

## Unipotent group actions on projective varieties

Rajendra V. Gurjar, Kayo Masuda and Masayoshi Miyanishi

### Abstract.

The correspondence between  $G_a$ -actions on affine varieties and locally nilpotent derivations of the coordinate algebras is generalized in the projective case to the correspondence between stratified  $G_a$ -actions on smooth projective varieties  $V$  and regular vector fields on  $V$  which are effectively locally nilpotent with stratification. These notions with stratifications are inspired by explicit computations of  $G_a$ -actions on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as well as the Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{F}_n$  and the associated regular vector fields. Using partly these observations, we investigate the existence of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -cylinders in Fano threefolds with rank one.

### § Introduction

In studying algebraic varieties of higher dimension, one effective approach is to decompose a given variety into algebraic varieties of lower dimension via a fibration. To find a fibration via an algebraic group action on the variety, it is expected that there exists the (algebraic) quotient variety and the quotient morphism is a fibration whose general fibers are the orbits of the algebraic group. The quotient variety exists for a nice group like a reductive algebraic group, although the fiber tends to have a complicated structure as a homogeneous space.

An algebraic group action on an algebraic variety  $X$  can be detected if one knows the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  and its subgroups. If  $X$  is a projective variety,  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is given a group scheme structure, and the connected component  $\text{Aut}^0(X)$  of the identity element is an algebraic

---

Received August 25, 2014.

Revised November 10, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14R20; Secondary 14J45.

*Key words and phrases.* regular vector field, unipotent group, stratified  $G_a$ -action, Fano variety.

The second and third authors are supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 22540059 and (B), No. 24340006, JSPS.

group. If the variety  $X$  is not projective, say affine, the automorphism group does not necessarily have any algebraic group structure possibly except an ind-affine group structure. But the structure of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is helpful to find elementary algebraic subgroups like the additive group  $G_a$  or the multiplicative group  $G_m$ .

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and let  $\mathcal{T}_{X/k}$  be the tangent bundle. Then it is known that the Lie algebra of the algebraic group  $\text{Aut}^0(X)$  is  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/k})$ . For a smooth algebraic variety  $X$ , an element  $v$  of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/k})$  is a regular vector field on  $X$ . If  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is an affine variety, this field corresponds to an element  $\Delta$  of  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ , which is a  $k$ -derivation of  $A$ . If  $\Delta$  is locally nilpotent,  $\exp(t\Delta)$  with  $t \in k$  defines a  $G_a$ -action on  $X$ . If an affine variety  $X = \text{Spec } A$  has a  $G_a$ -action defined in this way, one can think about the ‘‘algebraic quotient’’  $X//G_a$  and the ‘‘quotient morphism’’  $q : X \rightarrow X//G_a$  if  $A^\Delta := \text{Ker } \Delta$  is finitely generated over  $k$ . Then  $X//G_a$  is defined as  $\text{Spec } A^\Delta$  and  $q$  is the morphism associated to the inclusion  $A^\Delta \hookrightarrow A$ . If  $\dim X \leq 3$ , by a theorem of Zariski,  $A^\Delta$  is finitely generated over  $k$ . Hence  $X//G_a$  and  $q$  exist, and the morphism  $q$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration. Here an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration is a dominant morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of algebraic varieties such that general closed fibers as well as the generic fiber are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . We discussed  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibrations on affine threefolds in [16]. The correspondence between  $G_a$ -actions on affine varieties and locally nilpotent derivations on the coordinate algebras has been successfully used in affine algebraic geometry. Meanwhile, the results on  $G_a$ -actions on projective varieties are not abundant except for some basic ones in [2, 7, 20].

In the present article, we look into unipotent group actions on projective varieties. In the later sections, we restrict ourselves to  $G_a$ -actions. In order to develop some meaningful theory about this subject, we need leading models (or examples) and we take the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , the Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{F}_n$ , etc. Throughout the article, keywords are regular vector fields and stratifications on a given projective variety  $V$ , which is a sequence of closed subsets

$$V_0 = V \supset V_1 \supset \cdots \supset V_{n-1} \supset V_n, \dim V_i = n - i \quad (*)$$

In the sequence  $(*)$ , strata consist of  $V_0 \setminus V_1, V_1 \setminus V_2, \dots, V_{n-1} \setminus V_n$  and each stratum satisfies some property varying from one situation to the other.

In Section one, we determine explicitly the Lie algebra  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/k})$  for  $X = \mathbb{P}^n, X = \mathbb{F}_n$  and a Danielewski surface  $X = \{xy = z^2 - 1\}$ . In the last case which treats an affine surface,  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/k})$  is seen to have more complicated structure than in the first two cases. Furthermore,

we observe the behavior of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/k})$  under the blowing-up. Thus this section is for preliminary results for the later developments.

In Section two, we introduce the notion of unipotent group orbit stratification on a smooth projective variety  $V$  which is the sequence  $(*)$  such that each stratum is the finite union of orbits under the given action of a unipotent group  $U$  (see Definition 2.5). In particular, since  $V_0 \setminus V_1$  is a single unipotent group orbit, the variety  $V$  itself is very restrictive. In fact, if we simply assume that each  $V_i$  is smooth and  $\bar{\kappa}(V_i - V_{i+1}) = -\infty$ , then  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (Theorem 2.8). So, the unipotent group orbit stratification is thought to be a prototype of stratifications of other kinds to be introduced in later sections. In fact, the assumption that each stratum consists of finite unipotent orbits is too strong, and some algebraic or topological substitutes are desirable. For example, we may consider the condition that each stratum has as many independent  $G_a$ -actions as the dimension of the stratum or the condition that each stratum is simply connected. In Theorem 2.9, we look into the relationship between these conditions.

Section three deals with generalities of  $G_a$ -actions on smooth projective varieties. Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety with a nontrivial  $G_a$ -action. Let  $H$  be a very ample divisor. Since  $H$  is  $G_a$ -linearizable,  $G_a$  acts on the linear system  $|H|$  and hence there exists a  $G_a$ -stable member  $H_1$  in  $|H|$ . The  $G_a$ -action induces a locally nilpotent homogeneous derivation of degree 0 on the graded domain  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(nH))$ , which in turn determines the  $G_a$ -action on  $V$  (Theorem 3.3). The stratum  $V \setminus H_1$  has the induced  $G_a$ -action, and the regular vector field  $\Delta$  on  $V$  corresponding to the  $G_a$ -action restricts to a locally nilpotent derivation on the coordinate algebra of  $V \setminus H_1$ . This leads to the notion of stratified  $G_a$ -action (Definition 3.7) and the notion of a regular vector field being effectively locally nilpotent with stratification (Definition 3.9). Theorem 3.10 shows that these two notions are dual to each other.

Section four is devoted to a study of a smooth projective threefold such that  $\text{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$  for a smooth ample divisor  $H$  and  $V$  has a  $G_a$ -action making  $H$  stable. Then  $V$  is a Fano threefold of Picard rank one, and the structures of such threefolds are known (see [22]), but we are interested in the structure or properties of the principal stratum  $X := V \setminus H$ . We will treat basically the case  $V$  is  $\mathbb{P}^3$  or a quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ . Especially noteworthy is Theorem 4.5, the assertion (2). It gives a characterization of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  in terms of a  $G_a$ -action and the topological properties of  $X$ . Once  $X$  becomes isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^3$  which is a conclusion of (2), the quotient surface  $X//G_a$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and the quotient morphism  $q : X \rightarrow X//G_a$  is surjective. But, in Theorem 4.9, this conclusion  $X//G_a \cong \mathbb{A}^2$  is derived, without the

topological properties on  $X$ , from the existence of the above  $G_a$ -action and the assumption that the index of  $V$  is greater than one and  $H$  is smooth. But in the case where  $V$  is a smooth quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , though the same conclusion is obtained, the quotient morphism  $q : X \rightarrow X//G_a$  is not surjective (Theorem 4.6). Therefore these properties reflect subtle differences of Fano threefolds of rank one equipped with  $G_a$ -actions.

The article is partly meant to free  $G_a$ -actions from the framework of affine varieties and to consider them in more general settings. As explained above, a key is a regular vector field on an algebraic variety. Though there are many results related to vector fields, most of them are not written to fit our purpose and scattered in various references. So, we chose our way to exhibit the idea by giving concrete examples (though elementary). This might cause an impression that promising or original ideas are buried in isolated examples. A task to develop the details is perhaps left to our subsequent works and possibly to the interested readers.

We assume throughout the article that the ground field  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Whenever topological arguments are employed, we assume tacitly that  $k$  is the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $V$  is an algebraic variety and  $V_1$  a closed subvariety of  $V$ , the complement  $V \setminus V_1$  is also denoted by  $V - V_1$ , especially when  $V_1$  is a divisor of  $V$ .

*Acknowledgments.* We thank the referees for the critical reading of the manuscript and many comments and suggestions which were helpful to improve the manuscript.

## §1. Preliminary results on vector fields on projective varieties

In this section, we consider global vector fields on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  or on the Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) in terms of vector fields on the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n$  naturally embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^n$  or  $\mathbb{A}^2$  into  $\mathbb{F}_n$ . Perhaps these computations are well-known but buried in the various references. We will give them for our conveniences.

First of all, in the case where  $\mathbb{A}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ , we consider a system of homogeneous coordinates  $(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and set  $x_i = X_i/X_0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a regular vector field on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and write*

$$\Delta = f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cdots + f_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \text{ with } f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Then  $\Delta$  extends to a regular vector field on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_1x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_1x_n + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{1i}x_i + c_1 \\ f_2 &= a_1x_1x_2 + a_2x_2^2 + \cdots + a_nx_2x_n + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{2i}x_i + c_2 \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ f_n &= a_1x_1x_n + a_2x_2x_n + \cdots + a_nx_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ni}x_i + c_n . \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i(1 \leq i \leq n), b_{ij}(1 \leq i, j \leq n), c_i(1 \leq i \leq n)$  are elements of  $k$ . Hence  $\dim \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = n(n+2)$ .

*Proof.* To avoid complicated computations, we exhibit the idea in the case  $n = 2$ . We set  $x = X_1/X_0$  and  $y = X_2/X_0$ . Let  $U_i = \{X_i \neq 0\}$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) be the open sets of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . Hence  $U_0 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$ . Let  $U_1 = \text{Spec } k[u, v]$  with  $u = X_0/X_1 = x^{-1}$  and  $v = X_2/X_1 = yx^{-1}$ . Assume that  $\Delta$  is a regular vector field on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Write

$$\Delta = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \quad x, y \in k[x, y], \quad \xi, \eta \in k[u, v].$$

Since  $\xi = \Delta(u) = -u^{2-d}u^d f\left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{v}{u}\right)$  with  $d = \deg_{x,y} f$ , we have  $d \leq 2$ . Similarly, on  $U_2 = \text{Spec } k[z, w]$  with  $z = X_0/X_2 = y^{-1}$  and  $w = X_1/X_2 = xy^{-1}$ . Writing  $\Delta = \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ , we have  $\varphi = \Delta(z) = -z^{2-e}z^e g\left(\frac{w}{z}, \frac{1}{z}\right)$  with  $e = \deg_{x,y} g$ . Hence  $e \leq 2$ . Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_0x^2 + a_1xy + a_2y^2 + c_0x + c_1y + c_2 \\ g &= b_0x^2 + b_1xy + b_2y^2 + d_0x + d_1y + d_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi &= -u^2 \left( \frac{a_0}{u^2} + a_1 \frac{v}{u^2} + a_2 \frac{v^2}{u^2} + \frac{c_0}{u} + c_1 \frac{v}{u} + c_2 \right) \\
 &= -(a_0 + a_1 v + a_2 v^2 + c_0 u + c_1 uv + c_2 u^2) \\
 \eta &= -\frac{v}{u} \cdot u^2 \left( \frac{a_0}{u^2} + a_1 \frac{v}{u^2} + a_2 \frac{v^2}{u^2} + \frac{c_0}{u} + c_1 \frac{v}{u} + c_2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{u} \cdot u^2 \left( \frac{b_0}{u^2} + b_1 \frac{v}{u^2} + b_2 \frac{v^2}{u^2} + \frac{d_0}{u} + d_1 \frac{v}{u} + d_2 \right) \\
 &= -a_0 \frac{v}{u} - a_1 \frac{v^2}{u} - a_2 \frac{v^3}{u} - v(c_0 + c_1 v + c_2 u) \\
 &\quad + \frac{b_0}{u} + b_1 \frac{v}{u} + b_2 \frac{v^2}{u} + d_0 + d_1 v + d_2 u
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $b_0 = 0, b_1 = a_0, b_2 = a_1$  and  $a_2 = 0$ . Then it is easy to show that  $\Delta$  is regular on  $U_2$  as well. So,  $f$  and  $g$  are as stated above for  $n = 2$  and  $x = x_1, y = x_2$ . Q.E.D.

**Remark 1.2.** There is an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ -Modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$ , we have  $\dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = n(n+2)$ .

Let  $V = \mathbb{F}_n$  be the Hirzebruch surface of degree  $n$  and let  $M$  be a minimal section of the canonical  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration  $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . The affine plane  $\mathbb{A}^2$  can be embedded into  $V$  as the complement  $V \setminus (M \cup \ell_\infty)$ , where  $\ell_\infty$  is the fiber at infinity of  $p$ . We consider a regular vector field  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and look for a condition with which  $\Delta$  is extendable to a regular vector field on  $V$ .

Write  $V = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n))$  and let  $M$  be defined by the projection  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ . To be more precise, let  $\mathbb{P}^1 = U_0 \cup U_1$ , where  $U_0 = \text{Spec } k[x]$  and  $U_1 = \text{Spec } k[x^{-1}]$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)|_{U_0} = \mathcal{O}_{U_0} e_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)|_{U_1} = \mathcal{O}_{U_1} e'_1$ , where  $e'_1 = x^n e_1$ . We write the direct summand  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  as  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} e_0$  to give a base  $e_0$ . Then  $V$  is covered by four open sets  $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_0 &= \text{Spec } k[x, y], & y &= \frac{e_0}{e_1} \\
 V_1 &= \text{Spec } k[u, v], & u &= \frac{1}{x}, \quad v = \frac{e_0}{e'_1} = \frac{y}{x^n} \\
 V_2 &= \text{Spec } k[x, z], & z &= \frac{1}{y} = \frac{e_1}{e_0} \\
 V_3 &= \text{Spec } k[u, t], & u &= \frac{1}{x}, \quad t = \frac{1}{v} = \frac{e'_1}{e_0} = \frac{x^n}{y}
 \end{aligned}$$

Write a regular vector field  $\Delta$  on the open set  $V_0$  as

$$\Delta = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

with  $f, g \in k[x, y]$ . Express  $\Delta$  on the open sets  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  in terms of the above respective coordinate systems and find the condition for  $\Delta$  to be regular on each of the above open sets. The computations show the following result.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Embed  $\mathbb{A}^2$  into  $\mathbb{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) as  $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{F}_n \setminus (M \cup \ell_\infty)$ . Let  $\Delta = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  be a regular vector field on  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . Then  $\Delta$  is extendable to a regular vector field on  $\mathbb{F}_n$  if and only if*

- (1)  $f(x, y) = a_{20}x^2 + a_{10}x + a_{00}$ ,
- (2)

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} b_{n0}x^n + \dots + b_{10}x + b_{00} + b_{01}y + b_{11}xy & (b_{11} = na_{20}) & (n > 0) \\ b_{02}y^2 + b_{01}y + b_{00} & & (n = 0) \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\dim H^0(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_n})$  is equal to  $n + 5$  if  $n > 0$  and 6 if  $n = 0$ .

**Remark 1.4.** Let  $V$  be  $\mathbb{P}^2$  or  $\mathbb{F}_n$ . In Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.3, we tacitly used the coincidence of two  $k$ -vector spaces  $H^0(V, \mathcal{T}_V)$  and

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \Delta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{A}^2}) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{a regular vector field on } \mathbb{A}^2 \text{ which is ex-} \\ \text{tendable to a regular vector field on } V \end{array} \right\}$$

Since a given vector field on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  is uniquely extendable to a rational vector field on  $V$ , where only the coefficients are restricted if it is regular on  $V$ , there is a natural correspondence which assigns  $\Delta$  to itself

$$\theta : \Gamma \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{T}_V).$$

Then the correspondence is an isomorphism. In fact, an element  $\Delta \in H^0(\mathbb{A}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{A}^2})$  is identified with a  $k$ -derivation of the function field

$k(\mathbb{A}^2)$ . So,  $H^0(V, \mathcal{T}_V)$  is a  $k$ -derivation of  $k(\mathbb{A}^2)$  which is regular on  $V$ . The extendability of a given vector field on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  onto  $V$  depends on the embedding  $\mathbb{A}^2 \hookrightarrow V$ . The above remark applies if one replaces  $\mathbb{A}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  by  $\mathbb{A}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ .

**Remark 1.5.** The dimension of  $H^0(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_n})$  can be also computed by an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(2M + n\ell)) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{T}_V) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $h^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(2M + n\ell)) = n + 2$  if  $n > 0$  and  $= 3$  if  $n = 0$ . This sequence is obtained from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(2M + n\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_V \rightarrow p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is dual to

$$0 \rightarrow p^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{V/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{V/\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Determination of regular vector fields on a smooth algebraic surface is not so easy as for  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . As an example, we determine those for a Danielewski surface  $X = \{xy = z^2 - 1\} \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ . For a similar direction of research, one can refer to [28]. Let  $K = k(X)$  and let  $\Delta$  be a regular vector field on  $X$ . Then, as a derivation of  $k(X)/k$ ,  $\Delta$  is written as

$$\Delta = g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + h \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

where  $g = \Delta(x)$  and  $h = \Delta(z)$ . Since  $y = x^{-1}(z^2 - 1)$ , we have

$$f := \Delta(y) = -\frac{1}{x^2} \cdot xyg + \frac{2z}{x} h,$$

whence  $xf + yg = 2zh$ . Since  $\Delta$  corresponds to  $\delta \in \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{R/k}^1, R)$  by  $\Delta = \delta \cdot d$  with  $d : R \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k}^1$  being the universal derivation of  $R$ , where  $R$  is the coordinate ring of  $X$  [19, Definition, p.172]. We have  $f = \delta(dy)$ ,  $g = \delta(dx)$  and  $h = \delta(dz)$ , whence  $f, g, h \in R$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** *Since  $R = k[x, y] + k[x, y]z$  is a free  $k[x, y]$ -module, write*

$$f = f_0 + f_1z, \quad g = g_0 + g_1z, \quad h = h_0 + h_1z,$$

where  $f_i, g_i, h_i \in k[x, y]$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . Then we have:

$$(1) \quad h_0 = \frac{1}{2}(xf_1 + yg_1).$$

(2) There exist  $L, M, F \in k[x, y]$  such that

$$f_0 = 2(xy + 1)L + yF, \quad g_0 = 2(xy + 1)M - xF, \quad h_1 = xL + yM,$$

where  $L, M, F, f_1$  and  $g_1$  are chosen arbitrarily.

(3) With the choice of these elements,  $\Delta$  is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = & \{2(xy + 1)M - xF + g_1z\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ & + \{(\frac{1}{2}f_1 + Lz)x + (\frac{1}{2}g_1 + Mz)y\} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $xf + yg = 2zh$ , we have

$$(xf_0 + yg_0) + z(xf_1 + yg_1) = 2h_1(xy + 1) + 2h_0z,$$

whence

$$xf_0 + yg_0 = 2h_1(xy + 1) \tag{i}$$

and

$$h_0 = \frac{1}{2}(xf_1 + yg_1). \tag{ii}$$

Let  $(x, y)$  be the maximal ideal in  $k[x, y]$ . Since  $xy + 1 \notin (x, y)$ , (i) implies  $h_1 \in (x, y)$ . Hence we may write

$$h_1 = xL + yM. \tag{iii}$$

By (i), we have an equality in  $k[x, y]$ ,

$$x\{f_0 - 2(xy + 1)L\} = y\{2(xy + 1)M - g_0\}.$$

Since  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ , we have

$$f_0 = 2(xy + 1)L + yF, \quad g_0 = 2(xy + 1)M - xF$$

for some  $F \in k[x, y]$ . Tracing the above computations backward, it is clear that the choice of  $L, M, F, f_1, g_1$  in  $k[x, y]$  is arbitrary. Q.E.D.

Let  $\sigma : W \rightarrow V$  be the blowing-up of a smooth algebraic variety  $V$  with a smooth center  $Z$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a vector field on  $V$  which is regular along  $Z$ . If  $V'$  is a variety birational to  $V$ , then  $\Delta$  is viewed as a rational vector field on  $V'$ . So, we use the same symbol  $\Delta$  to denote the rational vector field on  $W$ . The regularity of  $\Delta$  near the exceptional subvariety  $\sigma^{-1}(Z)$  is given by the following.

**Lemma 1.7.** *With the above notations, let  $P$  be a point of  $Z$  and let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a system of local parameters of  $V$  at  $P$  such that  $Z$  is defined by  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_d = 0$ , where  $d = \text{codim}_V(Z)$ . Write  $\Delta$  near  $P$  as*

$$\Delta = f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \dots + f_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n},$$

where  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}_{V,P}$ . Then  $\Delta$  is regular near  $\sigma^{-1}(P)$  if and only if  $f_1(P) = \dots = f_d(P) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma^{-1}(Z)$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ -bundle over  $Z$ ,  $\sigma^{-1}(P)$  is a projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$  with a system of homogeneous coordinates  $\{X_1, \dots, X_d\}$ . Fix  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then, on the open set  $U_i = \{X_i \neq 0\}$ , it holds that  $X_j/X_i = x_j/x_i$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $j \neq i$ . Set  $u_j = x_j/x_i$  if  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $j \neq i$  and  $u_i = x_i$ . For any point  $Q \in \sigma^{-1}(P)$ , the set  $\{u_1 - u_1(Q), \dots, u_d - u_d(Q), x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n\}$  is a system of local parameters of  $W$  at  $Q$ . Hence we can write

$$\Delta = \xi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} + \dots + \xi_d \frac{\partial}{\partial u_d} + f_{d+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d+1}} + \dots + f_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n},$$

where  $\xi_i = f_i$ . If  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $j \neq i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_j &= \Delta(u_j) = \Delta\left(\frac{x_j}{x_i}\right) = -\frac{x_j}{x_i^2} f_i + \frac{1}{x_i} f_j \\ &= \frac{1}{x_i} \{f_j(u_1 x_i, \dots, x_i, \dots, u_d x_i, x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad - u_j f_i(u_1 x_i, \dots, x_i, \dots, u_d x_i, x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $f_j(P) \neq 0$ , then  $\xi_j$  has a simple pole along  $\sigma^{-1}(Z)$ . So,  $\xi_j$  is regular only if  $f_j(P) = 0$ . This implies that  $\Delta$  is regular along  $\sigma^{-1}(Z)$  only if  $f_1(P) = \dots = f_d(P) = 0$ . The converse is clear by the above expression of the  $\xi_j$ . Q.E.D.

**Example 1.8.** Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be the blowing-up with center  $P$ . By Lemma 1.7,  $\Gamma(\mathbb{F}_1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_1})$  is identified with

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \Delta \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{a regular vector field on } \mathbb{P}^2 \text{ which van-} \\ \text{ishes at } P \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Hence  $\dim \Gamma(\mathbb{F}_1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_1}) = \dim \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) - 2 = 8 - 2 = 6$ .

Lemma 1.7 implies the following result.

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $\sigma : W \rightarrow V$  be the blowing-up of a smooth projective variety  $V$  with center  $P$ . Assume that  $\dim V = n > 1$ . Then we have*

$$\dim \Gamma(V, \mathcal{T}_V) \geq \dim \Gamma(W, \mathcal{T}_W) \geq \dim \Gamma(V, \mathcal{T}_V) - n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\Delta}$  be a regular vector field on  $W$ . Let  $E = \sigma^{-1}(P)$ . Then  $\tilde{\Delta}|_{W \setminus E}$  is a regular vector field on  $V \setminus \{P\}$ . Hence it extends to a regular vector field  $\Delta$  on  $V$  such that  $\Delta = 0$  at  $P$ . Indeed, let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a system of local parameters of  $V$  at  $P$ . As a rational vector field on  $V$ , we can write  $\tilde{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Then  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are elements of  $k(V)$  which are regular on an open neighborhood of  $P$  punctured the point  $P$ . Then  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are regular at  $P$  as well because  $V$  is smooth at  $P$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $\Delta$  is regular at  $P$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is the extension of  $\Delta$  on  $W$ . Then  $\Delta = 0$  at  $P$  by Lemma 1.7. The condition that  $\Delta$  vanishes at  $P$  imposes on  $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{T}_V)$  the condition of dimension by at most  $n$ . Hence we obtain the stated inequalities. Q.E.D.

**Example 1.10.** Consider  $\mathbb{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) as  $V$ . With the notations of Lemma 1.3, let  $P$  be defined by  $u = t = 0$  (the point of origin of the open set  $V_3$ ). Let  $\Delta$  be a regular vector field in Lemma 1.3. Then the condition that  $\Delta$  vanishes at  $P$  imposes the condition of dimension 1 (resp. 2) if  $n > 0$  (resp.  $n = 0$ ), i.e.,  $a_{20} = 0$  (resp.  $a_{20} = b_{02} = 0$ ) if  $n > 0$  (resp.  $n = 0$ ). Furthermore, by the computation in Lemma 1.7 repeated for the blowing-up at  $P$ , we conclude that

$$\dim \Gamma(\mathbb{F}_{n+1}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_{n+1}}) = \begin{cases} \dim \Gamma(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_n}) - 1 + 2 & (n > 0) \\ \dim \Gamma(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_n}) - 2 + 2 & (n = 0) \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\dim \Gamma(\mathbb{F}_{n+1}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_{n+1}}) = n + 6$ .

We shall give one more result (see also [25, pp. 225–226]).

**Example 1.11.** Let  $V$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree  $d$ . If  $d \leq 5$ , then there are no regular vector fields on  $V$ .

*Proof.* The surface  $V$  is obtained by blowing up  $(9 - d)$  points  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  ( $m = 9 - d$ ) on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in general position, i.e., no three of them lie on a line and no five of them lie on a conic. We can choose the line at infinity  $\ell_\infty$  so that none of  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  lies on  $\ell_\infty$ . Suppose there exists a nonzero regular vector field  $\tilde{\Delta}$  on  $V$ . Then, by the proof of Lemma 1.9, there exists a regular vector field  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\Delta$  vanishes at points  $P_1, \dots, P_m$ . We may choose a system of coordinates  $\{x, y\}$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \ell_\infty$  so that  $P_1 = (0, 0)$ ,  $P_2 = (1, 0)$  and  $P_3 = (0, 1)$ . With the notations in Lemma 1.1 (the proof in the case  $n = 2$ ), it follows that  $c_2 = d_2 = 0$ ,  $d_0 = 0$ ,  $a_1 + d_1 = 0$ ,  $a_0 + c_0 = 0$  and  $c_1 = 0$ . Hence we have  $f = a_0(x^2 - x) + a_1xy$  and  $g = a_0xy + a_1(y^2 - y)$ . Suppose that  $P_4 = (\alpha, \beta)$  is involved. Then  $\alpha\beta \neq 0$  because no three of  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4$

lie on a line. Since  $f(\alpha, \beta) = g(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha^2 - \alpha & \alpha\beta \\ \alpha\beta & \beta^2 - \beta \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

For otherwise  $a_0 = a_1 = 0$  and  $\Delta = 0$  everywhere. The above determinant gives  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . Then  $P_2, P_3$  and  $P_4$  are colinear, which is a contradiction. So,  $P_4$  cannot be involved, and  $9 - d \leq 3$ . Q.E.D.

This implies that there is no  $G_a$ -action on  $V$  if  $d = 5$ . The last result follows from the following two facts.

- (i)  $H^0(V, \mathcal{T}_V)$  is the Lie algebra of the algebraic group  $\text{Aut}^0(V)$ .
- (ii) Let  $\varphi$  be an element of  $\text{Aut}^0(V)$ . Then  $\varphi$  comes from an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  fixing the points  $P_1, \dots, P_m$ . Hence if  $m \geq 4$  then  $\varphi = \text{id}$ . In fact, no three of  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  lie on a line. Hence any automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  fixing four of them is the identity automorphism.

## §2. Unipotent group orbit stratifications

Let  $X$  be a smooth algebraic variety with an algebraic group  $G$  acting on it, whence there is a group homomorphism  $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ . Taking the Lie algebra homomorphism, we have

$$d\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_X),$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is the tangent bundle of  $X$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ . If  $(X, D)$  is a pair of a  $G$ -variety  $X$  and a  $G$ -stable effective divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings and further if  $G$  is connected, then  $G$  stabilizes each of the irreducible components  $D = D_1 + \dots + D_m$ . Let  $x \in X$  and let  $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  be a system of local parameters at  $x \in X$  such that  $D$  is defined by  $t_1 \cdots t_r = 0$ . Then an infinitesimal automorphism  $\exp(\varepsilon\delta)$  with  $\delta \in \mathcal{T}_x$  acts on  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} = k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$  as

$$\exp(\varepsilon\delta)(a) = a + \delta(a)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2!}\delta^2(a)\varepsilon^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{j!}\delta^j(a)\varepsilon^j + \dots$$

Hence that  $\exp(\varepsilon\delta)$  preserves each irreducible component  $D_i$  means  $\delta(t_i a) \in t_i \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ . If we write  $\delta$  as

$$\delta = c_1 \partial_1 + c_2 \partial_2 + \dots + c_i \partial_i + \dots + c_n \partial_n \quad \text{with} \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i},$$

the above condition is that  $t_1 \mid c_1, \dots, t_r \mid c_r$ . Thus,  $\delta$  belongs to the stalk

$$\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)_x = \mathcal{O}_x(t_1\partial_1) + \cdots + \mathcal{O}_x(t_r\partial_r) + \mathcal{O}_x(\partial_{r+1}) + \cdots + \mathcal{O}_x(\partial_n),$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)$  is the dual bundle  $\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_X^1(\log D), \mathcal{O}_X)$  of the bundle of logarithmic 1-differential forms  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$  along  $D$  and hence a subbundle of the tangent bundle  $\mathcal{T}_X$ <sup>1</sup>. Hence the above Lie algebra automorphism  $d\sigma$  factors through a homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_X(-\log D)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}_X).$$

We consider an orbit of a unipotent group  $U$ , which is a homogeneous space  $U/H$ , where  $H$  is the isotropy group of a base point of the orbit. We recall first the following well-known results [37, Corollary, p. 1043] and [41].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $U$  be a unipotent group and  $X = U/H$  be a homogeneous space. Then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with  $n = \dim X$ . In particular, the underlying scheme of  $U$  is the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^d$  with  $d = \dim U$ .*

The following result follows from the closedness of orbits of unipotent group actions on quasi-affine varieties (see [4, Prop. 4.10, Chap. 1]).

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $U$  be a unipotent group and let  $X$  be a  $U$ -variety containing an open  $U$ -orbit. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Assume that  $X$  is affine. Then  $X$  coincides with the open  $U$ -orbit and hence isomorphic to the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .*
- (2) *Let  $Y$  be a  $U$ -stable open set of  $X$ . Then  $Y$  contains the open orbit.*

Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety which is a  $U$ -variety and let  $X$  be an open  $U$ -orbit. Since  $X$  is affine by Lemma 2.1, the complement  $D = V \setminus X$  is a  $U$ -stable subvariety of pure codimension one. Let  $D = D_1 + \cdots + D_r$  be the irreducible decomposition. Since  $U$  is connected, each irreducible component is  $U$ -stable. We shall see in concrete examples what takes place in the boundary  $D$ .

**Example 2.3.** (1) Embed  $\mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$  into  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in the standard way  $(x, y) \mapsto (1, x, y)$ . Let  $D = \ell_\infty$  be the line at infinity. Then  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\log D))$  is a  $k$ -module generated by the elements

$$\Delta = (c_0x + c_1y + c_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (d_0x + d_1y + d_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

---

<sup>1</sup>See [23] for the definition, where it is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_X(\log D)$ .

where  $c_i, d_j \in k$ . Hence  $\dim \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\log D)) = 6$ . Let  $U$  be the upper triangular unipotent subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(3)$ , which consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 1 & s_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_1, s_2, s_3 \in k.$$

Then  $U$  acts from the right on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as  $(X_0, X_1, X_2) \mapsto (X_0, X_1 + s_1 X_0, X_2 + s_3 X_1 + s_2 X_0)$  with the line at infinity  $\ell_\infty = \{X_0 = 0\}$  stabilized under this action. With the inhomogeneous coordinates  $x = X_1/X_0, y = X_2/X_0$ , the action is given as  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + s_1, y + s_3 x + s_2)$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$  of  $U$  is generated by the matrices

$$\delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Lie algebra homomorphism  $d\sigma : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\log D))$  is given by

$$(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \mapsto \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

(2) Let  $O = (1, 0, 0)$ . Since  $U$  acts from the right on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , the orbit  $O \cdot U$  is  $\{(1, s_1, s_2) \mid s_1, s_2 \in k\}$  and the isotropy group at  $O$  is

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & s_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid s_3 \in k \right\}.$$

The homogeneous space  $H \backslash U$  is the ordinary  $(x, y)$ -plane. The line at infinity  $\ell_\infty$  has a  $U$ -action

$$(0, X_1, X_2) \mapsto (0, X_1, X_2 + s_3 X_1).$$

Hence  $\ell_\infty$  contains an  $U$ -orbit  $O_1 \cdot U = \{(0, 1, s_3) \mid s_3 \in k\}$ , where  $O_1 = (0, 1, 0)$  and the isotropy group is

$$H_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s_1 & s_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid s_1, s_2 \in k \right\}.$$

The point  $O_2 = (0, 0, 1)$  is a unique  $U$ -fixed point. So, there exist a decomposition of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  into strata of  $U$ -orbits  $\mathbb{P}^2 = O \cdot U \cup O_1 \cdot U \cup \{O_2\}$ .

(3) The observation made in (2) above can be easily generalized to the case of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and the group  $U_n$  of upper triangular unipotent matrices in  $\mathrm{SL}(n+1)$ . The decomposition into  $U_n$ -orbits

$$\mathbb{P}^n = O \cdot U_n \cup O_1 \cdot U_n \cup \cdots \cup O_{n-1} \cdot U_n \cup \{O_n\}$$

is also the decomposition into the  $B_n$ -orbits, where  $B_n$  is the Borel subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(n+1)$  consisting of upper triangular matrices.

We prove only the first statement of the assertion (1). The rest are obvious. By Lemma 1.1,  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$  is a  $k$ -module generated by the elements

$$\Delta = (a_0x^2 + a_1xy + c_0x + c_1y + c_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (a_0xy + a_1y^2 + d_0x + d_1y + d_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where  $a_i, c_j, d_\ell \in k$ . Meanwhile,  $\ell_\infty$  is defined by  $x^{-1} = 0$  near the point  $(0, 1, 0)$  and by  $y^{-1} = 0$  near the point  $(0, 0, 1)$ . Hence  $\Delta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\log D))$  if and only if  $\Delta(x^{-1})$  (resp.  $\Delta(y^{-1})$ ) is divisible by  $x^{-1}$  (resp.  $y^{-1}$ ). Hence we obtain the above expression of  $\Delta$ .

**Example 2.4.** (1) With the notations before Lemma 1.3, identify  $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathrm{Spec} k[x, y]$  with the open set  $V_0$  of  $\mathbb{F}_n$ . Let  $D = \ell_\infty + M$ . Then  $\Gamma(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_n}(\log D))$  is a  $k$ -module consisting of vector fields

$$\Delta = \begin{cases} (a_{10}x + a_{00}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (b_{n0}x^n + \cdots + b_{10}x + b_{00} + b_{01}y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & (n > 0) \\ (a_{10}x + a_{00}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (b_{01}y + b_{00}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & (n = 0) \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\dim \Gamma(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{F}_n}(\log D)) = n + 4$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

(2) Assume that  $n > 0$ . By [29], the automorphism group  $G := \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{F}_n)$  satisfies an exact sequence

$$(1) \rightarrow H \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathbb{F}_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2) \rightarrow (1),$$

where  $H$  consists of automorphisms

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x, cy + d_0 + d_1x + \cdots + d_nx^n)$$

with  $c \in k^*$  and  $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n \in k$ . Hence  $H = U_0 \rtimes G_m$ , where  $U_0$  is the unipotent subgroup with  $c = 1$  in the above expression and  $U_0 \cong G_a^{\times(n+1)}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{u}_0$  be the Lie algebra of  $U_0$ . Then the natural  $G$ -action  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{F}_n$  induces a Lie algebra isomorphism

$$d\sigma : \mathfrak{u}_0 \rightarrow \left\{ \Delta \mid \Delta = (d_0 + d_1x + \cdots + d_nx^n) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n \in k \right\}.$$

Let  $U$  be a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$  containing  $U_0$ . Then  $U/U_0$  is a unipotent subgroup of  $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ . For the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$  of  $U$ ,  $(d\sigma)(\mathfrak{u}) = k \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (d\sigma)(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ . So,  $\mathbb{F}_n$  is a  $G$ -variety and  $V_0$  is a  $U$ -orbit. However  $G$  is not a reductive algebraic group if  $n > 0$ .

(3) The  $U_0$ -action on  $\ell_\infty \cup M$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\infty & : u \mapsto u, \quad v \mapsto v + d_0 u^n + \cdots + d_n \\ M & : x \mapsto x, \quad z \mapsto \frac{z}{1 + d_0 z + d_1 x z + \cdots + d_n x^n z} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\ell_\infty \setminus \{P_\infty\}$  with  $P_\infty = (u = 0, t = 0)$  is an  $U_0$ -orbit and all points on  $M$  are  $U_0$ -fixed points. However since  $U/U_0$  moves the  $x$ -coordinate,  $M \setminus \{P_\infty\}$  is a  $U$ -orbit and  $P_\infty$  is the unique  $U$ -fixed point. Thus the decomposition into  $U$ -orbits is

$$\mathbb{F}_n = V_0 \cup (\ell_\infty \setminus \{P_\infty\}) \cup (M \setminus \{P_\infty\}) \cup \{P_\infty\}$$

These examples suggest the following definition.

**Definiton 2.5.** Let  $U$  be a unipotent group and let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  equipped with a nontrivial  $U$ -action. A sequence of closed subsets

$$V_0 = V \supset V_1 \supset \cdots \supset V_{n-1} \supset V_n \tag{*}$$

is the *unipotent group orbit stratification* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) Each  $V_i$  is a (possibly reducible) subvariety of pure dimension  $n - i$  and  $V_i - V_{i+1}$  is affine.
- (2) Each irreducible component of  $V_i$  is the closure of a single  $U$ -orbit.

Note that  $V_n$  consists of a single point because the  $U$ -fixed point locus of  $V$  is connected (cf. [7]).

If a smooth projective variety  $V$  has the unipotent group orbit stratification (\*), we may ask if the stratification determines the ambient variety  $V$ . In order to answer the question, we first recall a result of C.P. Ramanujam [38].

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n > 2$  and let  $H$  be a divisor of  $V$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  such that  $V - H$  is affine and  $H_1(V - H; \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion free. Then  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .*

We can easily obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  equipped with a nontrivial action of a unipotent group  $U$ . Assume that  $V$  has the unipotent group orbit stratification  $(*)$  such that every  $V_i$  is smooth and irreducible. Then  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , it is clear that  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . If  $n = 2$ , then  $V_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $V - V_1 \cong \mathbb{A}^2$ . Then  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^2$  by the classification of minimal normal completions of  $\mathbb{A}^2$  [33]. Assume now that  $n \geq 3$ . By induction on  $n$ , we may assume that  $V_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and  $V - V_1$  is an open  $U$ -orbit. Then  $V - V_1 \cong \mathbb{A}^n$  by Corollary 2.2. Hence  $H_1(V - V_1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Then  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  by Lemma 2.6. Q.E.D.

In the unipotent group orbit stratification  $(*)$  with smooth and irreducible  $V_i$ , we have the pair  $(V_i, V_{i+1})$  of logarithmic Kodaira dimension  $\bar{\kappa}(V_i - V_{i+1}) = -\infty$ . If we assume this property instead of a unipotent group action, we can obtain a similar characterization of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as we will see in Theorem 2.8 below. A stratification like  $(*)$  is obtained from a very ample divisor  $H$  on a smooth projective variety  $V$  of dimension  $n$ . Namely,  $V$  and  $H$  satisfy the following two conditions.

- (1) The linear system  $|H|$  has no base points and contains a smooth member.
- (2) There exist members  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n$  of  $|H|$  such that  $V_i = H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \dots \cap H_i$  is a smooth and irreducible subvariety of dimension  $n - i$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $V_0 = V$ . In particular,  $H^n = 1$ .

We consider a descending chain of log pairs  $(V_i, V_{i+1})$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *With the above notations and conditions (1) and (2), we assume that the pair  $(V_i, V_{i+1})$  has log Kodaira dimension  $-\infty$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Then  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and  $H$  is a hyperplane.*

*Proof.* We consider first the case  $n = 2$ . Then  $V_1$  is a smooth irreducible curve with  $\bar{\kappa}(V_1 - V_2) = -\infty$ . Hence  $V_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $V_2$  is a point. This implies that the self-intersection number  $H^2$  is equal to one. Let  $X = V \setminus V_1$ . Since  $H$  is ample,  $X$  is an affine surface with  $\bar{\kappa}(X) = -\infty$ . Hence there exists an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration  $\rho : X \rightarrow C$ , where  $C$  is a smooth curve. Suppose that  $\rho$  extends to a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration  $p : V \rightarrow \overline{C}$ . Then  $V_1 \cong \overline{C}$ , and hence  $V$  is a rational ruled surface and  $V_1$  is a cross-section of  $p$ . If  $V$  is not minimal, there exists an irreducible fiber component which is disjoint from  $V_1$ . This is absurd because  $H$  is ample. So,  $V$  is a Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{F}_d$  of degree  $d$ . Let  $M$  be a minimal section of  $\mathbb{F}_d$ . Then  $H \sim M + s\ell$ , where  $\ell$  is a fiber of  $p$ . Since  $1 = H^2 = -d + 2s$ , we have  $d = 2s - 1$ . Meanwhile,  $H \cdot M = s - d = -s + 1 \geq 0$  and  $d \geq 0$ , whence  $s = 1$  and  $d = 1$ . Then  $H \cdot M = 0$ , which contradicts the

ampleness of  $H$ . This implies that the closures of the fibers of  $\rho$  in  $V$  form a linear pencil  $\Lambda$  with a base point, say  $P$ . The curve  $V_1$  is a member of  $\Lambda$ . Since  $H^2 = 1$ , the pencil  $\Lambda$  becomes free of base point after a single blowing-up with center  $P$ . Then the blown-up surface becomes a Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{F}_1$  and the exceptional curve is the minimal section. Here we note that if there is a reducible member, say  $F$ , of  $\Lambda$ , then  $F \cdot V_1 = 1$  and hence there exists an irreducible component of  $F$  which is disjoint from  $V_1$ . This contradicts the ampleness of  $H$ . By contracting the exceptional curve back to the point  $P$ , we know that  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $H$  is a line.

Suppose that  $n > 2$ . We assume by induction that  $V_1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and  $V_2$  is a hyperplane. We consider a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology exact sequence for a pair  $(V, V_1)$ :

$$H^{2n-2}(V; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_{2n-2}^*} H^{2n-2}(V_1; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{2n-1}(V, V_1; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{2n-1}(V; \mathbb{Z})$$

where  $i : V_1 \rightarrow V$  is the canonical inclusion. Since  $V_2 = V_1 \cap H_2$  for a general member  $H_2$  of  $|H|$  and  $V_2$  is a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,  $H_2$  is also  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and  $V_2$  is a hyperplane of  $H_2$ . Then there exists a line  $L$  on  $H_2$  such that  $L$  intersects  $V_1$  transversally in one point  $P$ . By the Poincaré duality,  $H^{2n-2}(V_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_0(V_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . We may assume that  $H_0(V_1; \mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the point  $P$ . Similarly,  $H^{2n-2}(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(V; \mathbb{Z})$ . The class  $[L]$  in  $H_2(V; \mathbb{Z})$  gives rise to an element  $\alpha$  of  $H^{2n-2}(V; \mathbb{Z})$  which is mapped by  $i_{2n-2}^*$  to the element of  $H^{2n-2}(V_1; \mathbb{Z})$  corresponding to  $[P]$ . By the Poincaré duality, this mapping is simply the intersection  $L \cap V_1 = \{P\}$ . Hence the mapping  $i_{2n-2}^*$  is surjective. On the other hand,  $H^{2n-1}(V; \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $H_1(V; \mathbb{Z})$  by the Poincaré duality, and  $H_1(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(V_1; \mathbb{Z})$  by the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem. Then  $H_1(V_1; \mathbb{Z}) = (0)$  because  $V_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . Hence  $H^{2n-1}(V; \mathbb{Z}) = (0)$ . The above exact sequence shows that  $H^{2n-1}(V, V_1; \mathbb{Z}) = (0)$ . By the Lefschetz duality, it follows that  $H_1(V - V_1; \mathbb{Z}) = (0)$ . Now we can use Lemma 2.6 to conclude that  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $V_1$  is a hyperplane. Q.E.D.

In Lemma 2.6, the condition that  $H_1(V - H; \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion free is crucial and the condition is satisfied if  $V - H$  is simply connected. Instead of an open orbit of a unipotent group in  $V - H$ , we can think of independent  $G_a$ -actions  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  on  $V - H$ . We shall make the situation more precise.

Let  $X$  be a smooth affine variety of dimension  $n$ . Let  $\sigma_i : G_a \times X \rightarrow X$  be an action of  $G_a$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We say that the actions  $\sigma_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) are *independent* if there exists a point  $P$  such that the vector fields  $\Delta_i$  associated with  $\sigma_i$  span a vector subspace of dimension  $m$  in the tangent space  $\mathcal{T}_{X,P}$ . It is clear that we can choose as  $P$  any point from

an open set  $U$  of  $X$ . In fact, let  $\Delta_i$  be the vector field associated with the action  $\sigma_i$ . Then the mapping  $P \mapsto (\Delta_1)_P \wedge \cdots \wedge (\Delta_m)_P \in \wedge_{i=1}^m \mathcal{T}_{X,P}$  defines a section

$$\Delta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Delta_m : X \rightarrow \wedge_{i=1}^m \mathcal{T}_X,$$

which is nonzero at the point  $P$  by the assumption. Then it is non-zero in an open neighborhood of  $P$ . We then prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth affine variety of dimension  $n$  defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $n$  independent  $G_a$ -actions  $\sigma_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The fundamental group of  $X$  is a finite group and  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $n = 2$  and  $X$  is factorial. Then  $X \cong \mathbb{A}^2$  and hence  $X$  is simply connected.*
- (3) *If  $n = 3$ ,  $X$  is factorial and the (algebraic) quotient surface of  $X$  with respect to one of the actions  $\sigma_i$ , say  $\sigma_1$ , is smooth, then  $X$  is simply connected.*

*Proof.* (1) We denote the group scheme  $G_a$  by  $G_i$  if  $G_a$  acts on  $X$  by  $\sigma_i$ . The corresponding vector field  $\Delta_i$  is a locally nilpotent derivation of the coordinate ring  $A$  of  $X$ . Let  $B_1 = \text{Ker } \Delta_1$ . By slice theorem,  $A_b := A[b^{-1}]$  is a polynomial ring in one variable over  $B_{1,b} := B_1[b^{-1}]$  for some nonzero element  $b \in B_1$ . Then the inclusion  $B_{1,b} \hookrightarrow A_b$  defines an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -bundle morphism  $q_b : X_b \rightarrow Y_b := \text{Spec } B_{1,b}$ .

Let  $P$  be a general point of  $X_b$ . Hence  $G_1 P \cong \mathbb{A}^1$  is a fiber of  $q_b$ . Let  $\tau : G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n \rightarrow Y_b$  be a rational mapping defined by  $(g_2, \dots, g_n) \mapsto q_b(g_n(\cdots(g_2 P) \cdots))$ . Then  $\tau$  is a dominant mapping and holomorphic in a small analytic neighborhood of the point of origin  $(e_2, \dots, e_n)$  of  $G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n$ . In fact, if  $\varepsilon_i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq n$ ) moves a complex number with  $|\varepsilon_i|$  small, then  $\exp(\varepsilon_n \Delta_n) \cdots \exp(\varepsilon_2 \Delta_2) P$  is considered to be a transversal section of a tubular neighborhood of the orbit  $G_1 P$ . This implies that  $\sigma : G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n \rightarrow X$  defined by  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mapsto g_n(\cdots(g_2(g_1 P)) \cdots)$  is a dominant morphism. In fact, if  $|\varepsilon_1|$  is small,  $\exp(\varepsilon_1 \Delta_1) P$  is a disc neighborhood of  $P$  in the orbit  $G_1 P$ . Hence  $\exp(\varepsilon_n \Delta_n) \cdots \exp(\varepsilon_2 \Delta_2) \cdot \exp(\varepsilon_1 \Delta_1)(P)$  gives a ball-like, analytic, open neighborhood of the point  $P$ . Since  $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$  has  $\mathbb{A}^n$  as the underlying space,  $\sigma$  gives a dominant morphism  $\sigma : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$ . Let  $q : Z \rightarrow X$  be a connected topological covering. Then the fiber product  $q_{\mathbb{A}^n} : Z \times_X \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  is a topological covering. Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^n) = (1)$ ,  $Z \times_X \mathbb{A}^n$  contains  $\mathbb{A}^n$  as a connected component. Then the morphism  $\sigma$  splits as  $\sigma : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{q} X$ . In fact,  $Z$  has locally the same complex structure as  $X$  since  $Z$  is a

topological covering of  $X$ . Hence  $Z$  is a smooth connected complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . Since  $Z \times_X \mathbb{A}^n$  is a disjoint union of the connected components isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , the canonical projection  $pr_Z : Z \times_X \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow Z$  yields a morphism of complex manifolds  $\mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow Z$  which factors  $\sigma : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$ . By counting the number of points in  $\mathbb{A}^n$  (resp.  $Z$ ) lying over a general point  $P$  of  $X$ , we infer that  $\deg q \leq \deg \sigma$ . Hence  $q : Z \rightarrow X$  is a finite covering of  $X$  and  $Z$  is a smooth affine algebraic variety of dimension  $n$ . Hence  $|\pi_1(X)| \leq \deg \sigma$ . Since  $\sigma : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$  is a dominant morphism, it follows that  $A^* = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ .

(2) By an algebraic characterization of the affine plane,  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$  because  $X$  is factorial,  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^* = \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $X$  has a  $G_a$ -action.

(3) Since  $n = 3$ ,  $B_1 = \text{Ker } \Delta_1$  is a normal affine domain of dimension 2. Hence we can think of the algebraic quotient  $Y = X//G_1$  and the quotient morphism  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  instead of the morphism  $q_b$ . In fact, the restriction of  $q$  onto  $X_b$  is the morphism  $q_b$ . Further, the mapping  $\tau : G_2 \times G_3 \rightarrow Y_b$  extends to a dominant morphism  $\tau : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow Y$ . Assume that  $X$  is factorial. Then  $Y$  is factorial because  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is factorially closed in  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  as the kernel of  $\Delta_1$ . Since  $\tau : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow Y$  is dominant, it follows that  $\bar{\kappa}(Y) = -\infty$  and there are no non-constant units in  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ . In fact,  $Y$  is smooth by the assumption, and hence we have  $\bar{\kappa}(Y) \leq \bar{\kappa}(\mathbb{A}^2) = -\infty$ . Then  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . Since the general fiber of  $q$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1$  and the factorial closedness of  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  in  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  implies that the fibers over codimension one points of  $Y$  are all reduced, we can apply Nori's lemma [35, Lemma 1.5] to obtain an exact sequence

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow (1) .$$

This implies that  $\pi_1(X) = (1)$ . In fact, if there is a point  $Q \in Y$  such that the fiber  $q^{-1}(Q)$  has all non-reduced irreducible components, let  $Y^\circ = Y \setminus S$ , where  $S$  is the finite set

$$\{Q \in Y \mid \text{every component of } q^{-1}(Q) \text{ is non-reduced}\}$$

and let  $X^\circ = q^{-1}(Y^\circ)$ . Since  $\pi_1(Y^\circ) = \pi_1(Y) = (1)$ , we can apply Nori's lemma to  $q^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$  to obtain  $\pi_1(X^\circ) = (1)$ . Since  $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X^\circ) \geq 2$ , we have  $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(X^\circ) = (1)$ . Q.E.D.

For the  $X$  in Theorem 2.9, the universal covering space  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  is a smooth affine variety of dimension  $n$  such that  $\tilde{X}$  is simply connected and has  $n$  independent  $G_a$ -actions. In fact, any locally nilpotent derivation of an affine domain extends uniquely to a locally nilpotent derivation of a

finite étale extension of the domain (cf. [31]). It is an interesting problem to find out what kind of structure the variety  $\tilde{X}$  has. In the surface case, let  $X$  be a smooth affine surface which is an  $\text{ML}_0$ -surface (i.e., whose coordinate ring has trivial Makar-Limanov invariant; see [13] for the definition and relevant results) and simply connected. In general,  $\pi_1(X)$  for an  $\text{ML}_0$ -surface  $X$  has order bounded by the intertwining number of two  $G_a$ -orbits corresponding to two independent  $G_a$ -actions [30, Lemma 1.3]. If  $X$  is further a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology plane, or equivalently if  $X$  has the Picard number 0, then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . If the Picard number is positive, the structure of such surface  $X$  is described in [13].

In the threefold case, let  $X$  be the product of a Danielewski surface  $\{xy = z^2 - 1\}$  and the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . Then  $X$  has three independent  $G_a$ -actions because the Danielewski surface has two independent  $G_a$ -actions and the direct product factor  $\mathbb{A}^1$  has a third  $G_a$ -action making the Danielewski surface invariant. Furthermore,  $X$  is simply connected because the Danielewski surface is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\text{diagonal}\}$ . Meanwhile, the Picard number of  $X$  is 1. A similar example is a hypersurface  $X = \{xyz = u^3 - 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{A}^4$ .  $X$  has three  $G_a$ -actions which are defined by locally nilpotent derivations  $\delta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), where  $\delta_1(x) = \delta_1(y) = 0$ ,  $\delta_1(z) = 3u^2$  and  $\delta_1(u) = xy$  with  $\delta_2$  and  $\delta_3$  defined in a similar fashion by changing the roles of  $x, y, z$ .  $X$  is simply connected, but the Picard number is nonzero. So, we can ask if a smooth factorial affine threefold  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^3$  provided it has three independent  $G_a$ -actions. But there are again many counterexamples to this question. A typical one is  $\text{SL}(2)$  which is the hypersurface  $xz - yu = 1$  in  $\mathbb{A}^4$  (see [8, Remark 5.15]).

### §3. $G_a$ -actions on projective varieties

Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  defined over  $k$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a regular vector field. We say that  $\Delta$  has a *locally nilpotent stratification* if  $V$  has a decomposition  $V = \coprod_i W_i$  into locally closed subsets satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $W_0$  is an affine open set of  $V$ ,  $W_i$  is an affine open set of the closure  $\overline{W}_i$  and  $\overline{W}_i \setminus W_i$  is the union of closures  $\overline{W}_j$  of several  $W_j$  with  $j > i$ .
- (2) For every  $i$ ,  $\Delta|_{W_i}$  is a locally nilpotent derivation on  $\Gamma(W_i, \mathcal{O}_{W_i})$  unless  $W_i$  is a point.

The locally nilpotent stratifications used in the subsequent arguments are constructed in such a way that  $V \setminus W_0$  is supported by an

ample divisor, and for  $i > 0$ ,  $\overline{W}_i \setminus W_i$  is also supported by an ample divisor on  $\overline{W}_i$  provided  $\overline{W}_i$  is smooth (cf. Definition 3.9).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $U = \text{Spec } R$  be an affine open set. Then  $\Delta|_U$ , the restriction of  $\Delta$  onto  $U$ , is a  $k$ -derivation  $D$  of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{T}_{V/k})$ , it follows that  $\Delta|_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{T}_{U/k}) \cong \text{Der}_k(R)$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $U = \text{Spec } R$  and let  $D$  be as above. Let  $U' = \text{Spec } R'$  be an affine open set such that  $U' \subseteq U$  and let  $D'$  be the  $k$ -derivation corresponding to  $\Delta|_{U'}$ . Then  $D$  is the restriction of  $D'$  to  $R$ . If  $D'$  is locally nilpotent, then so is  $D$  on  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $R$  and  $R'$  are subalgebras in the function field  $k(V)$  and hence that  $R \subseteq R'$  in  $k(V)$ . Define a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi_D : R \rightarrow R[[t]]$  by

$$\Phi_D(a) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} D^i(a) t^i.$$

Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\Phi_D} & R[[t]] \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow i[[t]] \\ R' & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{D'}} & R'[[t]] \end{array}$$

where  $i$  and  $i[[t]]$  are the canonical inclusions. If  $D'$  is locally nilpotent,  $\Phi_{D'}$  splits via  $R'[t]$ . Then  $\Phi_D$  splits via  $R[t]$  because  $R[t] = R'[t] \cap R[[t]]$ . Hence  $D$  is locally nilpotent. Q.E.D.

This result implies that if  $\Delta$  is locally nilpotent on a non-empty affine open set, there exists a maximal affine open set  $U_{\max}$  of  $V$  such that  $\Delta$  induces a locally nilpotent derivation on  $U_{\max}$ .

Let  $\tilde{A} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$  be a graded affine domain over  $k$  with  $A_0 = k$  and generated by  $A_1$  and let  $\tilde{\Delta}$  be a nonzero locally nilpotent derivation of  $\tilde{A}$  which is homogeneous of degree 0. Let  $V = \text{Proj}(\tilde{A})$  which is identified with  $(\text{Spec}(\tilde{A}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{M}\})//G_m$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the irrelevant ideal of  $\tilde{A}$  and  $G_m$  acts on  $\text{Spec}(\tilde{A})$  via the grading. Then the  $G_a$ -action on  $\text{Spec}(\tilde{A})$  induced by  $\tilde{\Delta}$  commutes with the  $G_m$ -action and hence induces a  $G_a$ -action on  $V$ . This  $G_a$ -action is described as follows. Since  $\tilde{\Delta}$  restricted on  $A_1$  is a nilpotent linear endomorphism, there exists an element  $s_0 \neq 0$  of  $A_1$  such that  $\tilde{\Delta}(s_0) = 0$ . Then  $\tilde{\Delta}$  induces a locally

nilpotent derivation  $\Delta$  on  $\tilde{A}[s_0^{-1}]_0$  and it gives rise to a  $G_a$ -action on the affine open set  $V \setminus V_+(s_0)$ . This  $G_a$ -action coincides with the restriction of the above-obtained  $G_a$ -action on  $V$  restricted to  $V \setminus V_+(s_0)$ . The  $G_a$ -action on  $V_+(s_0)$  is described by the locally nilpotent homogeneous derivation  $\tilde{\Delta} \pmod{(s_0)}$  induced on  $\tilde{A}/(s_0)$ .

Conversely, a  $G_a$ -action on a smooth projective variety is obtained via  $G_a$ -linearization from this construction.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety which has an algebraic  $G_a$ -action and let  $\Delta$  be the regular vector field associated with the  $G_a$ -action. The following assertions hold:*

- (1) *Let  $H$  be an effective ample divisor such that the subset  $H_{\text{red}}$  of codimension one is  $G_a$ -stable and let  $W_0 = \text{Spec } R_0$  be the complement of  $H_{\text{red}}$ . Then  $D_0 = \Delta|_{W_0}$  is a locally nilpotent derivation.*
- (2) *Let  $H$  be an effective ample divisor. Then  $H$  is  $G_a$ -linearizable. Hence there exists a member of  $|H|$  which is  $G_a$ -stable. If  $H_0$  is a  $G_a$ -stable member of  $|H|$ , then  $V \setminus H_0$  is a  $G_a$ -stable affine open set.*
- (3) *Let  $H$  be a  $G_a$ -stable effective very ample divisor and let  $\tilde{A} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(nH))$ . Then there exists a locally nilpotent, homogeneous derivation  $\tilde{\Delta}$  of degree 0 on  $\tilde{A}$  such that  $\tilde{\Delta}$  induces the  $G_a$ -action on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $H$  is ample, the complement  $W_0 = V \setminus H_{\text{red}}$  is an affine open set and has the induced  $G_a$ -action. Hence the restriction  $\Delta|_{W_0}$  gives rise to the induced  $G_a$ -action on  $W_0$ . This implies that  $D_0$  is locally nilpotent.

(2) Since  $\text{Pic}(G_a) = (0)$ ,  $H$  is  $G_a$ -linearizable by [24, Prop. 2.4 and its remark]. Hence  $G_a$  acts linearly on  $H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(H))$ , and hence acts on the projective space  $|H|$ . Since the fixed point locus on  $|H|$  is connected and non-empty (see [7]), there exists an element of  $|H|$  which is  $G_a$ -stable.

(3) Since  $H$  is very ample,  $H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(nH))$  is generated by  $H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(H))$ . Hence the extended  $G_a$ -coaction on  $H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(H))$  (cf. [34, p. 32]) extends to a locally nilpotent homogeneous derivation  $\tilde{\Delta}$  on the graded domain  $\tilde{A}$ . Since  $\tilde{\Delta}(H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(H))) \subseteq H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(H))$ ,  $\tilde{\Delta}$  has degree 0. Let  $H_0$  be a  $G_a$ -stable member of  $|H|$  and let  $\{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_N\}$  be a basis of  $H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(H))$  such that  $H_0 = \{s_0 = 0\}$ . Then  $\tilde{\Delta}$  induces the  $G_a$ -action on  $V - H_0 = \text{Spec } k[s_1/s_0, \dots, s_N/s_0]$  which is the given  $G_a$ -action on  $V$  by construction. By repeating the same argument to  $H_0$  and  $H|_{H_0}$ , it is now easy to conclude the assertion. Q.E.D.

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety with a nontrivial  $G_a$ -action. Let  $H$  be a very ample divisor. If we assume that the irregularity  $q := h^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$  and the  $G_a$ -fixed point locus consists of a single point, we can take a sequence of closed subvarieties

$$V_0 = V \supset V_1 \supset \cdots \supset V_{n-1} \supset V_n$$

as considered before Theorem 2.8 in a  $G_a$ -equivariant way provided the smoothness condition on  $V_i$  is guaranteed. In fact, by Theorem 3.3, (2), there is a  $G_a$ -stable open set  $W_0$  such that  $W_0 = V \setminus H_1$  with a  $G_a$ -stable member  $H_1 \in |H|$ . If  $V_1 := H_1$  is smooth, then we consider a very ample divisor  $H|_{H_1}$ . Since the induced  $G_a$ -action on  $V_1$  is nontrivial if  $\dim V_1 > 0$ , we find a  $G_a$ -stable member of  $|H|_{V_1}|$ . Suppose further that the irregularity  $q := h^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$ . Then the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H_1}(H) \rightarrow 0$$

induces the surjection  $H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(H)) \rightarrow H^0(H_1, \mathcal{O}_{H_1}(H))$ . So, the  $G_a$ -stable member of  $|H|_{H_1}|$  is written as  $H_1 \cap H_2$ . Note that the irregularity of  $H_1$  vanishes. In fact, if  $\dim V \geq 3$ , then the exact sequence

$$H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow H^1(H_1, \mathcal{O}_{H_1}) \rightarrow H^2(V, \mathcal{O}_V(-H))$$

implies the assertion because  $H^2(V, \mathcal{O}_V(-H)) = 0$  by the Kodaira vanishing theorem. If  $\dim V = 2$ , then  $H^2(V, \mathcal{O}_V(-H)) \cong H^0(V, \mathcal{O}(K_V + H)) = 0$  because the existence of a  $G_a$ -action implies  $\bar{\kappa}(V \setminus H_1) = -\infty$ . Since the  $G_a$ -fixed point locus on  $V$  consists of a single point by assumption, we proceed the above construction inductively under the assumption that  $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_i$  is smooth for  $1 \leq i \leq \dim V - 1$ . Then the  $G_a$ -action on  $V_i := H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_i$  is nontrivial if  $i \leq \dim V - 1$ . Thus we reach to the set-up of Theorem 2.8. Since the nontrivial  $G_a$ -action on  $V_i - V_{i+1}$  implies that  $\bar{\kappa}(V_i - V_{i+1}) = -\infty$ , the theorem shows that  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ . If  $V \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$ , it fails to hold that  $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_i$  is smooth for some  $1 \leq i \leq \dim V - 1$ .

Given a regular vector field  $\Delta$  on a smooth projective variety  $V$ , an irreducible subvariety  $W$  of codimension one is called *integral* if for every smooth point  $P$  of  $W$  and for a system of local parameters  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  of  $V$  at  $P$  such that  $W$  is defined by  $u_1 = 0$ , we have  $\Delta(u_1) \in u_1 \mathcal{O}_{V,P}$ . If  $\dim V = 2$ , we call  $W$  an *integral curve* of  $\Delta$ .

**Example 3.5.** With the notations in Lemma 1.1, we consider the case  $V = \mathbb{P}^2 = U_0 \amalg H_0$  with  $H_0 = \{X_0 = 0\}$ , where  $U_0 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$ .

Write a regular vector field  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as  $\Delta = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} f &= a_0x^2 + a_1xy + c_0x + c_1y + c_2 \\ g &= a_0xy + a_1y^2 + d_0x + d_1y + d_2 . \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the coordinates  $\{u, v\}$  on  $U_1 = \{X_1 \neq 0\}$  and  $\{z, w\}$  on  $U_2 = \{X_2 \neq 0\}$  (cf. Lemma 1.1), we compute  $\Delta|_{U_1}$  and  $\Delta|_{U_2}$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|_{U_1} &= -(a_0 + a_1v + c_0u + c_1uv + c_2u^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \\ &\quad + (d_0 + d_1v + d_2u - c_0v - c_1v^2 - c_2uv) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \\ \Delta|_{U_2} &= -(a_1 + d_1z + a_0w + d_2z^2 + d_0zw) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ &\quad + (c_1 + c_2z + (c_0 - d_1)w - d_2zw - d_0w^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} . \end{aligned}$$

We assume that  $H_0$  is an integral curve of  $\Delta$ . By the above expression of  $\Delta|_{U_1}$  and  $\Delta|_{U_2}$ , where  $H_0$  is defined by  $u = 0$  and  $z = 0$  respectively,  $H_0$  is an integral curve if and only if  $a_0 = a_1 = 0$ . Hence we have

$$\Delta = (c_0x + c_1y + c_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (d_0x + d_1y + d_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} .$$

Namely we have

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta(x) \\ \Delta(y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 \\ d_0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_2 \\ d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\Delta^n \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 \\ d_0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}^n \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 \\ d_0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_2 \\ d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . This implies that  $\Delta$  is locally nilpotent if and only if the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 \\ d_0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a nilpotent matrix. Hence there exists a matrix  $P \in \text{GL}(2, k)$  such that

$$P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 \\ d_0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Let  $\tilde{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix}$ . By a change of coordinates  ${}^t(X_0, X_1, X_2) \mapsto \tilde{P}^{-1}{}^t(X_0, X_1, X_2)$ , we may assume that

$$\Delta = (\alpha y + c_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + d_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} ,$$

whence  $\Delta(x) = \alpha y + c_2$  and  $\Delta(y) = d_2$ . Now we define a  $G_a$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  by

$$t \cdot \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_2 t + \frac{1}{2!} \alpha d_2 t^2 & 1 & \alpha t \\ d_2 t & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is now clear that  $\Delta$  is the vector field associated to the  $G_a$ -action.

Consider a regular vector field  $\Delta = (c_1 y + c_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ . Then  $\Delta|_{U_2 \cap H_0} = c_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ . This implies that the  $G_a$ -action is effective on  $U_2 \cap H_0$  and the fixed point locus  $\Gamma$  consists of a single point  $(0, 1, 0)$ . In fact, the decomposition  $U_0 \amalg (U_2 \cap H_0) \amalg \Gamma$  is a locally nilpotent stratification of  $\Delta$ .

A similar thing holds in the case  $n > 2$ . With the notations in Lemma 1.1, we have the following.

**Remark 3.6.** Assume that the hyperplane  $H_0$  is integral for  $\Delta$  as in Lemma 1.1. This implies that  $\Delta(\frac{X_0}{X_i})$  is divisible by  $\frac{X_0}{X_i}$  for every  $i \neq 0$ . This condition is equivalent to  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$ . Hence we have

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\Delta^n \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = B^n \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + B^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$\Delta$  is locally nilpotent if and only if  $B$  is nilpotent. Hence, after a suitable base change of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we may assume that  $B$  is an upper triangular matrix  $(c_{ij})$  with all the diagonal entries zero. Then the vector field  $\Delta$  is associated with a  $G_a$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  which stabilizes the hyperplane  $H_0$ .

Modeled after the above examples, we introduce the following two definitions, where we note that the stratifications stop at the second strata.

**Definiton 3.7.** Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$ . A  $G_a$ -action on  $V$  is called a *stratified action* if there exists a reduced effective divisor  $H = H_1 + \dots + H_r$  (irreducible decomposition) supporting an ample divisor satisfying the following two conditions.

- (1)  $H$  is  $G_a$ -stable, whence there exists the induced effective  $G_a$ -action on  $X = V \setminus H$ . Further, there is an induced  $G_a$ -action on each  $H_i$ .
- (2) For each irreducible component  $H_i$ , there exists a reduced effective divisor  $K_i$  supporting an ample divisor on  $H_i$  such that  $K_i$  is  $G_a$ -stable and the induced  $G_a$ -action on  $H_i \setminus K_i$  is effective.

**Remark 3.8.** In view of Remark 3.4 and the argument therein, if  $V$  is a smooth projective variety with a nontrivial  $G_a$ -action and if the  $G_a$ -fixed point locus has codimension greater than or equal to 2, then the  $G_a$ -action is a stratified  $G_a$ -action.

**Definiton 3.9.** Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$  and let  $\Delta$  be a regular vector field. We call  $\Delta$  *effectively locally nilpotent with stratification* if there exists a reduced effective divisor  $H = H_1 + \cdots + H_r$  supporting an ample divisor satisfying the following two conditions.

- (1)  $\Delta$  induces a nontrivial locally nilpotent derivation on  $X = V \setminus H$  and each irreducible component  $H_i$  is  $\Delta$ -integral in the sense that, for each smooth point  $P$  of  $H_i$ ,  $\Delta(u_i)$  is divisible by  $u_i$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{V,P}$ , where  $u_i = 0$  is a local defining equation of  $H_i$ .
- (2) For each  $H_i$ , there exists a reduced effective divisor  $K_i$  on  $H_i$  supporting an ample divisor such that  $\Delta|_{H_i \setminus K_i}$  induces a nontrivial locally nilpotent derivation.

It is well known that a  $G_a$ -action on an affine scheme corresponds bijectively to a locally nilpotent derivation on the coordinate algebra of the scheme. The following result will correspond partly to this result for affine schemes and explain when a given regular vector field comes from a  $G_a$ -action on a smooth projective variety.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *A stratified  $G_a$ -action  $\sigma$  on  $V$  induces the regular vector field  $\Delta$  on  $V$  which is effectively locally nilpotent with stratification.*
- (2) *Let  $\Delta$  be a regular vector field on  $V$  which is effectively locally nilpotent with stratification. Then there exists a stratified  $G_a$ -action on  $V$  which induces the vector field  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* (1) By the hypothesis, the  $G_a$ -action  $\sigma$  on  $X$  (see the notations in Definition 3.7) is effective, whence the associated vector field is nontrivial on  $X$ . Similarly, we can extend this vector field, say  $\Delta$ , to  $H_i \setminus K_i$  for each  $i$  because it is associated to the induced  $G_a$ -action on  $H_i \setminus K_i$ . Then  $\Delta$  is an element of  $\Gamma(V \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^r K_i), \mathcal{T}_{V/k})$ , where

$\text{codim}_V(\cup_{i=1}^r K_i) \geq 2$ . Then  $\Delta$  is defined on  $V$  as a regular vector field. It is now clear that  $\Delta$  is effectively locally nilpotent with stratification because the  $G_a$ -action on  $H_i \setminus K_i$  is effective.

(2) Let  $R = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_V)$ . Then  $\Delta$  is considered as a locally nilpotent derivation of  $R$ . Let  $\sigma_X$  be the induced  $G_a$ -action, which is given by the coaction

$$\Phi : R \rightarrow R[t], \quad \Phi(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \Delta^i(z) t^i .$$

For  $\alpha \in k$ , define the automorphism  $\varphi_\alpha$  of  $R$  by  $\varphi_\alpha(z) = \Phi(z)|_{t=\alpha}$ . Then  $\sigma_\alpha := {}^a\varphi_\alpha$  is the automorphism of  $X$  such that  $\sigma_\alpha \cdot \sigma_\beta = \sigma_{\alpha+\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in k$ . The  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi$  extends to a  $k$ -homomorphism  $\Phi_{k(V)} : k(V) \rightarrow k(V)(t)$  such that  $\Phi_{k(V)}(\frac{z_2}{z_1}) = \Phi(z_2)/\Phi(z_1)$ , where  $k(V) = Q(R)$  is the function field of  $V$  over  $k$  and  $z_1, z_2 \in R$ . Then  $\sigma_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in k$  is viewed as a birational automorphism of  $V$ . Although  $\sigma_\alpha$  is biregular on  $X = V \setminus H$ , it may not be biregular on the irreducible component  $H_1, \dots, H_r$ . Suppose that  $\sigma_\alpha$  induces a correspondence between  $H_i$  and a curve or a point. However, the correspondence induces an automorphism on the affine open set  $H_i \setminus K_i$  by the hypothesis. Hence  $\sigma_\alpha$  is biregular on all codimension one points of  $V$ . This implies that  $\sigma_\alpha$  is a biregular automorphism of  $V$ . Since  $\sigma_\alpha \cdot \sigma_\beta = \sigma_{\alpha+\beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in k$ , the collection  $\{\sigma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in k\}$  defines a  $G_a$ -action on  $V$ . It is clear that this  $G_a$ -action is stratified and induces the vector field  $\Delta$ . Q.E.D.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $V = \mathbb{F}_n$  with  $n \geq 0$ . With the notations in Lemma 1.3, a regular vector field  $\Delta = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  makes the divisor  $M + \ell_\infty$  integral if and only if  $a_{20} = 0$  if  $n > 0$  and  $a_{20} = b_{02} = 0$  if  $n = 0$ .  $\Delta$  is locally nilpotent on  $\mathbb{F}_n \setminus (M + \ell_\infty)$  if and only if  $a_{10} = b_{01} = 0$  for  $n \geq 0$ . If the latter condition is satisfied,  $\Delta$  is associated with a stratified  $G_a$ -action on  $\mathbb{F}_n$  provided other constants  $a_{00}$  and the  $b_{i0}$  are nonzero.

**Remark 3.12.** Dubouloz-Liendo [6] introduced the notion of rationally integrable  $k$ -derivation and showed that regular  $G_a$ -actions on a semi-affine variety  $X$  are in one-to-one correspondence with rationally integrable  $k$ -derivations  $\tilde{\partial} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  such that the derivation  $\Gamma(X, \tilde{\partial}) : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is locally nilpotent, where an algebraic variety  $X$  is said to be semi-affine if the canonical morphism  $p : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a proper morphism. Hence a complete variety or an affine variety is semi-affine.

#### §4. $G_a$ -actions on Fano varieties

Our objective in this section is to describe the structure of a smooth projective variety  $V$  with a stratified  $G_a$ -action, mostly in the case where

$V$  is a Fano variety of rank 1. Our result is very restrictive since we only know of few examples for which all possible  $G_a$ -actions are known together with the fixed-point loci and the behaviors of orbits. We shall see first what is the situation with the simplest example  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** (1) The standard form of a  $G_a$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is given by

$$t \cdot (X_0, X_1, X_2) = (X_0, X_1 + (bX_2 + c_1X_0)t + \frac{1}{2}bc_2X_0t^2, X_2 + c_2X_0t)$$

where  $t \in k$ ,  $b, c_1, c_2 \in k$  with the notations being slightly different from Example 3.5.

(2) The fixed point locus  $\Gamma$  is given by

$$\Gamma = \begin{cases} \text{one point } \{(0, 1, 0)\} & \text{if } c_2b \neq 0 \\ \text{line } \{X_0 = 0\} & \text{if } c_2 \neq 0, b = 0 \\ \text{line } \{bX_2 + c_1X_0 = 0\} & \text{if } c_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

(3) Suppose  $c_2b \neq 0$ . Then the closure of each  $G_a$ -orbit passes through the point  $P_0 := (0, 1, 0)$  and is smooth at  $P_0$ , and the intersection multiplicity of the closures of two distinct  $G_a$ -orbits is 4. By the blowing-ups with centers  $P_0$  and its 3 more consecutive, infinitely-near points, the proper transforms of the closures of general  $G_a$ -orbits are separated from each other. If either  $c_2 \neq 0$  and  $b = 0$  or  $c_2 = 0$ , the closure of each  $G_a$ -orbit is a line passing through the point  $(0, c_1, c_2)$  or the point  $P_0$  respectively.

(4) If  $c_2b \neq 0$  then the  $G_a$ -action is a stratified action. If either  $c_2 \neq 0$  and  $b = 0$  or  $c_2 = 0$  then the action is not a stratified action. The third  $G_a$ -action with  $c_2 = 0$  is brought to the second one by a projective transformation.

*Proof.* (1) By Theorem 3.3, (2), the system of hyperplanes  $|H|$  has an induced  $G_a$ -action. Hence it contains a member  $H_0$  which is  $G_a$ -stable. Then we can choose a system of homogeneous coordinates  $(X_0, X_1, X_2)$  so that  $H_0$  is defined by  $X_0 = 0$ . By Example 3.5, we can write the associated vector field  $\Delta$  as

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The expression of the  $G_a$ -action in (1) is obtained from this  $\Delta$ . Then the assertion (2) is straightforward.

(3) Let  $P(\alpha, \beta)$  be a point of  $U_0 = \{X_0 \neq 0\}$ . Then the  $G_a$ -orbit is the set of points

$$t \cdot (1, \alpha, \beta) = (1, \alpha + (b\beta + c_1)t + \frac{1}{2}bc_2t^2, \beta + c_2t).$$

Eliminating  $t$  from

$$x = \alpha + (b\beta + c_1)t + \frac{1}{2}bc_2t^2, \quad y = \beta + c_2t,$$

we obtain the equation of an affine curve on  $U_0$  which is the  $G_a$ -orbit. If  $c_2 \neq 0$ , the curve is defined by

$$c_2(x - \alpha) = (b\beta + c_1)(y - \beta) + \frac{1}{2}b(y - \beta)^2.$$

The projective closure of the curve is defined by

$$c_2(X_1 - \alpha X_0)X_0 = (b\beta + c_1)(X_2 - \beta X_0)X_0 + \frac{1}{2}b(X_2 - \beta X_0)^2.$$

If  $c_2b \neq 0$ , then this curve is irreducible and smooth at the point  $P_0 = (0, 1, 0)$ . If  $c_2 \neq 0$  and  $b = 0$ , then the projective closure of the curve is defined by

$$c_2(X_1 - \alpha X_0) = c_1(X_2 - \beta X_0).$$

Hence it passes through the point  $(0, c_1, c_2)$ . If  $c_2 = 0$ , the orbit through a point  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is defined by  $y = \beta$  and its projective closure is  $X_2 = \beta X_0$ . Hence it passes through the point  $P_0$ .

(4) It is easy to verify the assertion. For the last assertion, let  $Y_0 = bX_2 + c_1X_0, Y_1 = X_2$  and  $Y_2 = X_1$ . Then the  $G_a$ -action becomes

$$t \cdot (Y_0, Y_1, Y_2) = (Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 + Y_0t)$$

which is the case  $c_2 = 1$  and  $b = c_1 = 0$ .

Q.E.D.

We consider next the case of the Hirzebruch surface  $V = \mathbb{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ).

**Example 4.2.** (1) Suppose that  $V = \mathbb{F}_n$  with  $n > 0$ . Then the minimal section  $M$  is  $G_a$ -stable because  $(M^2) = -n < 0$ . Furthermore, the pencil  $|\ell|$  of fibers has an induced  $G_a$ -action and contains a  $G_a$ -stable member  $\ell_\infty$ . By Lemma 1.3, the associated vector field  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{F}_n \setminus (M \cup \ell_\infty)$  is locally nilpotent. This implies that  $f(x, y) = a_{00}$  and  $g(x, y) = b_{n0}x^n + \dots + b_{10}x + b_{00}$ . If  $a_{00}b_{n0} \neq 0$ , then the point  $M \cap \ell_\infty$  is the fixed point locus, and the  $G_a$ -action is stratified with respect to  $M + \ell_\infty$ .

(2) Suppose that  $n = 0$ . Then the pencils  $|\ell|$  and  $|M|$  contain  $G_a$ -stable members  $\ell_\infty$  and  $M_\infty$ . The complement  $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{F}_0 \setminus (\ell_\infty \cup M_\infty)$  has the associated vector field

$$\Delta = a_{00} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b_{00} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

which is locally nilpotent. If  $a_{00}b_{00} \neq 0$  then  $\ell_\infty \cap M_\infty$  is the fixed point locus, and the  $G_a$ -action is stratified with respect to  $M_\infty + \ell_\infty$ .

In the case  $\dim V = 2$ , we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $V$  be a smooth projective surface with an effective  $G_a$ -action. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1)  *$V$  is birationally a ruled surface. If there is a  $(-1)$  curve  $E$  on  $V$ , then  $E$  is  $G_a$ -stable. Hence we can contract  $E$  so that the  $G_a$ -action is preserved on the contracted surface. We assume below that  $V$  is relatively minimal.*
- (2) *Suppose that  $V$  is irrational. Then the fixed point locus  $\Gamma$  consists of a cross-section  $S_0$  and a (possibly empty) set of the fibers  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r$ , where  $S_0$  is not an ample section. Let  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{S_0}(S_0)$ . Then there exists a non-zero section  $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^{-1})$  such that the zeroes of  $s$  defines the fibers  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r$ .*
- (3) *Suppose that  $V$  is a rational ruled surface. Then the  $G_a$ -action on  $V$  is described in Example 4.2.*
- (4) *Suppose that  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ . Then the  $G_a$ -action is described in Lemma 4.1.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $H$  be a very ample divisor. Since  $H$  is  $G_a$ -linearizable, the linear system  $|H|$  contains a  $G_a$ -stable member  $H_0$ . Since  $X = V \setminus H_0$  has a non-trivial  $G_a$ -action,  $V$  is birationally a ruled surface. The rest of the assertion (1) is clear.

(2) Any  $G_a$ -orbit is contained in a fiber of the canonical  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration  $\pi : V \rightarrow C$ , where  $C$  is an irrational smooth projective curve. Hence the fixed point locus  $\Gamma$  contains a cross-section  $S_0$ . The other components of  $\Gamma$  is a (possibly empty) set of fibers  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r$ . The section  $S_0$  is not ample. In fact, if  $S_0$  is ample, the complement  $X = V \setminus S_0$  is affine and endowed with a  $G_a$ -action. The quotient morphism is the restriction  $\pi|_X$  of the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration  $\pi : V \rightarrow C$  such that  $\pi(X) = C$ . This is a contradiction because  $X//G_a$  is an affine curve.

Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(S_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_0}(S_0) \rightarrow 0$$

whose direct images by  $\pi$  gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_V(S_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{S_0}(S_0)$  with  $\deg \mathcal{L} = (S_0^2)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_V(S_0)$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a rank 2 vector bundle over  $C$  and  $V = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an open covering of  $C$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i}e_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}|_{U_i} = \mathcal{O}_{U_i}e \oplus \mathcal{O}_{U_i}\tilde{e}_i$ , where  $\tilde{e}_i$  is a lift of  $e_i$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then

$$(\tilde{e}_j, e) = (\tilde{e}_i, e) \begin{pmatrix} f_{ji} & 0 \\ g_{ji} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

over  $U_i \cap U_j$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(U_i) = \text{Proj } \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_C)[\tilde{e}_i, e]$  and  $\pi^{-1}(U_i) \setminus S_0 = \text{Spec } \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_C) \left[ \frac{\tilde{e}_i}{e} \right]$ . Then the  $G_a$ -action is given by a locally nilpotent derivation  $\Delta$  defined by  $\Delta \left( \frac{\tilde{e}_i}{e} \right) = s_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_C)$ . Since  $\frac{\tilde{e}_i}{e} = f_{ji} \frac{\tilde{e}_i}{e} + g_{ji}$ , we have  $s_j = f_{ji} s_i$ . Hence  $\{s_i\}$  defines a section of  $H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^{-1})$ . The zeroes of  $s$  gives the fiber components of the fixed point locus  $\Gamma$ . Conversely, a section  $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L}^{-1})$  yields a  $G_a$ -action on  $V$ . Q.E.D.

Now we consider the case  $\dim V \geq 3$ . We assume further the condition that the Picard number  $\rho$  of  $V$  equals one, i.e.,  $V$  has (Picard) rank one.

**Lemma 4.4.** *With the above condition, if  $V$  has an effective  $G_a$ -action, then  $V$  is a Fano variety of  $\rho = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a very ample divisor. Then  $|H|$  contains a  $G_a$ -stable (possibly reducible) member  $H_0$ . Then  $V \setminus (H_0)_{\text{red}}$  is an affine,  $G_a$ -stable open set. There exists an open set  $U$  of  $V \setminus (H_0)_{\text{red}}$  such that  $U \cong U_0 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ , where  $U_0$  is an affine variety. Hence  $V$  is birationally a ruled variety. In particular, the canonical divisor  $K_V$  is not a torsion divisor. Since  $\rho = 1$ , it follows that  $-K_V$  is ample. So,  $V$  is a Fano variety of  $\rho = 1$ . Q.E.D.

The following properties are well known about Fano threefolds (not necessarily of the Picard number one).

(1) A Fano manifold, i.e., a smooth projective variety with ample anti-canonical divisor, is rationally connected and hence simply connected [26]. The Kodaira vanishing theorem implies that  $H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ .

(2) Let  $r$  be the index of a Fano threefold  $V$ , i.e.,  $r$  is the maximal positive integer such that  $-K_V \sim rH$  with  $H \in \text{Pic}(V)$ . Then the linear system  $|H|$  contains a smooth irreducible surface. If  $r \geq 2$  then the set of base points of  $|H|$  is finite [40]. More precisely,  $H$  is very ample if  $H^3 \geq 3$ , the base point locus  $\text{Bs}|H| = \emptyset$  if  $H^3 \geq 2$  and  $\text{Bs}|H|$  is a one-point set if  $H^3 = 1$  (see [39]).

(3) Let  $V$  be a smooth projective threefold with  $H^0(V, K_V) = 0$ . Then the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(V)$  is isomorphic to the torsion group  $T$  of  $H^3(V; \mathbb{Z})$  (see [22, p.166]). If  $V$  is a Fano threefold,  $\text{Br}(V) = 0$ , whence the torsion group  $T$  is zero (see p.168, *loc.cit.*). The torsion group  $T$  is isomorphic to the torsion group of  $H_2(V; \mathbb{Z})$  by the universal coefficient theorem. In fact, if  $H_2(V; \mathbb{Z})$  has the torsion group  $T'$ , then  $H^3(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(H_3(V; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_2(V; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$  by the universal coefficient theorem, where  $\text{Hom}(H_3(V; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$  is a free abelian group and  $\text{Ext}(H_2(V; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong T'$ . Hence  $T \cong T'$ . The torsion group  $T$  of

$H^3(V; \mathbb{Z})$  is a birational invariant by [1]. For example, if  $V$  is rational, then  $H^3(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3(\mathbb{P}^3; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . So,  $T = 0$ .

We are interested in the affine open set  $X = V \setminus H$  when  $V$  is a Fano threefold and  $H$  is an effective ample divisor.  $X$  is also the first stratum if  $V$  has a  $G_a$ -action with  $H$  stable. We prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $V$  be a smooth Fano threefold with  $\text{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ , where  $H$  is an ample effective divisor<sup>2</sup>. Assume that  $H$  is smooth. Let  $X = V \setminus H$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1)  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .
- (2) If  $X$  is a homology threefold, i.e.,  $H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then  $H \cong \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ .
- (3) In addition to the assumption in (2), assume further that  $V$  has a non-trivial  $G_a$ -action and  $H$  is  $G_a$ -stable. Then the quotient surface  $Y := X//G_a$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and the quotient morphism  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  is surjective.

*Proof.* The proof of the assertion (1) consists of several steps.

(i) We have  $H_1(V; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  because  $V$  is simply connected. The Lefschetz hyperplane theorem implies  $H_1(H; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . In fact, Fujita [10] proved a generalization of the Lefschetz theorem, which we use here.

(ii) Consider the exact sequence

$$H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V^*) \rightarrow H^2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$$

associated to

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathcal{O}_V^* \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that  $H^2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V^*) = \text{Pic}(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  by the hypothesis. By the universal coefficient theorem, it follows that  $H_2(V; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  because  $H_2(V; \mathbb{Z})$  has no torsion group.

(iii) Now consider the long exact sequence of singular cohomology groups for a pair  $(V, H)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(V, H; \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(V, H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \\ H^3(V; \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^3(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(V, H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(V; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \\ H^4(H; \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^5(V, H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^5(V; \mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $H^i(V, H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{6-i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$  by the Lefschetz duality and hence  $H^i(V, H; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  if  $i \leq 2$  because  $X$  is affine. Since  $H^4(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  by (ii),  $H^4(H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_0(H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H^5(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong$

---

<sup>2</sup>It is an easy consequence of  $\text{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$  that  $H$  is irreducible and reduced.

$H_1(V; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  by (i), a part of the long exact sequence reads as an exact sequence

$$H_2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_0(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where  $\alpha : H_2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_0(H; \mathbb{Z})$  is non-trivial. In fact,  $H_2(V; \mathbb{Z})$  is represented as  $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ , where  $C$  is a curve and  $\alpha(C) = H \cdot C$ . Since  $H$  is an ample divisor,  $H \cdot C > 0$ . So,  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is a finite cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . By the universal coefficient theorem,  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, the exact sequence of étale sheaves on  $X$

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow G_m \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^n} G_m \rightarrow 1$$

yields an exact sequence

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^n} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{\times n} \text{Pic}(X) ,$$

where  $\text{Pic}(X) = \text{Pic}(V)/\langle H \rangle = 0$  and  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) = k^*$ . Hence we have  $n = 1$  and  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

(iv) Since  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism, the above long exact sequence gives an exact sequence

$$H^3(V; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0 .$$

Since  $H^3(H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(H; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , we have  $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . This completes the proof of the assertion (1).

We prove the assertion (2). Since  $H^2(V, H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , the long exact sequence in the step (iii) above yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(V; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0 .$$

Suppose that  $H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Then  $H^2(H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H^3(V; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  because  $H^2(V; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $r$  be the index of  $V$ . Then  $H$  is a del Pezzo surface if  $r \geq 2$  and a K3 surface if  $r = 1$ . Since the second Betti number  $b_2(H) = 1$  now,  $H$  cannot be a K3 surface for which  $b_2(H) = 22$ . Hence  $r \geq 2$  and  $H$  is a rational surface. Since  $H^2(H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  as above, it follows that  $\text{Pic}(H) \cong H^2(H; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that  $H \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ . Since  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  by (1),  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^3$  by Lemma 2.6. In fact,  $H$  is a hyperplane and  $X \cong \mathbb{A}^3$ .

(3) Now the assertion (3) that  $Y \cong \mathbb{A}^2$  follows from [32], and the surjectivity of  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  follows from [3]. Q.E.D.

Perhaps we need some explanation on the significance of the assertion (3) of Theorem 4.5. If an affine variety  $X$  has a nontrivial  $G_a$ -action, the slice theorem shows that  $X$  contains an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -cylinder. The property that  $X$  contains an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -cylinder and how big is it is a crucial matter in

determining the structure of an affine variety. So, the algebraic quotient  $Y := X//G_a$  being isomorphic or not to  $\mathbb{A}^2$  is thought of as a measure to know how close a given variety is to a rational threefold like  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Furthermore, the quotient morphism  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  being surjective or not when  $Y \cong \mathbb{A}^2$  is another measure. The assertion (3) above is generalized in Theorem 4.9 below by dropping the assumption that  $X$  be a homology threefold.

Theorem 4.5 shows that with the above notation  $X$  is not, in general, a homology threefold. A simple counter-example is given by a smooth quadric hypersurface  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ . Before stating the result, a smooth quadric hypersurface is given by a nondegenerate quadratic form in the homogeneous coordinates  $\{X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ . By a suitable change of coordinates, we may assume that  $Q$  is of Fermat type, i.e., it is defined by  $X_0^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 + X_4^2 = 0$ . Again, by a change of coordinates, we may assume that  $Q$  is defined by  $X_0^2 - X_1X_3 + X_2X_4 = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $Q$  be a quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  defined by  $F = X_0^2 - X_1X_3 + X_2X_4 = 0$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1)  *$\text{Pic}(Q)$  is generated by a hyperplane section  $H_Q = H \cap Q$ , where  $H = \{X_0 = 0\}$ , and hence  $\text{Pic}(Q) \cong \mathbb{Z}[H_Q]$ , and  $K_Q \sim -3H_Q$ , whence  $Q$  is a Fano threefold.*
- (2)  *$Q$  has the  $G_a$ -action induced by a  $G_a$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^4$*

$${}^t(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = (X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3 + X_2t, X_4 + X_1t) .$$

*The surface  $H_Q$  is  $G_a$ -stable, and the induced action on  $X = Q \setminus H_Q \cong \text{Spec } k[x, y, z, u]/(xz - yu - 1)$  is given by a locally nilpotent derivation  $\delta$  such that  $\delta(x) = \delta(y) = 0, \delta(z) = y$  and  $\delta(u) = x$ .*

- (3)  *$X$  is simply connected,  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $X$  is not a homology threefold.*
- (4)  *$Y := X//G_a$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$ , but the quotient morphism  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  is not surjective.*

*Proof.* (1) This follows from [11, Exp. 12, Cor. 3.7]. Hence  $X := Q \setminus H_Q$  is factorial and  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^* = k^*$ . This also follows from [16, Example 1.12].

(2) It is straightforward. By *loc.cit.*, the quotient surface  $Y := X//G_a \cong \mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$  and the quotient morphism  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  is the projection  $(x, y, z, u) \mapsto (x, y)$ . Furthermore,  $q(X) = \mathbb{A}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$  and every fiber of  $q$  over a point of  $q(X)$  is reduced and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . This proves the assertion (4).

(3) By Nori's result [35, Lemma 1.5], we have an exact sequence

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}) \rightarrow 1 .$$

Hence  $\pi_1(X) = 1$ . Namely,  $X$  is simply connected. By [42, Theorem 1.2], we have  $h^{1,2}(Q) = h^{2,1}(Q) = 0$ . Since  $b_1(Q) = 0$  and  $b_2(Q) = 1$  by Lefschetz theorem, we have  $b_0(Q) = b_6(Q) = 1$ ,  $b_1(Q) = b_5(Q) = 0$  and  $b_2(Q) = b_4(Q) = 1$ . Since  $h^{3,0}(Q) = h^{0,3}(Q) = 0$ , we have  $b_3(Q) = 0$ . Hence the Euler number  $\chi(Q)$  is equal to 4. On the other hand,  $H_Q$  is a quadric surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Hence  $\chi(H_Q) = 4$ . So,  $\chi(X) = 0$ . If  $b_3(X) = 0$  which is equivalent to  $H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  because  $H_3(X; \mathbb{Z})$  has no torsion by Hamm's theorem [12, Lemma 1.2], then  $X$  is contractible and  $\chi(X) = 1$ . This contradicts the above calculation of  $\chi(X)$ . Hence,  $b_3(X) = 1$  and  $H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .<sup>3</sup> Q.E.D.

**Remark 4.7.** Let  $V$  be a cubic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ . Then  $V$  is a Fano threefold and  $\text{Pic}(V)$  is generated by a hyperplane section. However,  $V$  has no  $G_a$ -actions because  $V$  is irrational and unirational. See [5] and [17]. Similarly, if  $V$  is a quartic hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , it seems that  $V$  has no  $G_a$ -actions because some of quartic hypersurfaces are not rational, but unirational. See [21].

Concerning the assertion (3) in Theorem 4.6, we have a more general result.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $V$  be a Fano threefold such that  $\text{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$  for an ample effective divisor  $H$ . Assume that the index  $r$  of  $V$  is greater than one and  $H$  is smooth. Let  $X := V \setminus H$ . Then  $X$  is simply connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a general member of  $|H|$ , which is irreducible and smooth. Since  $r \geq 2$  by the assumption,  $S$  is a del Pezzo surface with  $K_S \sim -(r-1)\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma = H|_S$ . Since  $1 \leq K_S^2 \leq 9$ , we have  $r-1 = 3, 2$  or  $1$ , whence  $r = 4, 3$  or  $2$ . By the Lefschetz theorem for affine threefolds (see [35]), we have an isomorphism

$$\pi_1(S \setminus \Gamma) \cong \pi_1(V \setminus H) = \pi_1(X) .$$

Hence it suffices to show that  $\pi_1(S \setminus \Gamma) = 1$ . If  $r = 4$  then  $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\Gamma$  is a line. Hence  $S \setminus \Gamma \cong \mathbb{A}^2$  and  $\pi_1(S \setminus \Gamma) = 1$ . If  $r = 3$  then  $S$  is

---

<sup>3</sup>One of the referees suggested us the following simple argument for the step (3). By the argument in the step (2) above,  $q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  is a locally trivial  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -bundle (actually a principal  $G_a$ -bundle). Hence  $q$  gives a homotopy equivalence of topological manifolds, and  $X$  has the same homology type as  $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \approx \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$ , which is itself homotopy equivalent to the real 3-space  $S^3$ .

isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\Gamma \sim \ell + M$ , where  $\ell$  and  $M$  are respective fibers of two projections onto  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . So,  $S \setminus \Gamma$  contains  $\mathbb{A}^2$  as an open set and  $\pi_1(S \setminus \Gamma) = 1$ . Suppose now that  $r = 2$  and  $1 \leq K_S^2 \leq 7$ . Then we have  $K_S^2 = H^3$  and  $S$  is obtained from  $\mathbb{P}^2$  by blowing up  $s$  points in general position lying on a cubic curve  $C$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , where  $2 \leq s = 9 - H^3 \leq 8$ . If  $s \neq 8$  then  $H^3 \geq 2$  and  $\text{Bs}|H| = \emptyset$ . Hence we can take  $C$  to be a smooth curve. In fact,  $|H_S|$  is the restriction of  $|H|$  onto  $S$ , and  $|H_S|$  has no base points. If  $s = 8$ , then  $|H_S|$  is the proper transform of a pencil generated by two smooth cubic curves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  intersecting transversally in 9 points, out of which we choose 8 points to blow up. So, for any value of  $s$  ( $2 \leq s \leq 8$ ), we can choose  $S$  so that the image of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is a smooth cubic curve. The divisor  $\Gamma$  on  $S$  is the proper transform of  $C$ , and  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$  is an open set of  $S \setminus \Gamma$ . Hence we have a surjection  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C) \rightarrow \pi_1(S \setminus \Gamma)$ . Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , we know that  $\pi_1(S \setminus \Gamma)$  is abelian.

On the other hand, by the Lefschetz theorem for affine threefolds, we have

$$H_1(S \setminus \Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) .$$

Since  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  by Theorem 4.5, we have  $\pi_1(S \setminus \Gamma) = 1$ .    Q.E.D.

We have shown in Theorem 4.5 that  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$  under the assumption that  $V$  has a non-trivial  $G_a$ -action with  $G_a$ -stable  $H$  and  $X$  is a homology threefold. In the following theorem, we show that the same result holds without assuming that  $X$  is a homology threefold.

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $V$  be a smooth Fano threefold with  $\text{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ , where  $H$  is an ample effective divisor. Assume that the index  $r$  of  $V$  is greater than one and  $H$  is smooth. Let  $X = V \setminus H$ . Assume further that  $V$  has a non-trivial  $G_a$ -action and  $H$  is  $G_a$ -stable. Then the quotient surface  $Y := X//G_a$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$ .*

*Proof.* The proof consists of several steps.

(1) Let  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  be the quotient morphism. Since  $X$  is factorial, so is  $Y$  by [16]. Since  $V$  is rationally connected and the quotient morphism  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  extends to a proper morphism  $\bar{q} : V' \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ , where  $V'$  is birational to  $V$  and  $\bar{Y}$  is a smooth completion of  $Y$ , the surface  $\bar{Y}$  is then rationally connected and hence rational. So,  $Y$  is rational and has no non-constant invertible regular functions. Furthermore, every fiber of  $q$  is one-dimensional, and  $Y$  has at most quotient singularities because there is locally a smooth hyperplane of  $X$  dominating the given point of  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is factorial and rational, every singularity is  $E_8$ -singularity. Set  $\bar{Y}$  anew a smooth normal completion of  $Y$ . Namely,  $\bar{Y}$  is a normal projective surface such that  $\bar{Y}$  is smooth at every point of

$D := \overline{Y} - Y$  and  $D$  is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Then  $q$  defines a rational mapping  $q' : V \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ . We eliminate the indeterminacies of  $q'$  by blowing up a  $G_a$ -fixed point of  $H$  and subsequently blowing up the smooth centers which consist of  $G_a$ -fixed points, and obtain a morphism  $\tilde{q} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  such that the divisor  $\tilde{D} = \tilde{V} - X$  is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

Since  $\bar{\kappa}(X) = -\infty$  as  $X$  contains an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -cylinder and since  $K_V + H \sim -(r-1)H$  with the index  $r$ , it follows that  $r > 1$ . Then  $H$  is a del Pezzo surface.

(2) Let  $\Delta = \tilde{q}^{-1}(D)$ . Then  $\Delta \subseteq \tilde{D}$ . Since  $\tilde{q}|_{\Delta} : \Delta \rightarrow D$  is a proper morphism,  $\Delta$  is a finite connected union of irreducible components which consist of the proper transforms of the divisor  $H$  and the exceptional divisors. We shall show that  $\pi_1(\Delta) = 1$  by making use of Van Kampen's theorem on the fundamental group of a connected simplicial complex. The proper transform of any exceptional divisor which constitutes  $\Delta$  is either the blowing-up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  or the blowing-up a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth curve. We prove  $\pi_1(\Delta) = 1$  by induction on the number of irreducible components of  $\Delta$  which are indexed after the order of blowing-ups  $p : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  by which they appear. The divisor  $H$  is rational since  $H$  is a del Pezzo surface. So,  $\pi_1(H) = 1$ . The exceptional divisor  $E_1$  which appear by the blowing-up of the fixed point on  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2$  meeting the proper transform  $H'$  of  $H$  along a smooth rational curve. By Van Kampen's theorem applied to  $E_1 \cup H'$ , it follows that  $\pi_1(E_1 \cup H')$  is the amalgamated product of  $\pi_1(E_1)$  and  $\pi_1(H')$  over  $\pi_1(E_1 \cap H')$ . Since  $\pi_1(H') = \pi_1(E_1) = 1$ , we have  $\pi_1(E_1 \cup H') = 1$ . After performing blowing-ups at least  $k$  times, we obtain the exceptional divisors  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  and the proper transform of  $H'$ , although some of the exceptional divisors may have the images by  $\tilde{q}$  meeting the open set  $Y$  and do not appear in  $\Delta$ . Here we denote the proper transforms of  $H'$  and the exceptional divisors obtained by the earlier blowing-ups by the same letters. By induction, we assume that  $\pi_1(H' \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{k-1}) = 1$ . In order to compute  $\pi_1(H' \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{k-1} \cup E_k)$ , we need more observations on what are the centers of the above blowing-ups.

The indeterminacy of  $q' : V \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  or of the subsequently induced rational mappings is either a base point where the closures of general  $G_a$ -orbits pass through or an irreducible curve  $C$  such that each general point of  $C$  is a base point of a one-dimensional subfamily of the closures of  $G_a$ -orbits. Namely, there exists a morphism  $q'' : V'' \rightarrow C$ , where  $V''$  appears in the course of blowing-ups  $p : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ . In the first case, the center of the blowing-up is a smooth point. In the second case,  $C$  is contained in an exceptional divisor and it is possibly singular. The

curve  $C$  is possibly the intersection curve of a newly born exceptional divisor with the old exceptional divisor, in which case  $C$  is smooth. If  $C$  is singular, we blow up smooth points in a threefold to eliminate the singularities of  $C$ . After making the proper transform of  $C$  a smooth curve, we blow up  $C$  to obtain the exceptional divisor  $E$  which is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $C$ . Afterwards, the centers to be blown up are the irreducible smooth intersection curves of two irreducible components, say  $F_1 \cap F_2$ , where one of  $F_1, F_2$ , say  $F_2$ , meets a chain of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles  $F_3, \dots, F_s$  and where only possible intersections of  $F_2, F_3, \dots, F_s$  with each other and with other components of  $\tilde{D}$  are the intersection curves  $F_2 \cap F_3, F_3 \cap F_4, \dots, F_{s-1} \cap F_s$  which are all isomorphic to  $C$ .

Now we return to the computation of  $\pi_1 := \pi_1(H' \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{k-1} \cup E_k)$ .

(i) If  $E_k$  is the exceptional divisor of the blowing-up with center at either a point or an irreducible smooth curve, Van Kampen's theorem shows that  $\pi_1 = 1$ . In fact, the  $\pi_1$  of a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a smooth curve  $C$  is equal to  $\pi_1(C)$  and the  $\pi_1$  of the intersection curve is also equal to  $\pi_1(C)$ . Since  $\pi_1(H' \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{k-1}) = 1$ , we obtain  $\pi_1(H' \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{k-1} \cup E_k) = 1$ .

(ii) Suppose that the center is the intersection curve  $C = F_1 \cap F_2$  and  $F_2$  meets a chain of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles  $F_3, \dots, F_s$ . Again, we have  $\pi_1(E_k \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_s) \cong \pi_1(C)$  by Van Kampen's theorem, where  $E_k$  is the exceptional divisor arising from the blowing-up of  $C$  and  $F_2, \dots, F_s$  are identified with the proper transforms by this blowing-up. It is then easy to see that  $\pi_1(H' \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{k-1} \cup E_k) \cong \pi_1(H' \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{k-1}) = 1$ .

Thus we have shown that  $\pi_1(\Delta) = 1$ .

(3) Let  $\bar{q} := \tilde{q}|_{\Delta} : \Delta \rightarrow D$ , where  $D$  (resp.  $\Delta$ ) is a reduced effective divisor with simple normal crossings in  $\bar{Y}$  (resp.  $\tilde{V}$ ). Let  $Z \rightarrow D$  be an unramified connected (topological) covering of  $D$ . Then the fiber product  $\Delta \times_D Z \rightarrow \Delta$  is a connected unramified covering of  $\Delta$  because the fibers of  $\bar{q}$  are connected, and the unramifiedness of  $Z \rightarrow D$  implies that  $Z$  and  $\Delta \times_D Z$  are the unions of smooth irreducible components with simple normal crossings. Hence  $\pi_1(\Delta) \rightarrow \pi_1(D)$  is surjective by the covering space theory. This implies that  $\pi_1(D) = 1$ .

(4) We shall show that  $Y$  is a homology plane. Note that  $Y$  has at most  $E_8$ -singularities. Let  $Y^\circ = Y - \text{Sing} Y$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  be the minimal desingularization of  $\bar{Y}$ . Then  $Y^\circ = \tilde{Y} \setminus (D \cup E)$ , where  $E$  is the union of the exceptional curves of the desingularization. Consider an exact

sequence of integral cohomology groups for a pair  $(\tilde{Y}, D \cup E)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^1(D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{Y}, D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \\ H^2(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^2(D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(\tilde{Y}, D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \\ H^3(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H^1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $H^3(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  since  $\tilde{Y}$  is rational,  $H^1(D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  because  $\pi_1(D) = 1$  and  $E$  is a rational tree, and  $H^2(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Pic}(\tilde{Y})$  is a free abelian group of rank  $\#D + \#E$ . Hence  $H^2(\tilde{Y}; \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $H^2(D \cup E; \mathbb{Z})$ . So,  $H_2(Y^\circ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\tilde{Y}, D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $H_1(Y^\circ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3(\tilde{Y}, D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Meanwhile, a small open neighborhood (in the Euclidean topology) of an  $E_8$ -singularity is homologous to a ball in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . This implies that  $H_i(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_i(Y^\circ; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . This shows that  $Y$  is a homology plane. Furthermore,  $Y$  is topologically contractible. In fact, the quotient morphism  $q: X \rightarrow Y$  has irreducible and reduced fibers over all codimension one points of  $Y$  if  $X$  is factorial,  $q$  has no multiple fibers over the codimension one points of  $Y$  (see the argument in the step (3) of the proof of Theorem 2.9). Hence, by Nori's theorem [35, Lemma 1.5] and since  $\pi_1(X) = 1$  by Theorem 4.8, it follows that  $\pi_1(Y) = 1$ .

(5) We argue by the logarithmic Kodaira dimension of  $Y^\circ$ . If  $\bar{\kappa}(Y^\circ) = 2$ , then the singular point of  $Y$  is at most one cyclic singularity by [15]. This is impossible if  $\text{Sing}Y \neq \emptyset$  because  $Y$  has only  $E_8$ -singularities. Suppose that  $Y$  has an  $\mathbb{A}_*^1$ -fibration. Then the singularities are at most cyclic singularities. By the same reason as above,  $\text{Sing}Y = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $Y^\circ$  has an  $\mathbb{A}_*^1$ -fibration, but the  $\mathbb{A}_*^1$ -fibration does not extend to an  $\mathbb{A}_*^1$ -fibration on  $Y$  (hence  $Y$  is singular). Then  $Y$  has a unique singular point, and the closures (in  $Y$ ) of general fibers of the  $\mathbb{A}_*^1$ -fibration form a family of rational curves with one place at infinity which pass through the singular point of  $Y$ . Then the desingularization  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y$  is dominated by a smooth surface with an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibration. Hence  $\bar{\kappa}(\tilde{Y}) = -\infty$ . Then, by Koras-Russell [27, Theorem 1.1],  $\bar{\kappa}(Y^\circ) = -\infty$ . We treat this case later. If  $\bar{\kappa}(Y^\circ) = 0$ , then by Palka [36, Theorem 7.2], either  $Y$  is smooth or  $Y$  has only  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  singularity. So, the singular case does not occur, and the smooth case does not occur either by Fujita [9] and [18].

We consider finally the case  $\bar{\kappa}(Y^\circ) = -\infty$ . If  $Y^\circ$  is affine-ruled, then  $Y \cong \mathbb{A}^2$  since  $Y$  is factorial and has no non-constant invertible regular functions. If  $Y^\circ$  is not affine-ruled, then  $Y \cong \mathbb{A}^2 // G$ , where  $G$  is a binary icosahedral group. Then  $Y$  is isomorphic to a hypersurface  $x^2 + y^3 + z^5 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . Then  $\pi_1(Y^\circ) \cong G \neq (1)$ . Meanwhile, the

fiber of  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  over the singular point is one-dimensional. Hence  $\pi_1(X^\circ) = (1)$ , where  $X^\circ = q^{-1}(Y^\circ)$ . Then  $\pi_1(Y^\circ) = 1$  by Nori's result, *loc.cit.* This is a contradiction. Hence we have proven that  $Y$  is a smooth contractible surface.

We have a very conceptual proof of  $Y$  being smooth by using an Affine Mumford Theorem [14]. Since  $X$  is simply connected by Theorem 4.8 and the fibers of the quotient morphism are one-dimensional, Nori's theorem [35, Lemma 1.5] implies that  $\pi_1(Y - \text{Sing}Y) = 1$ . In (4) above, we have shown that  $\pi_1(Y) = 1$ . Now,  $Y$  is smooth by [14, Theorem 3.6].

(6) As in the proof of Theorem 4.8, we take a general member  $S$  of  $|H|$ . Then  $S$  is a smooth del Pezzo surface, and  $\Gamma = H|_S$  is a smooth curve on  $S$ . Since  $K_S \sim -(r-1)\Gamma$  with  $r \geq 2$ , it follows that  $\bar{\kappa}(S \setminus \Gamma)$  is 0 or  $-\infty$ . We may assume that  $r = 2$  since we have treated the cases  $r = 4$  and  $r = 3$  in Theorems 4.5 and 4.6. Then  $S$  is horizontal to the quotient morphism. In fact,  $\Gamma$  is then isomorphic to a smooth curve, and  $S \setminus \Gamma$  does not contain an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -cylinder because  $\bar{\kappa}(S \setminus \Gamma) = 0$ . If  $S$  were not horizontal to the quotient morphism,  $S$  would contain a family of  $G_a$ -orbits. This is a contradiction. So, the restriction of  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  onto  $S \setminus \Gamma$  is a dominant morphism, and therefore  $\bar{\kappa}(Y) = 0$  or  $-\infty$ . Meanwhile, there is no homology plane in the case  $\bar{\kappa}(Y) = 0$  by Fujita's result [9, 18]. This implies that  $\bar{\kappa}(Y) = -\infty$ . Since  $Y$  is factorial and  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^*) = k^*$ , it follows that  $Y \cong \mathbb{A}^2$ . Q.E.D.

## References

- [1] M. Artin and D. Mumford, Some elementary examples of unirational varieties which are not rational, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **25** (1972), 75–95.
- [2] A. Bialynicki-Birula, On fixed point schemes of actions of multiplicative and additive groups, Topology, **12** (1973), 99–103.
- [3] P. Bonnet, Surjectivity of quotient maps for algebraic  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ -actions and polynomial maps with contractible fibers, Transform. Groups, **7** (2002), no. 1, 3–14.
- [4] A. Borel, Linear algebraic groups, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **126**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. xii+288 pp.
- [5] A. Dubouloz and T. Kishimoto, Log-uniruled affine varieties without cylinderlike open subsets, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **143** (2015), no. 2, 383–401.
- [6] A. Dubouloz and A. Liendo, Rationally integrable vector fields and rational additive group actions, Internat. J. Math. **27** (2016), no. 8, 1650060, 19pp.
- [7] J. Fogarty, Fixed point schemes, Amer. J. Math., **95** (1973), 35–51.

- [ 8 ] G. Freudenburg, Algebraic theory of locally nilpotent derivations, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, **136**, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, VII. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. xii+261 pp.
- [ 9 ] T. Fujita, On the topology of noncomplete algebraic surfaces, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, **29** (1982), no. 3, 503–566.
- [10] T. Fujita, A generalization of Lefschetz theorem, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **63** (1987), no. 6, 233–234.
- [11] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. **2**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Masson & Cie, Editeur, Paris, 1968. vii+287 pp.
- [12] R.V. Gurjar, M. Koras, K. Masuda, M. Miyanishi and P. Russell,  $\mathbb{A}_*^1$ -fibrations on affine threefolds, In: *Affine Algebraic Geometry*, World Scientific, 2013, pp. 62–102.
- [13] R.V. Gurjar, K. Masuda, M. Miyanishi and P. Russell, Affine lines on affine surfaces and the Makar-Limanov invariant, *Cand. J. Math.*, **60** (2008), 109–139.
- [14] R.V. Gurjar, M. Koras, M. Miyanishi and P. Russell, Affine normal surfaces with simply-connected smooth locus. *Math. Ann.*, **353** (2012), no. 1, 127–144.
- [15] R.V. Gurjar, M. Koras, M. Miyanishi and P. Russell, A homology plane of general type can have at most a cyclic quotient singularity, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **23** (2014), 1–62.
- [16] R.V. Gurjar, K. Masuda and M. Miyanishi,  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibrations on affine threefolds, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra*, **216** (2012), 296–313.
- [17] R.V. Gurjar, K. Masuda and M. Miyanishi, Deformations of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibrations, In: *Automorphisms in Birational and Affine Geometry*, Springer proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, **79**, 2014, pp. 327–361.
- [18] R. V. Gurjar and M. Miyanishi, Affine surfaces with  $\kappa \leq 1$ , In: *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebras in honor of Masayoshi Nagata*, 1987, Kinokuniya, pp. 99-124.
- [19] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. xvi+496 pp.
- [20] G. Horrocks, Fixed point schemes of additive group actions, *Topology*, **8** (1969), 233–242.
- [21] V.A. Iskovskih and Ju.I. Manin, Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, **86** (128) (1971), 140–166. English Transl. *Math. USSR-Sb.*, **15** (1971), 141–166.
- [22] V.A. Iskovskih and Yu. G. Prokhorov, Fano varieties, *Algebraic geometry*, V, 1–247, *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, **47**, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [23] Y. Kawamata, On deformations of compactifiable complex manifolds, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **53** (1977), 106–109.
- [24] F. Knop, H. Kraft, D. Luna and Th. Vust, Local properties of algebraic group actions, In: *Algebraische Transformationsgruppen und Invariantentheorie*, DMV Sem., **13**, Birkhauser, Basel, 1989, pp. 63–75.

- [25] K. Kodaira, Complex manifolds and deformations of complex structures, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **283**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. x+465 pp.
- [26] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, Rational Connectedness and boundedness of Fano Manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **36** (1992), 765–769.
- [27] M. Koras and P. Russell, Contractible affine surfaces with quotient singularities, *Transform. Groups*, **12** (2007), no. 2, 293–340.
- [28] M. Leuenberger, Complete algebraic vector fields on Danielewski surfaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **66** (2016), no. 2, 433–454.
- [29] M. Maruyama, On automorphism groups of ruled surfaces, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **11** (1971), 89–112.
- [30] K. Masuda and M. Miyanishi, The additive group actions on  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology planes, *Annales de l’Institut Fourier (Grenoble)*, **53** (2003), 429–464.
- [31] K. Masuda and M. Miyanishi, Lifting of locally nilpotent derivations under finite homomorphisms, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **61** (2009), 267–286.
- [32] M. Miyanishi, Normal affine subalgebras of a polynomial ring, In: *Algebraic and Topological Theories - to the memory of Dr. Takehiko MIYATA*, Kinokuniya, 1985, pp. 37–51.
- [33] J.A. Morrow, Minimal normal compactifications of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , *Complex Analysis*, 1972 (Proc. Conf. Rice Univ., Houston, Tex., 1972), Vol. I: Geometry of singularities, *Rice Univ. Studies*, **59** (1973), no. 1, 97–112.
- [34] D. Mumford and J. Fogarty, *Geometric invariant theory*, Second edition, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, **34**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, xii+220 pp.
- [35] M. Nori, Zariski’s conjecture and related problems, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, (4) **16** (1983), no. 2, 305–344.
- [36] K. Palka, Recent progress in the geometry of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic surfaces, In: *CRM Proc. and Lecture Notes* **54** (2011), Amer. Math. Soc, pp. 271–287.
- [37] V. L. Popov, Classification of affine algebraic surfaces that are quasihomogeneous with respect to an algebraic group, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **37** (1973), 1038–1055.
- [38] S. Ramanan, A note on C. P. Ramanujam, In: *C. P. Ramanujam-a tribute*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math., **8**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1978, pp. 11–13.
- [39] K.H. Shin, 3-dimensional Fano varieties with canonical singularities, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **12** (1989), no. 2, 375–385.
- [40] V.V. Shokurov, Smoothness of a general anticanonical divisor on a Fano variety, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **43** (1979), no. 2, 430–441.
- [41] R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups, *Lect. notes in math.*, **366**, Springer (1974)
- [42] L. Tu, Macaulay’s theorem and local Torelli for weighted hypersurfaces, *Compositio Math.*, **60** (1986), no. 1, 33–44.

R. V. Gurjar

*School of Mathematics*

*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research*

*Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 001*

*India*

*E-mail address: gurjar@math.tifr.res.in*

K. Masuda

*School of Science and Technology*

*Kwansei Gakuin University*

*2-1 Gakuen, Sanda 669-1337*

*Japan*

*E-mail address: kayo@kwansei.ac.jp*

M. Miyanishi

*Research Center for Mathematical Sciences*

*Kwansei Gakuin University*

*2-1 Gakuen, Sanda 669-1337*

*Japan*

*E-mail address: miyanisi@kwansei.ac.jp*