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A modified $q$-homotopy analysis method (mq-HAM) was proposed for solving $n$ th-order nonlinear differential equations. This method improves the convergence of the series solution in the $n \mathrm{HAM}$ which was proposed in (see Hassan and El-Tawil 2011, 2012). The proposed method provides an approximate solution by rewriting the $n$ th-order nonlinear differential equation in the form of $n$ first-order differential equations. The solution of these $n$ differential equations is obtained as a power series solution. This scheme is tested on two nonlinear exactly solvable differential equations. The results demonstrate the reliability and efficiency of the algorithm developed.

## 1. Introduction

Homotopy analysis method (HAM) initially proposed by Liao in his Ph.D. thesis [1] is a powerful method to solve nonlinear problems. In recent years, this method has been successfully employed to solve many types of nonlinear problems in science and engineering [2-17]. HAM contains a certain auxiliary parameter $h$, which provides us with a simple way to adjust and control the convergence region and rate of convergence of the series solution. Moreover, by means of the so-called $h$-curve, a valid region of $h$ can be studied to gain a convergent series solution. More recently, a powerful modification of HAM was proposed [18-20]. Hassan and ElTawil [21, 22] presented a new technique of using homotopy analysis method for solving nonlinear initial value problems ( $n \mathrm{HAM}$ ). El-Tawil and Huseen $[23,24$ ] established a method, namely, $q$-homotopy analysis method, ( $q$-HAM) which is a more general method of HAM, The $q$-HAM contains an auxiliary parameter $n$ as well as $h$ such that the case of $n=$ 1 ( $q$-HAM; $n=1$ ) and the standard homotopy analysis method (HAM) can be reached. In this paper, we present the modification of $q$-homotopy analysis method (mq-HAM) for solving nonlinear problems by transforming the $n$ th-order nonlinear differential equation to a system of $n$ first-order
equations. we note that the $n \mathrm{HAM}$ is a special case of $\mathrm{mq}-$ $\operatorname{HAM}(m q-H A M ; n=1)$.

## 2. Analysis of the $q$-Homotopy Analysis Method ( $q$-HAM)

Consider the following nonlinear partial differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N[u(x, t)]=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is a nonlinear operator, $(x, t)$ denotes independent variables, and $u(x, t)$ is an unknown function. Let us construct the so-called zero-order deformation equation as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-n q) L\left[\emptyset(x, t ; q)-u_{0}(x, t)\right]=q h H(x, t) N[\emptyset(x, t ; q)] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 1, q \in[0,1 / n]$ denotes the so-called embedded parameter, $L$ is an auxiliary linear operator with the property $L[f]=0$ when $f=0, h \neq 0$ is an auxiliary parameter, and
$H(x, t)$ denotes a non-zero auxiliary function. It is obvious that when $q=0$ and $q=1 / n$, (2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\emptyset(x, t ; 0)=u_{0}(x, t), \quad \emptyset\left(x, t ; \frac{1}{n}\right)=u(x, t), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Thus, as $q$ increases from 0 to $1 / n$, the solution $\emptyset(x, t ; q)$ varies from the initial guess $u_{0}(x, t)$ to the solution $u(x, t)$. We may choose $u_{0}(x, t), L, h$, and $H(x, t)$ and assume that all of them can be properly chosen so that the solution $\emptyset(x, t ; q)$ of (2) exists for $q \in[0,1 / n]$.

Now, by expanding $\emptyset(x, t ; q)$ in Taylor series, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\emptyset(x, t ; q)=u_{0}(x, t)+\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} u_{m}(x, t) q^{m} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(x, t)=\left.\frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^{m} \emptyset(x, t ; q)}{\partial q^{m}}\right|_{q=0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we assume that $h, H(x, t), u_{0}(x, t)$, and $L$ are properly chosen such that the series (4) converges at $q=1 / n$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\emptyset\left(x, t ; \frac{1}{n}\right)=u_{0}(x, t)+\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} u_{m}(x, t)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{m} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{r}(x, t)=\left\{u_{0}(x, t), u_{1}(x, t), u_{2}(x, t), \ldots, u_{r}(x, t)\right\} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating equation (2) for $m$ times with respect to $q$ and then setting $q=0$ and dividing the resulting equation by $m!$, we have the so-called $m$ th order deformation equation as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left[u_{m}(x, t)-k_{m} u_{m-1}(x, t)\right]=h H(x, t) R_{m}\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u_{m-1}}(x, t)\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{m}\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u_{m-1}}(x, t)\right) \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1}(N[\emptyset(x, t ; q)]-f(x, t))}{\partial q^{m-1}}\right|_{q=0},  \tag{9}\\
& k_{m}= \begin{cases}0 & m \leq 1, \\
n & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be emphasized that $u_{m}(x, t)$ for $m \geq 1$ is governed by the linear equation (8) with linear boundary conditions that come from the original problem. Due to the existence of the factor $(1 / n)^{m}$, more chances for convergence may occur or even much faster convergence can be obtained better than the standard HAM. It should be noted that the case of $n=1$ in (2), standard HAM, can be reached.

The $q$-homotopy analysis method ( $q$-HAM) can be reformatted as follows.

We rewrite the nonlinear partial differential equation (1) in the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L u(x, t)+A u(x, t)+B u(x, t)=0, \\
u(x, 0)=f_{0}(x), \\
\left.\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=f_{1}(x)  \tag{10}\\
\vdots \\
\left.\frac{\partial^{z-1} u(x, t)}{\partial^{z-1}}\right|_{t=0}=f_{z-1}(x)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $L=\partial^{z} / \partial t^{z}, z=1,2, \ldots$ is the highest partial derivative with respect to $t, A$ is a linear term, and $B$ is a nonlinear term. The so-called zero-order deformation equation (2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-n q) & L\left[\emptyset(x, t ; q)-u_{0}(x, t)\right]  \tag{11}\\
& =q h H(x, t)(L u(x, t)+A u(x, t)+B u(x, t))
\end{align*}
$$

we have the following $m$ th order deformation equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
L & {\left[u_{m}(x, t)-k_{m} u_{m-1}(x, t)\right] } \\
& \quad=h H(x, t)\left(L u_{m-1}(x, t)+A u_{m-1}(x, t)+B\left(\stackrel{u_{m-1}}{ }(x, t)\right)\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{m}(x, t)= & k_{m} u_{m-1}(x, t) \\
& +h L^{-1}\left[H ( x , t ) \left(L u_{m-1}(x, t)+A u_{m-1}(x, t)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+B\left(\underset{u_{m-1}}{ }(x, t)\right)\right)\right] \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the inverse operator $L^{-1}$ is an integral operator which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{-1}(\cdot)=\iint \cdots \int(\cdot) \frac{d t d t \cdots d t}{z \text { times }}+c_{1} t^{z-1}+c_{2} t^{z-2}+\cdots+c_{z} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{z}$ are integral constants.
To solve (10) by means of $q$-HAM, we choose the following initial approximation:

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{0}(x, t)= & f_{0}(x)+f_{1}(x) t \\
& +f_{2}(x) \frac{t^{2}}{2!}+\cdots+f_{z-1}(x) \frac{t^{z-1}}{(z-1)!} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $H(x, t)=1$, by means of (14) and (15); then (13) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m}(x, t) \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
=k_{m} u_{m-1}(x, t) \\
\quad+h \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\partial^{z} u_{m-1}(x, \tau)}{\partial \tau^{z}}+A u_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right. \\
\\
\left.+B\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u_{m-1}}(x, \tau)\right)\right) \frac{d \tau d \tau \cdots d \tau}{z \text { times }} .
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Now from $\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t}\left(\partial^{z} u_{m-1}(x, \tau) / \partial \tau^{z}\right) \frac{d \tau d \tau \cdots d \tau}{z \text { times }}$, we observe that there are repeated computations in each step which caused more consuming time. To cancel this, we use the following modification to (16):

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m}(x, t) \\
& \begin{aligned}
= & k_{m} u_{m-1}(x, t) \\
& +h \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{z} u_{m-1}(x, \tau)}{\partial \tau^{z}} \frac{d \tau d \tau \cdots d \tau}{z \text { times }} \\
& +h \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t}\left(A u_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right. \\
& \left.+B\left(\frac{u_{m-1}}{}(x, \tau)\right)\right) \underbrace{d \tau d \tau}_{z \text { times }} \\
= & k_{m} u_{m-1}(x, t)+h u_{m-1}(x, t) \\
& \quad-h\left(u_{m-1}(x, 0)+t \frac{\partial u_{m-1}(x, 0)}{\partial t}\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+\frac{t^{z-1}}{(z-1)!} \frac{\partial^{z-1} u_{m-1}(x, 0)}{\partial t^{z-1}}\right) \\
& +h \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t}\left(A u_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right. \\
& \left.+B\left(\frac{u_{m-1}}{}(x, \tau)\right)\right) \underbrace{d \tau d \tau \cdots d \tau .}_{z \text { times }}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for $m=1, k_{m}=0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{0}(x, 0) & +t \frac{\partial u_{0}(x, 0)}{\partial t}+\frac{t^{2}}{2!} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}(x, 0)}{\partial t^{2}} \\
+ & \cdots+\frac{t^{z-1}}{(z-1)!} \frac{\partial^{z-1} u_{0}(x, 0)}{\partial t^{z-1}} \\
= & f_{0}(x)+f_{1}(x) t+f_{2}(x) \frac{t^{2}}{2!}  \tag{18}\\
& +\cdots+f_{z-1}(x) \frac{t^{z-1}}{(z-1)!} \\
= & u_{0}(x, t)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting this equality into (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(x, t) \\
& \quad=h \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t}\left(A u_{0}(x, \tau)+B\left(u_{0}(x, \tau)\right)\right) \frac{d \tau d \tau \cdots d \tau .}{z \text { times }} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

For $m>1, k_{m}=n$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{m}(x, 0)=0, \quad \frac{\partial u_{m}(x, 0)}{\partial t}=0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{m}(x, 0)}{\partial t^{2}}=0, \ldots, \frac{\partial^{z-1} u_{m}(x, 0)}{\partial t^{z-1}}=0 . \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting this equality into (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m}(x, t) \\
& \quad=(n+h) u_{m-1}(x, t) \\
& \quad+h \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \cdots \int_{0}^{t}\left(A u_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+B\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u_{m-1}}(x, \tau)\right)\right) \frac{d \tau d \tau \cdots d \tau .}{z \text { times }} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The standard $q$-HAM is powerful when $z=1$, and the series solution expression by $q$-HAM can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t ; n ; h) \cong U_{M}(x, t ; n ; h)=\sum_{i=0}^{M} u_{i}(x, t ; n ; h)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{i} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

But when $z \geq 2$, there are too many additional terms where harder and more time consuming computations are performed. So, the closed form solution needs more numbers of iteration.

## 3. The Proposed Modified $q$-Homotopy Analysis Method (mq-HAM)

When $z \geq 2$, we rewrite (1) as in the following system of firstorder differential equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}=u 1 \\
u 1_{t}=u 2 \\
\vdots  \tag{23}\\
u\{z-1\}_{t}= \\
\\
=A u(x, t)-B u(x, t) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Set the initial approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{0}(x, t) & =f_{0}(x), \\
u 1_{0}(x, t)= & f_{1}(x),  \tag{24}\\
& \vdots \\
u\{z-1\}_{0}(x, t)= & f_{z-1}(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the iteration formulas (19) and (21) as follows:

$$
u_{1}(x, t)=h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-u 1_{0}(x, \tau)\right) d \tau
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u 1_{1}(x, t)=h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-u 2_{0}(x, \tau)\right) d \tau \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
u\{z-1\}_{1}(x, t)=h \int_{0}^{t}\left(A u_{0}(x, \tau)+B\left(u_{0}(x, \tau)\right)\right) d \tau
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

For $m>1, k_{m}=n$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{m}(x, 0)=0, \quad u 1_{m}(x, 0)=0,  \tag{26}\\
u 2_{m}(x, 0)=0, \ldots, u\{z-1\}_{m}(x, 0)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting in (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\begin{array}{c}
u_{m}(x, t)=(n+h) u_{m-1}(x, t)+h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-u 1_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right) d \tau \\
u 1_{m}(x, t)= \\
\\
+h+h) u 1_{m-1}(x, t) \\
\vdots \\
\begin{aligned}
& u\{z-1\}_{m}(x, t) \\
&=(n+h) u\{z-1\}_{m-1}(x, t) \\
&\left.+h \int_{0}^{t}\left(A u_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right)+B\left(u_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right)\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
\end{array} . \begin{array}{l}
\end{array} .
\end{gather*}
$$

It should be noted that the case of $n=1$ in (27), the $n \mathrm{HAM}$, can be reached.

To illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed mq-HAM, comparison between $\mathrm{m} q-\mathrm{HAM}$ and the $n \mathrm{HAM}$ are illustrated by the following examples.

## 4. Illustrative Examples

Example 1. Consider the following nonlinear sine-Gordon equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+\sin u=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the following initial conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=0, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=4 \operatorname{sech} x \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=4 \tan ^{-1}(t \operatorname{sech} x) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prevent suffering from the strongly nonlinear term $\sin u$ in the frame of $q$-HAM, we can use Taylor series expansion of $\sin u$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin u=u-\frac{u^{3}}{6}+\frac{u^{5}}{120} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (28) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+u-\frac{u^{3}}{6}+\frac{u^{5}}{120}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to solve (28) by mq-HAM, we construct system of differential equations as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}(x, t)=v(x, t) \\
v_{t}(x, t)=\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}-u+\frac{u^{3}}{6}-\frac{u^{5}}{120} \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the following initial approximations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(x, t)=0, \quad v_{0}(x, t)=4 \operatorname{sech} x \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following auxiliary linear operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L u(x, t)= \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad L v(x, t)=\frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t}, \\
& A u_{m-1}(x, t)=-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{m-1}(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+u_{m-1}(x, t) \\
& B \overrightarrow{u_{m-1}}(x, t)=-\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} u_{m-1-j} \sum_{i=0}^{j} u_{i} u_{j-i} \\
&+\frac{1}{120} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} u_{m-1-j} \sum_{i=0}^{j} u_{j-i} \sum_{k=0}^{i} u_{i-k} \sum_{l=0}^{k} u_{l} u_{k-l} . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

From (25) and (27), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}(x, t)=h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-v_{0}(x, \tau)\right) d \tau \\
v_{1}(x, t)=h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}+u_{0}-\frac{u_{0}^{3}}{6}+\frac{u_{0}^{5}}{120}\right) d \tau \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, for $m \geq 2$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{m}(x, t)= & (n+h) u_{m-1}(x, t)+h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-v_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right) d \tau \\
v_{m}(x, t)= & (n+h) v_{m-1}(x, t) \\
& +h \int_{0}^{t}\left(A u_{m-1}(x, \tau)+B\left(u_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right)\right) d \tau \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

And the following results are obtained:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}(x, t)=-4 h t \operatorname{sech} x \\
v_{1}(x, t)=0 \\
u_{2}(x, t)=-4 h(h+n) t \operatorname{sech} x  \tag{38}\\
v_{2}(x, t)=-4 h^{2} t^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{3} x \\
u_{3}(x, t)=-4 h(h+n)^{2} t \operatorname{sech} x+\frac{4}{3} h^{3} t^{3} \operatorname{sech}^{3} x
\end{gather*}
$$

$u_{m}(x, t),(m=4,5, \ldots)$ can be calculated similarly. Then, the series solution expression by mq-HAM can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t ; n ; h) \cong U_{M}(x, t ; n ; h)=\sum_{i=0}^{M} u_{i}(x, t ; n ; h)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{i} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (39) is a family of approximation solutions to the problem (28) in terms of the convergence parameters $h$ and $n$. To find the valid region of $h$, the $h$-curves given by the 6th-order $n$ HAM (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ) approximation and


Figure 1: $h$-curve for the $n \mathrm{HAM}(\mathrm{mq}-\mathrm{HAM} ; n=1)$ approximation solution $U_{6}(x, t)$ of problem (28) at different values of $x$ and $t$.


Figure 2: $h$-curve for the (mq-HAM; $n=13$ ) approximation solution $U_{6}(x, t ; 13)$ of problem (28) at different values of $x$ and $t$.
the 6th-order mq-HAM $(n=13)$ approximation at different values of $x, t$ are drawn in Figures 1 and 2, respectively, and these figures show the interval of $h$ in which the value of $U_{6}$ is constant at certain $x, t$, and $n$; we chose the horizontal line parallel to $x$-axis ( $h$ ) as a valid region which provides us with a simple way to adjust and control the convergence region. Figure 3 shows the comparison between $U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}$ and $U_{6}$ of mq-HAM using different values of $n$ with the solution (30). The absolute errors of the 6th-order solutions $n$ HAM approximate and the 6th-order solutions mq-HAM approximate using different values of $n$ are shown in Figure 4. The results obtained by mq-HAM indicate that the speed of convergence for $m q$-HAM with $n>1$ is faster in comparison to $n=1(n \mathrm{HAM})$. The results show that the convergence region of series solutions obtained by m$q$-HAM is increasing as $q$ is decreased as shown in Figures 3 and 4 .

By increasing the number of iterations by m $q$-HAM, the series solution becomes more accurate, more efficient, and


Figure 3: Comparison between $U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}(\mathrm{m} q$-HAM; $n=1)$ and $U_{6} \mathrm{mq}-\mathrm{HAM}(n=5.5,13,30,75)$ with exact solution of $(28)$ at $x=1$ with ( $h=-1, h=-4.9, h=-10.8, h=-23.15, h=-49.25$ ), respectively.


Figure 4: The absolute error of $U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}$ (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ) and $U_{6}$ mq-HAM $(n=5.5,13,30,75)$ for problem (28) at $x=1$ using ( $h=-1, h=-4.9, h=-10.8, h=-23.15, h=-49.25$ ), respectively.
the interval of $t$ (convergent region) increases as shown in Figures 5, 6, 7, and 8.

Example 2. Consider the following Klein-Gordon equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+\frac{3}{4} u-\frac{3}{2} u^{3}=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the following initial conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(x, 0)=-\operatorname{sech} x \\
u_{t}(x, 0)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech} x \tanh x . \tag{41}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 5: The comparison between the $U_{3}, U_{6}$, and $U_{10}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}$ (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ) and the exact solution of (28) at $h=-1$ and $x=1$.


Figure 6: The comparison between the $U_{3}, U_{6}$, and $U_{10}$ of mq-HAM $(n=75)$ and the exact solution of $(28)$ at $h=-49.25$ and $x=1$.

The exact solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=-\operatorname{sech}\left(x+\frac{t}{2}\right) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to solve (40) by mq-HAM, we construct system of differential equations as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}(x, t)=v(x, t) \\
v_{t}(x, t)=\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{3}{4} u+\frac{3}{2} u^{3} \tag{43}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the following initial approximations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(x, t)=-\operatorname{sech} x, \quad v_{0}(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sech} x \tanh x \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7: The comparison between the absolute error of $U_{3}, U_{6}$, and $U_{10}$ of $n$ HAM (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ) of (28) at $h=-1, x=1$, and $0 \leq t \leq 1.5$.

$\ldots$ A.E. $U_{3} m q$-HAM $(n=75)$

- A.E. $U_{6}$ mq-HAM $(n=75)$
$--\quad$ A.E. $U_{10} \mathrm{mq}$-HAM $(n=75)$
Figure 8: The comparison between the absolute error of $U_{3}, U_{6}$, and $U_{10}$ of $\mathrm{m} q-\operatorname{HAM}(n=75)$ of (28) at $h=-49.25, x=1$, and $0 \leq t \leq$ 1.5.
and the following auxiliary linear operators:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L u(x, t)=\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad L v(x, t)=\frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t} \\
A u_{m-1}(x, t)=-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{m-1}(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{3}{4} u_{m-1}(x, t), \\
B \overline{u_{m-1}}(x, t)=-\frac{3}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} u_{m-1-j} \sum_{i=0}^{j} u_{i} u_{j-i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (25) and (27), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}(x, t)=h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-v_{0}(x, \tau)\right) d \tau \\
v_{1}(x, t)=h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{3}{4} u_{0}-\frac{3}{2} u_{0}^{3}\right) d \tau . \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 9: $h$-curve for the $n$ HAM (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ) approximation solution $U_{6}(x, t)$ of problem (40) at different values of $x$ and $t$.

For $m \geq 2$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m}(x, t)=(n+h) u_{m-1}(x, t)+h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-v_{m-1}(x, \tau)\right) d \tau \\
& v_{m}(x, t)=(n+h) \\
& v_{m-1}(x, t)  \tag{47}\\
&+h \int_{0}^{t}\left(-\frac{\partial^{2} u_{m-1}(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{3}{4} u_{m-1}(x, t)\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{3}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} u_{m-1-j} \sum_{i=0}^{j} u_{i} u_{j-i}\right) d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

The following results are obtained:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}(x, t)=-\frac{1}{2} h t \operatorname{sech} x \tanh x \\
v_{1}(x, t)=h t\left(-\frac{3 \operatorname{sech} x}{4}+\frac{\operatorname{sech}^{3} x}{2}+\operatorname{sech} x \tanh ^{2} x\right), \\
u_{2}(x, t)=h\left(\frac{3}{16} h t^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{3} x-\frac{1}{16} h t^{2} \cosh (2 x) \operatorname{sech}^{3} x\right) \\
-  \tag{48}\\
-\frac{1}{2} h(h+n) t \operatorname{sech} x \tanh x
\end{gather*}
$$

$u_{m}(x, t),(m=3,4, \ldots)$ can be calculated similarly. Then, the series solution expression by $\mathrm{m} q$-HAM can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t ; n ; h) \cong U_{M}(x, t ; n ; h)=\sum_{i=0}^{M} u_{i}(x, t ; n ; h)\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{i} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (49) is a family of approximation solutions to the problem (40) in terms of the convergence parameters $h$ and $n$. To find the valid region of $h$, the $h$-curves given by the 6 th-order $n$ HAM (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ) approximation and


Figure 10: $h$-curve for the mq-HAM $(n=100)$ approximation solution $U_{6}(x, t ; 100)$ of problem (40) at different values of $x$ and $t$.


Figure 11: Comparison between $U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}$ (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ) and $U_{6}$ of m $q$-HAM $(n=5,20,50,100)$ with exact solution of (40) at $x=1$ with $(h=-1, h=-4.85, h=-18.55, h=-43.11, h=$ $-79.5)$, respectively.
the 6th-order mq-HAM $(n=100)$ approximation at different values of $x, t$ are drawn in Figures 9 and 10; these figures show the interval of $h$ in which the value of $U_{6}$ is constant at certain $x, t$, and $n$; we chose the horizontal line parallel to $x$-axis ( $h$ ) as a valid region which provides us with a simple way to adjust and control the convergence region. Figure 11 shows the comparison between $U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}$ and $U_{6}$ of mq-HAM using different values of $n$ with the solution (42). The absolute errors of the 6th-order solutions $n \mathrm{HAM}$ approximate and the 6thorder solutions mq-HAM approximate using different values of $n$ are shown in Figure 12. The results obtained by mqHAM indicate that the speed of convergence for mq-HAM with $n>1$ is faster in comparison to $n=1$ ( $n \mathrm{HAM}$ ). The results show that the convergence region of series solutions


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots \text { A.E. } U_{6} n \mathrm{HAM} \\
& - \text { A.E. } U_{6} \mathrm{~m} q-\operatorname{HAM}(n=5) \quad--- \text { A.E. } U_{6} \mathrm{~m} q-\operatorname{mAM}(n=50) \\
& - \\
& \text { A.E. } U_{6} \mathrm{~m} q-\operatorname{HAM}(n=20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 12: The absolute error of $U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}$ (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ) and $U_{6}$ of mq-HAM $(n=5,20,50,100)$ for problem (40) at $x=1$ using $(h=-1, h=-4.85, h=-18.55, h=-43.11, h=-79.5)$, respectively.


Figure 13: The comparison between the $U_{3}, U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}$ (mqHAM; $n=1$ ), and the exact solution of (40) at $h=-1$ and $x=1$.


Figure 14: The comparison between the $U_{3}, U_{6}$ of mq-HAM ( $n=$ $100)$, and the exact solution of (40) at $h=-79.5$ and $x=1$.


Figure 15: The comparison between the absolute error of $U_{3}$ and $U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}(\mathrm{mq}-\mathrm{HAM} ; n=1)$ of (40) at $h=-1, x=1$, and $0 \leq t \leq 3.5$.

A.E. $U_{3} \mathrm{~m} q$-HAM $(n=100)$
--- A.E. $U_{6}$ m $q$-HAM $(n=100)$
Figure 16: The comparison between the absolute error of $U_{3}$ and $U_{6}$ of $\mathrm{m} q-\operatorname{HAM}(n=100)$ of $(40)$ at $h=-79.5, x=1$, and $0 \leq t \leq 3.5$.
obtained by $\mathrm{m} q$-HAM is increasing as $q$ is decreased as shown in Figures 11 and 12.

By increasing the number of iterations by m $q$-HAM, the series solution becomes more accurate, more efficient, and the interval of $t$ (convergent region) increases as shown in Figures 13, 14, 15, and 16.

Figure 17 shows that the convergence of the series solutions obtained by the 3rd-order mq-HAM $(n=100)$ is faster than that of the series solutions obtained by the 6th order $n$ HAM. This fact shows the importance of the convergence parameters $n$ in the m$q$-HAM.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, a modified $q$-homotopy analysis method was proposed (mq-HAM). This method provides an approximate solution by rewriting the $n$ th-order nonlinear differential equations in the form of system of $n$ first-order differential equations. The solution of these $n$ differential equations is


Figure 17: The comparison between the $U_{3}$ of m $q$-HAM $(n=100)$, $U_{6}$ of $n \mathrm{HAM}$ (mq-HAM; $n=1$ ), and the exact solution of (40) at $(h=-79.5, h=-1)$ and $x=1$.
obtained as a power series solution, which converges to a closed form solution. The mq-HAM contains two auxiliary parameters $n$ and $h$ such that the case of $n=1$ (mq-HAM; $n=$ $1)$; the $n \mathrm{HAM}$ which is proposed in $[21,22]$ can be reached. In general, it was noticed from the illustrative examples that the convergence of mq-HAM is faster than that of $n \mathrm{HAM}$.
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