Eighteenth International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization June 03–08, 2016, Varna, Bulgaria Ivaïlo M. Mladenov, Guowu Meng and Akira Yoshioka, Editors **Avangard Prima**, Sofia 2017, pp 130–137 doi: 10.7546/giq-18-2017-130-137



ROTARY DIFFEOMORPHISM ONTO MANIFOLDS WITH AFFINE CONNECTION

HANA CHUDÁ, JOSEF MIKEІ and MARTIN SOCHOR†

Dept. of Mathematics, FAI, Tomas Bata University, 760 00 Zlin, Czech Republic [†] Dept. of Algebra and Geometry, Palacky University, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic

Abstract. In this paper we will introduce a newly found knowledge above the existence and the uniqueness of isoperimetric extremals of rotation on two-dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds and on surfaces on Euclidean space. We will obtain the fundamental equations of rotary diffeomorphisms from (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds for twice-differentiable metric tensors onto manifolds with affine connections.

MSC: 53B05, 53B20, 53B30, 53A05

Keywords: Isoperimetric extremals of rotation rotary diffeomorphism, manifolds with affine connection, pseudo-Riemannian space, Riemannian spaces, two dimensional manifold

1. Introduction

A special diffeomorphism between (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds and manifolds with affine and projective connections, for which maps any special curve onto a special curve, were studied in many works. For example geodesic mappings, for which any geodesic maps onto geodesic [1,3-5,13-16,18,19,21,22,25]. Analogically holomorphically-projective and F-planar mappings for which any analytic and F-planar curve maps onto analytic and F-planar curve, respectively [4,13,15,16,18,20,21]. An almost geodesic mapping is defined as, that one for which geodesic is mapped onto almost geodesic curve [13,15,16,21].

In this sense was introduced the following definition.

Definition 1. A diffeomorphism between two-dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian manifolds is called *rotary* if any geodesic is mapped onto isoperimetric extremal of rotation.

The above definition was introduced by Leiko [6,7,9–12] for surfaces S_2 on Euclidean space and two-dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_2 .

The isoperimetric extremals of rotation have a physical meaning as can be interpreted as trajectories of particles with a spin, see [6, 8]. These results are local and are based on the known fact that a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold V_2 is implemented locally as a surface S_2 on Euclidean space. Therefore, we will deal more with the study of V_2 , i.e., the inner geometry of S_2 and assuming that metrics of these manifolds have a differentiability class C^4 . Further Mikeš, Sochor and Stepanova [17] improved above results for differentiability classes C^3 .

In this paper we generalize the above notion of rotary diffeomorphism.

Let $V_2=(M,g)$ be a two-dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold M with a metric g and $\bar{A}_2=(\bar{M},\bar{\nabla})$ be a two-dimensional manifold \bar{M} with an affine connection $\bar{\nabla}$.

Definition 2. A diffeomorphism $f: V_2 \to \bar{A}_2$ is called *rotary* if any isoperimetric extremal of rotation on V_2 is mapped onto geodesic from \bar{A}_2 .

We founded the fundamental equations for which V_2 admit rotary diffeomorphisms onto \bar{A}_2 . These results are generalized results obtained in papers [7, 17].

2. Isoperimetric Extremals of Rotation

A (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $V_2=(M,g)$ belongs to the smoothness class C^r if its metric $g\in C^r$, i.e., its components $g_{ij}(x)\in C^r(U)$ in some local map (U,x), $U\subset M$. We suppose that the differentiability class r is equal to $0,1,2,\ldots,\infty,\omega$, where $0,\infty$ and ω denote continuous, infinitely differentiable and real analytic functions, respectively.

Let ℓ : $(s_0, s_1) \to M$ be a parametric curve with the equation x = x(s), $\lambda = \mathrm{d}x/\mathrm{d}s$ be a tangent vector and s is the arc length. The following formulas are developed by analogy with the Frenet formulas for manifold V_2 (cf. [2, 17])

$$\nabla_s \lambda = k \cdot \nu$$
 and $\nabla_s \nu = -\varepsilon \, \varepsilon_\nu \, k \cdot \lambda$ (1)

where k is the Frenet curvature (k is geodesic curvature if $\ell \subset S_2 \subset E_3$), ν represents a unit normal vector field along ℓ orthogonal to the unit tangent vector λ , i.e., $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = g_{ij} \lambda^i \lambda^j = \varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $\langle \nu, \nu \rangle = g_{ij} \nu^i \nu^j = \varepsilon_{\nu} = \pm 1$, where λ^h and ν^h are components of λ and ν .

The operator ∇_s is covariant derivative along ℓ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of metric g

$$\nabla_{s}\lambda^{h} \equiv \frac{d\lambda^{h}}{ds} + \lambda^{\alpha}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{h}\left(x(s)\right)\,\lambda^{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{s}\nu^{h} \equiv \frac{d\nu^{h}}{ds} + \nu^{\alpha}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{h}\left(x(s)\right)\,\lambda^{\beta}$$

where Γ^h_{ij} are the Christoffel symbols of V_2 , i.e., components of Levi-Civita connection ∇ .

Recall the scalar product of the vectors λ, ξ which is defined by $\langle \lambda, \xi \rangle = g_{ij} \lambda^i \xi^j$ and $|\lambda| = \sqrt{|g_{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{\alpha}\lambda^{\beta}|}$ is the length of a vector λ .

Hence, we may conclude that formulas (1) hold if tangent vector λ and $\nabla_s \lambda$ are not isotropic, i.e., $|\lambda| \neq 0$ and $|\nabla_s \lambda| \neq 0$. Further, we present functionals of *length* and *rotation* of the curve $\ell : x = x(t)$

$$s[\ell] = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sqrt{|\lambda|} \; \mathrm{d}t$$
 and $\theta[\ell] = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} k(t) \; \mathrm{d}t.$

Using these functionals [7] introduce the following

Definition 3. A curve ℓ is called the *isoperimetric extremal of rotation* if ℓ is extremal of $\theta[\ell]$ and $s[\ell] = \text{const}$ with fixed ends.

It is possible to prove (cf. [7, 10])

Theorem 1. A curve ℓ is an isoperimetric extremal of rotation if and only if, its Frenet curvature k and Gaussian curvature K are proportional

$$k = c \cdot K$$

where c is constant.

Mikeš, Sochor and Stepanova [17] proved the following

Theorem 2. The equation of isoperimetric extremal of rotation can be written in the form

$$\nabla_s \lambda = c \cdot K \cdot F \lambda \tag{2}$$

where c is constant.

The Theorem 2 follows from assertion, that holds for unit normal $\nu = \pm F\lambda$, where structure F is tensor $\binom{1}{1}$ which satisfies the conditions

$$F^2 = -e \cdot \mathrm{Id}, \qquad q(X, FX) = 0, \qquad \nabla F = 0.$$

For Riemannian manifold V_2 is e=+1 and F is a *complex structure* and for (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold is e=-1 and F is a *product structure*. This tensor F is uniquely defined (with the respect to the sign) with using skew-symmetric

and covariantly constant discriminant tensor ε_{ij} , which is defined

$$F_j^h = g^{hi} \varepsilon_{ij}, \qquad \varepsilon_{ij} = \sqrt{|g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2|} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3)

Above Theorem 2 for $V_2 \in C^2$ holds. In this case from equation (2) follows that in tangent direction λ_0 at the point x_0 passes through a isoperimetric extremal of rotation curve.

On (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold $V_2 \in C^3$ in tangent direction λ_0 at the point x_0 passes through just only one isoperimetric extremal of rotation curve [17]. Moreover, with simple analysis of equation (2) we find that sufficient condition of uniquely isoperimetric extremal of rotation curve is $V_2 \in C^2$ and Gaussian curvature K is differentiable [13, pp. 127–128]. This property proved Leiko [6, 7] for $V_2 \in C^4$.

3. Necessary Conditions of Rotary Diffeomorphisms

Let V_2 be a two-dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold with the metric g, and \bar{A}_2 be a two-dimensional manifold \bar{M} with affine connection $\bar{\nabla}$. On (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_2 is ∇ a Levi-Civita connection and F is above structure, for which the equation (2) is satisfied.

Assume a rotary diffeomorphism $f\colon V_2\to \bar A_2$, i.e., any isoperimetric extremal of rotation of manifold V_2 maps onto a geodesic of $\bar A_2$. Since f is a diffeomorphism, we can impose local coordinate system on M and $\bar M$, respectively, such that locally $f\colon V_2\to \bar A_2$ maps points onto points with the same coordinates x, and $M=\bar M$. Remark that $V_2\in C^r$ if $g_{ij}(x)\in C^r$, and $\bar A_2\in C^r$ if $\bar\Gamma_{ij}^h(x)\in C^r$. In next we consider that $K\neq 0$, otherwise the mapping is geodesic.

We obtain

Theorem 3. Let V_2 admits rotary mapping f onto \bar{A}_2 . If V_2 and \bar{A}_2 in common coordinate system belong differentiability class C^2 and C^1 , respectively, then Gaussian curvature K on V_2 is differentiable.

Proof: Let assumptions of Theorem 3 hold. Let γ : x = x(s) be an isoperimetric extremal of rotation on V_2 for which the following equation is valid

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda^h}{\mathrm{d}s} + \Gamma_{ij}^h(x(s)) \,\lambda^i \lambda^j = c \cdot K(x(s)) \cdot F_i^h(x(s)) \cdot \lambda^i \tag{4}$$

and $\bar{\gamma}=f(\gamma)$: $x=x(\bar{s})$ be a geodesic on \bar{A}_2 for which the following equation is valid

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^h}{\mathrm{d}\bar{s}^2} + \bar{\Gamma}^h_{ij}(x(\bar{s})) \frac{\mathrm{d} x^i}{\mathrm{d}\bar{s}} \frac{\mathrm{d} x^j}{\mathrm{d}\bar{s}} = 0,$$

where Γ^h_{ij} and $\bar{\Gamma}^h_{ij}$ are components of ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$, parameters s is arc length on γ and \bar{s} is canonical parameter of $\bar{\gamma}$, $\lambda^h = \mathrm{d}x^h(s)/\mathrm{d}s$ and $\bar{\lambda^h} = \mathrm{d}x^h(\bar{s})/\mathrm{d}\bar{s}$.

Evidently $\bar{s} = \bar{s}(s)$ holds. In this case, the equations of geodesic are modify:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda^h}{\mathrm{d}s} + \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h(x(s)) \,\lambda^i \lambda^j = \bar{\varrho}(s) \cdot \lambda^h \tag{5}$$

where $\bar{\varrho}(s)$ is a certain function of parameter s.

After subtraction equations (4) and (5) we obtain

$$P_{ij}^{h}(x)\lambda^{i}\lambda^{j} = \bar{\varrho}(s) \cdot \lambda^{h} - c \cdot K(x(s)) \cdot F_{i}^{h}(x(s)) \cdot \lambda^{i}, \tag{6}$$

where $P_{ij}^h(x) = \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^h(x) - \Gamma_{ij}^h(x)$ is the *deformation tensor* of connections ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$, see [13, pp. 181–183].

Contracting equations (6) with $g_{hi}\lambda^i$ we obtain

$$cK e \varepsilon = \lambda_{\gamma} F_h^{\gamma} P_{\alpha\beta}^h \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta}$$

and we can rewrite this equation using (3) in the following form

$$cK e \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\gamma h} P_{\alpha \beta}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma}. \tag{7}$$

Through differentiation formulas (7) we make sure that $K(x(s)) \in C^1$. And because these properties apply in any direction, then K is differentiable.

Hence we may conclude from Theorem 3 following

Theorem 4. If Gaussian curvature $K \notin C^1$, then rotary diffeomorphism $V_2 \to \bar{A}_2$ does not exist.

4. Fundamental Equations of Rotary Diffeomorphisms

As it was mentioned in Introduction, we find fundamental equations of rotary diffeomorphism $V_2 \to \bar{A}_2$ from Definition 1, where $V_2 \in C^2$ and $\bar{A}_2 \in \bar{C}^1$. Moreover on the basis the Theorem 3, we can assume that necessary Gaussian curvature $K \in C^1$.

For rotary diffeomorphism $V_2 \to \bar{A}_2$ formulas (6) and (7) hold. After subsequent derivation formula (7) by parameter s we obtain

$$cK_{\delta} \lambda^{\delta} e \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\gamma h} P_{\alpha \beta, \delta}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} \lambda^{\delta} + \varepsilon_{\gamma h} P_{\alpha \beta}^{h} (2\nabla_{s} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} + \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \nabla_{s} \lambda^{\gamma})$$

where and $K_\delta=\partial K/\partial x^\delta$ and "," denotes the covariant derivative with respect to Levi-Civita connection. After substituting (2) we get

$$cK_{\delta} \lambda^{\delta} e \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\gamma h} P_{\alpha \beta, \delta}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} \lambda^{\delta} + cK \varepsilon_{\gamma h} P_{\alpha \beta}^{h} (2F_{\delta}^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} \lambda^{\delta} + \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} F_{\delta}^{\gamma} \lambda^{\delta}).$$

Using formula (7) we eliminate the constant c, and we obtain equation

$$\varepsilon_{\gamma h} \partial_{\delta}(\ln|K|) P_{\alpha\beta}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} \lambda^{\delta} - \varepsilon_{\gamma h} P_{\alpha\beta,\delta}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} \lambda^{\delta} = I_1 \cdot I_2 \tag{8}$$

where

$$I_{1} = e\varepsilon \varepsilon_{\gamma h} P_{\alpha \beta}^{h} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} I_{2} = \varepsilon_{\gamma h} P_{\alpha \beta}^{h} (2F_{\delta}^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} \lambda^{\delta} + F_{\delta}^{\gamma} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\delta}).$$

$$(9)$$

Evidently, on the right side of formula (8) is a polynomial of the sixth degree, respectively λ^1 and λ^2 , but on the left side is a polynomial of the fourth degree. Further, we study formulas (8) at a point x_0 and we choose for it such a coordinate system, that at the point x_0 metric has form $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}x^{12} + e\mathrm{d}x^{22}$, where $e = \pm 1$. At this point x_0 it holds

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \varepsilon_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F_i^h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -e & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because λ^h is in (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_2 a unit vector, then at the point x_0 holds $g_{ij}\lambda^i\lambda^j=\lambda^{12}+e\,\lambda^{22}=\varepsilon=\pm 1$, i.e.,

$$\lambda^{1^2} = \varepsilon - e \lambda^{2^2}$$
.

Therefore we have to λ^1 consider as a function of variable λ^2 with domain of definition $\mathcal{D}=\langle -1;1\rangle$ for e=1 and $\mathcal{D}=\mathbb{R}$ for e=-1. With simple analysis of equation (8) we find members which contain maximum degree of λ^{2^6} and $\lambda^1\cdot\lambda^{2^5}$ on the right side of equation

$$I = I_1 \cdot I_2. \tag{10}$$

We compute I_1 and I_2 in the special coordinate system at the point x_0

$$I_1 = \lambda^{2^3} \cdot A + \lambda^{2^2} \cdot B + \dots$$

$$I_2 = \lambda^{2^3} \cdot (-3B) + \lambda^{2^2} \lambda^1 \cdot (3eA) + \dots$$

where " ... " means other members of polynomials I_1, I_2 and

$$A = P_{11}^1 - 2P_{12}^2 - eP_{22}^1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad B = P_{22}^2 - 2P_{12}^1 - eP_{11}^2.$$
 (11)

Finally, I has the following form

$$I = I_1 \cdot I_2 = \lambda^{2^6} \cdot 6eAB + \lambda^1 \lambda^{2^5} \cdot (B^2 - eA^2) + \dots$$

Because $\lambda^2 \in \mathcal{D}$ is random, then coefficients by λ^{2^6} and $\lambda^1 \cdot \lambda^{2^5}$ have to be vanishing. It implies AB=0 and $B^2-eA^2=0$. From this follows A=B=0. As a consequence of (11) the deformation tensor has the following form

$$P_{ij}^{h} = \delta_i^h \psi_j + \delta_j^h \psi_i + \theta^h g_{ij} \tag{12}$$

where ψ_i and θ^h are covector and vector fields.

Equation (6) is necessary and sufficient condition for existence of rotary diffeomorphism $f: V_2 \to \bar{A}_2$. Substitute from (12) into the equation (6). We obtain:

$$\varepsilon \theta^h = (\bar{\rho} - 2\psi_\alpha \lambda^\alpha) \lambda^h - cK \cdot F_\alpha^h \lambda^\alpha. \tag{13}$$

Contracting (13) with $g_{h\alpha}\lambda^{\alpha}$ we obtain $(\bar{\rho} - 2\psi_{\alpha}\lambda^{\alpha}) = \theta_{\alpha}\lambda^{\alpha}$ where $\theta_i = g_{i\alpha}\theta^{\alpha}$. Therefore formula (13) takes the form

$$\varepsilon \theta^h = \theta_\alpha \lambda^\alpha \lambda^h - cK \cdot F_\alpha^h \lambda^\alpha. \tag{14}$$

Differentiating (14) along the curve ℓ of parameter s, we obtain

$$\varepsilon \cdot \theta^{h}_{,\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha} = \theta^{h}_{\alpha,\beta} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \cdot \lambda^{h} - e F_{i}^{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha} \lambda^{j} \cdot (\theta_{j} - \partial_{j} \ln |K|) \lambda^{j} \cdot F_{k}^{h} \lambda^{k}. \tag{15}$$

After a detailed analysis of degrees of λ^h in the equation (15), we get

$$\theta_j^h = \theta^h(\theta_j + \partial_j \ln |K|) + \nu \,\delta_j^h \tag{16}$$

where ν is a function on V_2 .

Theorem 5. (Pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_2 admits rotary mapping onto \bar{A}_2 if and only if equation (16) in V_2 holds.

Proof: The statement of Theorem 5 follows from previous analysis of the equation (6). If in (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold V_2 equation (16) holds for any vector field θ^h , then the affine connection of \bar{A}_2 is constructed according to (12).

The vector field θ^h is a special case of torse-forming field, see [13, 18, 21, 24]. In general case this field satisfies

$$\theta_i^h = \nu \delta_i^h + \theta^h a_i$$

where a_i is a covector. If a function a_i is gradient-like, then a vector field θ^h is concircular [13, 18, 21, 23, 25]. In our sense, vector field θ^h is concircular, if covector $(\theta_j + \partial_j \ln |K|)$ is gradient-like.

References

- [1] Dini U., On a Problem in the General Theory of the Geographical Representations of a Surface on Another, Ann. Mat. 3 (1869) 269–294.
- [2] Gray A., Modern Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces with Mathematica, Second Edition, CRC Press, Boca Raton 1997.
- [3] Hinterleitner I., Geodesic Mappings on Compact Riemannian Manifolds with Conditions on Sectional Curvature, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **94** (2013) 125–130.
- [4] Hinterleitner I. and Mikeš J., Fundamental Equations of Geodesic Mappings and Their Generalizations, J. Math. Sci. 174 (2011) 537–554.
- [5] Hinterleitner I. and Mikeš J., Geodesic Mappings and Differentiability of Metrics, Affine and Projective Connections, Filomat 29 (2015) 1245–1249.
- [6] Leiko S., Conservation Laws for Spin Trajectories Generated by Isoperimetric Extremals of Rotation, Gravitation and Theory of Relativity **26** (1988) 117–124.
- [7] Leiko S., *Rotational Diffeomorphisms on Surfaces on Euclidean Spaces*, Math. Notes **47** (1990) 261–264.

- [8] Leiko S., Variational Problems for Rotation Functionals, and Spin-Mappings of Pseudo-Riemannian Spaces, Sov. Math. 34 (1990) 9–18.
- [9] Leiko S., Extremals of Rotation Functionals of Curves in a Pseudo-Riemannian Space, and Trajectories of Spinning Particles in Gravitational Fields, Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math. 46 (1993) 84–87.
- [10] Leiko S., *Isoperimetric Extremals of a Turn on Surfaces in the Euclidean Space* \mathbb{E}^3 , Izv. Vyshh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **6** (1996) 25–32.
- [11] Leiko S., On the Conformal, Concircular, and Spin Mappings of Gravitational Fields. J. Math. Sci. **90** (1998) 1941–1944.
- [12] Leiko S., Isoperimetric Problems for Rotation Functionals of the First and Second Orders in (Pseudo) Riemannian Manifolds, Russ. Math. 49 (2005) 45–51.
- [13] Mikeš J. et al, Differential Geometry of Special Mappings, Palacky Univ. Press, Olomouc 2015.
- [14] Mikeš J., Geodesic Mappings of Affine-Connected and Riemannian Spaces, J. Math. Sci. **78** (1996) 311–333.
- [15] Mikeš J., Holomorphically Projective Mappings and their Generalizations, J. Math. Sci. **89** (1998) 1334–1353.
- [16] Mikeš J., Berezovski V., Stepanova E. and Chudá H., *Geodesic Mappings and their Generalizations*, J. Math. Sci. **217** (2016) 607–623.
- [17] Mikeš J., Sochor M. and Stepanova E., On the Existence of Isoperimetric Extremals of Rotation and the Fundamental Equations of Rotary Diffeomorphisms, Filomat 29 (2015) 517–523.
- [18] Mikeš J., Vanžurová A. and Hinterleitner I., *Geodesic Mappings and Some Generalizations*, Palacky Univ. Press, Olomouc 2009.
- [19] Najdanović M., Zlatanović M. and Hinterleitner I., *Conformal and geodesic mappings of generalized equidistant spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **98** (2015) 71–84.
- [20] Petrov A., *Modeling of the Paths of Test Particles in Gravitation Theory*, Gravit. and the Theory of Relativity **4–5** (1968) 7–21.
- [21] Sinyukov N., Geodesic Mappings of Riemannian Spaces, Nauka, Moscow 1979.
- [22] Stepanov S., Shandra I. and Mikeš J., *Harmonic and Projective Diffeomorphisms*, J. Math. Sci. **207** (2015) 658–668.
- [23] Yano K., *Concircular Geometry I-IV*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **16** (1940) 195–200, 354–360, 442–448, 505–511.
- [24] Yano K., On the Torse-Forming Directions in Riemannian Spaces Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **20** (1944), 340–345.
- [25] Zlatanović M., Velimirović L. and Stanković M., *Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Equitorsion Geodesic Mapping*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **435** (2016) 578–592.