

## CLASSICAL-QUANTUM CORRESPONDENCE AND WAVE PACKET SOLUTIONS OF THE DIRAC EQUATION IN A CURVED SPACE-TIME

MAYEUL ARMINJON and FRANK REIFLER<sup>†</sup>

*Laboratory “Soils, Solids, Structures, Risks”, 3SR CNRS & Universit s de  
Grenoble: UJF, Grenoble-INP BP 53, 38041 Grenoble cedex 9, France*

<sup>†</sup> *Lockheed Martin Corporation, MS2 137-205, 199 Borton Landing Road  
Moorestown, New Jersey 08057, USA*

**Abstract.** The idea of wave mechanics leads naturally to assume the well-known relation  $E = \hbar\omega$  in the specific form  $H = \hbar W$ , where  $H$  is the classical Hamiltonian of a particle and  $W$  is the dispersion relation of the sought-for wave equation. We derive the expression of  $H$  in a curved space-time with an electromagnetic field. Then we derive the Dirac equation from factorizing the polynomial dispersion equation corresponding with  $H$ . Conversely, summarizing a recent work, we implement the geometrical optics approximation into the canonical form of the Dirac Lagrangian. The Euler-Lagrange equations are thus obtained for the amplitude and the phase of the wave function. From them, one is led to define a four-velocity field which obeys exactly the classical equation of motion. The complete de Broglie relations are then derived as exact equations.

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Context of This Work

The long-standing problem of quantum gravity may mean, of course, that we should try to better understand gravity and the quantum. More specifically, it may mean that we should try to better understand the transition between the classical and the quantum, especially in a curved space-time. Quantum effects in the classical gravitational field are indeed being observed on neutral particles such as neutrons [11, 15, 19] or atoms [13, 18], with the neutrons being spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  particles.

This together motivates investigating the “classical-quantum correspondence”—the correspondence between a classical Hamiltonian and a quantum wave equation—for the Dirac equation in a curved space-time.

## 1.2. Foregoing Results

In previous work [1], the classical-quantum correspondence was analyzed generally from an exact mathematical correspondence, observed by Whitham [20], between a linear wave operator and its dispersion polynomial, and from the de Broglie-Schrödinger idea according to which a classical Hamiltonian describes the skeleton of a wave pattern. This analysis led later to deriving the Dirac equation from the classical Hamiltonian of a relativistic test particle in an electromagnetic field *or* in a curved spacetime [2, 3]. In the latter case, this derivation led to two *alternative* Dirac equations, in which the Dirac wave function is a complex *four-vector*, with the set of the components of the Dirac matrices building a  $(2, 1)$  tensor [2, 3]. (This transformation behaviour may be designated by the acronym “TRD”: *tensor representation of the Dirac fields*.) In order to see if that makes sense physically, the quantum mechanics associated with the Dirac equation was then investigated in detail.

First, it was found [4] that in a *Minkowski space-time* and *Cartesian* coordinates, the quantum mechanics of the original Dirac equation is *the same* whether, on a coordinate change, the wave function is transformed as a spinor and the Dirac matrices are left invariant (which, as is well known, is the standard transformation for this case), or if alternatively the TRD transformation mode is used. Moreover, the way in which this equivalence was obtained [4] makes it obvious that this equivalence holds also with the third transformation mode which can be considered for the Dirac equation, for which the wave function is left invariant and the set of the four Dirac matrices is transformed as a four-vector. (This is the transformation mode for the standard Dirac equation in a gravitational field [9, 10, 16].) Then it was found that also in a *general space-time*, the standard equation and the two alternative equations based on TRD [3] behave similarly, e.g., the same hermiticity condition for the Hamiltonian holds for these equations [5], and similar *non-uniqueness problems* of the Hamiltonian theory occur [5, 6].

## 1.3. Outline of This Work

In this paper, we intend to summarize the main part of the recent work [8], and to present a few additional results. Those belong to Section 2, which extends the former derivation of the Dirac equation from the classical Hamiltonian of a relativistic test particle [2, 3] to the situation with an electromagnetic field *and* in a curved space-time. Then, summarizing the main results of [8], in Section 3 we

will go conversely from the Dirac equation to the classical motion through the geometrical optics approximation.

## 2. From Classical Motion to Dirac Equation

### 2.1. Dispersion Equation of a Wave Equation

Consider a wave equation which is a linear **Partial Differential Equation** (PDE) of the second order

$$P\psi \equiv a_0(X)\Psi + a_1^\mu(X)\partial_\mu\Psi + a_2^{\mu\nu}(X)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Psi = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $X$  is the position in the space-time, or more generally in the extended configuration manifold  $V$  of a system of particles ( $V$  has dimension  $N+1$ , where  $N$  is the dimension of the configuration manifold). To be more precise, equation (1) is the local expression of the intrinsic differential operator  $P$  (which acts on smooth sections  $\psi$  of some vector bundle  $E$  with base  $V$ ) in a local chart  $\chi : X \mapsto (x^\mu)$  and in a local frame field  $(e_a)$  on  $E$ , with  $\Psi = (\Psi^a)$  the column matrix made with the components of  $\psi$  in the frame field  $(e_a)$ , such that  $\psi = \Psi^a e_a$  in the domain of  $(e_a)$ . The time coordinate is  $t \equiv x^0/c$ .

Let us look for “locally plane-wave” solutions:  $\Psi(X) = A \exp[i\theta(X)]$ , with, at the point  $X_0 \in V$  considered,  $\partial_\nu K_\mu(X_0) = 0$ , where  $K_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu\theta$  are the components of the wave co-vector. Note that  $K_0 = -\omega/c$ , where  $\omega \equiv -\partial_t\theta$  is the angular frequency, and that  $\mathbf{k} \cong (K_j)$  is the spatial wave co-vector ( $A$  Latin index takes values in the set  $j = [1, \dots, N]$ , while a Greek one take values in the set  $\mu = [0, \dots, N]$ .)

This leads [1, 2] to the **dispersion equation**

$$\Pi_X(\mathbf{K}) \equiv a_0(X) + i a_1^\mu(X)K_\mu + i^2 a_2^{\mu\nu}(X)K_\mu K_\nu = 0. \quad (2)$$

Substituting  $K_\mu \leftrightarrow \partial_\mu/i$  determines the linear operator  $P$  *uniquely* from the function  $(X, \mathbf{K}) \mapsto \Pi_X(\mathbf{K})$ , which is polynomial in  $\mathbf{K}$  at fixed  $X$  [1, 20]. This is also true [2, 3] in the case when  $\Psi(X) \in \mathbb{C}^m$  with  $m > 1$  in equation (1), in which case the coefficients common to  $P$  and  $\Pi_X$  are  $m \times m$  matrices [2], so that  $\Pi_X(\mathbf{K})$  is then an  $m \times m$  matrix, too.

### 2.2. The Classical-Quantum Correspondence

For any fixed  $X \in V$ , consider the dispersion equation (2) -  $\Pi_X(\mathbf{K}) = 0$ , here assumed to be scalar ( $m = 1$ ). This is a polynomial equation for  $\omega \equiv -cK_0$ . By following smoothly as a function of  $X \in V$  a particular root, supposed to be real, of this equation, we get a *dispersion relation(s)*:  $\omega = W(\mathbf{K}, X)$ . (The existence of such a real root depending smoothly on  $X \in V$  is equivalent to the existence of

a definite wave mode for the PDE (1) [20].) As shown by Whitham [20] (see also reference [1]), the propagation of  $\mathbf{k}$  obeys to the **Hamiltonian system**

$$\frac{dK_j}{dt} = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial x^j}, \quad \frac{dx^j}{dt} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial K_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, according to the *wave mechanics* inaugurated by de Broglie and Schrödinger, a classical Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, t) = H(\mathbf{p}, X)$  describes the skeleton of a wave pattern. Then, the wave equation should give a dispersion  $W$  with the same Hamiltonian trajectories as  $H$ . The simplest way to get that is to *assume* that  $H$  and  $W$  are proportional,  $H = \hbar W$ . This leads first to the de Broglie relations in traditional form:  $E = \hbar\omega$  and  $\mathbf{p} = \hbar\mathbf{k}$ . Then, substitution  $K_\mu \leftrightarrow \partial_\mu/i$ , leads to the correspondence between a classical Hamiltonian and a wave operator. See references [1, 2] for details. Thus, setting

$$P_j \equiv p_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \quad \text{and} \quad P_0 \equiv -\frac{H}{c} \quad (4)$$

we get the de Broglie relations in a condensed form

$$P_\mu = \hbar K_\mu, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, N. \quad (5)$$

### 2.3. The Classical-Quantum Correspondence Needs a Connection

The dispersion polynomial  $\Pi_X(\mathbf{K})$  and the condition  $\partial_\nu K_\mu(X) = 0$  stay invariant only inside any class of coordinate systems connected by “infinitesimally-linear” changes [1], i.e., one such that it holds at the considered point  $X(x_0^\mu) = X(x_0'^\rho)$  considered

$$\frac{\partial^2 x'^\rho}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\nu} = 0, \quad \mu, \nu, \rho = 0, \dots, N. \quad (6)$$

One example [1, 2] of such a class is constituted by the locally-geodesic coordinate systems at  $X$  for a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $V$ , i.e.,

$$g_{\mu\nu,\rho}(X) = 0, \quad \mu, \nu, \rho = 0, \dots, N. \quad (7)$$

Specifying, at each point  $X \in V$ , a class  $\mathcal{C}_X$  of coordinate systems valid in a neighborhood of  $X$ , any two of which exchange by a transition map satisfying (6), is exactly equivalent to choosing a **torsionless connection**  $D$  on the tangent bundle  $TV$  [3]. Given any such connection, one substitutes  $\partial_\mu \leftrightarrow D_\mu$  into the wave equation (1), into the local plane-wave condition which rewrites accordingly  $D_\nu K_\mu(X_0) = 0$ , and into the correspondence from the dispersion equation (2) to the wave equation. This correspondence allows to writes  $K_\mu \leftrightarrow D_\mu/i$ . It applies also to the case where  $\Psi(X) \in \mathbb{C}^m$  with  $m > 1$ , provided that the dispersion equation (2) and the wave equation which are in fact *first-order*, i.e.,  $a_2^{\mu\nu} = 0$  [3].

## 2.4. Hamiltonian of a Particle in a Curved Space-time

In a curved space-time  $(V, g)$  with an electromagnetic field of **four-potential**  $V_\mu$ , the world line of a test particle corresponds with an extremum of the generally-covariant **action integral**

$$S = \int -mc \, ds - \frac{e}{c} V_\mu dx^\mu \quad (8)$$

where

$$ds^2 = g_{\lambda\rho} dx^\lambda dx^\rho. \quad (9)$$

It follows that the motion derives from the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(x^\mu, u^\nu) = -mc \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu} - (e/c) V_\mu u^\mu, \quad u^\nu \equiv dx^\nu/d\xi \quad (10)$$

with  $\xi$  an arbitrary parameter along the world line of the particle. The canonical momenta derived from this Lagrangian are

$$P_\mu \equiv \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial u^\mu = -mc \frac{u'_\mu}{\sqrt{g_{\lambda\rho} u'^\lambda u'^\rho}} - (e/c) V_\mu. \quad (11)$$

The Lagrangian (10) is an **extended Lagrangian** in the sense of Johns [12]. As shown by Johns [12] (Section 11.9), we may associate with an extended Lagrangian like  $\mathcal{L}$  a “traditional Lagrangian”  $L$ , by setting

$$L(x^j, \frac{dx^k}{dx^0}, x^0) \equiv \mathcal{L}(x^\mu, \frac{dx^\nu}{d\xi}) \frac{d\xi}{dx^0}. \quad (12)$$

From the latter, we deduce by Legendre transform a “traditional Hamiltonian”  $H'(p_j, x^k, x^0)$ . The “traditional momenta”  $p_j$  coincide with the corresponding **extended momenta**  $P_\mu$  (for  $\mu = 1, \dots, N$ ), the latter ones being canonically derived from the extended Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  by equation (11) ([12], equation (11.12)). That is, we have

$$p_j = P_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (13)$$

The traditional Hamiltonian is simply ([12], equation (11.14))

$$H'(p_j, x^k, x^0) = -P_0(x^\mu, u^\nu). \quad (14)$$

At this stage, we can specialize the parameter  $\xi$  to be the four-length  $s$ , equation (9). In that case, the vector with components  $u'^\mu$  is the four-velocity,  $u'^\mu = u^\mu \equiv dx^\mu/ds$ . From (9), it verifies  $g^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu = 1$ , as is well known. Hence, with  $\xi = s$  the canonical momenta (11) become

$$P_\mu = -mc u_\mu - (e/c) V_\mu. \quad (15)$$

Again, because  $g^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu = 1$ , the canonical momenta verify the energy equation

$$g^{\mu\nu} \left( P_\mu + \frac{e}{c} V_\mu \right) \left( P_\nu + \frac{e}{c} V_\nu \right) - m^2 c^2 = 0. \quad (16)$$

In the expression (14) of the traditional Hamiltonian  $H'$ , the time coordinate  $x^0$  is arbitrary. Let us get  $H'$  as function of the same momenta  $p_j$  and the same space coordinates  $x^k$ , but with the time coordinate  $t \equiv x^0/c$ . We do that directly in Hamilton's equations for  $H'$ . We must set

$$H(p_j, x^k, t) = cH'(p_j, x^k, x^0) = -cP_0(x^\mu, u^\nu). \quad (17)$$

Note that equations (13) and (17) are consistent with the definition (4).

## 2.5. A Variant Derivation of the Dirac Equation

The dispersion equation associated with the energy equation (16) by wave mechanics, i.e., by the de Broglie relations (5), is

$$g^{\mu\nu} \left( \hbar K_\mu + \frac{e}{c} V_\mu \right) \left( \hbar K_\nu + \frac{e}{c} V_\nu \right) - m^2 c^2 = 0. \quad (18)$$

Applying directly the correspondence  $K_\mu \leftrightarrow D_\mu/i$  to the dispersion equation (18), leads to a specific form of the curved space-time Klein-Gordon equation [3]. Instead, one may try a *factorization* with matrix coefficient  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma^\mu$  and  $\zeta^\nu$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_X(\mathbf{K}) &\equiv [g^{\mu\nu} (K_\mu + eV_\mu) (K_\nu + eV_\nu) - m^2] \mathbf{1} \\ &= (\alpha + i\gamma^\mu K_\mu)(\beta + i\zeta^\nu K_\nu), \quad \hbar = c = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Identifying the coefficients (with noncommutative algebra), and substituting  $K_\mu \leftrightarrow D_\mu/i$ , leads to the Dirac equation [2, 3]

$$(i\gamma^\mu (D_\mu + ieV_\mu) - m)\psi = 0 \quad (20)$$

with the anticommutation relation, resulting from the identification with equation (19)

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}. \quad (21)$$

## 3. From Dirac Equation to Classical Motion

### 3.1. General Dirac Lagrangian in a Curved Space-time

The following Lagrangian (density) [6] generalizes the "Dirac Lagrangian" (see e.g. [9, 14]) valid for the standard Dirac equation in a curved space-time

$$l = \sqrt{-g} \frac{i}{2} [\bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu (D_\mu \Psi) - (\overline{D_\mu \Psi}) \gamma^\mu \Psi + 2im\bar{\Psi}\Psi] \quad (22)$$

where  $\bar{\Psi} \equiv \Psi^\dagger A$  is the generalized Dirac adjoint of  $\Psi \equiv (\Psi^a)$ . The field  $X \mapsto A(X)$  designates the *hermitizing matrix*, such that  $A^\dagger = A$ ,  $(A\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = A\gamma^\mu$  [4, 17]. (Here  $M^\dagger \equiv (M^*)^T$  is the hermitian conjugate of a matrix  $M$ .) In a curved space-time, that matrix becomes indeed generally a field [5]. In the usual Dirac

Lagrangian, the field  $A$  is the constant matrix  $\gamma^{\natural 0}$ , there  $(\gamma^{\natural \alpha})$  is a set of constant “flat” Dirac matrices, i.e., ones obeying the anticommutation relation (21) with the **Minkowski metric**  $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$  - provided the set  $(\gamma^{\natural \alpha})$  is chosen such that  $\gamma^{\natural 0}$  is a hermitizing matrix for that set.

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the Lagrangian (22) is the generalized Dirac equation [5, 6]

$$\gamma^\mu D_\mu \Psi = -im\Psi - \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}(D_\mu(A\gamma^\mu))\Psi. \quad (23)$$

This coincides with the usual form iff  $D_\mu(A\gamma^\mu) = 0$ . That is always the case [5] for the standard Dirac equation in a curved space-time (the **Dirac-Fock-Weyl** or DFW equation). In equations (22) and (23), the covariant derivatives  $D_\mu$  correspond to an arbitrary connection  $D$  defined on the complex vector bundle  $E$ , in which the Dirac wave function  $\psi$  is living.<sup>1</sup> That vector bundle is assigned to be a “spinor bundle”, i.e., essentially, one for which it exists a global field  $\gamma$  of Dirac matrices, consistent with the anticommutation relation (21). See reference [7] for details.

### 3.2. Local Similarity (or Gauge) Transformations

Admissible coefficient fields  $(\gamma^\mu, A)$  for the general Dirac equation (23) are ones such that the anticommutation relation (21) is satisfied and that the field  $A$  is hermitizing. Given any **local similarity transformation**  $S : X \mapsto S(X) \in GL(4, \mathbb{C})$ , depending smoothly on  $X \in V$ , other admissible coefficient fields are

$$\tilde{\gamma}^\mu = S^{-1}\gamma^\mu S, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, 3, \quad \tilde{A} \equiv S^\dagger A S \quad (24)$$

in the sense that the anticommutation relation (21) remains satisfied (in the same space-time  $(V, g)$ ) with the new field of Dirac matrices  $\tilde{\gamma}^\mu$ , and moreover the matrix field  $\tilde{A}$  is a hermitizing matrix field for  $\tilde{\gamma}^\mu$  [5].

The relevant Hilbert space scalar product is [5]

$$(\Psi | \Phi) \equiv \int \Psi^\dagger A \gamma^0 \Phi \sqrt{-g} \, d^3 \mathbf{x}. \quad (25)$$

It transforms isometrically under the gauge transformation (24), if one transforms the wave function according to  $\tilde{\Psi} \equiv S^{-1}\Psi$  [6].

The Dirac equation (23) is covariant under the similarity (24), if the connection matrices  $\Gamma_\mu$ , which appear in  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$ , change according to [6, 10]

<sup>1</sup> The connection  $D$  and the covariant derivatives  $D_\mu$  extend as usual to the dual bundle  $E^\circ$  of  $E$ , and to tensor products such as  $E \otimes E^\circ$ . Moreover, on a tensor product such as  $TV \otimes E \otimes E^\circ$  (which is the relevant bundle for the Dirac matrices [7]), the relevant connection is obtained by considering the Levi-Civita connection on the component bundle  $TV$  [5, 7].

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu = S^{-1}\Gamma_\mu S + S^{-1}(\partial_\mu S). \quad (26)$$

For the DFW equation, the gauge transformation (24) is restricted to belong to the spin group: for all  $X, S(X) \in \text{Spin}(1, 3)$ . It follows then that the relation (26) is automatically satisfied [6].

### 3.3. Reduction of the Dirac Equation to Canonical Form

If  $D_\mu(A\gamma^\mu) = 0$  and the  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's are zero, the Dirac equation (23) reduces to

$$\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi = -im\Psi. \quad (27)$$

**Theorem 1** ([8]). *In the neighborhood of any event  $X$ , the Dirac equation (23) can be put into the canonical form (27) by a local similarity transformation.*

Outline of the proof: i) By Theorem 3 of reference [5] [Section 3.4, equation (54)], a similarity  $T$  defined by equation (24) [with  $T$  instead of  $S$ ] brings the general Dirac equation (23) to the “normal” form ( $D_\mu(A\gamma^\mu) = 0$ ), iff

$$A\gamma^\mu D_\mu T = -(1/2)[D_\mu(A\gamma^\mu)]T. \quad (28)$$

ii) By Theorem 2 of reference [7] [Section 6.2, equation (65)], a similarity  $S$  defined by equation (24) and such that equation (26) is satisfied, brings a normal Dirac equation to the canonical form (27), iff

$$A\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu S = -A\gamma^\mu \Gamma_\mu S. \quad (29)$$

iii) Due to the hermitizing character of the matrix  $A$ , and due to the fact that, by construction, the Hermitian matrix  $A\gamma^0$  which defines the scalar product (25) is positive definite [5], both (28) and (29) are symmetric hyperbolic systems.

### 3.4. Geometrical Optics Approximation of Dirac Lagrangian

The Lagrangian for the Dirac equation in an electromagnetic (e.m.) field is got by substituting  $D_\mu \leftrightarrow D_\mu + \frac{ie}{\hbar c}V_\mu$ , where  $e$  is the particle's electric charge. It follows that, for the canonical Dirac equation (27), the Lagrangian in an e.m. field is obtained by

$$l = \sqrt{-g} \frac{i\hbar c}{2} \left[ \Psi^\dagger A\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi) - (\partial_\mu \Psi)^\dagger A\gamma^\mu \Psi + \frac{2imc}{\hbar} \Psi^\dagger A\Psi \right] - \sqrt{-g} (e/c) J^\mu V_\mu \quad (30)$$

with  $\nabla_\mu(A\gamma^\mu) = 0$ , where  $\nabla_\mu$  is the covariant derivative obtained on the relevant tensor product bundle (see Footnote 1) if the connection matrices  $\Gamma_\mu$  of the connection  $D$  are zero when  $D$  is acting specifically on the bundle  $E$  [8]. To implement the geometrical optics approximation following Whitham [20], we set first

$$\Psi = e^{i\theta} \chi \quad (31)$$

where  $\chi = \chi(X)$  is also a complex wave function with four components, and  $\theta = \theta(X)$  is a real phase. We assume then that  $\chi$  is slowly changing compared to the rapidly changing phase  $\theta$ . That is, the geometrical optics approximation consists in assuming that

$$\partial_\mu \chi \ll (\partial_\mu \theta) \chi. \quad (32)$$

Substituting (31) into the Lagrangian (30) with this approximation, yields

$$l' = c\sqrt{-g} \left[ \left( -\hbar\partial_\mu\theta - \frac{e}{c}V_\mu \right) \chi^\dagger A\gamma^\mu\chi - mc\chi^\dagger A\chi \right]. \quad (33)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations are then [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \left( -\hbar\partial_\mu\theta - \frac{e}{c}V_\mu \right) A\gamma^\mu\chi &= mcA\chi \\ \partial_\mu \left( c\sqrt{-g}\chi^\dagger A\gamma^\mu\chi \right) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

### 3.5. Classical Trajectories

**Theorem 2** ([8]). *From  $\Psi = \chi e^{i\theta}$ , define a four-vector field  $u^\mu$  and a scalar field  $J$  such that*

$$u_\mu \equiv -\frac{\hbar}{mc}\partial_\mu\theta - \frac{e}{mc^2}V_\mu, \quad u^\mu \equiv g^{\mu\nu}u_\nu, \quad J \equiv c\chi^\dagger A\chi. \quad (35)$$

*Then the Euler-Lagrange equations (34) imply*

$$\nabla_\mu(Ju^\mu) = 0 \quad (36)$$

$$g^{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu = 1 \quad (37)$$

$$\nabla_\mu u_\nu - \nabla_\nu u_\mu = -(e/mc^2)F_{\mu\nu}. \quad (38)$$

*The first equation is the conservation of the probability current. The two last equations imply the classical equation of motion for a test particle in an electromagnetic field in a curved space-time.*

### 3.6. De Broglie Relations

The canonical momenta of a *classical particle* imply the following equations

$$P_\mu = -m c u_\mu - (e/c) V_\mu. \quad (39)$$

On the other hand, following a *Dirac quantum particle* in the geometrical approximation, we were led to define a four-velocity field  $u^\mu$  from the phase  $\theta$  of the wave equation (35).

We saw that the field  $u^\mu$  obeys exactly the classical equations of motion, which are Hamiltonian equations for which the canonical momenta are given by equation (39). But, remembering the definition  $K_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu \theta$  of the wave co-vector from the phase  $\theta$  of the wave function, we rewrite equation (35) as

$$-m c u_\mu - (e/c) V_\mu \equiv \hbar K_\mu. \quad (40)$$

That is, we derive exactly the de Broglie relations (5).

## 4. Conclusion

The Dirac equation *in a curved space-time with electromagnetic field* may be “derived” from the classical Hamiltonian  $H$  of a relativistic test particle. One has to *postulate*  $H = \hbar W$  (i.e.,  $E = \hbar\omega$ ), where  $W$  is the dispersion relation of the sought-for wave equation. Then one factorizes the obtained dispersion polynomial. Conversely, to describe “wave packet” motion, we have implemented the geometrical optics approximation into a canonical form of the Dirac Lagrangian. From the equations thus obtained for the amplitude and phase of the wave function, one is led to define a four-velocity field which obeys exactly the classical equation of motion.

The de Broglie relations  $P_\mu = \hbar K_\mu$  are then *derived* exact equations.

## References

- [1] Arminjon M., *On the Relation Hamiltonian–wave Equation, and on Non-spreading Solutions of Schrödinger’s Equation*, Nuovo Cim. B **114** (1999) 71–86.
- [2] Arminjon M., *Dirac Equation from the Hamiltonian and the Case with a Gravitational Field*, Found. Phys. Lett. **19** (2006) 225–247, [arXiv:gr-qc/0512046].
- [3] Arminjon M., *Dirac-type Equations in a Gravitational Field, with Vector Wave Function*, Found. Phys. **38** (2008) 1020–1045, [arXiv:gr-qc/0702048].
- [4] Arminjon M. and Reifler F., *Dirac Equation: Representation Independence and Tensor Transformation*, Braz. J. Phys. **38** (2008) 248–258, [arXiv:0707.1829 (quant-ph)].
- [5] Arminjon M. and Reifler F., *Basic Quantum Mechanics for Three Dirac Equations in a Curved Space-time*, Braz. J. Phys. **40** (2010) 242–255, [arXiv:0807.0570 (gr-qc)].

- 
- [6] Arminjon M. and Reifler F., *A Non-uniqueness Problem of the Dirac Theory in a Curved Space-time*, *Ann. Phys.* **523** (2011) 531–551, [arXiv:0905.3686 (gr-qc)].
- [7] Arminjon M. and Reifler F., *Four-vector vs. Four-scalar Representation of the Dirac Wave Function*, arXiv:1012.2327 (gr-qc).
- [8] Arminjon M. and Reifler F., *Generalized de Broglie Relations for Dirac Equations in Curved Space-times*, arXiv:1103.3201 (gr-qc).
- [9] Brill D. and Wheeler J., *Interaction of Neutrinos and Gravitational Fields*, *Rev. Modern Phys.* **29** (1957) 465–479. Erratum: *Rev. Modern Phys.* **33** (1961) 623–624.
- [10] Chapman T. and Leiter D., *On the Generally Covariant Dirac Equation*, *Am. J. Phys.* **44** (1976) 858–862.
- [11] Colella R., Overhauser A. and Werner S., *Observation of Gravitationally Induced Quantum Interference*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34** (1975) 1472–1474.
- [12] Johns O., *Analytic Mechanics for Relativity and Quantum Mechanics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2005, pp 267–271 and pp 563–565.
- [13] Kasevich M. and Chu S., *Atomic Interferometry using Stimulated Raman Transitions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 181–184.
- [14] Leclerc M., *Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian in the Time-dependent Gravitational Field*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** (2006) 4013–4020, [arXiv:gr-qc/0511060].
- [15] Nesvizhevsky V., Börner H., Petukhov A., Abele H., Baeßler S., Rueß F., Stöferle T., Westphal A., Gagarski A., Petrov G. and Strelkov A., *Quantum States of Neutrons in the Earth’s Gravitational Field*, *Nature* **415** (2002) 297–299.
- [16] de Oliveira C. and Tiomno J., *Representations of Dirac Equation in General Relativity*, *Nuovo Cim.* **24** (1962) 672–687.
- [17] Pauli W., *Contributions mathématiques à la théorie des matrices de Dirac*, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **6** (1936) 109–136.
- [18] Riehle F., Kisters Th., Witte A., Helmcke J. and Bordé Ch., *Optical Ramsey Spectroscopy in a Rotating Frame, Sagnac Effect in a Matter-wave Interferometer*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 177–180.
- [19] Werner S., Staudenmann J. and Colella R., *Effect of Earth’s Rotation on the Quantum Mechanical Phase of the Neutron*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42** (1979) 1103–1107.
- [20] Whitham G., *Linear and Non-linear Waves*, Section 11: Linear Dispersive Waves, John Wiley & Sons, New York 1974.