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#### Abstract

Any real hypersurface of a Kähler manifold carries a natural almost contact metric structure. There are four basic classes of real hypersurfaces of a Kähler manifold with respect to the induced almost contact metric structure. In this paper we study the basic classes of real hypersurfaces of a complex space form in terms of their Riemannian curvatures.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}(J, G)$ be an almost Hermitian manifold with almost complex structure $J$ and Riemmannian metric $G: J^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}, G(J \bar{X}, J \bar{Y})=G(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$, $\bar{X}, \bar{Y} \in \mathscr{X} \bar{M}^{2 n+2}$.
If $M^{2 n+1}$ is a hypersurface in $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}$ with a unit normal vector field $N$, then there arises naturally an almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ on $M^{2 n+1}$ in the following way $[3,10,12]$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi=-J N, \quad g=G_{\mid M}, \quad \varphi=J-\eta \otimes N \\
\eta(X)=g(\xi, X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X} M^{2 n+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ be the Levi-Civita connections on $M^{2 n+1}$ and $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}$, respectively. We denote by $\Phi$ the fundamental 2 -form of the structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$

$$
\Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \varphi Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X} M^{2 n+1}
$$

and by $F^{\prime}=-\nabla^{\prime} \Phi, F=\nabla \Phi$. If $A$ is the shape operator and $h(X, Y)=$ $g(A X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X} M^{2 n+1}$ is the second fundamental tensor of $M^{2 n+1}$,
then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas

$$
\nabla_{X}^{\prime} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y) N, \quad \nabla_{X}^{\prime} N=-A X, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X} M^{2 n+1}
$$

imply immediately

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} \eta\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) Y \\
\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} J\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y+\left\{h(X, \varphi Y)+\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) Y\right\} N-\eta(Y) A X+h(X, Y) \xi \\
F^{\prime}(X, Y, Z)=F(X, Y, Z)+\eta(Z) h(X, Y)-\eta(Y) h(X, Z)
\end{gathered}
$$

For any point $p \in M$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{p} \bar{M}=T_{p} M \oplus N_{p}, & N_{p} \perp T_{p} M \\
T_{p} M=D_{p} \oplus \xi_{p}, & \xi_{p} \perp D_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$D=\left\{D_{p}, p \in M\right\}$ is the contact distribution, and $\left\{\xi_{p} ; p \in M\right\}$ is the vertical distribution of $M$. There exists a second shape operator $\mathcal{A}$, acting in $M$. The actions of the shape operators $A$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are as follows:
$\begin{array}{ll}A: & T_{p} \bar{M} \rightarrow T_{p} M \\ & X \mapsto A X=-\nabla_{X}^{\prime} N,\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A}: & T_{p} M \rightarrow D_{p} \\ & X \mapsto \mathcal{A} X=\nabla_{X} \xi .\end{array}$

If $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}(J, G)$ is Kählerian ( $\nabla^{\prime} J=0$ ), then the following formulas are an immediate consequence from the above formulas [12]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=\eta(Y) A X-h(X, Y) \xi \\
\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) Y=F(X, \varphi Y, \xi)=-h(X, \varphi Y) \\
A X=-\varphi \mathcal{A} X+h(X, \xi) \xi, \quad \varphi A X=\mathcal{A} X \\
2 \mathrm{~d} \eta(X, Y)=h(Y, \varphi X)-h(X, \varphi Y) \\
=2 \mathrm{~d} \eta(\varphi X, \varphi Y)+\eta(X) h(\varphi Y, \xi)-\eta(Y) h(\varphi X, \xi)  \tag{1}\\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)(X, Y)=-\{h(Y, \varphi X)+h(X, \varphi Y)\} \\
=-\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)(\varphi X, \varphi Y)-\eta(X) h(\varphi Y, \xi)-\eta(Y) h(\varphi X, \xi),  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{d} \Phi=0 \\
N(X, Y)=[\varphi, \varphi](X, Y)+2 \mathrm{~d} \eta(X, Y) \xi \\
=\eta(X)(\varphi A Y-A \varphi Y)-\eta(Y)(\varphi A X-A \varphi X) \\
N(X, Y, Z)=-\eta(X)\{h(Y, \varphi Z)+h(Z, \varphi Y)\} \\
\quad+\eta(Y)\{h(X, \varphi Z)+h(Z, \varphi X)\} \\
F(X, Y, Z)=\eta(Y) h(X, Z)-\eta(Z) h(X, Y)  \tag{3}\\
h(X, Y)=-F(X, Y, \xi)=-p(X, Y)  \tag{4}\\
h(\xi, Z)=F(\xi, \xi, Z)=\omega(Z)=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)(\varphi Z, \xi)=-2 \mathrm{~d} \eta(\varphi Z, \xi)
\end{gather*}
$$

The function $\nu=h(\xi, \xi)$ cannot be expressed by $F$.
If, moreover, $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}(c)$ is a complex space form, i. e. Kähler manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$, then the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are [2]

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(X, Y, Z, U)=\left\{\frac{c}{4}[g(Y, *) \wedge g(X, *)+\Phi(Y, *) \wedge \Phi(X, *)\right. \\
-2 \Phi(X, Y) . \Phi]+h(Y, *) \wedge h(X, *)\}(Z, U) \\
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right) X, Z\right) & =\left(\nabla_{X} h\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{Y} h\right)(X, Z) \\
& =\frac{c}{4}[\eta \wedge \Phi(Z, *)](X, Y)+2 \eta(Z) \Phi(X, Y)
\end{aligned}
\end{gathered}
$$

Alexiev and Ganchev introduced in [1] twelve basic classes $W_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 12$, of almost contact metric manifolds with respect to the symmetries of the tensor field $F$. By using this classification Ganchev and Hristov obtained in [6] the sixteen classes of real hypersurfaces of a Kähler manifold and described them in terms of their second fundamental tensor.

Theorem 1.1. ([6]) Let $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}(J, G),(n \geq 2)$ be a Kähler manifold. Any real hypersurface $M^{2 n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ of $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}$ is in the class

$$
W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{4} \oplus W_{6}
$$

The four basic classes $W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{4}$ and $W_{6}$ generate sixteen classes of real hypersurfaces, which are characterized in terms of their second fundamental tensor $h$ as follows:
i) $W_{0}:\left(W_{0} \subset W_{i}, \quad i=1,2,4,6\right) \quad h \equiv h_{0}$, $h_{0}(X, Y)=\nu(\eta \otimes \eta)(X, Y) ;$
ii) $W_{1}: h \equiv h_{1}+h_{0}, \quad h_{1}(X, Y)=(\eta \otimes \omega+\omega \otimes \eta)(X, Y)$;
iii) $W_{2}: \quad h \equiv h_{2}+h_{0}, \quad h_{2}(X, Y)=-\frac{f(\xi)}{2 n} g(h X, h Y), \quad \operatorname{Tr} h \neq 0$;
iv) $W_{4}: h \equiv h_{4}+h_{0}, \quad h_{4}(X, Y)=\frac{f(\xi)}{2 n} g(h X, h Y)-\mathrm{d} \eta(\varphi X, h Y)$, $\operatorname{Tr} h=0 ;$
v) $W_{6}: h \equiv h_{6}+h_{0}, \quad h_{6}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)(\varphi X, h Y)$;
vi)-xi) $W_{i} \oplus W_{j}: h \equiv h_{i}+h_{j}+h_{0}, \quad i, j \in\{1,2,4,6\}, i \neq j$;
xii)-xv) $W_{i} \oplus W_{j} \oplus W_{k}: h \equiv h_{i}+h_{j}+h_{k}+h_{0}, \quad i, j, k \in\{1,2,4,6\}$, $i \neq j \neq k ;$
xvi) $W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus W_{4} \oplus W_{6}: \quad h \equiv h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{4}+h_{6}+h_{0}$.

Remark 1.1. If $n=1$, then it follows that the class $W_{4}$ is empty [4]. In this case there arise 8 classes of real hypersurfaces of a 4-dimentional Kähler manifold.

Remark 1.2. With respect to the theory of almost contact metric manifolds, the class:

- $W_{0}$ is exactly the class of cosymplectic manifolds, characterized by

$$
F=0, \quad W_{0} \subset W_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 12
$$

- $W_{2}$ is exactly the class of $\alpha$-Sasakian manifolds, characterized by

$$
N=0, \quad \mathrm{~d} \eta=\alpha \Phi, \quad \alpha \neq 0
$$

- $W_{2} \oplus W_{4}$ is exactly the class of quasi-Sasakian manifolds, characterized by

$$
N=\mathrm{d} \Phi=0
$$

- $W_{6} \oplus W_{11}$ is exactly the class of almost cosymplectic manifolds, characterized by

$$
\mathrm{d} \eta=\mathrm{d} \Phi=0
$$

- $W_{2} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4} \oplus W_{5} \oplus W_{9} \oplus W_{10}$ is exactly the class of normal manifolds, characterized by $N=0$.

Similar questions were considered in $[4,5]$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we shall use the notion of a complexification of an almost contact metric vector space and of the tangent bundle of an almost contact metric manifold. We shall consider the complex linear extension of real tensors and their essential components (which may not be zero) with respect to the standard complex coordinates (e. g. [8]).
The following results are the odd-dimensional analogues of the well known decomposition of the curvature tensor in an almost Hermitian manifold [11].
Let $V^{2 n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact metric vector space and $V^{\mathrm{c}}=D^{\mathrm{c}} \oplus$ $\{\xi\}=D^{1,0} \oplus D^{0,1} \oplus\{\xi\}$ be its complexification. $D^{1,0}$ and $D^{0,1}$ are $(+\mathrm{i})-$ and $(-\mathrm{i})$-eigenspaces of $\varphi . D^{1,0}=\operatorname{span}\left\{Z_{\alpha}=e_{\alpha}-\mathrm{i} \varphi e_{\alpha}\right\}, D^{0,1}=\operatorname{span}\left\{Z_{\bar{\alpha}}=\right.$ $\left.e_{\alpha}+\mathrm{i} \varphi e_{\alpha}\right\}, Z_{0} \equiv \xi$, where $\left\{e_{\alpha}, \varphi e_{\alpha}, \xi, \alpha=1, \ldots n\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $V . \mathcal{R}$ denotes the set of all Riemannian-like curvature tensors:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R}=\left\{R \in \otimes^{4} V^{*} ; R(X, Y, Z, U)=-R(Y, X, Z, U)=-R(X, Y, U, Z)\right. \\
\left.\sigma_{(X, Y, Z)} R(X, Y, Z, U)=0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Further $\rho_{R}, \tilde{\rho}_{R}, \rho_{R}^{*}$ are the Ricci-type contractions associated with $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\tau_{R}, \tilde{\tau}_{R}, \tau_{R}^{*}$ are the corresponding scalar curvatures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{R}(X, Y)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} R\left(e_{i}, X, Y, e_{i}\right)=g\left(Q_{R}(X), Y\right) \\
& \tau_{R}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n+1} R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{j}, e_{i}\right) \\
& \rho_{R}^{*}(X, Y)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} R\left(e_{i}, X, Y, \varphi e_{i}\right)=g\left(Q_{R}^{*}(X), Y\right) \\
& \tau_{R}^{*}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n+1} R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, \varphi e_{j}, \varphi e_{i}\right) \\
& \tilde{\rho}_{R}(X, Y)=R(\xi, X, Y, \xi)=g\left(\tilde{Q}_{R}(X), Y\right) \quad \tilde{\tau}_{R}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} R\left(\xi, e_{i}, e_{i}, \xi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}, e_{2 n+1} \equiv \xi\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M, p \in M$.
Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the vector space of all $(0,2)$-tensors over $T_{p} M$. In [9] it is proved that $\mathcal{L}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{9} \mathcal{L}_{i}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ are mutually orthogonal, $U(n) \times 1$-invariant and irreducible spaces. $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ are described by the following symmetries for the essential components for $L \in \mathcal{L}$ with complex contraction $\mu=g^{\bar{\alpha} \beta} L_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{1}: L_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=L_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}=\frac{\mu}{n} g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}, \quad \mu=\bar{\mu} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{2}: L_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=L_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}, \quad \mu=\bar{\mu} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{3}: L_{\alpha \beta}=L_{\beta \alpha} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{4}: L_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=-L_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}=\mathrm{i} \frac{\mu}{n} \Phi_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}, \quad \mu=-\bar{\mu} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{5}: L_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=-L_{\bar{\beta} \alpha}, \quad \mu=-\bar{\mu} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{6}: L_{\alpha \beta}=-L_{\beta \alpha} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{7}: L_{\alpha 0}=L_{0 \alpha} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{8}: L_{\alpha 0}=-L_{0 \alpha} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{9}: L_{00}
\end{aligned}
$$

By using appropriate involutive isometries, commuting with the Lie group $\mathcal{U}(n) \times 1$, analogously to [11], we obtain the following

Theorem 2.1. (partial decomposition) The space $\mathcal{R}$ is decomposable into mutually orthogonal and $\mathcal{U}(n) \times 1$-invariant factors as follows

$$
\mathcal{R}=h \mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{3}^{\perp} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp} \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp}
$$

The characteristic conditions for the factors in terms of the essential components, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \mathcal{R} & =h \mathcal{R}_{3} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{3}^{\perp}=\left\{R \in \mathcal{R} ; R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}, R_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma \delta}, R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}, R_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta}}-\text { essential }\right\}, \\
h \mathcal{R}_{3}^{\perp} & =\left\{R \in h \mathcal{R} ; R_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma \delta}-\text { essential }\right\}, \\
h \mathcal{R}_{3} & =h \mathcal{R}_{2} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp}=\left\{R \in h \mathcal{R} ; R_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma \delta}=0\right\}, \\
h \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp} & =\left\{R \in h \mathcal{R}_{3} ; R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}-\text { essential }\right\}, \\
h \mathcal{R}_{2} & =h \mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp}=\left\{R \in h \mathcal{R}_{3} ; R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}=0\right\}, \\
h \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp} & =\left\{R \in h \mathcal{R}_{2} ; R_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta}}=2 R_{\alpha \bar{\gamma} \beta \bar{\delta}} \Longleftrightarrow R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}=-R_{\alpha \bar{\delta} \gamma \bar{\beta}}\right\}, \\
h \mathcal{R}_{1} & =\left\{R \in h \mathcal{R}_{2} ; R_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta}}=0 \Longleftrightarrow R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}=R_{\alpha \bar{\delta} \gamma \bar{\beta}}\right\} ; \\
v \mathcal{R} & =v \mathcal{R}_{2} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp}=\left\{R \in \mathcal{R} ; R_{0 \alpha \beta \gamma}, R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma}, R_{0 \alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}}-\text { essential }\right\}, \\
v \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp} & =\left\{R \in v \mathcal{R}^{\perp} ; R_{0 \alpha \beta \gamma}-\text { essential }\right\}, \\
v \mathcal{R}_{2} & =v \mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp}=\left\{R \in v \mathcal{R} ; R_{0 \alpha \beta \gamma}=0\right\}, \\
v \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp} & =\left\{R \in v \mathcal{R}_{2} ; R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma}=2 R_{0 \gamma \beta \bar{\alpha}} \Longleftrightarrow R_{0 \alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}}=-R_{0 \beta \alpha \bar{\gamma}}\right\}, \\
v \mathcal{R}_{1} & =\left\{R \in v \mathcal{R}_{2} z ; R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma}=0 \Longleftrightarrow R_{0 \alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}}=R_{0 \beta \alpha \bar{\gamma}}\right\} ; \\
w \mathcal{R} & =w \mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp}=\left\{R \in \mathcal{R} ; R_{0 \alpha \beta 0}, R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta 0}-\text { essential }\right\}, \\
w \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp} & =\left\{R \in w \mathcal{R} ; R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta 0}=0, R_{0 \alpha \beta 0}-\text { essential }\right\}, \\
w \mathcal{R}_{1} & =\left\{R \in w \mathcal{R} ; R_{0 \alpha \beta 0}=0, R_{0 \alpha \bar{\beta} 0}-\text { essential }\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the self-conjugate projections $p_{i}, q_{i}, r_{i}, i=1,2, s, t$, $u$, commuting with $\mathcal{U}(n) \times 1$, we describe their kernels and images, and following the scheme in $[7,11]$ we get

Theorem 2.2. (complete decomposition) The space $\mathcal{R}$ is decomposable into 18 mutually orthogonal, $\mathcal{U}(n) \times 1$-invariant and irreducible factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}= & h \mathcal{R}_{11} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{12} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{13} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{11}^{\perp} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{12}^{\perp} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{13}^{\perp} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp} \\
& \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{31}^{\perp} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{32}^{\perp} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{33}^{\perp} \\
& \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{11} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{12} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{11}^{\perp} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{12}^{\perp} \oplus v \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp} \\
& \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{11} \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{12} \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp}
\end{aligned}
$$

describing by the essential components and equalities in the following table:

| Factor | Essential components and equalities for $R$ |
| :---: | :--- |

## 3. Riemannian Curvature Identities in the Basic Classes of Real Hypersurfaces of a Complex Space Form

In the sense of [8], we give the following
Lemma 3.1. The characterization conditions for the basic classes of real hypersurfaces $M^{2 n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ of a Kähler manifold in terms of the essential components and essential complex equalities for the fundamental tensors, are as follows:

- $W_{1}: \quad h_{\alpha 0}=L_{\alpha 0}=F_{00 \alpha}=\omega_{\alpha}=-2 \mathrm{i} \eta_{\alpha 0}=\mathrm{i}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\alpha 0}=-\mathrm{i} N_{\alpha 00}$
- $W_{2}: \quad h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} L_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=-\mathrm{i} \eta_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=-F_{\alpha \bar{\beta} 0}=-F_{\bar{\beta} \alpha 0}=-\frac{f(\xi)}{2 n} g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$
- $W_{4}: \quad h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} L_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=-\mathrm{i} \eta_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=-F_{\alpha \bar{\beta} 0}=-F_{\bar{\beta} \alpha 0}, \quad f(\xi)=\operatorname{Tr} h=0$
- $W_{6}: \quad h_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\alpha \beta}=-F_{\alpha \beta 0}=-F_{\beta \alpha 0}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} N_{0 \alpha \beta}, \quad L \equiv 0$
where $L$ is the Levi form.
Using the relations between the shape operators, we get
Lemma 3.2. The characterization conditions for the basic classes $W_{i}, i=$ 1,2,4,6 with respect to the shape operators $\mathcal{A}$ and $A$ are as follows:
$W_{1}: \mathcal{A}=\varphi A, g(\mathcal{A} X, Y)=-(\eta \otimes \omega \circ \varphi)(X, Y), \quad \mathcal{A}^{*}=-(\omega \circ \varphi) \otimes \xi$, $\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A}=0, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \circ \varphi)=0$
$W_{2}: \mathcal{A}=-\mathcal{A}^{*}=\varphi \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \varphi^{-1}=-\frac{\delta \Phi(\xi)}{2 n} \varphi, \quad \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A}=0, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \circ \varphi) \neq 0$
or equivalently: $\quad \varphi \circ A=A \circ \varphi=-\frac{\delta \Phi(\xi)}{2 n} \varphi, \operatorname{Tr} A=\operatorname{Tr} h=-\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A}+\nu$
$W_{4}: \mathcal{A}=-\mathcal{A}^{*}=\varphi \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A}=0, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \circ \varphi)=0$
or equivalently: $\quad \varphi \circ A=A \circ \varphi \quad \operatorname{Tr} A=\operatorname{Tr} h=\nu$
$W_{6}: \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}^{*}=-\varphi \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A}=0, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{A} \circ \varphi)=0$ or equivalently: $\quad \varphi \circ A=-A \circ \varphi \quad \operatorname{Tr} A=\operatorname{Tr} h=\nu$.
Here $\mathcal{A}^{*}$ is the operator, $g$-conjugate to $A$ and $\delta \Phi$ is the codifferential of $\Phi$.
The equation of Codazzi implies:
Lemma 3.3. The essential components of the covariant derivatives of the shape operator $A$ and of the second fundamental tensor $h$ of a real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}(c)$ satisfy the following equalities:
i) $\left(\nabla_{\alpha} A\right)_{\beta}-\left(\nabla_{\beta} A\right)_{\alpha}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left(\nabla_{\alpha} h\right)_{\beta C}-\left(\nabla_{\beta} h\right)_{\alpha C}=0, C \in\{\gamma, \bar{\gamma}, 0\}$;
ii) $\left(\nabla_{\alpha} A\right)_{\bar{\beta}}-\left(\nabla_{\bar{\beta}} A\right)_{\alpha}=\frac{c}{2} \Phi_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi \Longleftrightarrow\left(\nabla_{\alpha} h\right)_{\bar{\beta} 0}-\left(\nabla_{\bar{\beta}} h\right)_{\alpha 0}=\frac{c}{2} \Phi_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=$ $-\frac{c}{2} \mathrm{i} g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$;
iii) $\left(\nabla_{\alpha} A\right)_{0}-\left(\nabla_{0} A\right)_{\alpha}=-\frac{c}{4} \varphi Z_{\alpha}=-\mathrm{i} \frac{c}{4} Z_{\alpha} \Longleftrightarrow\left(\nabla_{\alpha} h\right)_{0 \bar{\beta}}-\left(\nabla_{0} h\right)_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=$ $\frac{c}{2} \Phi_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=-\frac{c}{2} \mathrm{i} g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}$.

The Gauss equation and Lemma 3.1 imply
Lemma 3.4. Let $M^{2 n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}(c)$. Then the Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ satisfies in terms of the essential components the following identities:

1. $R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}=\frac{c}{2}\left(g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} g_{\gamma \bar{\delta}}+g_{\bar{\beta} \gamma} g_{\alpha \bar{\delta}}\right)+h_{\bar{\beta} \gamma} h_{\alpha \bar{\delta}}-h_{\alpha \gamma} h_{\bar{\beta} \bar{\delta}}$

$$
=\frac{c}{2}\left(\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}\right)_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}+h_{\bar{\beta} \gamma} h_{\alpha \bar{\delta}}-h_{\alpha \gamma} h_{\bar{\beta} \bar{\delta}}
$$

2. $R_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta}}=h_{\beta \bar{\gamma}} h_{\alpha \bar{\delta}}-h_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} h_{\beta \bar{\delta}}$
3. $R_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma \delta}=h_{\beta \gamma} h_{\bar{\alpha} \delta} h_{\bar{\alpha} \gamma} h_{\beta \delta}$
4. $R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}=h_{\beta \gamma} h_{\alpha \delta}-h_{\alpha \gamma} h_{\beta \delta}$
5. $R_{0 \alpha \beta \gamma}=h_{\alpha \beta} h_{0 \gamma}-h_{\alpha \gamma} h_{0 \beta}$
6. $R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma}=h_{\bar{\alpha} \beta} h_{0 \gamma}-h_{\bar{\alpha} \gamma} h_{0 \beta}$
7. $R_{0 \alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}}=h_{\alpha \beta} h_{0 \bar{\gamma}}-h_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} h_{0 \beta}$
8. $R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta 0}=\frac{c}{4} g_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}+\nu h_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}-h_{\bar{\alpha} 0} h_{0 \beta}$
9. $R_{0 \alpha \beta 0}=\nu h_{\alpha \beta}-h_{\alpha 0} h_{0 \beta}$.

Using Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.4 and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we express the essential components and the decomposition of the Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ :

Theorem 3.1. Let $M^{2 n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be in one of the basic classes $W_{1}, W_{2}$, $W_{4}, W_{6}$ of real hypersurfaces of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{2 n+2}(c)$. Then the Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ satisfies the following identities:

- $W_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}} & =\frac{c}{2}\left(\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}\right)_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}} \\
R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta 0} & =\frac{c}{4} g_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}-\omega_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_{\beta} \\
R_{0 \alpha \beta 0} & =-\omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M \in h \mathcal{R}_{11}\left(\tau=c \frac{n(n+1)}{16}\right) \oplus w \mathcal{R}$;

- $W_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}=\frac{c}{2}\left(\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}\right)_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}+\frac{f^{2}(\xi)}{4 n^{2}} g_{\gamma \bar{\beta}} g_{\alpha \bar{\delta}}, \\
& R_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta}}=\frac{f^{2}(\xi)}{4 n^{2}}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
g_{\beta \bar{\gamma}} & g_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} \\
g_{\beta \bar{\delta}} & g_{\alpha \bar{\delta}}
\end{array}\right|, \\
& R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta 0}=\left(\frac{c}{4}-\nu \frac{f(\xi)}{2 n}\right) g_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M \in h \mathcal{R}_{2} \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{11}\left(\tilde{\tau}=\frac{c n}{8}-\nu \frac{f(\xi)}{4}\right)$;

- $W_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}=2\left(\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}\right)_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}-\eta_{\gamma \bar{\beta}} \eta_{\alpha \bar{\delta}}, \\
& R_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma} \bar{\delta}}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\eta_{\alpha \bar{\gamma}} & \eta_{\beta \bar{\gamma}} \\
\eta_{\alpha \bar{\delta}} & \eta_{\beta \bar{\delta}}
\end{array}\right|, \\
& R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta 0}=\frac{c}{4} g_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}+\mathrm{i} \nu \eta_{\bar{\alpha} \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M \in h \mathcal{R}_{2} \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{1}$,

- $W_{6}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}} & =\frac{c}{2}\left(\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}\right)_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\alpha \gamma}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\bar{\beta} \bar{\delta}} \\
R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} & =-\frac{1}{4}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\beta \gamma} & \left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\alpha \gamma} \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\beta \delta} & \left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\alpha \delta}
\end{array}\right| \\
R_{0 \bar{\alpha} \beta 0} & =\frac{c}{4} g_{\bar{\alpha} \beta} \\
R_{0 \alpha \beta 0} & =\frac{i}{2} \nu\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g\right)_{\alpha \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M \in h \mathcal{R}_{1} \oplus h \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\perp} \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{11}\left(\tilde{\tau}=\frac{c n}{8}\right) \oplus w \mathcal{R}_{1}^{\perp}$.
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