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# DUALITY PROPERTIES FOR GENERALIZED FRAMES 
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#### Abstract

We introduce the concept of Riesz-dual sequences for g-frames. In this paper we show that, for any sequence of operators, we can construct a corresponding sequence of operators with a kind of duality relation between them. This construction is used to prove duality principles in g-frame theory, which can be regarded as general versions of several well-known duality principles for frames. We also derive a simple characterization of a g-Riesz basic sequence as a $g$-R-dual sequence of a g-frame in the tight case.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are separable Hilbert spaces, and $I$ denotes the countable (or finite) index set. Note that $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are sequences of closed subspaces of $\mathcal{K}$ and that $B\left(\mathcal{H}, V_{i}\right)$ denotes the collection of all bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ into $V_{i}$.

Definition 1.1. A family $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, V_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$ is a generalized frame or simply a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if there exist constants $0<C \leq$ $D<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2} \leq D\|f\|^{2}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $C$ and $D$ are called $g$-frame bounds. If only the right-hand inequality of (1.1) is required, we call it a g -Bessel sequence. We call $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ a $C$-tight

[^0]g-frame if $C=D$, and we call it a Parseval g-frame if $C=D=1$. We denote the representation space associated with a $g$-Bessel sequence $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}=\left\{\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \mid g_{i}^{\prime} \in V_{i}, \forall i \in I \text { and } \sum_{i \in I}\left\|g_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}<\infty\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The analysis operator for a g-Bessel sequence $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\Lambda}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}, \quad T_{\Lambda} f=\left\{\Lambda_{i} f\right\}_{i \in I} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its adjoint operator, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\Lambda}^{*}:\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left(\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}\right)=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the analysis operator of $\Lambda$. By composing $T_{\Lambda}$ and $T_{\Lambda}^{*}$ we obtain the g-frame operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\Lambda}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad S_{\Lambda} f=T_{\Lambda}^{*} T_{\Lambda} f=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} f, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a positive, self-adjoint, and invertible operator, and $C I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq S_{\Lambda} \leq D I_{\mathcal{H}}$. The canonical dual g-frame for $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is defined by $\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, where $\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}=\Lambda_{i} S_{\Lambda}^{-1}$, which is also a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $\frac{1}{D}$ and $\frac{1}{C}$ as its lower and upper g-frame bounds, respectively. Also we have

$$
f=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \widehat{\Lambda}_{i} f=\sum_{i \in I} \widehat{\Lambda}_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} f, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}
$$

(For more details about the theory of generalized frames, we refer the reader to the articles [14], [18], and [19]. For details about its applications, see [9] and [12]; for fusion frames, see [3].) Since almost all applications require a finite model for their numerical treatment, we restrict ourselves to a finite-dimensional space in the following examples.

Example 1.2. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{N}$, and let $V_{1}=V_{2}=\cdots=V_{N}=\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \Lambda_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \ldots, \\
\Lambda_{N} & =\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the set $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is a $g$-frame for $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A=2$ and $B=N+1$. To see this explicitly, note that, for any $f=\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2}=2\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+3\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+(N+1)\left|z_{N}\right|^{2}
$$

From this, we have

$$
2\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2} \leq(N+1)\|f\|^{2}
$$

Example 1.3. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, and let $V_{1}=V_{2}=\cdots=V_{N+1}=\mathbb{C}^{N}$. Define

$$
\Lambda_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \ldots, \quad \Lambda_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\Lambda_{N+1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus the set $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N+1}$ is a $N$-tight g-frame for $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{N}$. To see this explicitly, note that, for any $f=\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N+1} \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N+1}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2}=N\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{N+1}\right|^{2}\right)=N\|f\|^{2}
$$

Duality principles in Gabor theory such as the Ron-Shen duality principle [16] and the Wexler-Raz biorthogonality relations [20] play a fundamental role in analyzing Gabor systems. Casazza, Kutyniok, and Lammers introduced the concept of a Riesz-dual sequence ("R-dual sequence") in [4] and further considered it in [5]. In [4] Casazza et al. introduced a general approach to derive duality principles in abstract frame theory. For each sequence in a separable Hilbert space they defined an R-dual sequence dependent only on two orthonormal bases. They characterized exact properties of the first sequence in terms of the R-dual sequence, which yields duality relations for the frame setting.

Definition 1.4. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be orthonormal bases for a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and let $f=\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be any sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ for which

$$
\sum_{i \in I}\left|\left\langle f_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}<\infty \quad \forall j \in I
$$

Then the R-dual sequence of $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ as the sequence $\left\{w_{j}^{f}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j}^{f}=\sum_{i \in I}\left\langle f_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle h_{i}, \quad \forall j \in I . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a symmetric relation between the sequences $\left\{w_{j}^{f}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ which is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=\sum_{j \in I}\left\langle w_{j}^{f}, h_{i}\right\rangle e_{j}, \quad \forall i \in I \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, this shows that $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is the R-dual sequence for $\left\{w_{j}^{f}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with respect to $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. (We refer the reader to the articles [7], [8], [13], [17], and [21] for an introduction to the theory and applications of R-dual sequences.)

The structure of this paper is as follows. In the rest of this section we will briefly review the necessary parts from g-bases, g-orthonormal bases, and g-Riesz bases (for more information, see [1], [2], [6], [10], and [11]). Then we define the generalized R -dual sequence (" g -R-dual sequence") from a g-Bessel sequence with respect to a pair of g -orthonormal bases as a generalization of an R -dual sequence. We characterize the extent to which the g-R-dual sequence depends upon the chosen g-orthonormal bases. In Section 2, we obtain the g-frame conditions for a sequence of operators and its g-R-dual sequence. In Section 3, we characterize those pairs of g -frames and their g -R-dual sequences which are equivalent (unitarily equivalent). Finally, Section 4 deals with duality properties for g-frames by g-R-dual sequences; in it, we study properties of dual g-frames and canonical dual g -frames.

Definition 1.5. Let $\left\{\Xi_{i} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{i}\right) \mid i \in I\right\}$ be a sequence of operators. Then
(i) $\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-complete set for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if $\mathcal{H}=$ $\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Xi_{i}^{*}\left(W_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$;
(ii) $\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if $\Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}=$ $\delta_{i j} I_{W_{j}}$ for all $i, j \in I$;
(iii) a g-complete and g-orthonormal system $\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is called a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.

The following well-known characterization of g-orthonormal bases is sometimes more useful (see [2]).

Lemma 1.6. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\Xi$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$,
(ii) $\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{i}^{*} \Xi_{i}=I_{\mathcal{H}}$,
(iii) $\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Xi_{i} f\right\|^{2} \forall f \in \mathcal{H}$,
(iv) if $\Xi_{i} f=0$ for all $i \in I$, then $f=0$.

Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. If $f=\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{i}^{*} g_{i}$, then the coordinate representation of $f \in \mathcal{H}$ relative to the
g-orthonormal basis $\Xi$ is $[f]_{\Xi}=\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. In this case $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \in\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$, and $\|f\|=\left\|[f]_{\Xi}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}$.

Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Xi^{\prime}=\left\{\Xi_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be g-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. The transition matrix from $\Xi$ to $\Xi^{\prime}$ is the matrix $B=\left[B_{i j}\right]$ whose $(i, j)$-entry is $B_{i j}=\Xi_{i}^{\prime} \Xi_{j}^{*}$ for all $i, j \in I$. Then we have $B[f]_{\Xi}=[f]_{\Xi^{\prime}}$, where $[f]_{\Xi}$ is the coordinate representation of an arbitrary vector $f \in \mathcal{H}$ in the basis $\Xi$ and similarly for $\Xi^{\prime}$. The transition matrix from $\Xi^{\prime}$ to $\Xi$ is $B^{-1}=B^{*}$. Thus, if $B^{*}=\left[B_{i j}^{*}\right]$, then $B_{i j}^{*}=\left(B_{j i}\right)^{*}=\Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}$ for all $i, j \in I$.

Example 1.7. Let $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{j} & =\operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{2 j-1}+e_{2 j}\right\} \quad \text { and } \\
\Xi_{j} f & =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle f, e_{2 j-1}+e_{2 j}\right\rangle\left(e_{2 j-1}+e_{2 j}\right) \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct calculation shows that $\left\|\Xi_{j}\right\|=1$ and that $\Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\delta_{i j} g_{j}$ for all $1 \leq$ $i, j \leq n$ and that $g_{j} \in W_{j}$. Since $\left\langle e_{1}-e_{2}, e_{2 j-1}+e_{2 j}\right\rangle=0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{H} \neq \overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Xi_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$. Thus $\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, but it is not a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$.
Example 1.8. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, and let $\left\{e_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{N+1}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$. Define

$$
W_{j}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{N+1} e_{k}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad \Xi_{j}\left(\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N+1}\right)=\frac{c_{j}}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{N+1} e_{k}
$$

Then $\Xi_{j}^{*}\left(\lambda \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{N+1} e_{k}\right)=\sqrt{N} \lambda e_{j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N+1$. This shows that

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Xi_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{N+1}=\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N+1}=\mathcal{H} \quad \text { and that } \quad \Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\delta_{i j} .
$$

Hence $\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a $g$-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N+1}$.
Example 1.9. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2 N}$, and let $W_{1}=W_{2}=\cdots=W_{N}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Define

$$
\Xi_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \ldots, \quad \Xi_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

A direct calculation shows that $\left\|\Xi_{k}\right\|=1$ and that $\Xi_{k} \Xi_{\ell}^{*}=\delta_{k \ell}$ for any $1 \leq k, \ell \leq$ $N$. We also have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|\Xi_{k} f\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\left|z_{2 k-1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2 k}\right|^{2}\right)=\|f\|^{2}, \quad \forall f=\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2 N} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 N}
$$

Thus $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2 N}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Similarly, the sequence $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ defined by

$$
\Psi_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \ldots, \quad \Psi_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is also a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2 N}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and the matrix

$$
B=\left[\Psi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}\right]_{N \times N}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A & & \overline{0} \\
& \ddots & \\
\overline{0} & & A
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { where } A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

is the transition matrix from $\Xi$ to $\Psi$. Hence, for any $f \in \mathbb{C}^{2 N}$, we have $B[f]_{\Xi}=$ $[f]_{\Psi}$.

Definition 1.10. A sequence $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{j}\right) \mid j \in I\right\}$ is called a g-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ if $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-complete set for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and there exist constants $0<A \leq B<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sum_{j \in I}\left\|g_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq B \sum_{j \in I}\left\|g_{j}\right\|^{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sequences $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in I} \in\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$. We define the g -Riesz basis bounds for $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ to be the largest number $A$ and the smallest number $B$ such that this inequality (1.8) holds. If $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-Riesz basis only for $\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$, then we call it is a g-Riesz basic sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$.

The following result is a characterization of g -Riesz bases for $\mathcal{H}$ (for a proof of this standard result, see, e.g., [1, Theorem 3.17]).
Lemma 1.11. Let $\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. Then the following hold.
(i) Here $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{j}\right) \mid j \in I\right\}$ is a $g$-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ if and only if there exists a bounded bijective operator $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $\Gamma_{j}=\Xi_{j} U^{*}$ for all $j \in I$.
(ii) Assume that $\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}=\mathcal{H}$ and that $\left\|\sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j \in I}\left\|g_{j}\right\|^{2}$, for all sequences $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in I} \in\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$. Then $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a $g$ orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
Example 1.12. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$, and let $W_{1}=W_{2}=\cdots=W_{2 n}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Define

$$
\Gamma_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \ldots, \quad \Gamma_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 2 n-1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 2 n
\end{array}\right] .
$$

If $g_{i}=\left(z_{2 i-1}, z_{2 i}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, then we have $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{*} g_{i}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} i^{2}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}$. Thus $\left\{\Gamma_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a $g$-Riesz basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with $g$-Riesz bounds 1 and $4 n^{2}$. Moreover, we can write $\left\{\Gamma_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}=\left\{\Xi_{i} U^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, where $U$ is a bounded bijective operator defined by

$$
U=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 2 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 2 n
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is the g-orthonormal basis defined in Example 1.9.

A g-R-dual sequence is a natural generalization of an R -dual sequence which provides a powerful tool in the analysis of duality relations in general g-frame theory. In the following, we define the generalized Riesz-dual sequence from a sequence of operators.

Definition 1.13. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be g-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow V_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ be such that the series $\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}$ is convergent for all $\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \in\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow W_{j}, \quad \Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}=\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i}, \quad \forall j \in I \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is the g -R-dual sequence for the sequence $\Lambda$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$.
The hypothesis that the series $\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}$ is convergent for all $\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \in$ $\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ is always fulfilled if the sequence $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-Bessel sequence with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
Example 1.14. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2 N}$ and $\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N},\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be the g-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ as defined in Example 1.9. Define

$$
\Lambda_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \ldots, \quad \Lambda_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with g-Bessel bound $B=3$. The g-R-dual sequence for the sequence $\Lambda$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\Gamma_{1}^{\Lambda}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \ldots, \quad \Gamma_{N}^{\Lambda}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is also a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with g-Bessel bound $B=3$.

Now we need an algorithm to invert the process and to calculate $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ from the sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$.
Theorem 1.15. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be $g$-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then, for all $i \in I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{i}=\sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} \Xi_{j} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, this shows that $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is the $g$-R-dual sequence for $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with respect to $(\Psi, \Xi)$.
Proof. The definition of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ implies that, for every $i, j \in I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} & =\Psi_{i}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\right)^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{i} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \delta_{i k} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}$. Now, by Lemma 1.6, we have

$$
\Lambda_{i}=\Lambda_{i} I_{\mathcal{H}}=\Lambda_{i}\left(\sum_{j \in I} \Xi_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j}\right)=\sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j}=\sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} \Xi_{j} .
$$

In the following, we will characterize the extent to which the $g$ - R -dual sequence of a g-Bessel sequence depends upon the chosen $g$-orthonormal bases.
Definition 1.16. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, and let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then the matrix $A=\left[A_{i j}\right]$ whose $(i, j)$-entry is $A_{i j}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}$ for all $i, j \in I$ is called the analysis matrix for $\Lambda$ with respect to $\Xi$. A direct calculation shows that, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $A[f]_{\Xi}=T_{\Lambda} f$, and $A^{*} A=S_{\Lambda}$.

The following result is a generalization of [4, Proposition 3] to g-frames concerning the dependence of the g-R-dual sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in J}$ in choosing the g orthonormal bases $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.

Theorem 1.17. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}, \Xi^{\prime}=\left\{\Xi_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}, \Psi^{\prime}=\left\{\psi_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be g-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, and let $\Lambda=$ $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a $g$-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Denote the analysis matrix for $\Lambda$ with respect to $\Xi$ by $A$ and the $g$ - $R$-dual sequences of $\Lambda$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$ and $\left(\Xi^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)$ by $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in J},\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right\}_{j \in J}$, respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}=\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}$ for all $j \in I$,
(ii) if $B$ and $C$ are the transition matrices from $\Xi$ to $\Xi^{\prime}$ and $\Psi$ to $\Psi^{\prime}$, respectively, then $A B^{*}=C A$.

Proof. Let $B=\left[B_{i j}\right]$, and let $C=\left[C_{i j}\right]$. By the definition of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in J},\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right\}_{j \in J}$ for every $i, j \in I$, we have $\Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}$ and $\Psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[A B^{*}\right]_{i j} } & =\sum_{k \in I} A_{i k} B_{k j}^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \Lambda_{i} \Xi_{k}^{*} \Xi_{k} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}=\Lambda_{i}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{k}^{*} \Xi_{k}\right) \Xi_{j}^{\prime *} \\
& =\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}=\Psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[C A]_{i j} } & =\sum_{k \in I} C_{i k} A_{k j}=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{i}^{\prime} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{i}^{\prime} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} \\
& =\Psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\right)\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}=\Psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

and from this the claim follows immediately.
Corollary 1.18. In addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 1.17, if $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $g$-frame operator $S_{\Lambda}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}=$ $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$, then $A^{*} C^{*} A S_{\Lambda}^{-1} B^{*}=I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix.
Proof. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g -frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Definition 1.16 implies that $S_{\Lambda}^{-1} A^{*} A=I$. Thus, if $\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}=\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}$ for all $j \in I$, then by Theorem 1.17,
$A B^{*}=C A$. This implies that $B^{*}=S_{\Lambda}^{-1} A^{*} C A$; however, $B$ has to be unitary, which yields $A^{*} C^{*} A S_{\Lambda}^{-1} B^{*}=I$.

## 2. Existence of g-frame bounds

In this section, we characterize all sequences with lower g-frame bounds, and we obtain the g -frame conditions for a sequence of operators and its g -R-dual sequence. Recall that a family $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if it is a g-frame for $\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(V_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. The next result gives a characterization of g-frame sequences which keeps the information about the g -frame bounds.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, V_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a $g$-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A$ and $B$,
(ii) the synthesis operator $T_{\Lambda}^{*}$ is well defined on $\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ such that

$$
A\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*} g^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq B\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}, \quad \forall g^{\prime} \in\left(\operatorname{ker}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Proof. We note that, if $f \in \overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(V_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in I}^{\perp}$, then $\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2}=\left\langle f, \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} f\right\rangle=0$ for all $i \in I$. This implies that the upper g-frame sequence condition with bound $B$ is equivalent to the right-hand inequality in (ii). We therefore assume that $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, and we prove the equivalence of the lower g -frame sequence condition with the left-hand inequality in (ii). First, assume that $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ satisfies the lower g -frame sequence condition with bound $A$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}$ is closed because $\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}}$ is closed. Hence $\left(\operatorname{ker}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}\right)^{\perp}=\overline{\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}}}=\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}}$; that is, $\left(\operatorname{ker}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}\right)^{\perp}=\left\{T_{\Lambda} f: f \in \mathcal{H}\right\}$. Now, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\Lambda} f\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{4} & =\left|\left\langle T_{\Lambda}^{*} T_{\Lambda} f, f\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\left|\left\langle S_{\Lambda} f, f\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq\left\|S_{\Lambda} f\right\|^{2}\|f\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{A}\left\|S_{\Lambda} f\right\|^{2} \sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{A}\left\|S_{\Lambda} f\right\|^{2}\left\|T_{\Lambda} f\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
A\left\|T_{\Lambda} f\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|S_{\Lambda} f\right\|^{2}=\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left(T_{\Lambda} f\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

as desired. For the other implication, assume that the left-hand inequality in (ii) is satisfied. We prove that $\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}$ is closed. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}$, and let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f$ for some $f \in \mathcal{H}$. There exists a sequence $\left\{g_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset\left(\operatorname{ker}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}\right)^{\perp}$ such that $T_{\Lambda}^{*} g_{n}^{\prime}=f_{n}$. Now (ii) implies that $\left\{g_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore $\left\{g_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to some $g^{\prime} \in\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$, which by continuity of $T_{\Lambda}^{*}$ satisfies $T_{\Lambda}^{*} g^{\prime}=f$. Thus $\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}$ is closed. If we let $\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger}$ denote the pseudoinverse of $T_{\Lambda}^{*}$, then we have $T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger} T_{\Lambda}^{*}=$ $T_{\Lambda}^{*}$, and the operator $\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger} T_{\Lambda}^{*}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\left(\operatorname{ker}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}\right)^{\perp}$, and the operator $T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}$. Thus, for any $g^{\prime} \in$ $\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$, the inequality (ii) implies that

$$
A\left\|\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger} T_{\Lambda}^{*} g^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger} T_{\Lambda}^{*} g^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*} g^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

Since $\operatorname{ker}_{\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger}}=\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}^{\perp}$, then $\left\|\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger}\right\|^{2} \leq A^{-1}$; however, $T_{\Lambda}^{\dagger} T_{\Lambda}$ is the orthogonal projection onto

$$
\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{\dagger}}=\left(\operatorname{ker}_{\left(T_{\Lambda}^{\dagger}\right)^{*}}\right)^{\perp}=\left(\operatorname{ker}_{\left(T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\dagger}}\right)^{\perp}=\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}},
$$

and thus, for all $f \in \overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(V_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in I}=\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}^{*}}$, we obtain

$$
\|f\|^{2}=\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{\dagger} T_{\Lambda} f\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{A}\left\|T_{\Lambda} f\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{A} \sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2}
$$

This shows that $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ satisfies in the lower g-frame sequence condition with bound $A$ as desired.

The next result shows a basic connection between a sequence of operators and its g-R-dual sequence.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a $g$-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then for every $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in I} \in\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}},\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \in\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ satisfying $f=$ $\sum_{j \in I} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}$ and $h=\sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j \in I}\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda} h\right\|^{2} .
$$

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\sum_{j \in I}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} f, \sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} f\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} f, \Psi_{i} \Psi_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} f\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} f, \delta_{i j} \Lambda_{j} f\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the second claim follows from Theorem 1.15.
Corollary 2.3. If we let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, then

$$
\left\|T_{\Gamma^{\Lambda}}^{*}\left([f]_{\Xi}\right)\right\|=\left\|T_{\Lambda} f\right\|_{\ell^{2}}, \quad\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right)\right\|=\left\|T_{\Gamma^{\wedge}} f\right\|_{\ell^{2}}
$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.2.
There exists an interesting relation between the synthesis operator of $\Lambda=$ $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and the span of $\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$, which will turn out to be very useful in the sequel.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $g$ - $R$-dual sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $f \in\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ if and only if $[f]_{\Psi} \in \operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}$.
(ii) $f \in\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Lambda_{j}^{*}\left(V_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ if and only if $[f]_{\Xi} \in \operatorname{ker} T_{\Gamma^{\lambda}}^{*}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. First, for each $j \in J$ and $g_{j} \in W_{j}$, we observe that

$$
\left\langle f,\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in J}\left\langle f, \Psi_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} f, \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right), \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle
$$

Since $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, then $\left\langle T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right), \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=0$ for all $j \in I$, and $g_{j} \in W_{j}$ if and only if $T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right)=0$. Thus $f \in\left(\operatorname{Span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ is equivalent to $[f]_{\Psi} \in \operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}$. Similarly, the second claim follows from Theorem 1.15.

Corollary 2.5. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $g$ - $R$-dual sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp} & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}, \quad \text { and } \\
\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Lambda_{j}^{*}\left(V_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp} & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T_{\Gamma^{\Lambda}}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the Theorem 2.4.
The next result shows a kind of equilibrium between a sequence of operators and its R-dual sequence. It can be viewed as a general version of [4, Proposition 13].

Corollary 2.6. The following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a $g$-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A, B$.
(ii) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a $g$-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A, B$.
(iii) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a $g$-Riesz basic sequence with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A, B$.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 conclude that $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g -frame sequence with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with g-frame bounds $A, B$ if and only if

$$
A\left\|[f]_{\Psi}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq B\left\|[f]_{\Psi}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}
$$

for all $f \in \overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$. Now, Corollary 2.3 implies that

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leq\left\|T_{\Gamma^{\Lambda}} f\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} .
$$

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) This equivalence follows immediately from Theorem 2.2.

The dimension condition in Corollary 2.5 will play a crucial role for the g-R-dual sequence. Using Corollary 2.5 we can derive a simple characterization of an g-Riesz basic sequence being a g -R-dual sequence of a g -frame in the tight case.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a $A$-tight $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, and let $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be an A-tight $g$-Riesz basic sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. Then $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a $g$-R-dual sequence of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The necessity of the condition in (2.1) follows from Corollary 2.5. Now assume that (2.1) holds. Then, according to Lemma 1.11, the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g -orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. Suppose that $\Xi=$ $\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are g-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. Consider the g-R-dual $\left\{\Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ of $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$ (i.e., $\Theta_{j}=\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i}, j \in I$ ). By Corollary 2.6, $\left\{\Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is an $A$-tight g-Riesz basic sequence with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$; hence $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is also a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. By Corollary 2.5 and (2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Theta_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Theta_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\{0\}$, the g-orthonormality of the sequences $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Theta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Gamma_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ implies that there exists unitary operator

$$
U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \text { by } \Gamma_{j}=\Theta_{j} U^{*}, \quad \forall j \in I
$$

In case $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Theta_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp} \neq\{0\}$, if we let $\left\{\Phi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be gorthonormal bases for

$$
\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Theta_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}
$$

respectively, with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, then (2.2) implies that there exists unitary operator

$$
U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \text { by } \Gamma_{j}=\Theta_{j} U^{*}, \quad \Omega_{j}=\Phi_{j} U^{*} \quad \forall j \in I
$$

In both cases, we have

$$
\Gamma_{j}=\Theta_{j} U^{*}=\left(\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i}\right) U^{*}=\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} U^{*}, \quad \forall j \in I
$$

which shows that $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g -R-dual sequence of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Psi_{i} U^{*}\right\}_{i \in I}$.

## 3. Characterizations of equivalence by the G-R-dual sequence

In this section we characterize those pairs of $g$-frames which are equivalent (unitarily equivalent) by their g-R-dual sequences.

Definition 3.1. Two sequences $\left\{\Gamma_{j} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{i}\right) \mid j \in I\right\}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{i}\right) \mid\right.$ $j \in I\}$ are regarded as unitarily equivalent in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ if there is a unitary $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $T \Gamma_{j}^{*}=\Gamma_{j}^{* *}$ for all $j \in I$. We will say that they are equivalent if there is a bounded linear invertible operator $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $T \Gamma_{j}^{*}=\Gamma_{j}^{* *}$ for all $j \in I$.

The following result is about different types of equivalence of g-frames, which is taken from [15, Proposition 4.2]. This result will then be employed in several proofs thereafter.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}=\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be Parseval $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. Then $\Lambda$ is unitarily equivalent to $\Lambda^{\prime}$ if and only if the analysis operators $T_{\Lambda}$ and $T_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$ have the same range. Likewise, two $g$-frames with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are equivalent if and only if their analysis operators have the same range.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then
(i) $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}=\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I},
$$

(ii) $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if $S_{\Gamma^{\Lambda}}=S_{\Gamma^{\Lambda^{\prime}}}$,
(iii) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ if and only if $S_{\Lambda}=S_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 3.2, $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are equivalent in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda}}=\mathcal{R}_{T_{\Lambda^{\prime}}}$; hence $\operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}=\operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda^{\prime}}^{*}$. Now the claim follows from Theorem 2.4.
(ii) Using Propositions 2.1 and $3.2,\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if

$$
\left\|\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{\prime *} g_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \in\left(\operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\perp}
$$

By Theorem 2.2, this is in turn equivalent to

$$
\left\langle S_{\Gamma^{\Lambda}} f, f\right\rangle=\sum_{j \in I}\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda} f\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j \in I}\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda^{\prime}} f\right\|^{2}=\left\langle S_{\Gamma^{\Lambda^{\prime}}} f, f\right\rangle
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g_{i}^{\prime}=\Psi_{i} f(i \in I)$. It follows that $S_{\Gamma^{\Lambda}}=S_{\Gamma^{\Lambda^{\prime}}}$, as required.
(iii) The proof follows immediately from (ii) and Theorem 1.15.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then let

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}=\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I},
$$

where $\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is the canonical dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
Proof. Since $\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, this claim follows from Theorem 3.3.

To have a better understanding of the different types of equivalence of the g-R-dual sequences, we prove the following characterization result.
Theorem 3.5. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}, \Xi^{\prime}=\left\{\Xi_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}, \Psi^{\prime}=\left\{\psi_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be $g$-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, and let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a $g$-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Denote the analysis matrix for $\Lambda$ with respect to $\Xi$ by $A$ and the $g$ - $R$-dual sequences of $\Lambda$ with respect $(\Xi, \Psi)$ and $\left(\Xi^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)$ by $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in J},\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right\}_{j \in J}$, respectively. If $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, then the following statements hold.
(i) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ if and only if $\operatorname{ker}(A)=\operatorname{ker}\left(A B^{*}\right)$.
(ii) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, if and only if

$$
A^{*} A=\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)
$$

Moreover, if $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $g$-frame operator $S_{\Lambda}$, then the above is equivalent to $S_{\Lambda}=B S_{\Lambda} B^{*}$.

Proof. (i) Let $g=\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in I} \in\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ be arbitrary. First we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j} & =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} \Lambda_{k}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{i}^{*} \Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{i}^{*} \Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} A_{k i} B_{i j}^{*} g_{j} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *}\left(\sum_{j \in I}\left[A B^{*}\right]_{k j} g_{j}\right)=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *}\left(A B^{*} g\right)_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
A B^{*} g=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}=0
$$

Next we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j} & =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*} A_{k j} g_{j} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*}(A g)_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
A g=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}=0
$$

Now $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ if and only if there exists a bounded linear invertible operator $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $T\left(\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right)=\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}$ for all $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in I} \in\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$. From this the claim follows immediately.
(ii) First, we prove that $\left[A^{*} A\right]_{i j}=\Gamma_{i}^{\Lambda}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}$ and that $\left[\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)\right]_{i j}=$ $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime \Lambda}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*}$. To see this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{i}^{\Lambda}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} & =\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\right)\left(\sum_{m \in I} \Psi_{m}^{*} \Lambda_{m} \Xi_{j}^{*}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{m \in I} \delta_{k m} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{m} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} A_{i k}^{*} A_{k j}=\left[A^{*} A\right]_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{i}^{\prime \Lambda}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} & =\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{m \in I} \Psi_{m}^{\prime *} \Lambda_{m} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{m \in I} \delta_{k m} \Xi_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{m} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}=\sum_{k \in I}\left(\Lambda_{k} \Xi_{i}^{\prime *}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I}\left(\sum_{n \in I} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{n}^{*} \Xi_{n} \Xi_{i}^{\prime *}\right)^{*}\left(\sum_{m \in I} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{m}^{*} \Xi_{m} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I}\left(\sum_{n \in I} A_{k n} B_{n i}^{*}\right)^{*}\left(\sum_{m \in I} A_{k m} B_{m j}^{*}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I}\left(A B^{*}\right)_{i k}^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)_{k j}=\left[\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)\right]_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $A^{*} A=\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)$. Define the operator $T$ as follows:

$$
T: \operatorname{Span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad T\left(\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right)=\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}
$$

for all finite sequences $\left\{g_{j}: g_{j} \in W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$. If we let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$ as $f_{1}=\sum_{j \in J_{1}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{1 j}$ and we let $f_{2}=\sum_{j \in J_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{2 j}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T f_{1}, T f_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{j \in J_{1}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{1 j}, \sum_{k \in J_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{2 k}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in J_{1}} \sum_{k \in J_{2}}\left\langle\Gamma_{k}^{\prime \Lambda}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{1 j}, g_{2 k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{j \in J_{1}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{1 j}, \sum_{k \in J_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{2 k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the $g$-completeness of $\Gamma$ for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ implies that $T$ has an extension isometry on $\mathcal{H}$ and that $T$ is surjective. This makes sense because if $f \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$, then we can write

$$
f=\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}=T\left(\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right)
$$

for some finite sequence $\left\{g_{j}: g_{j} \in W_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is g-complete for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, then by the continuity of $T$ it follows that $T$ is surjective on $\mathcal{H}$ and that $T\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}=\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*}$ for all $j \in I$. This shows that $\Gamma$ is unitarily equivalent to $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. The converse implication is obvious. Finally, if $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, then we have $A^{*} A=S_{\Lambda}$. Thus

$$
S_{\Lambda}=A^{*} A=\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)=B A^{*} A B^{*}=B S_{\Lambda} B^{*}
$$

## 4. Duality properties of the g-R-Dual sequence

In this section we characterize all properties of a g-Bessel sequence in terms of properties of their g-R-dual sequence. We will study properties of dual g-frames and canonical dual $g$-frames. This is a general version of the duality principle for g -frames which follows from the duality relations in [4].

The next result gives an explicit form for g-R-dual sequences of the canonical dual g-frame.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a dual g-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if $g$ - $R$-dual sequences $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are $g$-biorthogonal; that is,

$$
\Gamma_{i}^{\Lambda}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\Gamma_{i}^{\Omega}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\delta_{i j} g_{j}, \quad \forall i, j \in I, g_{j} \in W_{j}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a dual g-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. By definition of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$, for every $i, j \in I$ and $g_{j} \in W_{j}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{i}^{\Lambda}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right)^{*} g_{j} & =\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\left(\sum_{m \in I} \Xi_{j} \Omega_{m}^{*} \Psi_{m}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{m \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k} \Psi_{m}^{*} \Omega_{m} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Omega_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\Xi_{i}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Omega_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right)=\Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\delta_{i j} g_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The converse implication follows from Theorem 1.15.
Corollary 4.2. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with canonical dual g-frame denoted by $\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then the $g$ - $R$-dual sequences $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are g-biorthogonal, that is,

$$
\Gamma_{i}^{\Lambda}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\Gamma_{i}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\delta_{i j} g_{j}
$$

for all $i, j \in I$ and $g_{j} \in W_{j}$. Thus $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is the dual $g$-Riesz basic sequence of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$.

The next result is a characterization of tight g-frames in terms of their g-R-dual sequences.

Corollary 4.3. We have that $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is an $A$-tight $g$-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if $g$ - $R$-dual sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. Thus the sequence $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a Parseval $g$-frame if and only if its $g$ - $R$-dual sequence is an orthonormal system.

Proof. This follows immediately from the Lemma 1.11, Corollary 2.6, and Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if there exists a $g$-Bessel sequence $\left\{\Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ for $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ such that $\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}=\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}+\Theta_{j}$ for all $j \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a dual g-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\Omega}-\Gamma_{i}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*} g_{i},\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle & =\left\langle g_{i},\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\Omega}-\Gamma_{i}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right)\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle g_{i}, \Gamma_{i}^{\Omega}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle g_{i}, \Gamma_{i}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle g_{i}, \delta_{i j} g_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle g_{i}, \delta_{i j} g_{j}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i, j \in I$ and $g_{i} \in W_{i}, g_{j} \in W_{j}$. Thus Definition 1.13 implies that $\Theta_{j}=$ $\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}-\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}$ is a g-Bessel sequence for $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}=\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}+\Theta_{j}$. Now for the opposite implication, suppose that there exists a g-Bessel sequence $\left\{\Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ for $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ such that $\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}=\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\Lambda}}+\Theta_{j}$ for all $j \in I$. By Theorem 1.15, we have

$$
\Omega_{i}=\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}+\sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{i}\left(\Theta_{j}\right)^{*} \Xi_{j} \quad \text { for all } i \in I
$$

Hence, for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Omega_{i} f & =\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}+\sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{i} \Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j}\right) f \\
& =\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \widehat{\Lambda}_{i} f+\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} \Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j} f \\
& =f+\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} \Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j} f
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j} f \in\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ for all $j \in I$. Theorem 2.4 implies that

$$
\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} \Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j} f=0
$$

This proves that $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a dual g-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
Among the dual g-frames the canonical dual g-frame is distinguished by the following properties.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a $g$-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with canonical dual g-frame denoted by $\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, and let $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right\| \leq\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right\| \quad \text { for all } j \in I
$$

with equality if and only if $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}=\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$.
Proof. By Theorem 4.4, $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a dual g-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if $\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}=$ $\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}+\Theta_{j}$, where $\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*} g \in \overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$, and $\Theta_{j}^{*} g \in\left(\overline{\operatorname{Span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$
for all $j \in I, g \in W_{j}$; hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right)^{*}\right\|^{2}=\sup _{\|g\|=1}\left\|\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right)^{*} g\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sup _{\|g\|=1}\left\|\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*} g\right\|^{2}+\sup _{\|g\|=1}\left\|\Theta_{j}^{*} g\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta_{j}^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta_{j}\right\|^{2} \geq\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality if and only if $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}=\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$.
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