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#### Abstract

In this article we prove that if Deligne's conjecture holds for motives associated to Hilbert modular forms of weight at least 3 , then Deligne's conjecture holds for arbitrary base change to totally real number fields of motives associated to Hilbert modular forms of weight at least 3 .


## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a motive defined over a number field $F$ with coefficients in a number field $E$. One can associate to $M$ an $L$-function $\mathbb{L}(M, s)$ having values in $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$. From the proprieties of the restriction of scalars, one knows that $\mathbb{L}(M, s)=\mathbb{L}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} M, s\right)$. When $M$ is critical, one has the +-period defined by Deligne $c^{+}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} M\right) \in E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$. Then Deligne's conjecture states the following.

## CONJECTURE 1.1

If $M$ is a critical motive defined over $F$ with coefficients in $E$, then

$$
\mathbb{L}(M, 0) / c^{+}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} M\right) \in E \otimes 1 \subset E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} .
$$

This conjecture is known to be true for rank 1 motives if $F$ is either totally real or a CM field (see [B]) and for motives associated to classical modular forms of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / \mathbb{Q}$ (see $[\mathrm{D}])$.

In this article, we prove the following result. (We remark that in the proof of this theorem, we assume the Tate conjecture for motives; see $\S 4$ for details.)

## THEOREM 1.2

Let $F$ be a totally real number field, let $I_{F}$ be the set of infinite places of $F$, let $f$ be a Hilbert cusp form of weight $k=\left(k_{\tau}\right)_{\tau \in I_{F}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / F$, where all $k_{\tau}$ have the same parity and all $k_{\tau} \geq 3$. Let $M(f)(j)$ be the $j$-Tate twist of the motive $M(f)$ associated to $f$, where $j$ is an integer such that $\left(k_{0}+1\right) / 2 \leq j<\left(k_{0}+k^{0}\right) / 2$, where $k_{0}=\max \left\{k_{\tau} \mid \tau \in I_{F}\right\}$ and $k^{0}=\min \left\{k_{\tau} \mid \tau \in I_{F}\right\}$. Assume that Conjecture 1.1 is true for all the motives of the form $M(g)(j)$, where $g$ is an arbitrary modular form of weight $k$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / L$, and $L$ is an arbitrary totally real finite extension
of $F$. Then Conjecture 1.1 is true for all the motives of the form $M(f)(j) / F^{\prime}$, where $F^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary totally real finite extension of $F$.

Note the following point: We don't know that the motive $M(f)(j) / F^{\prime}$ corresponds to a Hilbert modular form since arbitrary totally real base change is not yet established.

## 2. Periods for motives

Consider a motive $M$ defined over a number field $F$ with coefficients in a number field $E$. Denote by $\Gamma_{F}$ the absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / F)$. We recall now the definition of the $L$-function $\mathbb{L}(M, s)$ of $M$. Consider the étale cohomology $H_{\lambda}(M)$ for each prime ideal $\lambda$ of $E$. It is conjectured that the Galois representation $\rho_{\lambda}: \Gamma_{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(H_{\lambda}(M)\right)$ is unramified outside the residual characteristic $l$ of $\lambda$ and a finite set $S$ of primes of $F$ independent of $\lambda$. Denote by $V:=H_{\lambda}(M)$ the representation space of $\rho_{\lambda}$. If $\wp$ is a prime ideal of $F$ prime to $l$, we choose an inertia group $I_{\wp}$ at $\wp$ and a geometric Frobenius Frob $_{\wp}$. It is conjectured that the characteristic polynomial $Z_{\wp}(M, X)=\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.\rho_{\lambda}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{\wp}\right)\right|_{V^{I_{\wp}}} X\right)$ has coefficients in $E$ and is independent of $\lambda$. Assume all these conjectures. Denote by $I_{E}$ the set of infinite places of $E$. For $\tau \in I_{E}$, put

$$
L_{\wp}(\tau, M, s)=\tau Z_{\wp}\left(M, N(\wp)^{-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
L(\tau, M, s)=\prod_{\wp} L_{\wp}(\tau, M, s)
$$

One has the isomorphism $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^{I_{E}}$ given by $e \otimes z \rightarrow(z \cdot \tau(e))_{\tau \in I_{E}}$. One can define a function $\mathbb{L}(M, s)$ taking values in $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ by arranging $L(\tau, M, s)$.

Let $\mathbb{L}_{\infty}(M, s)$ be the infinite part of the $L$-function of $M$ which is a product of $\Gamma$-functions. If one puts $\Lambda(M, s)=\mathbb{L}(M, s) \mathbb{L}_{\infty}(M, s)$, then the conjectural functional equation has the following form:

$$
\Lambda(M, s)=\epsilon(M, s) \Lambda\left(M^{\vee}, 1-s\right)
$$

where $\epsilon(M, s)$ is a multiple of an exponential function of $s$ with values in $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ and $M^{\vee}$ is the dual of $M$. We say that an integer $n$ is critical for $M$ if neither $\mathbb{L}_{\infty}(M, s)$ nor $\mathbb{L}_{\infty}\left(M^{\vee}, 1-s\right)$ has a pole at $s=n$. We call $M$ critical if $M$ is critical at zero.

Consider now a motive $M$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in $E$. Let $H_{B}(M)$ denote the Betti realization of $M$. Then $H_{B}(M)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $E$. The complex conjugation $F_{\infty}$ acts on $H_{B}(M)$, and one gets a decomposition

$$
H_{B}(M)=H_{B}^{+}(M) \oplus H_{B}^{-}(M)
$$

where $H_{B}^{ \pm}(M)$ denote the eigenspaces of $H_{B}(M)$ with eigenvalues $\pm 1$.

Assume that the motive $M$ is homogeneous of weight $w$. Then one has the Hodge decomposition

$$
H_{B}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} H^{p q}(M)
$$

where $H^{p q}(M)$ is a free $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-module.
Let $H_{D R}(M)$ denote the de Rham realization of $M$. Then $H_{D R}(M)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $E$. One has the comparison isomorphism

$$
I: H_{B}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong H_{D R}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}
$$

as $\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}\right)$-modules.
Define the Hodge filtration $\left\{F^{m}\right\}$ on $H_{D R}(M)$ by

$$
I^{-1}\left(F^{m}\left(H_{D R}(M)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}\right)=\bigoplus_{p \geq m} H^{p q}(M)
$$

For $M$ a motive of odd weight $w=2 p+1$, define $F^{ \pm}(M)=: F^{p+1}\left(H_{D R}(M)\right)$ (for a motive $M$ of even weight $w=2 p$, one can define in a similar way $F^{ \pm}(M)$, see $[\mathrm{Y}, \S 2]$ ). If one defines $H_{D R}^{ \pm}(M)=H_{D R}(M) / F^{\mp}(M)$, then one has the comparison isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{ \pm}: H_{B}^{ \pm}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong H_{D R}^{ \pm}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c^{ \pm}(M)=\operatorname{det}\left(I^{ \pm}\right)$be the determinants calculated using $E$-rational basis. Hence $c^{ \pm}(M) \in\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}\right)^{\times}$are determined up to multiplication by elements of $E$.

If $M$ is a motive defined over $F$ with coefficients in $E$, let $I_{F}$ be the set of infinite places of $F$. Then $H_{D R}(M)$ is a free $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$-module of some rank $d(M)$, and for each $\sigma \in I_{F}$, one has the Betti realization $H_{B}\left(M^{\sigma}\right)$ which is a vector space of dimension $d(M)$ over $E$. The number $d(M)$ is called the rank of $M$.

We recall now the definition of the restriction of scalars $\operatorname{Res}_{F / F^{\prime}}(M)$ of $M$ to a subfield $F^{\prime}$ of $F$. For the de Rham side one forgets the $F$-vector space structure and put $H_{D R}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F / F^{\prime}}(M)\right)=H_{D R}(M)$ as an $F^{\prime}$-vector space. For the Betti side, one sets $H_{B}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F / F^{\prime}}(M)^{\sigma}\right)=\bigoplus_{\left.\tau\right|_{F^{\prime}}=\sigma} H_{B}\left(M^{\tau}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{Res}_{F / F^{\prime}}(M)$ is a motive over $F^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{rank}\left[F: F^{\prime}\right] d(M)$ with coefficients in $E$.

## 3. L-functions

Let $F$ be a totally real number field, and let $I_{F}$ be the set of infinite places of $F$. If $\pi$ is an automorphic representation of weight $k=\left(k_{\tau}\right)_{\tau \in I_{F}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / F$, where all $k_{\tau}$ have the same parity and all $k_{\tau} \geq 2$, then there exists (see [T]) a $\lambda$-adic representation

$$
\rho_{\pi, \lambda}: \Gamma_{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(O_{\lambda}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l}\right),
$$

which satisfies $L\left(\rho_{\pi, \lambda}, s\right)=L(f, s)$ and is unramified outside the primes dividing $\mathbf{n} l$. Here $O$ is the coefficients ring of $\pi$ and $\lambda$ is a prime ideal of $O$ above some prime number $l, \mathbf{n}$ is the level of $\pi$, and $f$ is the modular form of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / F$ of weight $k$ corresponding to $\pi$. In order to simplify the notation, we denote by $\rho_{\pi}$ the representation $\rho_{\pi, \lambda}$. (By fixing an isomorphism $i: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we can regard
always $\rho_{\Pi}$ as a complex-valued representation.) We know the following result (see [V, Theorem 1.1].)

THEOREM 3.1
If $\pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of weight $k$ as above of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / F$ for some totally real number field $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ is a totally real extension of $F$, then there exists a totally real finite Galois extension $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing $F^{\prime}$ and a prime $\lambda$ of the field coefficients of $\pi$, such that $\left.\rho_{\pi, \lambda}\right|_{\Gamma_{F^{\prime \prime}}}$ is modular; that is, there exists an automorphic representation $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ of weight $k$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / F^{\prime \prime}$ and a prime $\beta$ of the field of coefficients of $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\left.\rho_{\pi, \lambda}\right|_{\Gamma_{F^{\prime \prime}}} \cong \rho_{\pi^{\prime \prime}, \beta}$.

Fix a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ as in the Theorem 3.1. Let $F^{\prime} / F$ be a totally real finite extension. Then one can find a totally real finite Galois extension $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing $F^{\prime}$, a prime $\lambda$ of the field coefficients of $\pi$ and an automorphic representation $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / F^{\prime \prime}$ and a prime $\beta$ of the field of coefficients of $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\left.\rho_{\pi, \lambda}\right|_{\Gamma_{F^{\prime \prime}}} \cong \rho_{\pi^{\prime \prime}, \beta}$.

By Brauer's theorem (see [Se, Theorems 16, 19]), one can find some subfields $F_{i} \subset F^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F_{i}\right)$ are solvable, some characters $\varphi_{i}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F_{i}\right) \rightarrow$ $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$, and some integers $m_{i}$, such that the trivial representation

$$
1: \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}
$$

can be written as $1=\sum_{i=1}^{i=u} m_{i} \operatorname{Ind} \underset{\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)} \varphi_{i}$ (a virtual sum). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\left.\rho_{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{F^{\prime}}}, s\right) & =\prod_{i=1}^{i=u} L\left(\left.\rho_{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{F^{\prime}}} \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma_{F_{i}}}^{\Gamma_{F^{\prime}}} \varphi_{i}, s\right)^{m_{i}} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{i=u} L\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma_{F_{i}}}^{\Gamma_{F^{\prime}}}\left(\left.\rho_{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{F_{i}}} \otimes \varphi_{i}\right), s\right)^{m_{i}} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{i=u} L\left(\left.\rho_{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{F_{i}}} \otimes \varphi_{i}, s\right)^{m_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left.\rho_{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{F^{\prime \prime}}}$ is modular and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F_{i}\right)$ is solvable, from Langland's base change for solvable extensions one can deduce easily that the representation $\left.\rho_{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{F_{i}}}$ is modular, and thus there exists an automorphic representation $\pi_{i}$ of weight $k$ such that $\left.\rho_{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{F_{i}}} \cong \rho_{\pi_{i}}$. Denote by $f_{i}$ the modular form corresponding to $\pi_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\left.\rho_{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{F^{\prime}}}, s\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{i=u} L\left(\rho_{\pi_{i}} \otimes \varphi_{i}, s\right)^{m_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{i=u} L\left(f_{i}, \varphi_{i}, s\right)^{m_{i}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L\left(f_{i}, \varphi_{i}, s\right)$ are defined in $\S 4$.

## 4. Deligne's conjecture for $M(f)(j) / F^{\prime}$

Let $F$ be a totally real number field, and let $f$ be a modular form of weight $k$ as in $\S 3$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / F$. Let $\theta$ be a Hecke character of $F$ of finite order. For $\mathbf{n}$ an
ideal of the ring of integers $O_{F}$ of $F$, define $a(\mathbf{n})$ by $T(\mathbf{n}) f=a(\mathbf{n}) f$, where $T(\mathbf{n})$ is the Hecke operator of level $\mathbf{n}$. The field of coefficients of $f$ is by definition the field $\mathbb{Q}_{f}$ generated by the values $a(\mathbf{n})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is well known that $\mathbb{Q}_{f}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. We consider a number field $E$ which contains $\mathbb{Q}_{f}$ and the field of coefficients $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ of $\theta$. Put

$$
L(f, \theta, s)=\sum_{\mathbf{n}} a(T(\mathbf{n})) \theta(\mathbf{n}) N(\mathbf{n})^{-s} .
$$

For $\tau \in I_{E}$, we define

$$
L(\tau, f, \theta, s)=\sum_{\mathbf{n}} a(T(\mathbf{n}))^{\tau} \theta(\mathbf{n})^{\tau} N(\mathbf{n})^{-s} .
$$

Using the isomorphism $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^{I_{E}}$, one gets an $\left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}\right)$-valued $L$ function $\mathbb{L}(f, \theta, s)$ by arranging the factors $L(\tau, f, \theta, s)$. In the same way, one can define the $L$-function $\mathbb{L}(f, s)$.

Let $f$ be a modular form of weight $k$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / F$, and let $M(f)$ be the motive conjecturally corresponding to $f$. Then $M(f)$ is a motive of rank 2 over $F$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_{f}$. By the definition of $M(f)$, we have $\mathbb{L}(M(f), s)=\mathbb{L}(f, s)$. Since the modular form $f$ has weight $k$, if we define $k_{0}=\max \left\{k_{\tau} \mid \tau \in I_{F}\right\}$ and $k^{0}=\min \left\{k_{\tau} \mid \tau \in I_{F}\right\}$, then any integer $\left(k_{0}-k^{0}\right) / 2<j<\left(k_{0}+k^{0}\right) / 2$ is a critical value for $M(f)$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $T(m)$ be the Tate motive over $F$. Put $M(f)(m)=$ $M(f) \otimes T(m)$. One has

$$
\mathbb{L}(M(f)(m), s)=\mathbb{L}(M(f), m+s) .
$$

Hence, from the fact that $M(f)$ is critical at $j$ for $\left(k_{0}-k^{0}\right) / 2<j<\left(k_{0}+k^{0}\right) / 2$, one gets that $M(f)(j)$ is critical at zero. If $\theta$ is a finite-order character of a number field, then we denote by $M(\theta)$ the motive corresponding to $\theta$. Then $M(\theta)$ satisfies $L(\theta, s)=L(M(\theta), s)$.

Now we prove Theorem 1.2.

## Proof

Thus we assume from now on that $k_{\tau} \geq 3$ for all $\tau \in I_{F}$. Using the same notation as in $\S 3$, we assume that $f$ is the cuspform corresponding to the cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ which appears in Theorem 3.1. Since $k^{0} \geq 3$, we know from [S, Proposition 4.16] that for each integer $j$ such that $\left(k_{0}+1\right) / 2 \leq j<\left(k_{0}+k^{0}\right) / 2$, we have $L\left(f_{i}, \varphi_{i}, j\right) \neq 0$. Thus for such a $j$, from formula (3.1) above we obtain the identity

$$
L\left(M(f)_{/ F^{\prime}}, j\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{i=u} L\left(f_{i}, \varphi_{i}, j\right)^{m_{i}}
$$

Define $E_{1}:=\mathbb{Q}_{f} \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=u} \mathbb{Q}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$, where $\mathbb{Q}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$ is the field of coefficients of $\varphi_{i}$. By extending their fields of coefficients, we regard the functions $\mathbb{L}\left(M(f)_{/ F^{\prime}}, s\right)$ and
$\mathbb{L}\left(f_{i}, \varphi_{i}, s\right)$ as having values in $E_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}\left(M(f)_{/ F^{\prime}}, j\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{i=u} \mathbb{L}\left(f_{i}, \varphi_{i}, j\right)^{m_{i}} \in E_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $1=\sum_{i=1}^{i=u} m_{i} \operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F_{i}\right)}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime \prime} / F^{\prime}\right)} \varphi_{i}$, we get the equality of motives (by assuming the Tate conjecture for motives)

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(M(f)_{/ F^{\prime}}(j)\right)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{i=u}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{i} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(M(f)_{/ F_{i}} \otimes M\left(\varphi_{i}\right)\right)(j)\right)^{m_{i}}
$$

from which, by looking at the $E_{1}$ rational basis (see (2.1)), we obtain trivially

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{+}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(M(f)_{/ F^{\prime}}(j)\right)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{i=u} c^{+}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{i} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(M(f)_{/ F_{i}} \otimes M\left(\varphi_{i}\right)\right)(j)\right)^{m_{i}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$
\frac{\mathbb{L}(M(g)(j), 0)}{c^{+}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{L / \mathbb{Q}}(M(g)(j))\right)} \in \mathbb{Q}_{g} \otimes 1
$$

for any modular form $g$ of weight $k$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2) / L$, for $L$ totally real number field.
Thus we get

$$
\frac{\mathbb{L}\left(M\left(f_{i}\right) \otimes M\left(\varphi_{i}\right)(j), 0\right)}{c^{+}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F_{i} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(M\left(f_{i}\right) \otimes M\left(\varphi_{i}\right)\right)(j)\right)} \in E_{1} \otimes 1
$$

because $f_{i} \otimes \varphi_{i}$ is a modular form. From (4.1) and (4.2), we deduce Theorem 1.2:

$$
\frac{\mathbb{L}\left(M(f)(j)_{/ F^{\prime}}, 0\right)}{c^{+}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{F^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(M(f)(j)_{/ F^{\prime}}\right)\right)} \in E_{1} \otimes 1 .
$$

Actually, in this last result one can replace $E_{1}$ by $\mathbb{Q}_{f}$ since $M(f)(j)_{/ F^{\prime}}$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_{f}$.
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