

and therefore

$$\Delta_A(b \exp H) \Theta^+(b \exp H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{b}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(H) T_{b^+}(H) \quad (H \in U').$$

On the other hand it follows from Lemmas 38 and 40 that

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{b}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(H) T_{b^+}(H) = \sum_{t \in W_{\Xi} \setminus W_G} \varepsilon(t) \langle (b^*)^t, b \rangle \sum_{s \in W(A^+)} \varepsilon(s) c_{\mathfrak{b}}(s: t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) \exp(s(t\lambda)^{\nu}(H))$$

for $H \in U'$. Now suppose $H = H_1 + H_2$ ($H_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_I, H_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_R$). Since s^{-1} and y^{-1} leave \mathfrak{a}_I pointwise fixed, it is clear that

$$\langle (b^*)^t, b \rangle \exp(s(t\lambda)^{\nu}(H)) = \langle (b^*)^t, h_1 \rangle \exp(s(t\lambda)^{\nu}(H_2))$$

for $s \in W(A^+)$ and $t \in W_G$. Here $h_1 = b \exp H_1$. Therefore since the function

$$h \rightarrow \Delta_A(h) \Theta^+(h) \quad (h \in A^+ \cap A')$$

extends to an analytic function on A^+ (see [2 (m), Lemma 31]), it is obvious that

$$\Delta_A(h_1 h_2) \Theta^+(h_1 h_2) = \sum_{t \in W_{\Xi} \setminus W_G} \varepsilon(t) \langle (b^*)^t, h_1 \rangle \sum_{s \in W(A^+)} \varepsilon(s) c_{\mathfrak{b}}(s: t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) \exp(s(t\lambda)^{\nu}(H_2))$$

for $h_1 \in A_I^+, h_2 \in A_R^+$. Our first assertion now follows immediately if we take into account Lemma 40.

Similarly we conclude from Lemmas 50 and 41 that

$$\Psi^+(b \exp H) = S_b^+(H) = \sum_{t \in W_{\Xi} \setminus W_G} \langle (b^*)^t, b \rangle \sum_{s \in W(A^+)} c_{\mathfrak{b}}(s: t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+) \exp(s(t\lambda)^{\nu}(H))$$

for $H \in U'$. Since Ψ^+ extends to a continuous function on G (see the corollary of Lemma 50), this relation holds for all $H \in U$. Now $\log A_R^+ \subset U$ and V is dense in A_I^+ . Therefore the second assertion of the lemma is now obvious.

LEMMA 60. $c(s: \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^+ : A^+) = 0$ unless $\Re \mu^{\nu}(s^{-1}H) \leq 0$ for every $\mu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^+$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$.

This is obvious from Lemma 58 and Lemma 28.

COROLLARY. There exists a number C (independent of b^* and $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^+$) such that

$$|D(x)|^{\sharp} |\Theta^+(x)| \leq C \quad (x \in G')$$

and
$$|\Psi^+(x)| \leq C \quad (x \in G)$$

in the above notation.

Let $C(A^+)$ denote the maximum of $|c_3(s: \mathfrak{F}^+ : \mathfrak{a}^+)|$ for all $s \in W(A^+)$ and all \mathfrak{F}^+ . Then it follows from Lemmas 58, 59 and 60 that

$$|\Delta_A(h) \Theta^+(h)| \leq [w : W_\Xi][W_G : w][W(A^+)] C(A^+) \leq [W]^2 C(A^+) \quad (h \in A' \cap A^+)$$

where $W = W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})$ as usual. Similarly

$$|\Psi^+(h)| \leq [W]^2 C(A^+) \quad (h \in A^+).$$

It is clear that $C(zA^+) = C(A^+)$ for $z \in Z_G$. Therefore since A/Z_G and $\mathfrak{a}'(R)$ both have only a finite number of connected components,

$$C(A) = [W]^2 \sup_{A^+} C(A^+) < \infty.$$

Here A^+ runs over all connected components of $A'(R)$. This shows that

$$|\Delta_A(h) \Theta^+(h)| \leq C(A) \quad (h \in A')$$

and
$$|\Psi^+(h)| \leq C(A) \quad (h \in A).$$

But then since G has only a finite number of non-conjugate Cartan subgroups, our assertion is obvious.

§ 24. The distribution Θ_λ^*

Put $L = \log B^*$. Then L is a closed additive subgroup of \mathfrak{F} which is, in fact, a lattice if B is compact. For any $\lambda \in L$, let ξ_λ denote the corresponding element of B^* so that $\xi_\lambda(\exp H) = e^{\lambda(H)}$ ($H \in \mathfrak{b}$). Fix $\lambda \in L$ and a connected component \mathfrak{F}' of \mathfrak{F}' such that $\lambda \in \text{Cl } \mathfrak{F}'$. Then we denote by $\Theta_{\lambda, \mathfrak{F}'}$ and $\Psi_{\lambda, \mathfrak{F}'}$ respectively, the distributions Θ^+ and Ψ^+ of § 22 for $b^* = \xi_\lambda$. In particular if $\lambda \in L' = L \cap \mathfrak{F}'$, the component \mathfrak{F}' is uniquely determined and so in this case we denote them simply by Θ_λ and Ψ_λ .

Now fix $\lambda \in L'$ and suppose that $s\lambda \in L$ for every $s \in W = W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})$. Then we intend to study the distribution

$$\Theta_\lambda^* = \sum_{s \in W} \varepsilon(s) \Theta_{s\lambda}$$

more closely. Let us return to the notation of § 23 and define

$$\xi_{t, \lambda}(h_1, h_2) = \xi_{t\lambda}(h_1) \exp((t\lambda)^y(\log h_2)) \quad (t \in W)$$

for $h_1 \in A_I^+$ and $h_2 \in A_R$. Let \mathfrak{m} be the centralizer of \mathfrak{a}_R in \mathfrak{g} and put

$$W_0 = W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})^{y^{-1}}.$$

Since \mathfrak{a}_I lies in the center of \mathfrak{z} and \mathfrak{a}_R in the center of \mathfrak{m} , it is clear that $W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{a})$ and $W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})$ commute (as subgroups of $W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})$). Therefore $W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$ and W_0 also commute in W .

LEMMA 61. For any connected component \mathfrak{F}^+ of \mathfrak{F}' , define

$$c^*(t: \mathfrak{F}^+: A^+) = [W_G: \mathfrak{w}] \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})} c(s^y: s^{-1}t \mathfrak{F}^+: A^+) \quad (t \in W).$$

Then

$$c^*(u^{-1}t: \mathfrak{F}^+: A^+) = c^*(t: \mathfrak{F}^+: A^+)$$

for $u \in W_0$ and $t \in W$. Moreover,

$$\Delta_A \Theta_\lambda^* = \sum_{t \in W} \varepsilon(t) c^*(t: \mathfrak{F}^+: A^+) \xi_{t, \lambda}$$

on A^+ . Here \mathfrak{F}^+ is the component of \mathfrak{F}' containing λ .

Fix $u \in W_0$ and $t \in W$. Since u and $W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$ commute, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} c^*(u^{-1}t: \mathfrak{F}^+: A^+) &= [W_G: \mathfrak{w}] \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})} c(s^y: u^{-1}s^{-1}t \mathfrak{F}^+: A^+) \\ &= [W_G: W_\Xi] \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})} c_3(s^y: u^{-1}s^{-1}t \mathfrak{F}^+: \mathfrak{a}^+) \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 58. Define \mathfrak{F}'_3 as in § 18 and for fixed $s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})$ and $t \in W$, let \mathfrak{F}^+_3 be the unique connected component of \mathfrak{F}'_3 containing $s^{-1}t \mathfrak{F}^+$. Since u^{-1} leaves every root of $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{b})$ fixed, it is clear that $u^{-1} \mathfrak{F}^+_3 = \mathfrak{F}^+_3$. Hence

$$c_3(s^y: u^{-1}s^{-1}t \mathfrak{F}^+: \mathfrak{a}^+) = c_3(s^y: \mathfrak{F}^+_3: \mathfrak{a}^+) = c_3(s^y: s^{-1}t \mathfrak{F}^+: \mathfrak{a}^+)$$

in the notation of § 18. This implies the first assertion of the lemma.

Now let \mathfrak{F}^+ be the component of \mathfrak{F}' containing λ . Then it follows from Lemma 59 that

$$\Delta_A \Theta_\lambda^* = \sum_{u \in W} \varepsilon(u) \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus W_G} \varepsilon(t) \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b})} \varepsilon(s) c(s^y: tu \mathfrak{F}^+: A^+) \xi_{stu, \lambda}$$

on A^+ . From this the second assertion of the lemma follows immediately.

Now assume that G_c is an acceptable complexification (see [2 (m), § 18]) of G and G is the real analytic subgroup of G_c corresponding to \mathfrak{g} . Let A_c and B_c be the Cartan subgroups of G_c corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_c and \mathfrak{b}_c respectively. Then W operates on

B_c and therefore also on B . Hence L is invariant under W . Similarly $W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})$ operates on A_c . Since it maps \mathfrak{a}_I into itself and leaves \mathfrak{a}_R pointwise fixed, it leaves every point in $A_I \cap \exp(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_R$ fixed and maps $A_I^0 = \exp \mathfrak{a}_I$ into itself. Therefore (see [2 (m), Lemma 50]) $W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})$ operates on A and maps A_I^+ into itself. Now if $u \in W_0$ then $s = u^y \in W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})$ and

$$\xi_{ut,\lambda}(h_1 h_2) = \xi_{ut\lambda}(h_1) \exp((t\lambda)^y(\log h_2)) = \xi_{t,\lambda}((h_1 h_2)^{s^{-1}}) \quad (t \in W)$$

for $h_1 \in A_I^+, h_2 \in A_R$. Hence we obtain the following result from Lemma 61.

LEMMA 62. *Under the above conditions*

$$\Delta_A(h) \Theta_\lambda^*(h) = \sum_{t \in W_0 \setminus W} \varepsilon(t) c^*(t: \mathfrak{F}^+ : A^+) \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) \xi_{t,\lambda}(h^s)$$

for $h \in A^+$.

Let P_+ be the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ which do not vanish identically on \mathfrak{a}_R . Put $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} \alpha$ and

$$\Delta_+(h) = e^{\sigma(\log h_2)} \prod_{\alpha \in P_+} (1 - \xi_\alpha(h^{-1})) \quad (h \in A)$$

in the notation of [2 (m), § 18]. Here h_2 is the component of h in A_R .

COROLLARY.
$$\sup_{h \in A^+} |\Delta_+(h) \Theta_\lambda^*(h)| < \infty.$$

In view of Lemma 51, it is enough to show that $\Delta_+(h) \Theta_\lambda^*(h)$ remains bounded for $h \in A^+ \cap A'$. In order to do this we can obviously assume that the set of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ is chosen as in [2 (m), § 27]. Define M , Δ_M and ξ_ρ as in [2 (m), § 27]. Then

$$\Delta_A(h) = \Delta_M(h) \Delta_+(h) \quad (h \in A).$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 60, that

$$c(s^y : s^{-1} t \mathfrak{F}^+ : A^+) = 0 \quad (s \in W(\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{b}), t \in W)$$

unless $(t\lambda)^y(H_2) \leq 0$ for $H_2 \in \log A_R^+$. Therefore it is clear from Lemmas 61 and 62 that

$$|\Delta_+(h) \Theta_\lambda^*(h)| \leq \sum_{t \in W_0 \setminus W} |c^*(t: \mathfrak{F}^+ : A^+)| |\Delta_M(h_1)^{-1} \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) \xi_{t\lambda}(h_1^s)|$$

for $h \in A' \cap A^+$ where h_1 is the component of h in A_I^+ . Now choose

$$a \in A_I^+ \cap \exp(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathfrak{a}_R$$

such that $A_I^+ = aA_I^0$. Then (see [2 (m), § 23])

$$\Delta_M(ah) = \xi_{\rho}(a) \Delta_M(h)$$

and

$$\sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) \xi_{t\lambda}((ah)^s) = \xi_{t\lambda}(a) \sum_{s \in W(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a})} \varepsilon(s) \xi_{t\lambda}(h^s)$$

for $h \in A_I^0$ and $t \in W$. Therefore our assertion is obvious from [2 (b), Cor. 2, p. 139].

§ 25. Statement of Theorem 4

Fix a Haar measure dx on G and consider the distribution

$$\Theta^+(f) = \int f \Theta^+ dx \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(G))$$

as in Lemma 54. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, get $G(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of all $x \in G$ where $|D(x)| > \varepsilon^2$. Suppose u is a measurable function on G' which is integrable (with respect to dx) on $G(\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we define⁽¹⁾

$$\text{p.v.} \int u dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{G(\varepsilon)} u dx$$

provided this limit exists and is finite.

THEOREM 4. *Define Θ^+ and Ψ^+ as in § 22 and put $\lambda = \log b^*$. Then*

$$\varpi(\lambda) \Theta^+(f) = \text{p.v.} \int D^{-1} \Psi^+ \nabla_G f dx$$

where ∇_G has the same meaning as in [2 (m), § 20].

Before proceeding with the proof, we need some formulas on integrals (cf. § 2). Let $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{a}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_r$ be a maximal set of Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} no two of which are conjugate under G . Let A_i be the Cartan subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_i . Put $G_i^* = G/A_{i_0}$ where A_{i_0} is the center of A_i and fix a Haar measure $d_i a$ on A_i and an invariant measure $d_i x^*$ on G_i^* . Also let

$$\Delta_i(a) = \Delta_{A_i}(a) \quad (a \in A_i)$$

in the usual notation (see [2 (m), § 19]).

LEMMA 63. *There exist numbers $c_i > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) such that*

⁽¹⁾ See footnote 1, p. 246.

$$\int f(x) dx = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int_{G_i^* \times A_i} |\Delta_i(a)|^2 f(a^{x^*}) d_i x^* d_i a$$

for $f \in C_c(G)$ in the notation of [2 (m), § 22].

Put $G_i = A_i^G \cap G'$. Then G' is the disjoint union of G_1, \dots, G_r and our assertion is an immediate consequence of [2 (m), Lemma 41].

§ 26. A simple property of the function Δ

Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and A the corresponding Cartan subgroup of G . Suppose a is an element of A and α a root of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. We say that a and α commute if $\xi_\alpha(a) = 1$ in the notation of [2 (m), § 19].

Put $m = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{g})$ as in § 2. Then m is the number of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. For any $a \in A$, define the integer $m(R:a) \geq 0$ as follows. Let $a = a_1 a_2$ ($a_1 \in A_I, a_2 \in A_R$). Then $m(R:a)$ is the number of positive real roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ which commute with a_1 . If α is a real root, $\alpha(H) = 0$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}_I$. Hence it is clear that $m(R:a)$ depends only on the connected component of a_1 in A_I . Therefore the function $m(R): a \rightarrow m(R:a)$ is locally constant on A .

LEMMA 64. $\text{conj } \Delta_A(a) = (-1)^{m+m(R:a)} \Delta_A(a) \quad (a \in A).$

This result is obviously independent of the choice of positive roots. Hence we may select compatible orders on the spaces of real linear functions on \mathfrak{a}_R and $\mathfrak{a}_R + (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{a}_I$ respectively and assume that P is the set of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ in this order. Let η denote the conjugation of \mathfrak{g}_c with respect to \mathfrak{g} . Then it is clear that if α is a root, the same holds for $\eta\alpha$ and

$$\xi_{\eta\alpha}(a) = \text{conj } \xi_\alpha(a) \quad (a \in A).$$

Let P_R, P_I and P_c respectively denote the sets of real, imaginary and complex roots in P (see [2 (k), § 4]). We now use the notation of [2 (m), § 19]. Then

$$\Delta(a) = \xi_e(a) \Delta_I'(a) \Delta_+'(a)$$

where $\Delta_I'(a) = \prod_{\alpha \in P_I} (1 - \xi_\alpha(a)^{-1}), \quad \Delta_+'(a) = \prod_{\alpha \in P_+} (1 - \xi_\alpha(a)^{-1}) \quad (a \in A)$

and $P_+ = P_R \cup P_c$. Since P_+ is invariant under η , it is clear that $\Delta_+'(a)$ is real. On the other hand, $\eta\alpha = -\alpha$ for $\alpha \in P_I$. Therefore

$$\text{conj } \Delta_I'(a) = (-1)^{m(I)} \xi_{2e_I}(a) \Delta_I'(a)$$

where $m(I)$ is the number of roots in P_I and $\varrho_I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P_I} \alpha$. Now suppose $a = a_1 a_2$ ($a_1 \in A_I, a_2 \in A_R$). Then $\text{conj } \xi_\varrho(a) = \xi_\varrho(a_1^{-1} a_2)$ and $\xi_{2\varrho_I}(a_2) = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{conj } \Delta(a) &= (-1)^{m(I)} \xi_\varrho(a_1^{-1} a_2) \xi_{2\varrho_I}(a_1) \Delta_I'(a) \Delta_+'(a) \\ &= (-1)^{m(I)} \xi_{2\varrho}(a_1)^{-1} \xi_{2\varrho_I}(a_1) \Delta(a) = (-1)^{m(I)} \xi_{2\varrho_+}(a_1)^{-1} \Delta(a) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_+ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} \alpha$. Now if $\alpha \in P_c$ then the same holds for $\eta\alpha$ and $\eta\alpha \neq \alpha$. Moreover,

$$\xi_\alpha(a_1) \xi_{\eta\alpha}(a_1) = |\xi_\alpha(a_1)|^2 = 1.$$

Hence

$$\xi_{2\varrho_+}(a_1) = \xi_{2\varrho_R}(a_1)$$

where $\varrho_R = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P_R} \alpha$. But for any $\alpha \in P_R$, $\xi_\alpha(a_1)$ is both real and unimodular. Therefore it is ± 1 . Hence

$$\xi_{2\varrho_R}(a_1) = \prod_{\alpha \in P_R} \xi_\alpha(a_1) = (-1)^q$$

where q is the number of roots $\alpha \in P_R$ such that $\xi_\alpha(a_1) = -1$. But then $q + m(R:a)$ is the total number of roots in P_R . We have seen above that the roots in P_c occur in pairs. Hence

$$q + m(R:a) + m(I) \equiv m \pmod{2}.$$

This shows that

$$q + m(I) \equiv m + m(R:a) \pmod{2}$$

and therefore $\text{conj } \Delta(a) = (-1)^{m(I)+q} \Delta(a) = (-1)^{m+m(R:a)} \Delta(a)$.

This proves the lemma.

§ 27. Reduction of Theorem 4 to Lemma 66

We now come to Theorem 4. Suppose V_ε ($0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$) is a family of measurable functions on G such that (cf. § 2)

- 1) $0 \leq V_\varepsilon \leq 1$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} V_\varepsilon(x) = 1$ for $x \in G'$,
- 2) V is invariant under G .
- 3) $V_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ if $|D(x)| < \varepsilon^2$ ($x \in G$).

Fix $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and define $F_{f,i}$, $\varepsilon_{R,i}$ and ϖ_i on A_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) as in [2 (m), § 22] and let $m_i(R)$ be the locally constant function on A_i introduced in § 26. Since

$$D(a) = (-1)^{m_i} \Delta_i(a)^2 \quad (a \in A_i),$$

it is obvious from Lemmas 63 and 64 that

$$\int V_\varepsilon D^{-1} \Psi^+ \nabla_G f dx = \sum_i c_i \int V_{\varepsilon,i} (-1)^{m_i(\mathbb{R})} \varepsilon_{R,i} \Psi_i^+ \varpi_i F_{f,i} d_i a$$

where $V_{\varepsilon,i}$ and Ψ_i^+ respectively denote the restrictions of V_ε and Ψ^+ on A_i . Therefore the following lemma is now obvious (cf. Lemma 4) from [2 (f), Theorem 2].

LEMMA 65. Fix $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int V_\varepsilon D^{-1} \Psi^+ \nabla_G f dx = \text{p.v.} \int D^{-1} \Psi^+ \nabla_G f dx = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int (-1)^{m_i(\mathbb{R})} \varepsilon_{R,i} \Psi_i^+ \varpi_i F_{f,i} d_i a.$$

$$\text{Now put} \quad T(f) = \varpi(\lambda) \Theta^+(f) - \text{p.v.} \int D^{-1} \Psi^+ \nabla_G f dx$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. It follows from [2 (f), Theorem 2] and the above lemma that T is an invariant distribution on G . We have to show that $T=0$. Hence it is sufficient by [2 (m), Lemma 7] to verify that no semisimple element of G lies in $\text{Supp } T$.

Fix a function $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq v \leq 1$, $v(t) = 0$ if $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $v(t) = 1$ if $|t| \geq 1$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, put

$$V_\varepsilon(x) = v(2^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} D(x)) \quad (x \in G).$$

Then it follows from Lemma 65 that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int D^{-1} V_\varepsilon \Psi^+ \nabla_G f dx = \text{p.v.} \int D^{-1} \Psi^+ \nabla_G f dx.$$

$$\text{Put} \quad T_\varepsilon(f) = \varpi(\lambda) \Theta^+(f) - \int D^{-1} V_\varepsilon \Psi^+ \nabla_G f dx \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(G))$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$. As usual let ∇_G^* denote the adjoint of ∇_G on G' . Since $D^{-1} V_\varepsilon \Psi^+$ is a C^∞ function on G whose support is contained in G' , it follows that the distribution T_ε is, in fact, a locally summable function given by the formula

$$T_\varepsilon = \varpi(\lambda) \Theta^+ - \nabla_G^*(D^{-1} V_\varepsilon \Psi^+).$$

Moreover,

$$T(f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\varepsilon(f) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(G)).$$

Fix a semisimple element $a \in G$. Then a is contained in some Cartan subgroup A of G and $a = a_1 a_2$ where $a_1 \in A_I$, $a_2 \in A_R$. Let \mathfrak{a} be the Lie algebra of A . By Lemma 45, we can choose $x \in G$ such that $\theta(\mathfrak{a}^x) = \mathfrak{a}^x$, $(\mathfrak{a}_I)^x \subset \mathfrak{b}$ and $a_1^x \in B$. Since T is invariant under G , it would be enough to verify that $a^x \notin \text{Supp } T$. Hence replacing

(a, A) by (a^x, A^x) , we may assume that $\theta(a) = a$, $a_I \subset \mathfrak{b}$ and $a_1 \in B$. Then $a = b \exp H_0$ where $b = a_1 \in A_I \cap B$ and $H_0 = \log a_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_R$. Define $\mathfrak{z}_b(c_b)$ as in Lemma 50. Then $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathfrak{z}_b(c_b) \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of zero in $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}_b$ and $H_0 \in \mathfrak{z}_0$. Put $\Xi = \Xi(b)$ and $\Xi_0 = \exp \mathfrak{z}_0$. Then Ξ_0 is an open and completely invariant neighborhood of 1 in Ξ (see [2 (m), Lemma 8]) and $\exp H_0 \in \Xi_0$. Let σ and σ_ϵ be the distributions on Ξ_0 corresponding to T and T_ϵ respectively under [2 (m), Lemma 15]. It would be sufficient to verify that $\sigma = 0$. It is obvious (see [2 (i), Cor. 2 of Theorem 1]) that σ_ϵ is the locally summable function

$$y \rightarrow T_\epsilon(by) \quad (y \in \Xi_0)$$

on Ξ_0 and therefore

$$\sigma(g) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_\epsilon(g) = \varpi(\lambda) \int g(y) \Theta^+(by) dy - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int g(y) \Psi^+(by; \nabla_G^* \circ D^{-1}V_\epsilon) dy$$

for $g \in C_c^\infty(\Xi_0)$. (Here dy is the Haar measure on Ξ .) Let τ' be the distribution on \mathfrak{z}_0 which corresponds to σ under the process described in [2 (m), § 10]. Then by Lemma 50,

$$\tau'(f) = \varpi(\lambda) \int \xi_3(Z) f(Z) \Theta_b^+(\exp Z) dZ - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \xi_3(Z) \Psi^+(b \exp Z; \nabla_G^* \circ D^{-1}V_\epsilon) dZ$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}_0)$ and it would be sufficient to verify that $\tau' = 0$.

Put

$$S_\epsilon^+(Z) = V_\epsilon(b \exp Z) \Psi^+(b \exp Z) = V_\epsilon(b \exp Z) S_b^+(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0)$$

in the notation of § 22.

LEMMA 66. We have (1)

$$\Psi^+(b \exp Z; \nabla_G^* \circ D^{-1}V_\epsilon) = D_b(Z)^{-1} S_\epsilon^+(Z; \partial(q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}) \circ \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}^* \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{z}}^{-1})$$

for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0$ in the notation of § 22 and Lemma 41.

Assuming this for a moment, we shall first finish the proof of Theorem 4. Put $\tau = \xi_3^{-1} D_b \tau'$ and recall that

$$\Theta_b^+(\exp Z) = D_b(Z)^{-1} T_b^+(Z)$$

by definition (see § 22). Hence if we write $q = q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$, we get

$$\tau(f) = \varpi(\lambda) T_b^+(f) - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int f(Z) S_\epsilon^+(Z; \partial(q) \circ \nabla_{\mathfrak{z}}^* \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{z}}^{-1}) dZ$$

(1) See footnote 1, p. 285.

for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{z}_0)$. But since S_ε^+ is a C^∞ function on \mathfrak{z}_0 and $\eta_\mathfrak{z}$ is nowhere zero on its support, it is clear that

$$\int f(Z) S_\varepsilon^+(Z; \partial(q) \circ \nabla_\mathfrak{z}^* \circ \eta_\mathfrak{z}^{-1}) dZ = \int \eta_\mathfrak{z}^{-1} (\nabla_\mathfrak{z} \circ \partial(q)^*) f \cdot S_\varepsilon^+ dZ.$$

Now as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the right side obviously tends (see Lemma 4) to the limit

$$\text{p.v.} \int \eta_\mathfrak{z}^{-1} (\nabla_\mathfrak{z} \circ \partial(q)^*) f \cdot S_b^+ dZ.$$

Hence
$$\tau(f) = \varpi(\lambda) T_b^+(f) - \text{p.v.} \int \eta_\mathfrak{z}^{-1} (\nabla_\mathfrak{z} \circ \partial(q)^*) f \cdot S_b^+ dZ = 0$$

by Lemma 41. This proves Theorem 4.

§ 28. Proof of Lemma 66

We have still to prove Lemma 66. This requires some preparation. Fix a Cartan subgroup A of G and define ϖ_A, Δ_A as in [2 (m), § 20]. Also put $A' = A \cap G'$ as usual.

LEMMA 67. *The differential operator ∇_G^* on G' is invariant under G and*

$$f(h; \nabla_G^*) = (-1)^m \Delta_A(h)^{-1} f(h; \varpi_A \circ \Delta_A^2) \quad (h \in A')$$

for $f \in C^\infty(G')$.

Since ∇_G is invariant, it is obvious that the same holds for ∇_G^* . Fix $h_0 \in A'$ and an open and relatively compact neighborhood U of h_0 in A' . Then $V = U^G$ is an open neighborhood of h_0 in G . Put $\Delta = \Delta_A$ and let us use the notation of [2 (m), Lemma 41]. Then if $g \in C_c^\infty(V)$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \int g \nabla_G^* f dx &= \int \nabla_G g \cdot f dx = c \int_A |\Delta(h)|^2 dh \int_{G^*} g(h^{x^*}; \nabla_G) f(h^{x^*}) dx^* \\ &= c \int_{A \cap V} |\Delta(h)|^2 dh \int_{G^*} g(x^*: h; \varpi_A \circ \Delta) f(x^*: h) dx^* \end{aligned}$$

where $g(x^*: h) = g(h^{x^*})$ and $f(x^*: h) = f(h^{x^*})$ ($h \in A \cap V, x^* \in G^*$). On the other hand

$$|\Delta|^2 = (-1)^{m+m(R)} \Delta^2$$

from Lemma 64 and it is obvious that

$$A \cap V = \bigcup_{s \in W_A} U^s$$

in the notation of [2 (m), § 20]. Hence $A \cap V$ is relatively compact in A' . Therefore (see [2 (f), Theorem 1]) there exists a compact set Ω^* in G^* such that $h^{x^*} \notin \text{Supp } g$ for $h \in A \cap V$ and $x^* \in G^*$ unless $x^* \in \Omega^*$. Hence it is obvious that

$$\int g \nabla_{G^*} f dx = c(-1)^m \int_{A \cap V} |\Delta(h)|^2 dh \int_{G^*} g(h^{x^*}) f(x^*; h; \Delta^{-1} \varpi_A \circ \Delta^2) dx^*.$$

On the other hand, there exists (see [2 (m), § 20]) a unique differential operator ∇' on $G_A = (A')^G$ such that

$$\beta(h^x; \nabla') = \beta(x; h; \Delta^{-1} \varpi_A \circ \Delta^2)$$

for $x \in G$ and $h \in A'$. Here β is any C^∞ function on G_A and $\beta(x; h) = \beta(h^x)$. Therefore

$$\int g \nabla_{G^*} f dx = c(-1)^m \int_A |\Delta(h)|^2 dh \int_{G^*} g(h^{x^*}) f(h^{x^*}; \nabla') dx^* = (-1)^m \int g \nabla' f dx.$$

This shows that $\nabla_{G^*} = (-1)^m \nabla'$ on V and therefore

$$f(h_0; \nabla_{G^*}) = (-1)^m f(h_0; \Delta^{-1} \varpi_A \circ \Delta^2).$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

Now in Lemma 66, both sides are C^∞ functions on \mathfrak{z}_0 which are invariant under Ξ . Therefore it would be enough to show that they are equal on $\mathfrak{a}_0' = \mathfrak{a}' \cap \mathfrak{z}_0$ for any Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} . Fix \mathfrak{a} and let A denote the corresponding Cartan subgroup of G . Since

$$V_\varepsilon(b \exp Z) \Psi^+(b \exp Z) = S_\varepsilon^+(Z) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{z}_0)$$

and $D(a) = (-1)^m \Delta_A(a)^2$ ($a \in A$), it follows from Lemma 67 that

$$\Psi^+(b \exp H; \nabla_{G^*} \circ D^{-1} V_\varepsilon) = \Delta_A(b \exp H)^{-1} S_\varepsilon^+(H; \partial(\varpi_A)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}_0').$$

Let G_c denote, as before, the (connected) adjoint group of \mathfrak{g}_c and Ξ_c the complex-analytic subgroup corresponding to $\text{ad } \mathfrak{z}_c$. Select $y \in \Xi_c$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_c^y = \mathfrak{a}_c$. P being the set of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b})$, we may assume that P^y is the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Then it is clear that

$$\Delta_A(b \exp H) = \pi_{\mathfrak{z}_c^{\mathfrak{a}}}(H) D_b(H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}).$$

Hence $D_b(H) \Psi^+(b \exp H; \nabla_{G^*} \circ D^{-1} V_\varepsilon) = S_\varepsilon^+(H; (\pi_{\mathfrak{z}_c^{\mathfrak{a}}})^{-1} \partial(\varpi_A))$ ($H \in \mathfrak{a}_0'$).

Put $q = q_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}}$ and let q_α denote the projection of q in $S(\mathfrak{a}_c)$ (see [2 (j), § 8]). Then

$$\varpi_A = \varpi^y = (\varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}} \varpi_{\mathfrak{z}})^y = q_\alpha \varpi_{\mathfrak{z}}^y$$

in the notation of § 18. Therefore since S_ε^+ is invariant under Ξ , it follows from the corollary of Lemma 2 and [2 (c), Theorem 1] that

$$S_\varepsilon^+(H; \partial(q) \circ \nabla_3^* \circ \eta_3^{-1}) = S_\varepsilon^+(H; (\pi_3^a)^{-1} \partial(\varpi_A)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}_0').$$

This proves Lemma 66.

§ 29. Some convergence questions

We use the notation introduced at the beginning of § 24. Put

$$\chi_\lambda = \chi_{b^*} \text{ for } \lambda = \log b^* \quad (b^* \in B^*).$$

LEMMA 68. *Let p be a (complex-valued) polynomial function on \mathfrak{F} . Then we can choose an element $z \in \mathfrak{B}$ with the following property. If \mathfrak{F}^+ is a connected component of \mathfrak{F}' and $\lambda \in L \cap \text{Cl } \mathfrak{F}^+$, then*

$$|p(\lambda) \Theta_{\lambda, \mathfrak{F}^+}(f)| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int_{A_i} |F_{z, i}| d_i a \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(G)).$$

Here the notation is the same as in Lemma 65.

Define \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{g}_1 as in § 14 and let ω_1 be the Casimir operator corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_1 (see [2 (b), p. 140]). Then $\omega_1 \in \mathfrak{B}$. Put $\omega_0 = \omega_1 - (H_1^2 + \dots + H_s^2)$ where H_1, \dots, H_s is a base for \mathfrak{c} over \mathbf{R} . Then a simple calculation shows that $\chi_\lambda(\omega_0) = \|\lambda\|^2 - c$ ($\lambda \in L$), where c is a real number (independent of λ) and $\mu \rightarrow \|\mu\|$ ($\mu \in \mathfrak{F}$) is a Euclidean norm on \mathfrak{F} . Put $\omega = 1 + c + \omega_0$. Then $\chi_\lambda(\omega) = 1 + \|\lambda\|^2$ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$) and ω is a self-adjoint differential operator in \mathfrak{B} . Now fix \mathfrak{F}^+ and write $\Theta_\lambda^+ = \Theta_{\lambda, \mathfrak{F}^+}$ ($\lambda \in L^+ = L \cap \text{Cl } \mathfrak{F}^+$). Then

$$\Theta_\lambda^+(\omega^q f) = \chi_\lambda(\omega^q) \Theta_\lambda^+(f) = (1 + \|\lambda\|^2)^q \Theta_\lambda^+(f)$$

for any integer $q \geq 0$ ($\lambda \in L^+$, $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$). Define C as in the corollary of Lemma 60. Then it follows from Lemma 63 that

$$|\Theta_\lambda^+(f)| \leq \sum_i c_i \int_{A_i} |\Delta_i(a) \Theta_\lambda^+(a) F_{f, i}(a)| d_i a \leq C \sum_i c_i \int_{A_i} |F_{f, i}| d_i a \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(G)).$$

Replacing f by $\omega^q f$, we get

$$(1 + \|\lambda\|^2)^q |\Theta_\lambda^+(f)| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int |F_{z, i}| d_i a$$

where $z = C \omega^q$. The assertion of the lemma is now obvious.

Now L is a closed additive subgroup of \mathfrak{F} . Let $d\lambda$ denote the Haar measure of L . It is clear from Lemmas 57 and 58 that for a fixed $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, $\Theta_\lambda^+(f)$ ($\lambda \in L^+$) is a measurable function of $\lambda \in L^+$.

COROLLARY 1. For any $p \in S(\mathfrak{b}_c)$, we can choose $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that

$$\int_{L^+} |p(\lambda) \Theta_\lambda^+(f)| d\lambda \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int_{A_i} |F_{zf,i}| d_i a$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

We can obviously choose an integer $q \geq 0$ such that

$$\alpha = \int_L (1 + \|\lambda\|)^{-q} d\lambda < \infty.$$

On the other hand, by the above lemma, we can select $z_0 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that

$$(1 + \|\lambda\|)^q |p(\lambda) \Theta_\lambda^+(f)| \leq \sum_i c_i \int |F_{z_0 f, i}| d_i a$$

for $\lambda \in L^+$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Hence we can take $z = \alpha z_0$.

Define Θ_λ for $\lambda \in L'$ as in § 24 and let us agree to the convention that $\varpi(\lambda) \Theta_\lambda = 0$ if $\varpi(\lambda) = 0$ ($\lambda \in L$).

COROLLARY 2. Put

$$T(f) = \int_L \varpi(\lambda) \Theta_\lambda(f) d\lambda \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(G)).$$

Then T is an invariant distribution on G and, in fact, we can choose $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that

$$|T(f)| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \int_{A_i} |F_{zf,i}| d_i a$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

The second statement follows from Corollary 1 above and the rest is obvious from [2 (f), Theorem 2].

Now assume B is compact. Then L is discrete and therefore

$$T(f) = \sum_{\lambda \in L} \varpi(\lambda) \Theta_\lambda(f).$$

Put $q = \frac{1}{2} \dim(G/K)$. Then q is an integer (see [2 (k), Lemma 18]) and we shall see in another paper that there exists a number $c > 0$ such that $(-1)^q c T$ is precisely the contribution of the discrete series (see [2 (a), § 5]) to the Plancherel formula of G (see [2 (h), Theorem 4]). The proof of this fact depends on Theorem 4.

§ 30. Appendix

Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra over \mathbf{R} and Ω a completely invariant open subset of \mathfrak{g} .

LEMMA 69. *Let F_k ($k \geq 1$) be a sequence of continuous and invariant functions on Ω . Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

1) *For any Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} , F_k converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathfrak{a} \cap \Omega$.*

2) *F_k converges uniformly on every compact subset of Ω .*

Obviously 2) implies 1). So let us assume that 1) holds. Let Ω_0 be the set of all elements $X_0 \in \Omega$ with the following property. There exists an open neighborhood U of X_0 in Ω such that F_k converges uniformly on U . It would be sufficient to show that $\Omega_0 = \Omega$. Clearly Ω_0 is open and invariant. Therefore in view of [2 (l), Cor. 2 of Lemma 8], we have only to verify that every semisimple point of Ω lies in Ω_0 .

Fix a semisimple element $H_0 \in \Omega$ and an open and relatively compact neighborhood U of H_0 in Ω . It would obviously be enough to show that F_k converges uniformly on $U' = U \cap \mathfrak{g}'$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_r$ be a complete set of Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} no two of which are conjugate under G . Then $V_i = \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{a}_i \cap U^G)$ is a compact subset of $\mathfrak{a}_i \cap \Omega$ (see [2 (k), Lemma 23]). Now fix $X \in U'$. Then $X = H^x$ where $x \in G$ and $H \in V_i$ for some i . Hence

$$F_j(X) - F_k(X) = F_j(H) - F_k(H) \quad (j, k \geq 1).$$

However, the sequence F_k converges uniformly on $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} V_i$ by 1) and so the required result follows immediately.

References

- [1]. BOREL, A., & HARISH-CHANDRA, Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups. *Ann. of Math.*, 75 (1962), 485–535.
- [2]. HARISH-CHANDRA, (a) Representations of semisimple Lie groups, VI. *Amer. J. Math.*, 78 (1956), 564–628.
 - (b) The characters of semisimple Lie groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83 (1956), 98–163.
 - (c) Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra. *Amer. J. Math.*, 79 (1957), 87–120.
 - (d) Fourier transforms on a semisimple Lie algebra, I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 79 (1957), 193–257.

- (e) Fourier transforms on a semisimple Lie algebra, II. *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957), 653–686.
 - (f) A formula for semisimple Lie groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 79 (1957), 733–760.
 - (g) Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group, I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 80 (1958), 241–310.
 - (h) Invariant eigendistributions on semisimple Lie groups. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69 (1963), 117–123.
 - (i) Invariant distributions on Lie algebras. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86 (1964), 271–309.
 - (j) Invariant differential operators and distributions on a semisimple Lie algebra. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86 (1964), 534–564.
 - (k) Some results on an invariant integral on a semisimple Lie algebra. *Ann. of Math.*, 80 (1964), 551–593.
 - (l) Invariant eigendistributions on a semisimple Lie algebra. *Pub. Math. I.H.E.S.*, No. 27.
 - (m) Invariant eigendistributions on a semisimple Lie group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* To appear.
- [3]. SCHWARTZ L., *Théorie des distributions*, II. Paris, Hermann, 1951.

Received October 27, 1964.