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We present an iterative method for solving nonlinear equations. The proposed iterative method has optimal order of convergence sixteen in the sense of Kung-Traub conjecture (Kung and Traub, 1974); it means that the iterative scheme uses five functional evaluations to achieve $16\left(=2^{5-1}\right)$ order of convergence. The proposed iterative method utilizes one derivative and four function evaluations. Numerical experiments are made to demonstrate the convergence and validation of the iterative method.

## 1. Introduction

According to Kung and Traub conjecture, a multipoint iterative method without memory could achieve optimal convergence order $2^{n-1}$ by performing $n$ evaluations of function or its derivatives [1]. In order to construct an optimal sixteenthorder convergent iterative method for solving nonlinear equations, we require four and eight optimal-order iterative schemes. Many authors have been developed the optimal eighth-order iterative methods, namely, Bi et al. [2], Bi et al. [3], Geum and Kim [4], Liu and Wang [5], Wang and Liu [6], and Soleymani et al. [7-9]. Some recent applications of nonlinear equation solvers in matrix inversion for regular or rectangular matrices have been introduced in [10-12].

For the proposed iterative method, we developed new optimal fourth- and eighth-orders iterative methods to construct optimal sixteenth-order iterative scheme. On the other hand, it is known that rational weight functions give a better convergence radius. By keeping this fact in mind, we introduced rational terms in weight functions to achieve optimal sixteenth order.

For the sake of completeness, we list some existing optimal sixteenth-order convergent methods. Babajee and Thukral [13] suggested 4-point sixteenth-order king family of iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations (BT):

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{1+\beta t_{1}}{1+(\beta-2) t_{1}} \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
w_{n}=z_{n}-\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1}=w_{n}-\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}+\theta_{4}+\theta_{5}+\theta_{6}+\theta_{7}\right) \frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & =\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad t_{2}=\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad t_{3}=\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)}, \\
t_{4} & =\frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad t_{5}=\frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f\left(z_{n}\right)}, \quad t_{6}=\frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)}, \\
\theta_{0} & =1, \quad \theta_{1}=\frac{1+\beta t_{1}+3 / 2 \beta t_{1}^{2}}{1+(\beta-2) t_{1}+(3 / 2 \beta-1) t_{1}^{2}}-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{2}=t_{3}, \quad \theta_{3}=4 t_{2}, \quad \theta_{4}=t_{5}+t_{1} t_{2} \\
\theta_{5}=2 t_{1} t_{5}+4(1-\beta) t_{1}^{3} t_{3}+2 t_{2} t_{3} \\
\theta_{6}= \\
2 t_{6}+\left(7 \beta^{2}-\frac{47}{2} \beta+14\right) t_{3} t_{1}^{4} \\
\quad+(2 \beta-3) t_{2}^{2}+(5-2 \beta) t_{5} t_{1}^{2}-t_{3}^{3} \\
\theta_{7}= \\
8 t_{4}  \tag{2}\\
\quad+\left(-12 \beta+2 \beta^{2}+12\right) t_{5} t_{1}^{3} \\
\\
\quad+4 t_{3}^{3} t_{1}+\left(-2 \beta^{2}+12 \beta-22\right) t_{3}^{2} t_{1}^{3} \\
\\
+\left(-10 \beta^{3}+\frac{127}{2} \beta^{2}-105 \beta+46\right) t_{2} t_{1}^{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

In 2011, Geum and Kim [14] proposed a family of optimal sixteenth-order multipoint methods (GK2):

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
z_{n}=-K_{f}\left(u_{n}\right) \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
s_{n}=z_{n}-H_{f}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, w_{n}\right) \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)},  \tag{3}\\
x_{n+1}=s_{n}-W_{f}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, w_{n}, t_{n}\right) \frac{f\left(s_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)},
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{n}=\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad v_{n}=\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)}, \\
w_{n}=\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad t_{n}=\frac{f\left(s_{n}\right)}{f\left(z_{n}\right)}, \\
K_{f}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{1+\beta u_{n}+(-9+5 / 2 \beta) u_{n}^{2}}{1+(\beta-2) u_{n}+(-4+\beta / 2) u_{n}^{2}},  \tag{4}\\
H_{f}=\frac{1+2 u_{n}+(2+\sigma) w_{n}}{1-v_{n}+\sigma w_{n}}, \\
W_{f}=\frac{1+2 u_{n}}{1-v_{n}-2 w_{n}-t_{n}}+G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, w_{n}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

one of the choices for $G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, w_{n}\right)$ along with $\beta=24 / 11$ and $\sigma=-2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, w_{n}\right)= & -6 u_{n}^{3} v_{n}-\frac{244}{11} u_{n}^{4} w_{n}  \tag{5}\\
& +6 w_{n}^{2}+u_{n}\left(2 v_{n}^{2}+4 v_{n}^{3}+w_{n}-2 w_{n}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

```
fxn:= c1*(e+c2*e^2+c3*e^ 3+c4*e^ 4+c5*e^ }5+c6*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}6+c7*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}7+c8*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}8+c9*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}9+c10*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}10
    cl1* *}\mp@subsup{\textrm{e}}{}{\wedge}11+\textrm{cl}13*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}12+cl3*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}13+cl4*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}14+c15*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}15+c16*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}16+cl7*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}17)
dfxn:= diff (fxn, e);
ye:= simplify (taylor (e-fxn/dfxn, e = 0, 17));
```



```
    cl0*ye^10+cl1* ye^}11+c12*ye^^12+cl3* ye^ 13+cl4* ye^ 14+c15* ye^ 15+cl6* ye^ 16+cl7*ye^17)
fyn:= simplify (taylor (fyn, e=0,17));
t1:= simplify (taylor (fyn/fxn, e = 0, 17));
fydfx:= simplify (taylor (fyn/dfxn, e = 0,17));
ze:= factor (simplify (taylor (ye-(1+2*t1-t\mp@subsup{1}{}{\wedge}2)*fydfx/(1-6*t1^2), e = 0, 17)));
factor (simplify (taylor (ye-(1+2*t1-t1^2)*fydfx/(1-6*t1^2), e = 0,7)));
```

```
\(-\mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 4+\left(-2 * \mathrm{c} 3^{\wedge} 2+2 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 2+2 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 4-2 * \mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{c} 4\right) * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 5+\left(-3 * \mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{c} 5-7 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{c} 4+6 * \mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{c} 3^{\wedge} 2+\right.\)
```

$-\mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 4+\left(-2 * \mathrm{c} 3^{\wedge} 2+2 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 2+2 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 4-2 * \mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{c} 4\right) * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 5+\left(-3 * \mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{c} 5-7 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{c} 4+6 * \mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{c} 3^{\wedge} 2+\right.$
$\left.12 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 3+3 * \mathrm{c} 4 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 2-14 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 5\right) * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 6+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 7\right)$
$\left.12 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 3+3 * \mathrm{c} 4 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 2-14 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 5\right) * \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 6+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 7\right)$
fzn:= cl * (ze+c2*ze^}\mp@subsup{}{}{\wedge}2+c3*z\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}3+c4*z\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}4+c5*z\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}5+c6*z\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}6+c7*z\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}7+c8*z\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}8+c9*z\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}9

```

```

fzn:= simplify (taylor (fzn, e = 0, 17));
t2:= simplify (taylor (fzn/fyn, e = 0, 17));
t3:= simplify (taylor (fzn/fxn, e=0,17));
fzdfx:= simplify (taylor (fzn/dfxn, e=0,17));
we:= simplify (taylor (ze-(1-t1+t3)*fzdfx/(1-3*tl+2*t3-t2), e = 0, 17));
simplify (taylor (ze-(1-t1+t3)*fzdfx/(1-3*t1+2*t3-t2), e = 0, 10));

```
```

-c4*c3*c2^}2*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}8+(-2*\textrm{c}5*\textrm{c}3*\textrm{c}2^2-4*\textrm{c}2*\textrm{c}4*\textrm{c}3^2 2+4*\textrm{c}3*\textrm{c}4*\textrm{c}2^3-2*\textrm{c}^^2*\textrm{c}2^2+2*\textrm{c}4*\textrm{c}\mp@subsup{2}{}{\wedge}

```
-c4*c3*c2^}2*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}8+(-2*\textrm{c}5*\textrm{c}3*\textrm{c}2^2-4*\textrm{c}2*\textrm{c}4*\textrm{c}3^2 2+4*\textrm{c}3*\textrm{c}4*\textrm{c}2^3-2*\textrm{c}^^2*\textrm{c}2^2+2*\textrm{c}4*\textrm{c}\mp@subsup{2}{}{\wedge}
-3*c3^}3*c\mp@subsup{2}{}{\wedge}2+4*c\mp@subsup{c}{}{\wedge}2*c2^4+4*c3*c2^6)*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}9+O(\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}10
```

-3*c3^}3*c\mp@subsup{2}{}{\wedge}2+4*c\mp@subsup{c}{}{\wedge}2*c2^4+4*c3*c2^6)*\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}9+O(\mp@subsup{e}{}{\wedge}10

```
fwn: \(=\mathrm{cl} *\left(\mathrm{we}+\mathrm{c} 2 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{we} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{c} 4 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 4+\mathrm{c} 5 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 5+\mathrm{c} 6 * \mathrm{we} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 6+\mathrm{c} 7 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 7+\mathrm{c} 8 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 8+\mathrm{c} 9 * \mathrm{we} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 9+\mathrm{c} 10 * \mathrm{we} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge} 10+\right.\) \(\mathrm{c} 12 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 12+\mathrm{cl} 13 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 13+\mathrm{c} 14 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 14+\mathrm{c} 15 *\) we \({ }^{\wedge} 15+\mathrm{c} 16 *\) we \({ }^{\wedge} 16+\mathrm{c} 17 * \mathrm{we}^{\wedge} 17\) );
fwn:= simplify (taylor (fwn, \(\mathrm{e}=0,17\) ));
fwdfx:= simplify (taylor (fwn/dfxn, e = 0, 17));
t 4 := simplify (taylor (fwn/fxn, e = 0, 17));
t5:= simplify (taylor (fwn/fyn, e = 0, 17));
t6:= simplify (taylor (fwn/fzn, e=0,17));
\(\mathrm{q} 1:=\) simplify (taylor \(\left(1 /\left(1-2 *\left(t 1+\mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 4+\mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 5+\mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 6+\mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 7\right)\right), \mathrm{e}=0,17\right)\) );
q2: simplify (taylor \((4 * \mathrm{t} 3 /(1-(31 / 4) * \mathrm{t} 3), \mathrm{e}=0,17)\) );
\(\mathrm{q} 3:=\) simplify (taylor ( \(\mathrm{t} 2 /\left(1-\mathrm{t} 2-20 * \mathrm{t} 2^{\wedge} 3\right.\) ), \(\mathrm{e}=0,17\) );
q4: simplify (taylor ( \(8 * \mathrm{t} 4 /(1-\mathrm{t} 4)+2 * \mathrm{t} 5 /(1-\mathrm{t} 5)+\mathrm{t} 6 /(1-\mathrm{t} 6), \mathrm{e}=0,17)\) );
q5: simplify (taylor \((15 * \mathrm{t} 1 * \mathrm{t} 3 /(1-(131 / 15) * \mathrm{t} 3), \mathrm{e}=0,17)\) );
\(\mathrm{q} 6:=\) simplify (taylor ( \(\left.54 * \mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 2 * \mathrm{t} 3 /(1-\mathrm{tl} \wedge 2 * \mathrm{t} 3), \mathrm{e}=0,17\right)\) );
\(\mathrm{q} 7:=\) simplify (taylor \(\left(7 * \mathrm{t} 2 * \mathrm{t} 3+2 * \mathrm{t} 1 * \mathrm{t} 6+6 * \mathrm{t} 6 * \mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 2+188 * \mathrm{t} 3 * \mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 3+18 * \mathrm{t} 6 * \mathrm{tl}^{\wedge} 3+\right.\)
\(\left.9 * \mathrm{t} 2^{\wedge} 2 * \mathrm{t} 3+648 * \mathrm{t}^{\wedge} 4 * \mathrm{t} 3, \mathrm{e}=0,17\right)\) );
\(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}+1]:=\) simplify (taylor (we-fwdfx*(q1+q2+q3+q4+q5+q6+q7), \(\mathrm{e}=0,17\) ));
for ito 16 do \(\mathrm{p}:=\) factor (simplify (coeff \((\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}+1], \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{i}))\) ) end do;
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
\(-c 4 * c 3 * c 2^{\wedge} 2 *\left(c 5 * c 3 * c 2^{\wedge} 2+2 * c 2 * c 4 * c 3^{\wedge} 2-20 * c 3^{\wedge} 4-51 * c 3^{\wedge} 3 * c 2^{\wedge} 2+522 * c 3^{\wedge} 2 * c 2^{\wedge} 4-2199 * c 3 * c 2^{\wedge} 6\right.\) \(\left.+2 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 8-30 * \mathrm{c} 3 * \mathrm{c} 4 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 3+54 * \mathrm{c} 4 * \mathrm{c} 2^{\wedge} 5\right)\)

Table 1: Set of six test nonlinear functions.
\begin{tabular}{lc}
\hline Functions & Roots \\
\hline\(f_{1}(x)=e^{x} \sin (x)+\log \left(1+x^{2}\right)\) & \(\alpha=0\) \\
\(f_{2}(x)=(x-2)\left(x^{10}+x+1\right) e^{-x-1}\) & \(\alpha=2\) \\
\(f_{3}(x)=\sin (x)^{2}-x^{2}+1\) & \(\alpha=1.40449 \cdots\) \\
\(f_{4}(x)=e^{-x}-\cos (x)\) & \(\alpha=0\) \\
\(f_{5}(x)=x^{3}+\log (x)\) & \(\alpha=0.70470949 \cdots\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2: Numerical comparison of absolute error \(\left|x_{n}-\alpha\right|\), number of iterations \(=3\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\left(f_{n}(x), x_{0}\right)\) & Iter/COC & MA & BT & GK1 & GK2 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{\(f_{1}, 1.0\)} & 1 & 0.00268 & 0.00183 & 0.0111 & 0.00230 \\
\hline & 2 & \(2.03 e-37\) & \(1.71 e-37\) & \(6.35 e-24\) & \(5.61 e-34\) \\
\hline & 3 & \(2.47 \mathrm{e}-583\) & \(3.53 e-582\) & \(1.37 e-363\) & \(1.03 e-523\) \\
\hline & COC & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{\(f_{2}, 2.5\)} & 1 & 0.04086 & 0.0639 & 0.0296 & 0.00866 \\
\hline & 2 & \(6.16 e-9\) & 650.0 & \(5.35 e-14\) & \(2.53 e-21\) \\
\hline & 3 & \(1.50 e-121\) & Divergent & \(4.79 e-201\) & \(1.89 \mathrm{e}-317\) \\
\hline & COC & 16.5 & - & 15.9 & 16.0 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{\(f_{3}, 2.5\)} & 1 & 0.0000326 & 0.0000303 & 0.000497 & 0.0000677 \\
\hline & 2 & \(4.87 e-73\) & \(1.70 e-72\) & \(1.56 e-51\) & \(1.14 e-64\) \\
\hline & 3 & 3.11e-1158 & \(1.63 e-1148\) & \(1.42 e-811\) & \(4.52 e-1021\) \\
\hline & COC & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{\(f_{4}, 1 / 6\)} & 1 & \(2.79 e-7\) & 0.0000864 & \(1.28 e-7\) & 0.000167 \\
\hline & 2 & \(1.00 e-109\) & \(1.18 e-63\) & \(2.28 e-107\) & \(9.28 e-57\) \\
\hline & 3 & \(2.80 \mathrm{e}-1851\) & \(1.72 e-1005\) & \(2.24 e-1703\) & \(7.82 e-893\) \\
\hline & COC & 17 & 16 & 16 & 16 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{\(f_{5}, 3.0\)} & 1 & 0.0486 & 0.135 & 0.0949 & 0.0133 \\
\hline & 2 & \(1.95 e-22\) & \(1.81 e-17\) & 1.78 e - 19 & \(1.11 e-35\) \\
\hline & 3 & \(8.46 e-349\) & \(1.79 e-271\) & \(6.86 e-302\) & 2.61e-563 \\
\hline & COC & 16.0 & 16.0 & 15.9 & 16.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}=w_{n}-\left(q_{1}\right. & +q_{2}+q_{3}+q_{4} \\
& \left.+q_{5}+q_{6}+q_{7}\right) \frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)},
\end{aligned}
\]
where
\[
\begin{gather*}
t_{1}=\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad t_{2}=\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)},  \tag{10}\\
t_{3}=\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad t_{4}=\frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
t_{5}=\frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)}, \quad t_{6}=\frac{f\left(w_{n}\right)}{f\left(z_{n}\right)}, \\
q_{1}=\frac{1}{1-2\left(t_{1}+t_{1}^{2}+t_{1}^{3}+t_{1}^{4}+t_{1}^{5}+t_{1}^{6}+t_{1}^{7}\right)}, \\
q_{2}=\frac{4 t_{3}}{1-31 / 4 t_{3}}, \quad q_{3}=\frac{t_{2}}{1-t_{2}-20 t_{2}^{3}}, \\
q_{4}=\frac{8 t_{4}}{1-t_{4}}+\frac{2 t_{5}}{1-t_{5}}+\frac{t_{6}}{1-t_{6}},
\end{gather*}
\]
\[
\begin{gather*}
q_{5}=\frac{15 t_{1} t_{3}}{1-131 / 15 t_{3}}, \quad q_{6}=\frac{54 t_{1}^{2} t_{3}}{1-t_{1}^{2} t_{3}} \\
q_{7}=  \tag{9}\\
7 t_{2} t_{3}+2 t_{1} t_{6}+6 t_{6} t_{1}^{2}+188 t_{3} t_{1}^{3} \\
\\
+18 t_{6} t_{1}^{3}+9 t_{2}^{2} t_{3}+648 t_{1}^{4} t_{3}
\end{gather*}
\]

Theorem 1. Let \(f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) be a sufficiently differentiable function, and \(\alpha \in D\) is a simple root of \(f(x)=0\), for an open interval \(D\). If \(x_{0}\) is chosen sufficiently close to \(\alpha\), then the iterative scheme (9) converges to \(\alpha\) and shows an order of convergence at least equal to sixteen.

Proof. Let error at step \(n\) be denoted by \(e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha\) and \(c_{1}=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\) and \(c_{k}=(1 / k!)\left(f^{(k)}(\alpha) / f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right), k=2,3, \ldots\). We provided Maple based computer assisted proof in Algorithm 1 and got the following error equation:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& e_{n+1}=-c_{4} c_{3} c_{2}^{2}\left(c_{5} c_{3} c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{4} c_{2} c_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \quad-20 c_{3}^{4}-51 c_{3}^{3} c_{2}^{2}+522 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{4}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
& -2199 c_{3} c_{2}^{6}+2 c_{2}^{8}-30 c_{4} c_{3} c_{2}^{3} \\
& \left.+54 c_{4} c_{2}^{5}\right) e_{n}^{16}+O\left(e_{n}^{17}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{3. Numerical Results}

If the convergence order \(\eta\) is defined as
\[
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|e_{n+1}\right|}{\left|e_{n}\right|^{\eta}}=c \neq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
\]
then the following expression approximates the computational order of convergence (COC) [16] as follows:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\rho \approx \frac{\ln \left|\left(x_{n+1}-\alpha\right) /\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right)\right|}{\ln \left|\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right) /\left(x_{n-1}-\alpha\right)\right|}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(\alpha\) is the root of nonlinear equation. A set of five nonlinear equations are used for numerical computations in Table 1. Three iterations are performed to calculate the absolute error \(\left(\left|x_{n}-\alpha\right|\right)\) and computational order of convergence. Table 2 shows absolute error and computational order of convergence, respectively.

\section*{4. Conclusion}

An optimal sixteenth-order iterative scheme has been developed for solving nonlinear equations. A Maple program is provided to calculate error equation, which actually shows the optimal order of convergence in the sense of KungTraub conjecture. The computational order of convergence also verifies our claimed order of convergence. The proposed scheme uses four functions and one derivative evaluation per full cycle, which gives 1.741 as the efficiency index. We also have shown the validity of our proposed iterative scheme by comparing it with other existing optimal sixteenth-order iterative methods. The numerical results show that the performance of iterative scheme is competitive as compared to other methods.
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