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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of approximating sums of high dimensional stationary time series by Gaussian vectors, using the framework of functional dependence measure. The validity of the Gaussian approximation depends on the sample size $n$, the dimension $p$, the moment condition and the dependence of the underlying processes. We also consider an estimator for long-run covariance matrices and study its convergence properties. Our results allow constructing simultaneous confidence intervals for mean vectors of high-dimensional time series with asymptotically correct coverage probabilities. As an application, we propose a Kolmogorov-Smirnov-type statistic for testing distributions of high-dimensional time series.


1. Introduction. During the past decade, there has been a significant development on high-dimensional data analysis with applications in many fields. In this paper, we shall consider simultaneous inference for mean vectors of highdimensional stationary processes, so that one can perform family-wise multiple testing or construct simultaneous confidence intervals, an important problem in the analysis of spatial-temporal processes. To fix the idea, let ( $X_{i}$ ) be a stationary process in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ with mean $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p}\right)^{\top}$ and finite second moment in the sense that $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i}^{\top} X_{i}\right)<\infty$. In the scalar case in which $p=1$ or when $p$ is fixed, under suitable weak dependence conditions, we can have the central limit theorem (CLT):

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\mu\right) \Rightarrow N(0, \Sigma), \quad \text { where } \Sigma=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(X_{0}-\mu\right)\left(X_{k}-\mu\right)^{\top}\right)
$$

See, for example, $[4,14,20,37,44]$ among others. In the high dimension case in which $p$ can also diverge to infinity, [33] showed that the central limit theorem can fail for i.i.d. random vectors if $\sqrt{n}=o(p)$. In this paper, we shall consider an alternative form: Gaussian approximation for the largest entry of the sample mean vector $\bar{X}_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$. For a vector $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}\right)^{\top}$, let $|v|_{\infty}=\max _{j \leq p}\left|v_{j}\right|$. Specifically, our primary goal is to establish the Gaussian Approximation (GA)

[^0]in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \geq 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|Z_{j}\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where both $n, p \rightarrow \infty$. Here, the Gaussian vector $Z=\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{p}\right)^{\top} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$. Chernozhukov, Chetverikov and Kato [10] studied the Gaussian approximation for independent random vectors. There has been limited research on high-dimensional inference under dependence. The associated statistical inference becomes considerably more challenging since the autocovariances with all lags should be considered. Zhang and Cheng [49] extended the Gaussian approximation in [10] to very weakly dependent random vectors which satisfy a uniform geometric moment contraction condition. The latter condition is also adopted in [8] for self-normalized sums. Chernozhukov, Chetverikov and Kato [11] did a similar extension to strong mixing random vectors. Here, we shall establish (1.1) for a wide class of highdimensional stationary process under suitable conditions on the magnitudes of $p$, $n$ and the mild dependence conditions on the process ( $X_{i}$ ).

In Section 2, we shall introduce the framework of high-dimensional time series and some concepts about functional dependence measures that are useful for establishing an asymptotic theory. The main result for Gaussian approximation of the normalized mean vector and the choice of the normalization matrix is presented in Section 3. Depending on the moment and the dependence conditions, both high dimension and ultra high dimension cases are discussed. In Section 3.1, we apply our Gaussian approximation result to simultaneous inference of entries of sample covariance matrices of high-dimensional time series. In Section 4, we shall develop a Kolmogorov-Smirnov-type statistic for testing distributions of high-dimensional time series.

To perform statistical inference based on (1.1), one needs to estimate the longrun covariance matrix $\Sigma$. The latter problem has been extensively studied in the scalar and the low-dimensional case; see [1, 5, 23, 30, 32], among others. In Section 5, we study the batched-mean estimate of long-run covariance matrices and derive a large deviation result about quadratic forms of stationary processes. The latter tail probability inequalities allow dependent and/or non-sub-Gaussian processes under mild conditions, which are expected to be useful in other highdimensional inference problems for dependent vectors. The consistency of the batched-mean estimate ensures the validity of the quantile estimates of $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$ norms of sample means; see Section 5.1.

We provide in Section 6 some sharp inequalities for tail probabilities for high dimensional dependent processes in the polynomial tail case. The readers are referred to Appendix (supplementary material [48])C for the tail probability inequalities in the one-dimensional case under finite polynomial moment and exponential moment conditions, respectively. Part of the proofs are relegated to Section 7. Appendix D includes a simulation study.

We now introduce some notation. For a random variable $X$ and $q>0$, we write $X \in \mathcal{L}^{q}$ if $\|X\|_{q}:=\left(\mathbb{E}\left|X_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty$, and for a vector $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}\right)^{\top}$, let the norm- $s$ length $|v|_{s}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|v_{j}\right|^{s}\right)^{1 / s}, s \geq 1$. Write the $p \times p$ identity matrix as $\operatorname{Id}_{p}$. For two real numbers, set $x \vee y=\max (x, y)$ and $x \wedge y=\min (x, y)$. For two sequences of positive numbers $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and ( $b_{n}$ ), we write $a_{n} \asymp b_{n}$ (resp., $a_{n} \lesssim$ $b_{n}$ or $a_{n} \ll b_{n}$ ) if there exists some constant $C>0$ such that $C^{-1} \leq a_{n} / b_{n} \leq C$ (resp., $a_{n} / b_{n} \leq C$ or $a_{n} / b_{n} \rightarrow 0$ ) for all large $n$. We use $C, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ to denote positive constants whose values may differ from place to place. A constant with a symbolic subscript is used to emphasize the dependence of the value on the subscript. Throughout the paper, we assume $p=p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
2. High-dimensional time series. Let $\varepsilon_{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, be i.i.d. random elements and $\mathcal{F}^{i}=\left(\ldots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, \varepsilon_{i}\right)$; let $\left(X_{i}\right)$ be a stationary process taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ that assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=\left(X_{i 1}, X_{i 2}, \ldots, X_{i p}\right)^{\top}=G\left(\mathcal{F}^{i}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(\cdot)=\left(g_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, g_{p}(\cdot)\right)^{\top}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{p}$-valued measurable function such that $X_{i}$ is well-defined. In the scalar case with $p=1,(2.1)$ allows a very general class of stationary processes (cf. [35, 38, 40, 41, 43-45]). It includes linear processes as well as a large class of nonlinear time series models. For example, if $\varepsilon_{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, are i.i.d. $d$-dimensional random vectors with mean 0 and $\mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{\top} \varepsilon_{i}\right)<\infty$, and $A_{i}, i \geq 0$, are $p \times d$ coefficient matrices with real entries such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{i}^{\top} A_{i}\right)<\infty$, where $\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ denotes the trace of a matrix. Then by Kolmogorov's three-series theorem, the linear process

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{l} \varepsilon_{i-l} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists, and it is of form (2.1) with a linear functional $G$. In particular, the vector $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ process $X_{i}=A X_{i-1}+\varepsilon_{i}$ has form (2.2) with $A_{l}=A^{l}$ if $\max _{j \leq p}\left|\lambda_{j}(A)\right|<$ 1 , where $A$ is a coefficient matrix and $\lambda_{1}(A), \ldots, \lambda_{p}(A)$ are eigenvalues of $A$. Within this framework, $\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ can be viewed as independent inputs of a physical system and all the dependencies among the outputs $\left(X_{i}\right)$ result from the underlying data-generating mechanism $G(\cdot)$. The function $g_{j}(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq p$, is the $j$ th coordinate projection of $G(\cdot)$. Unless otherwise specified, assume throughout the paper that $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$ and $\max _{j \leq p}\left\|X_{i j}\right\|_{q}<\infty$ for some $q \geq 2$. Let $\Gamma(l)=\left(\gamma_{j k}(l)\right)_{j, k=1}^{p}=$ $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} X_{i+l}^{\top}\right)$ be the autocovariance matrix and recall the long-run covariance matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\left(\sigma_{j k}\right)_{j, k=1}^{p}=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(l) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if it exists. Note that $\sigma_{j j}=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{j j}(l), 1 \leq j \leq p$, is the long-run variance of the component process $X_{\cdot j}=\left(X_{i j}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. For the latter process, following [44] we
define the functional dependence measure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i, q, j}=\left\|X_{i j}-X_{i j,\{0\}}\right\|_{q}=\left\|X_{i j}-g_{j}\left(\mathcal{F}^{i,\{0\}}\right)\right\|_{q} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{i,\{k\}}=\left(\ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}\right)$ is a coupled version of $\mathcal{F}^{i}$ with $\varepsilon_{k}$ in $\mathcal{F}^{i}$ replaced by $\varepsilon_{k}^{\prime}$, and $\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{l}^{\prime}, i, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, are i.i.d. random elements. Note that $\mathcal{F}^{i,\{k\}}=\mathcal{F}^{i}$ if $k>i$. To account for the dependence in the process $X_{. j}$, we define the dependence adjusted norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha}=\sup _{m \geq 0}(m+1)^{\alpha} \Delta_{m, q, j}, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \text { where } \Delta_{m, q, j}=\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \delta_{i, q, j} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the dependence, it may happen that $\max _{j \leq p}\left\|X_{i j}\right\|_{q}<\infty$ while $\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha}=$ $\infty$. Elementary calculations show that, if $X_{i j}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, are i.i.d., then $\left\|X_{i j}\right\|_{q} \leq$ $\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha} \leq 2\left\|X_{i j}\right\|_{q}$, suggesting that the dependence adjusted norm is equivalent to the classical $\mathcal{L}^{q}$ norm.

To account for high-dimensionality, we define

$$
\Psi_{q, \alpha}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq p}\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \Upsilon_{q, \alpha}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

which can be interpreted as the uniform and the overall dependence adjusted norms of $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, respectively. The form (2.1) and its associated dependence measures provide a convenient framework for studying high-dimensional time series. Zhang and Cheng [49] considered the special case which imposes the stronger geometric moment contraction condition $\max _{1 \leq j \leq p} \Delta_{m, q, j} \leq C \rho^{m}$ with $\rho \in(0,1)$ and some constant $C$. This assumption can be fairly restrictive. In this paper $\Psi_{q, \alpha}$ can be unbounded in $p$. Additionally, we define the $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$ functional dependence measure and its corresponding dependence adjusted norm for the $p$-dimensional stationary process ( $X_{i}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{i, q} & =\left\|\left|X_{i}-X_{i,\{0\}}\right|_{\infty}\right\|_{q} ; \\
\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha} & =\sup _{m \geq 0}(m+1)^{\alpha} \Omega_{m, q}, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \text { where } \Omega_{m, q}=\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \omega_{i, q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, we have $\Psi_{q, \alpha} \leq\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha} \leq \Upsilon_{q, \alpha}$.
3. Gaussian approximations. In this section, we shall present main results on Gaussian approximations. Theorem 3.2 concerns the finite polynomial moment case with both weaker and stronger temporal dependence. If the underlying process has finite dependence adjusted sub-exponential norms, Theorem 3.3 asserts that an ultra-high dimension $p$ can be allowed. Theorem 7.4 in Section 7.1 provides a convergence rate of the Gaussian approximation.

Recall (2.3) for the long-run covariance matrix $\Sigma$. Let $\Sigma_{0}=\operatorname{diag}(\Sigma)$ be the diagonal matrix of $\Sigma$, and $D_{0}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{11}^{1 / 2}, \ldots, \sigma_{p p}^{1 / 2}\right)=\Sigma_{0}^{1 / 2}$. Assume $\mu=0$. We consider the following normalized version of (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}:=\sup _{u \geq 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|D_{0}^{-1} \bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1} Z\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

ASSUMPTION 3.1. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\min _{1 \leq j \leq p} \sigma_{j j} \geq c$.
To state Theorem 3.2, we need to define the following quantities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{q, \alpha} & =\Upsilon_{q, \alpha} \wedge\left(\left\||X \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}(\log p)^{3 / 2}\right), \quad L_{1}=\left(\Psi_{2, \alpha} \Psi_{2,0}(\log p)^{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha} \\
W_{1} & =\left(\Psi_{3,0}^{6}+\Psi_{4,0}^{4}\right)(\log (p n))^{7}, \quad W_{2}=\Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}(\log (p n))^{4} \\
W_{3} & =\left(n^{-\alpha}(\log (p n))^{3 / 2} \Theta_{q, \alpha}\right)^{1 /(1 / 2-\alpha-1 / q)} \\
N_{1} & =(n / \log p)^{q / 2} / \Theta_{q, \alpha}^{q}, \quad N_{2}=n(\log p)^{-2} \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{-2} \\
N_{3} & =\left(n^{1 / 2}(\log p)^{-1 / 2} \Theta_{q, \alpha}^{-1}\right)^{1 /(1 / 2-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let Assumption 3.1 be satisfied. (i) Assume that $\Theta_{q, \alpha}<\infty$ holds with some $q \geq 4$ and $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$ (the weaker dependence case),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{q, \alpha} n^{1 / q-1 / 2}(\log (p n))^{3 / 2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} \max \left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)=o(1) \min \left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Gaussian approximation (3.1) holds. (ii) Assume $0<\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q$ (the stronger dependence case). Then (3.1) holds if $\Theta_{q, \alpha}(\log p)^{1 / 2}=o\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} \max \left(W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}\right)=o(1) \min \left(N_{2}, N_{3}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK 1. A careful check of the proof of Theorem 3.2 indicates that if it is further assumed that $\max _{1 \leq j \leq p} \sigma_{j j}$ is bounded from above, the Gaussian approximation is also valid for the nonnormalized maximum, that is, for both cases of Theorem 3.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \geq 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|Z_{j}\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK 2 (Optimality of our result on the allowed dimension $p$ ). Assume $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$. In the special case with $\Psi_{q, \alpha} \asymp 1$ and $\Theta_{q, \alpha} \asymp p^{1 / q}$, (3.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\log (p n))^{3 q / 2}=o\left(n^{q / 2-1}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by elementary manipulations implies (3.3), and hence the GA (3.1). It turns out that condition (3.6), or equivalently $p(\log p)^{3 q / 2}=o\left(n^{q / 2-1}\right)$, is optimal up to a multiplicative logarithmic term. Consider the special case in which $X_{i j}, i, j \in$ $\mathbb{Z}$, are i.i.d. symmetric random variables with $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i j}^{2}\right)=1$ and the tail probability $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{i j} \geq u\right)=u^{-q} \ell(u), u \geq u_{0}$, where $\ell(u)=(\log u)^{-2}$. By Theorem 1.9 of [29], we have the expansion: for a sequence $y_{n} \geq \sqrt{n}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(X_{11}+\cdots+X_{n 1} \geq y_{n}\right)}{n y_{n}^{-q} \ell\left(y_{n}\right)+1-\Phi\left(y_{n} / \sqrt{n}\right)} \rightarrow 1 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M_{n}=X_{11}+\cdots+X_{n 1}, Z=\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{p}\right)^{\top} \sim N\left(0, \operatorname{Id}_{p}\right)$ and assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{q / 2-1}=o\left(p(\log n)^{-2}(\log p)^{-q / 2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the GA (3.1) does not hold. To see this, let $u=(2 \log p)^{1 / 2}$. Then $p \mathbb{P}\left(\left|Z_{1}\right| \geq\right.$ $u) \rightarrow 0$, and, by (3.7) and (3.8), $p \mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geq \sqrt{n} u\right) \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}^{p}\left(\left|M_{n}\right| \leq\right.$ $\sqrt{n} u) \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathbb{P}^{p}\left(\left|Z_{1}\right| \leq u\right) \rightarrow 1$, implying that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n} & \geq\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \leq u\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|Z_{j}\right|_{\infty} \leq u\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\mathbb{P}^{p}\left(\left|M_{n}\right| \leq \sqrt{n} u\right)-\mathbb{P}^{p}\left(\left|Z_{1}\right| \leq u\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\left[1-2 \mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \geq \sqrt{n} u\right)\right]^{p}-\mathbb{P}^{p}\left(\left|Z_{1}\right| \leq u\right)\right| \rightarrow 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that (3.8) is equivalent to $n^{q / 2-1}=o\left(p(\log p)^{-2-q / 2}\right)$, suggesting that (3.6) is optimal up to a logarithmic term.

Now suppose there exist $0 \leq \kappa_{1} \leq \kappa_{2}$ such that $\Psi_{q, \alpha} \asymp p^{\kappa_{1}}$ and $\Theta_{q, \alpha} \asymp p^{\kappa_{2}}$, and $p^{\tau} \asymp n$. Elementary but tedious calculations show that, in the weaker dependence case $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau>\max \left\{\frac{\kappa_{2}}{1 / 2-1 / q}, \frac{2 \kappa_{1}}{\alpha}+8 \kappa_{1}, \frac{2}{q}\left(\frac{2 \kappa_{1}}{\alpha}+8 \kappa_{1}\right)+2 \kappa_{2}\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then conditions in (i) of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, while for the stronger dependence case with $0<\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q$, a larger sample size $n$ is required:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau>\max \left\{\frac{\kappa_{2}}{\alpha}, \frac{2 \kappa_{1}}{\alpha}+8 \kappa_{1},(1-2 \alpha)\left(\frac{2 \kappa_{1}}{\alpha}+8 \kappa_{1}\right)+2 \kappa_{2}\right\} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower bounds in (3.9) and (3.10) are both nondecreasing of $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and nonincreasing in $q, \alpha$.

Next we consider the sub-exponential case in which $X_{i j}$ satisfies a stronger moment condition than the existence of finite $q$ th moment. Assume that $X_{i j}$ has finite moment with any order. For $v \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, define the dependence adjusted sub-exponential norm

$$
\|X \cdot j\|_{\psi_{v}, \alpha}=\sup _{q \geq 2} \frac{\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha}}{q^{v}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{\psi_{v}, \alpha}=\max _{j \leq p}\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{\psi_{v}, \alpha} .
$$

By this definition, if $X_{i j}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are i.i.d., $\left\|X_{. j}\right\|_{\psi_{v}, \alpha}$ is equivalent to the subGaussian norm ( $v=1$ ) or sub-exponential norm $(v=1 / 2)$, due to the equivalence of $\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha}$ and $\left\|X_{i j}\right\|_{q}$. The parameter $v$ measures how fast $\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha}$ increases with $q$.

To state Theorem 3.3, we let $\beta=2 /(1+2 v)$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2}=\left((\log p)^{1 / \beta+1 / 2} \Phi_{\psi_{v}, \alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}, \quad N_{4}=n(\log p)^{-1-2 / \beta} \Phi_{\psi_{v}, 0}^{-2} \\
& W_{4}=(\log (p n))^{3+2 / \beta} \Phi_{\psi_{v}, 0}^{2}+(\log (p n))^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let Assumption 3.1 be satisfied. Assume that $\Phi_{\psi_{\nu}, \alpha}<\infty$ for some $v \geq 0, \alpha>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \max \left(W_{1}, W_{4}\right)=o\left(N_{4}\right), \quad L_{1}^{\alpha} \max \left(W_{1}, W_{4}\right)=o(n) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Gaussian approximation (3.1) holds.

If $\Phi_{\psi_{v}, \alpha} \asymp 1$, then the ultra high-dimensional case with $\log p=o\left(n^{c}\right)$ with some $c>0$ is allowed, where specifically we can let

$$
c= \begin{cases}1 /(8+2 / \alpha+2 / \beta), & 2 / 3 \leq \beta \leq 2  \tag{3.12}\\ 1 /[7+(1 / \beta+1 / 2)(1 / \alpha+2)], & 1 / 2 \leq \beta<2 / 3 \\ 1 /[3+2 / \beta+(1 / \beta+1 / 2)(1 / \alpha+2)], & 0<\beta<1 / 2\end{cases}
$$

3.1. Simultaneous inference of covariances. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be i.i.d. $p$-dimensional vectors with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\Gamma_{0}=\left(\gamma_{j k}\right)_{j, k=1}^{p}=$ $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} X_{i}^{\top}\right)$. We estimate $\Gamma_{0}$ by the sample covariance matrix $\hat{\Gamma}_{0}=\left(\hat{\gamma}_{j k}\right)_{j, k=1}^{p}=$ $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} X_{i}^{\top}$. To perform simultaneous inference on $\gamma_{j k}, 1 \leq j, k \leq p$, one needs to derive the asymptotic distribution of the maximum deviation $\max _{j, k \leq p}\left|\hat{\gamma}_{j k}-\gamma_{j k}\right|$ or the normalized version $\max _{j, k \leq p}\left|\hat{\gamma}_{j k}-\gamma_{j k}\right| / \tau_{j k}$; cf. equation (2) in [46]. The former is also referred to as the mutual coherence of the data matrix in the compressed sensing literature (see, e.g., [15]). Jiang [21] established the Gumbel convergence of the maximum deviation under some polynomial moment condition and under the setup that all entries of $X_{i}$ are also independent. See [26, 28, 50] and [25] for some refined results. Cai and Jiang [7] showed that $\max _{|j-k|>s_{n}}\left|\hat{\gamma}_{j k}-\gamma_{j k}\right|$ also converges to the Gumbel distribution if $\left(X_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq p}$ is Gaussian and $s_{n}$-dependent for each $i$. Xiao and Wu [46] considered the extension to the non-Gaussian case and allowed a general dependence structure among entries of $X_{i}$. However, the latter two paper both require that the vectors $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are i.i.d. The problem of further extension to temporally dependent $X_{i}$ is open. In analyzing fMRI functional connectivity in brain networks in the format of multivariate time series, researchers use the maximum correlation between time series to identify edges that connect the corresponding nodes in a network (cf. [13, 18,

19, 24], among many others). Such applications suggest that an asymptotic theory for maximum deviations of sample covariances is needed.

Our Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 can be applied to the above problem of further extension to temporally dependent processes. Let $\left(X_{i}\right)$ be a mean zero $p$-dimensional stationary process of form (2.1). To apply Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, one needs to deal with the key issue of computing the functional dependence measure of the $p^{2}$-dimensional vector $\mathcal{X}_{i}=\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i} X_{i}^{\top}-\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} X_{i}^{\top}\right)\right)$. Interestingly, our framework allows a natural and elegant treatment. Let $a=(j, k), j, k \leq p$ and $\mathcal{X}_{i a}=$ $X_{i j} X_{i k}-\gamma_{a}$, where $\gamma_{a}=\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i j} X_{i k}\right)$. By Hölder's inequality, the functional dependence of the component process $\left(\mathcal{X}_{i a}\right)_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{i, q / 2, a} & :=\left\|X_{i j} X_{i k}-\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i j} X_{i k}\right)-X_{i j,\{0\}} X_{i k,\{0\}}+\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i j,\{0\}} X_{i k,\{0\}}\right)\right\|_{q / 2} \\
& \leq 2\left\|X_{i j} X_{i k}-X_{i j,\{0\}} X_{i k,\{0\}}\right\|_{q / 2} \\
& \leq 2\left\|X_{i j}\left(X_{i k}-X_{i k,\{0\}}\right)\right\|_{q / 2}+2\left\|\left(X_{i j}-X_{i j,\{0\}}\right) X_{i k,\{0\}}\right\|_{q / 2}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \leq 2\left\|X_{i j}\right\|_{q} \delta_{i, q, k}+2\left\|X_{i k}\right\|_{q} \delta_{i, q, j}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we can have an upper bound of the dependence adjusted norm of $\left(\mathcal{X}_{i a}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{X}_{\cdot a}\right\|_{q / 2, \alpha} & :=\sup _{m \geq 0}(m+1)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \varphi_{i, q / 2, j, k}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \leq 2\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, 0}\left\|X_{\cdot k}\right\|_{q, \alpha}+2\left\|X_{\cdot k}\right\|_{q, 0}\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the uniform and the overall dependence adjusted norms of $\mathcal{X}_{i}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{a}\left\|\mathcal{X}_{\cdot a}\right\|_{q / 2, \alpha} & \leq 4 \Psi_{q, 0} \Psi_{q, \alpha} \\
\left(\sum_{a}\left\|\mathcal{X}_{\cdot a}\right\|_{q / 2, \alpha}^{q / 2}\right)^{2 / q} & \leq 4\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, 0}^{q / 2}\right)^{2 / q}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{q, \alpha}^{q / 2}\right)^{2 / q} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$ dependence adjusted norm for the process $\left(\mathcal{X}_{i}\right)$ can be calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|\mathcal{X} .\left.\right|_{\infty}\left\|_{q / 2, \alpha} \leq 4\right\|\right| X .\left.\right|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, 0}\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (3.13)-(3.16), conditions in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 can be formulated accordingly, and under those conditions we can have the following Gaussian approximation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \geq 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n} \max _{a}\left|\hat{\gamma}_{a}-\gamma_{a}\right| / \tau_{a} \geq u\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{a}\left|Z_{a} / \tau_{a}\right| \geq u\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z=\left(Z_{a}\right)_{a} \sim N\left(0, \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}\right), \Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the $p^{2} \times p^{2}$ long-run covariance matrix of $\left(\mathcal{X}_{i}\right)_{i}$ and $\left(\tau_{a}^{2}\right)_{a}$ is the diagonal matrix of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}$.
4. A uniform test for distributions of time series. In this section, we shall apply the Gaussian approximation result Theorem 3.2 and test distributions of time series. For the process $\left(X_{i}\right)$ defined in (2.1), let $F_{j}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{i j} \leq u\right), u \in \mathbb{R}$, be the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) of $X_{i j}, 1 \leq j \leq p$; let $F_{j, 0}(\cdot)$ be the reference c.d.f. We are interested in testing the null hypothesis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}: F_{j}(\cdot)=F_{j, 0}(\cdot) \quad \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, p \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the classical Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with $p=1$ and i.i.d. data $X_{i 1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, one uses a test statistic that involves the supremum distance between the empirical and the reference c.d.f.s. Here, we shall apply a smoothing procedure and consider testing an equivalent form of (4.1). In particular, we let $h(u)=H^{\prime}(u)$ be a probability density function (p.d.f.) such that $h(u)>0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}, \sup _{u} h(u)<\infty$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_{j}(v) h(u-v) d v \quad \text { and } \quad H_{j, 0}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_{j, 0}(v) h(u-v) d v \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, we can let $h(\cdot)$ be the standard Gaussian p.d.f. In this case, $H_{j}(\cdot)$ is the c.d.f. of $X_{i j}+\eta$, where $\eta \sim N(0,1)$ is independent of $X_{i j}$. Here, we shall consider testing the following equivalent form of (4.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}: H_{j}(\cdot)=H_{j, 0}(\cdot) \quad \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, p \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the goodness-of-fit test statistic of the form $\sup _{u \in \mathcal{I}}\left|\hat{H}_{j}(u)-H_{j, 0}(u)\right|$, where $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval and $\hat{H}_{j}(u)$ is an unbiased estimate of $H_{j}(u)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{j}(u)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} H\left(u-X_{i j}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar smoothing ideas appeared in the literature. Researchers applied kernel smoothing to overcome the shortcoming of discontinuity of empirical distribution functions; see, for example, [3, 9, 16, 36, 42, 47], among others.

Here, we shall develop a Gaussian approximation theory for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq j \leq p} \sup _{u \in \mathcal{I}} \sqrt{n}\left|\hat{H}_{j}(u)-H_{j}(u)\right| . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, we shall carry out a detailed calculation for the functional dependence measures defined in Section 2 of $H\left(u-X_{i j}\right)$. For presentational clarity here, we only consider marginal distributions and linear processes ( $X_{i}$ ) defined in (2.2). We remark that our approach also applies to testing for joint distributions and for nonlinear processes.

ASSUMPTION 4.1. The process $\left(X_{i}\right)$ is of form (2.2) with $\varepsilon_{i}=$ $\left(\varepsilon_{i 1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i d}\right)^{\top}$, where $\varepsilon_{i j}$ are i.i.d. with mean 0 and $\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}\right\|_{\gamma}<\infty, \gamma>2$; and coefficient matrices $A_{i}=\left(a_{i, j k}\right)_{j \leq p, k \leq d}$ satisfy $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{i}^{\top} A_{i}\right)<\infty$.

For $j, k=1, \ldots, p$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, define the long-run covariance function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j, k}(u, v)=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Cov}\left(H\left(u-X_{0 j}\right), H\left(v-X_{l k}\right)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{Z_{j}(u), j=1, \ldots, p ; u \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ be a mean 0 Gaussian process such that its covariance function is given by (4.6).

AsSumption 4.2. There exists a constant $c>0$ and a closed finite interval $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\min _{1 \leq j \leq p} \min _{u \in \mathcal{I}} \sigma_{j, j}(u, u) \geq c$.

THEOREM 4.3. Let Assumptions 4.1 and 4.2 be satisfied, and suppose there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that for all $m \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=m}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} \max _{j}\left|a_{i, j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{\min (\gamma / q, 1) / 2} \leq C_{1}(1 \vee m)^{-\alpha} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $q \geq 4$ and $\alpha>0$. Let $\iota=\min (\gamma / q, 1) / 2$. There exists some constant $\kappa>0$ depending on $\alpha$ and $\iota$ such that if $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log p=o\left(n^{\kappa}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \geq 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n} \Delta_{n} \geq u\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{1 \leq j \leq d} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{I}}\left|Z_{j}(x)\right| \geq u\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK 3. A careful check of the proof of Theorem 4.3 indicates that, for the index $\kappa$ in (4.8), we can let $\kappa=\kappa_{1}=[(2 \iota+2) / \alpha+8 \iota+11]^{-1}$ if $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$, and $\kappa=\min \left(\kappa_{1}, \alpha /(3+\iota)\right.$ ) if $0<\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q$.

For i.i.d. random vectors, [22] considered uniform convergence of empirical distribution functions. Theorem 4.3 might be the first result in the literature concerning weak convergence of empirical processes in the high-dimensional setting under dependence.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. We shall divide the proof into 5 steps: discretization of the empirical process; representation of the covariance function; continuity of the approximating Gaussian process; computation of the functional dependence measures; and application of Theorem 3.2.

Step 1: discretization of the empirical process. Without loss of generality, let $\mathcal{I}=[0,1]$. Let $\mathcal{L}=n^{2}$ and $u_{\ell}=\ell / \mathcal{L}, \ell=1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}$. For $\mathcal{V}=\{(j, \ell): 1 \leq j \leq$ $p, 1 \leq \ell \leq \mathcal{L}\}$, define the $(p \mathcal{L})$-dimensional vector $\mathcal{M}_{i}=\left(\mathcal{M}_{i v}\right)_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$ with $\mathcal{M}_{i v}=$ $H\left(u_{\ell}-X_{i j}\right)-\mathbb{E} H\left(u_{\ell}-X_{i j}\right)$ for $v=(j, \ell) \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}_{i}$. Since
$H(\cdot)$ is increasing and $h_{0}=\sup _{u} h(u)<\infty$, we have by the triangle inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\Delta_{n}-\sqrt{n}\right| \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{h_{0} \sqrt{n}}{\mathcal{L}}=\frac{h_{0}}{n \sqrt{n}}\right. \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: representation of the covariance function. Define the projection operator $\mathcal{P}^{i} \cdot=\mathbb{E}\left(\cdot \mid \mathcal{F}^{i}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(\cdot \mid \mathcal{F}^{i-1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{j}(u)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}^{0} H\left(u-X_{l j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, p \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall (4.6) for $\sigma_{j, k}(u, v)$. By the orthogonal decomposition,

$$
H\left(u-X_{0 j}\right)-\mathbb{E} H\left(u-X_{0 j}\right)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}^{m} H\left(u-X_{0 j}\right)
$$

and the stationarity of $\left(X_{i}\right)$, we have the representation

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{j, k}(u, v) & =\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{P}^{m} H\left(u-X_{0 j}\right) \mathcal{P}^{m} H\left(v-X_{l k}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[D_{j}(u) D_{k}(v)\right] \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{P}^{0} H\left(u-X_{l j}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[H\left(u-X_{l j}\right)-H\left(u-X_{l j,\{0\}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}^{0}\right]$, by the first inequality in (4.21) and Jensen's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}^{0} H\left(u-X_{l j}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|H\left(u-X_{l j}\right)-H\left(u-X_{l j,\{0\}}\right)\right\| \leq 2 h_{0} b_{l}\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}\right\|, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} \max _{j}\left|a_{i, j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. By (4.7), \#\{i: $\left.b_{i} \geq 1\right\} \leq C_{1}$. If $b_{i}<1$, then $b_{i} \leq b_{i}^{\min (1, \gamma / q)}$. Hence, $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} \leq 2 C_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{j j}(u, u)\right)^{1 / 2}=\left\|D_{j}(u)\right\| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left\|\mathcal{P}^{0} H\left(u-X_{l j}\right)\right\| \leq 4 C_{1} h_{0}\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}\right\| \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3: continuity of the approximating Gaussian process. Let $\zeta=|u-v| \leq 1$. Then $\left|H\left(u-X_{l j}\right)-H\left(v-X_{l j}\right)\right| \leq h_{0} \zeta$. By (4.11) and (4.13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{j}(v)-D_{j}(u)\right\| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \min \left(4 h_{0} b_{l}\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}\right\|, h_{0} \zeta\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.7), since $2 \iota \leq 1$ and $\zeta \leq 1$, we have $\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \min \left(b_{i}, \zeta\right) \leq C_{1} m^{-\alpha}$ for all $m \geq 1$. Let $J=\left\lceil\zeta^{-1 /(1+\alpha)}\right\rceil$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{J} \min \left(b_{i}, \zeta\right)+\sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} \min \left(b_{i}, \zeta\right) & \leq(J+1) \zeta+C_{1} J^{-\alpha} \\
& \leq\left(C_{1}+3\right) \zeta^{\alpha /(1+\alpha)} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (4.12) and (4.15), for $C_{2}=h_{0}\left(4\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}\right\|+1\right)\left(C_{1}+3\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|Z_{j}(u)-Z_{j}(v)\right\|^{2} & =\sigma_{j, j}(u, u)+\sigma_{j, j}(v, v)-2 \sigma_{j, j}(u, v) \\
& =\left\|D_{j}(v)-D_{j}(u)\right\|^{2} \leq C_{2}^{2}|u-v|^{2 \alpha /(1+\alpha)} \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

when $|u-v| \leq 1$. Let $0<t \leq 1$ and $\lambda=\alpha /(1+\alpha)$. By (4.17) and the Fernique inequality (cf. Section 4.1.3 of [17]), there exists constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}>0$ only depending $\lambda$ such that for all $w \geq c_{2} C_{2} t^{\lambda}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\sup _{0 \leq y \leq t}\left|Z_{j}(v+y)-Z_{j}(v)\right| \geq w\right] \leq c_{1}\left[1-\Phi\left(c_{3} w /\left(C_{2} t^{\lambda}\right)\right]\right. \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the standard normal c.d.f. For $u \in \mathcal{I}=[0,1]$, write $\lfloor u\rfloor_{\mathcal{L}}=$ $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\lfloor\mathcal{L} u\rfloor$, where $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ is the floor function. As $u$ changes from 0 to $1,\lfloor u\rfloor_{\mathcal{L}}$ take values $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\mathcal{L}}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=C_{3} \mathcal{L}^{-\lambda}(\log (p n))^{1 / 2} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}$ is a sufficiently large constant. Then by (4.18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\sup _{u \in \mathcal{I}, 1 \leq j \leq p}\left|Z_{j}(u)-Z_{j}\left(\lfloor u\rfloor_{\mathcal{L}}\right)\right| \geq w\right] & \leq p \mathcal{L} c_{1}\left[1-\Phi\left(c_{3} w \mathcal{L}^{\lambda} / C_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{C_{4}}{p n} \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4: computation of the functional dependence measures. We shall first bound the functional dependence measures of the vector process $\left(\mathcal{M}_{i}\right)_{i}$ which is induced by $H\left(u-X_{i j}\right)$. Let $\varepsilon_{i j}, \varepsilon_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}, i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$, be i.i.d. random variables and $\varepsilon_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\varepsilon_{i 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i d}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$. Note that $X_{i j}-X_{i j,\{0\}}=a_{i, j} .\left(\varepsilon_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, where $a_{i, j}$. is the $j$ th row of the $A_{i}=\left(a_{i, j k}\right)_{j \leq p, k \leq d}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{u}\left|H\left(u-X_{i j}\right)-H\left(u-X_{i j,\{0\}}\right)\right| & \leq \min \left(1, h_{0}\left|X_{i j}-X_{i j,\{0\}}\right|\right) \\
& =\min \left(1, h_{0}\left|a_{i, j} \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)  \tag{4.21}\\
& \leq\left(h_{0}\left|a_{i, j} \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{\min (\gamma / q, 1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Recall $b_{i}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} \max _{j}\left|a_{i, j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. By Lemma C.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\max _{j}\left|a_{i, j} .\left(\varepsilon_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right\|_{\min (\gamma, q)} \leq C_{5} b_{i} \sqrt{\log p} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C_{5}$ depends on $\gamma, q$ and $\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}\right\|_{\gamma}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sup _{j, u}\left|H\left(u-X_{i j}\right)-H\left(u-X_{i j,\{0\}}\right)\right|\right\|_{q} & \leq\left[\mathbb{E} \max _{j}\left(h_{0}\left|a_{i, j} \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{\min (\gamma, q)}\right]^{1 / q} \\
& \leq C_{6}(\log p)^{\iota} b_{i}^{2 \iota}
\end{aligned}
$$

which by (4.7) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\||\mathcal{M} \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha} & :=\sup _{m \geq 0}(m+1)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty}\left\|\max _{j, \ell}\left|H\left(x_{\ell}-X_{i j}\right)-H\left(x_{\ell}-X_{i j,\{0\}}\right)\right|\right\|_{q}  \tag{4.23}\\
& \leq C_{7}(\log p)^{\iota} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we can obtain the upper bounds of the dependence adjusted norms by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{q, \alpha} \leq(\log (p \mathcal{L}))^{3 / 2}\left\||\mathcal{M} \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}, \quad \Psi_{2, \alpha} \leq \Psi_{q, \alpha} \leq\left\||\mathcal{M} \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 5: application of Theorem 3.2. By Theorem 3.2 [cf. (3.5) in Remark 1, which is applicable here in view of (4.14) and Assumption 4.2], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \geq 0}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{j \leq p} \max _{\ell \leq \mathcal{L}}\left|Z_{j}\left(u_{\ell}\right)\right| \geq u\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Specifically, we have $L_{1}=$ $O\left(\left[(\log p)^{2 l}(\log p n)^{2}\right]^{1 / \alpha}\right), \max \left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)=O\left((\log p)^{6 l}(\log p n)^{7}\right)$ as well as $n(\log p n)^{-4}(\log p)^{-2 \iota}=O\left(\min \left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)\right)$. For $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$, there exists some $\kappa$ depending on $\alpha$ and $\iota$ such that if $\log p=o\left(n^{\kappa}\right)$, (3.2) and (3.3) hold. The other case with $0<\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q$ can be dealt with similarly. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\mathcal{L}}+\frac{1}{p n}\right) \sqrt{\log (p \mathcal{L})} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the triangle inequality and Theorem 3 of [12], (4.9) follows in view of (4.10), (4.20), (4.25) and (4.26).
5. Estimation of long-run covariance matrices. Given the realization $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, to apply the Gaussian approximation (3.1), we need to estimate the long-run covariance matrix $\Sigma$. Note that $\Sigma /(2 \pi)$ is the value of the spectral density matrix of $\left(X_{i}\right)$ at zero frequency. In the one or low-dimensional case, there is a large literature concerning spectral density estimation; see, for example, [2, 27, 30, 34, 39] among others. Assume $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$. We then consider the batched mean estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{M w} \sum_{b=1}^{w} Y_{b} Y_{b}^{\top}=\frac{1}{M w} \sum_{b=1}^{w}\left(\sum_{i \in L_{b}} X_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i \in L_{b}} X_{i}\right)^{\top} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the window $L_{b}=\{1+(b-1) M, \ldots, b M\}, b=1, \ldots, w$, the window size $\left|L_{b}\right|=M \rightarrow \infty$ and the number of blocks $w=\lfloor n / M\rfloor$. Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 concern the convergence of the above estimate for processes with finite polynomial and finite sub-exponential dependence adjusted norms, respectively. The convergence rate depends in a subtle way on the temporal dependence characterized by $\alpha$ [cf. (2.5)], the uniform and the overall dependence adjusted norms $\Psi_{q, \alpha}$ and $\Upsilon_{q, \alpha}$, respectively, the same size $n$ and the dimension $p$.

THEOREM 5.1. Assume $\Psi_{q, \alpha}<\infty$ with $q>4, \alpha>0$, and $M=O\left(n^{\varsigma}\right)$ for some $0<\varsigma<1$. Let $F_{\alpha}=w M$ (resp., $w M^{q / 2-\alpha q / 2}$ or $w^{q / 4-\alpha q / 2} M^{q / 2-\alpha q / 2}$ ) for $\alpha>1-2 / q$ (resp., $1 / 2-2 / q<\alpha<1-2 / q$ or $\alpha<1 / 2-2 / q$ ). Then for $x \geq$ $\sqrt{w} M \Psi_{q, \alpha}^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(n|\operatorname{diag}(\hat{\Sigma})-\mathbb{E} \operatorname{diag}(\hat{\Sigma})|_{\infty} \geq x\right) \lesssim \frac{F_{\alpha} \Upsilon_{q, \alpha}^{q}}{x^{q / 2}}+p \exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} x^{2}}{w M^{2} \Psi_{4, \alpha}^{4}}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(n|\hat{\Sigma}-\mathbb{E} \hat{\Sigma}|_{\infty} \geq x\right) \lesssim \frac{p F_{\alpha} \Upsilon_{q, \alpha}^{q}}{x^{q / 2}}+p^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} x^{2}}{w M^{2} \Psi_{4, \alpha}^{4}}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all large $n$, where the constants in $\lesssim$ only depend on $\varsigma, \alpha$ and $q$.
Under stronger moment conditions, we can have an exponential inequality.
THEOREM 5.2. Assume $\Phi_{\psi_{v}, 0}<\infty$ for some $v \geq 0$. Then for all $x>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(n|\operatorname{diag}(\hat{\Sigma})-\mathbb{E} \operatorname{diag}(\hat{\Sigma})|_{\infty} \geq x\right) & \lesssim p \exp \left(-\frac{x^{\gamma}}{4 e \gamma\left(\sqrt{w} M \Phi_{\psi_{v}, 0}^{2}\right)^{\gamma}}\right)  \tag{5.4}\\
\mathbb{P}\left(n|\hat{\Sigma}-\mathbb{E} \hat{\Sigma}|_{\infty} \geq x\right) & \lesssim p^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{\gamma}}{4 e \gamma\left(\sqrt{w} M \Phi_{\psi_{v}, 0}^{2}\right)^{\gamma}}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma=1 /(1+2 v)$ and the constants in $\lesssim$ only depend on $\nu$.

REMARK 4. An alternative estimate of $\Sigma$, which also works with unknown mean $\mathbb{E} X_{i}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{w M} \sum_{b=1}^{w}\left(\sum_{i \in L_{b}} X_{i}-M \bar{X}\right)\left(\sum_{i \in L_{b}} X_{i}-M \bar{X}\right)^{\top} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{X}=(w M)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{w M} X_{i}, w=\lfloor n / M\rfloor$. Then $|\tilde{\Sigma}-\hat{\Sigma}|_{\infty}=M|\bar{X}|_{\infty}^{2}$. Applying Lemma C. 2 to $\sum_{i=1}^{w M} X_{i j}$, one can conclude that Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 still hold for $\tilde{\Sigma}$ with $\mathbb{E} \hat{\Sigma}$ therein replaced by $\Sigma_{M}:=\sum_{i=-M}^{M}(1-|i| / M) \Gamma_{i}$ (which equals to $\mathbb{E} \hat{\Sigma}$ if $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$ ).

COROLLARY 5.3. (i) Under conditions in Theorem 5.1, we have $|\tilde{\Sigma}-\Sigma|_{\infty}=$ $O_{\mathbb{P}}\left(R_{n}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{n}= & n^{-1} \max \left\{p^{2 / q} F_{\alpha}^{2 / q} \Upsilon_{q, \alpha}^{2}, \sqrt{w} M \Psi_{4, \alpha}^{2} \sqrt{\log p}, \sqrt{w} M \Psi_{q, \alpha}^{2}\right\}  \tag{5.7}\\
& +\Psi_{2,0} \Psi_{2, \alpha} v(M)
\end{align*}
$$

with $v(M)=1 / M$ if $\alpha>1, v(M)=(\log M) / M$ if $\alpha=1$ and $v(M)=1 / M^{\alpha}$ if $0<\alpha<1$. (ii) Under conditions in Theorem 5.2, we have $|\tilde{\Sigma}-\Sigma|_{\infty}=O_{\mathbb{P}}\left(R_{n}^{*}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{*}=n^{-1} \sqrt{w} M \Phi_{\psi_{v}, 0}^{2}(\log p)^{1 / \gamma}+\Psi_{2,0} \Psi_{2, \alpha} v(M) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above corollary easily follows from Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 since the bias $\left|\Sigma_{M}-\Sigma\right|_{\infty} \lesssim \Psi_{2,0} \Psi_{2, \alpha} v(M)$; see the proof of Lemma 7.3.
5.1. Computing approximated cutoff values. To apply the Gaussian approximation (3.1) for hypothesis testing or construction of simultaneous confidence intervals, we need to compute $\chi_{\theta}$, the $\theta$ th quantile of $\left|D_{0}^{-1} Z\right|_{\infty}, 0<\theta<1$. The latter can be computed by simulation if the long-run covariance matrix $\Sigma$ is known. When it is unknown, we shall use the estimate $\tilde{\Sigma}$ in (5.6). Let $\tilde{D}_{0}=[\operatorname{diag}(\tilde{\Sigma})]^{1 / 2}$. We estimate $\chi_{\theta}$ by $\tilde{\chi}_{\theta}$, the conditional $\theta$-quantile of $\left|\tilde{D}_{0}^{-1} \tilde{\Sigma}^{1 / 2} \eta\right|_{\infty}$ given $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$, where $\eta \sim N\left(0, \operatorname{Id}_{p}\right)$ is independent of $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$. Note that $\tilde{\chi}_{\theta}$ can be computed by extensive simulations. This is a Gaussian multiplier resampling method using estimated long-run covariance matrices. Given the level $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we can reject the null hypothesis $H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0}$ at level $\alpha$ if $\sqrt{n}\left|\tilde{D}_{0}^{-1}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)\right|_{\infty}>\tilde{\chi}_{1-\alpha}$. The $(1-\alpha)$ th simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p}\right)^{\top}$ can be constructed as $\hat{\mu}_{j} \pm \tilde{\chi}_{1-\alpha} \tilde{\sigma}_{j j}^{1 / 2} / \sqrt{n}, 1 \leq j \leq p$. Corollary 5.4 concerns validity of this approach.

Corollary 5.4. (i) Let conditions of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 5.1 be satisfied. Further assume $R_{n} \log ^{2} p \rightarrow 0$ with $R_{n}$ given by (5.7). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta \in(0,1)}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\tilde{D}_{0}^{-1} \bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq \tilde{\chi}_{1-\theta}\right)-\theta\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Under conditions of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 5.2, if $R_{n}^{*} \log ^{2} p \rightarrow 0$ with $R_{n}^{*}$ given by (5.8), we have (5.9).

Proof. (i) Recall (3.1) for $\rho_{n}$. Let $\Lambda_{n}=\sqrt{n}\left|\left(\tilde{D}_{0}^{-1}-D_{0}^{-1}\right) \bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty}$. By the triangle inequality and Theorem 3 of [12], for $w>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\rho}_{n} & :=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\tilde{D}_{0}^{-1} \bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1} Z\right|_{\infty} \geq u\right)\right| \\
& \leq \rho_{n}+\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(\left.| | D_{0}^{-1} Z\right|_{\infty}-u \mid \leq w\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\Lambda_{n} \geq w\right) \\
& \lesssim \rho_{n}+w \sqrt{\log p}+\mathbb{P}\left(\Lambda_{n} \geq w\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $V_{n}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq p}\left|\left(\sigma_{j j} / \tilde{\sigma}_{j j}\right)^{1 / 2}-1\right|$ and $L_{n}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq p}\left|\sigma_{j j}-\tilde{\sigma}_{j j}\right|$. Then $\Lambda_{n} \leq V_{n} \sqrt{n}\left|D_{0}^{-1} \bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty}$. Let $c$ be the constant in Assumption 3.1. On the event
$\mathcal{A}_{0}=\left\{L_{n} \leq x\right\}$ for $x \leq c / 2$, we have $V_{n} \leq 2 L_{n} / c$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\Lambda_{n} \geq w\right) & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(V_{n} \geq 2 x / c\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|D_{0}^{-1} \bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq c y / 2\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(L_{n} \geq x\right)+\rho_{n}+\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1} Z\right|_{\infty} \geq c y / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w=x y, 0<x<c / 2, y>0$. It follows that

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{n} \lesssim \rho_{n}+x y \sqrt{\log p}+\mathbb{P}\left(L_{n} \geq x\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1} Z\right|_{\infty} \geq c y / 2\right)
$$

We let $y=C \sqrt{\log p}$, where $C>0$ is a sufficiently large constant. Note that the marginal variances of $D_{0}^{-1} Z$ are 1. Let

$$
r_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \max \left\{F_{\alpha}^{2 / q} \Upsilon_{q, \alpha}^{2}, \sqrt{w} M \Psi_{4, \alpha}^{2} \sqrt{\log p}, \sqrt{w} M \Psi_{q, \alpha}^{2}\right\}+\Psi_{2,0} \Psi_{2, \alpha} v(M) .
$$

Let $x=r_{n} \sqrt{\log p}$. Since $R_{n} \log ^{2} p \rightarrow 0$ and $r_{n} \leq R_{n}$, by Corollary 5.3, we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \rightarrow 1$. Theorem 3.2 ensures $\rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $\tilde{\rho}_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

Let $T_{n}=|\tilde{\Sigma}-\Sigma|_{\infty}$ and $W_{n}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq p}\left|\tilde{\sigma}_{j j} / \sigma_{j j}-1\right|$. By the elementary inequality $|1-\sqrt{a b}| \leq|1-a|+(1-a)^{2}+|1-b|+(1-b)^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\tilde{D}_{0}^{-1} \tilde{\Sigma} \tilde{D}_{0}^{-1}-D_{0}^{-1} \Sigma D_{0}^{-1}\right|_{\infty} & \leq \max _{1 \leq j, k \leq p}\left(\left|\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{j k}-\sigma_{j k}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{j j} \sigma_{k k}}}\right|+\left|1-\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}_{j j} \tilde{\sigma}_{k k}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{j j} \sigma_{k k}}}\right|\right)  \tag{5.10}\\
& \leq \frac{T_{n}}{c}+2 W_{n}+2 W_{n}^{2} \leq \frac{3 T_{n}}{c}+\frac{2 T_{n}^{2}}{c^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Let event $\mathcal{A}=\left\{T_{n} \leq z_{n}\right\}$ where $z_{n}=R_{n}^{1 / 2} / \log p$. Since $R_{n} \log ^{2} p \rightarrow 0$, we have $z_{n} / R_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. By Corollary 5.3, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 1$. Since $z_{n} \rightarrow 0$, by (5.10) and following the arguments of Theorem 3.1 in [10], we have

$$
\sup _{\theta \in(0,1)}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\tilde{D}_{0}^{-1} \bar{X}_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq \tilde{\chi}_{1-\theta}\right)-\theta\right| \lesssim \tilde{\rho}_{n}+\pi\left(\frac{3 z_{n}}{c}+\frac{2 z_{n}^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(T_{n} \geq z_{n}\right)
$$

where $\pi(z)=z^{1 / 3}(1 \vee \log (p / z))^{2 / 3}$. Since $R_{n} \log ^{2} p \rightarrow 0$, (5.9) follows.
(ii) The proof is similar to (i), and thus is omitted.
6. Inequalities for high-dimensional time series with finite polynomial moments. Tail probability inequalities play an important role in simultaneous inference. In this section, we shall derive powerful tail probability inequalities for highdimensional stationary vectors; cf. Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. They are of independent interest. The proofs require Theorem 4.1 of [31], a deep Rosenthal-Burkholdertype bound on moments of Banach-spaced martingales, and Lemma C.6, a Fuk-Nagaev-type inequality for the sum of independent random vectors. We refer the readers to Appendix C for tail probability inequalities in the one-dimensional case under finite polynomial or exponential moment conditions.

Let $X_{i}$ be a mean zero $p$-dimensional stationary process and $T_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$, $T_{n, m}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, m}$ where $X_{i, m}=\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} \mid \varepsilon_{i-m}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}\right)$. We are interested in bounding the tail probabilities of $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}-T_{n, m}\right|_{\infty} \geq x\right)$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq x\right)$ for large $x$. Write $\ell=\ell(p)=1 \vee \log p$.

THEOREM 6.1. Assume $\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}<\infty$, where $q>2$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, and $\Psi_{2, \alpha}<\infty$ :
(i) If $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$, for $x \gtrsim \sqrt{n \ell} \Psi_{2, \alpha} m^{-\alpha}+n^{1 / q} \ell^{3 / 2}\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha} m^{1 / 2-1 / q-\alpha}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}-T_{n, m}\right|_{\infty} \geq x\right) \lesssim \frac{n \ell^{q / 2}\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}^{q}}{m^{\alpha q+1-q / 2} x^{q}}+\exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} x^{2} m^{2 \alpha}}{n \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right)
$$

holds for all $1 \leq m \leq n$, where the constant in $\lesssim$ only depends on $q$ and $\alpha$.
(ii) If $0<\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q$, then for $x \gtrsim \sqrt{n \ell} \Psi_{2, \alpha} m^{-\alpha}+n^{1 / 2-\alpha} \ell^{3 / 2}\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}-T_{n, m}\right|_{\infty} \geq x\right) \lesssim \frac{n^{q / 2-\alpha q} \ell^{q / 2}\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}^{q}}{x^{q}}+\exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} x^{2} m^{2 \alpha}}{n \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $s=\ell=1 \vee \log p$. Then $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}-T_{n, m}\right|_{\infty} \geq x\right)$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}-T_{n, m}\right|_{s} \geq x\right)$, since for any vector $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}\right)^{\top},|v|_{\infty} \leq|v|_{s} \leq$ $p^{1 / s}|v|_{\infty}$. Let $L=\lfloor(\log n-\log m) /(\log 2)\rfloor, \varpi_{l}=2^{l}$ if $1 \leq l<L, \varpi_{L}=\lfloor n / m\rfloor$ and $\tau_{l}=m \varpi_{l}$ for $1 \leq l<L, \tau_{0}=m, \tau_{L}=n$. Define $M_{n, l}=T_{n, \tau_{l}}-T_{n, \tau_{l-1}}$ for $1 \leq l \leq L$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}-T_{n, m}=T_{n}-T_{n, n}+\sum_{l=1}^{L} M_{n, l} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $T_{n}-T_{n, n}=\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} T_{n, j+1}-T_{n, j}$. By Lemma C.5,

$$
\left\|\left|T_{n}-T_{n, n}\right|_{s}\right\|_{q} \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty}\left\|\left|T_{n, j+1}-T_{n, j}\right|_{s}\right\|_{q} \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} C_{q}(n s)^{1 / 2} \omega_{j+1, q}
$$

where the constant $C_{q}$ only depends on $q$. By Markov's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}-T_{n, n}\right|_{s} \geq x\right) \leq \frac{\left\|\left|T_{n}-T_{n, n}\right|_{s}\right\|_{q}^{q}}{x^{q}} \leq \frac{C_{q}(n s)^{q / 2} \Omega_{n+1, q}^{q}}{x^{q}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $1 \leq l \leq L$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i, l} & =\sum_{k=(i-1) \tau_{l}+1}^{\left(i \tau_{l}\right) \wedge n}\left(X_{k, \tau_{l}}-X_{k, \tau_{l-1}}\right), \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor n / \tau_{l}\right\rfloor \\
R_{n, l}^{e} & =\sum_{i \text { is even }} Y_{i, l} \quad \text { and } \quad R_{n, l}^{o}=\sum_{i \text { is odd }} Y_{i, l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $c=q / 2-1-\alpha q$; let $\lambda_{l}=l^{-2} /\left(\pi^{2} / 3\right)$ if $1 \leq l \leq L / 2$ and $\lambda_{l}=(L+1-$ $l)^{-2} /\left(\pi^{2} / 3\right)$ if $L / 2<l \leq L$. Since $Y_{i, l}$ and $Y_{i^{\prime}, l}$ are independent for $\left|i-i^{\prime}\right|>1$, by Lemma C.6, for any $x>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s}-2 \mathbb{E}\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s} \geq \lambda_{l} x\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{C_{q} \sum_{i \text { is even }} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{i, l}\right|_{s}^{q}}{\left(\lambda_{l} x\right)^{q}}+\exp \left(-\frac{\left(\lambda_{l} x\right)^{2}}{3 \sum_{i \text { is even }}\left|\sigma_{Y_{i}, l}\right|_{s}^{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{Y_{i}, l}=\left(\left\|Y_{i 1, l}\right\|_{2}, \ldots,\left\|Y_{i p, l}\right\|_{2}\right)^{\top}$. By Lemma C.5,

$$
\left\|\left|Y_{i, l}\right|_{s}\right\|_{q} \leq C_{q}\left(\tau_{l} s\right)^{1 / 2} \tilde{\omega}_{l, q}, \quad \text { where } \tilde{\omega}_{l, q}=\sum_{k=\tau_{l-1}+1}^{\tau_{l}} \omega_{k, q} \leq \frac{\left\||X \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}}{\tau_{l-1}^{\alpha}}
$$

For $1 \leq j \leq p$, by Theorem 3.2 of [6],

$$
\left\|Y_{i j, l}\right\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\tau_{l}} \tilde{\delta}_{l, 2, j}, \quad \text { where } \tilde{\delta}_{l, 2, j}=\sum_{k=\tau_{l-1}+1}^{\tau_{l}} \delta_{k, 2, j} \leq \frac{\left\|X_{\cdot j}\right\|_{2, \alpha}}{\tau_{l-1}^{\alpha}}
$$

which implies $\left|\sigma_{Y_{i}, l}\right|_{s} \lesssim \tau^{1 / 2} \tau_{l-1}^{-\alpha} \Psi_{2, \alpha}$. So, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s}-2 \mathbb{E}\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s} \geq \lambda_{l} x\right)  \tag{6.3}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{C_{1} n s^{q / 2}}{x^{q}} \cdot \frac{\tau_{l}^{q / 2-1} \tilde{\omega}_{l, q}^{q}}{\lambda_{l}^{q}}+\exp \left(-\frac{C_{2}\left(\lambda_{l} x\right)^{2} \tau_{l-1}^{2 \alpha}}{n \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 8 in [12],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s} & \lesssim \sqrt{n s} \tau_{l-1}^{-\alpha} \Psi_{2, \alpha}+n^{1 / q} s^{3 / 2} \tau_{l}^{1 / 2-1 / q} \tilde{\omega}_{l, q} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{n s} \Psi_{2, \alpha}}{\left(m \varpi_{l}\right)^{\alpha}}+\frac{n^{1 / q} s^{3 / 2}\left\||X \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}}{\left(m \varpi_{l}\right)^{-c / q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\lambda_{l}^{-1}\left(m \varpi_{l}\right)^{c / q} \lesssim n^{c / q}$ for $c>0$ and $\min _{l \geq 0} \lambda_{l} \varpi_{l}^{-c / q}>0$ for $c<0$, and $\min _{l \geq 0} \lambda_{l} \varpi_{l}^{\alpha}>0$. Hence, $\mathbb{E}\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s} \lesssim \lambda_{l} x$ always holds and (6.3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s} \geq \lambda_{l} x\right) \leq \frac{C_{1} n s^{q / 2}}{x^{q}} \cdot \frac{\tau_{l}^{q / 2-1} \tilde{\omega}_{l, q}^{q}}{\lambda_{l}^{q}}+\exp \left(-\frac{C_{2}\left(\lambda_{l} x\right)^{2} \tau_{l-1}^{2 \alpha}}{n \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar inequality holds for $R_{n, l}^{o}$. Let

$$
A=\sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\varpi_{l}^{c}}{\lambda_{l}^{q}} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\sum_{l=1}^{L} \exp \left(-\frac{C_{5} x^{2} \lambda_{l}^{2} \varpi_{l}^{2 \alpha}}{n m^{-2 \alpha} \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right)
$$

Since $\sum_{l=1}^{L} \lambda_{l} \leq 1$ and $\left|M_{n, l}\right|_{s} \leq\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s}+\left|R_{n, l}^{o}\right|_{s}$, by (6.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{l=1}^{L} M_{n, l}\right|_{s} \geq 2 x\right) & \leq \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|M_{n, l}\right|_{s} \geq 2 \lambda_{l} x\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{l=1}^{L}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(\left|R_{n, l}^{e}\right|_{s} \geq \lambda_{l} x\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\left|R_{n, l}^{o}\right|_{s} \geq \lambda_{l} x\right)\right]  \tag{6.5}\\
& \leq \frac{C_{3} n m^{c} s^{q / 2}\left\||X \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}^{q}}{x^{q}} A+C_{4} B
\end{align*}
$$

Let $v:=\min _{l \geq 1} \lambda_{l}^{2} \varpi_{l}^{2 \alpha}>0$. By the definition of $\varpi_{l}$ and $\lambda_{l}$ and by elementary calculations, there exists a constant $C_{6}>1$ such that for all $t \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{L} \exp \left(-C_{5} t \lambda_{l}^{2} \varpi_{l}^{2 \alpha}\right) \leq C_{6} \exp \left(-C_{5} t v\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c>0$, it can be obtained that $A \leq C_{7} \varpi_{L}^{c} \leq C_{7} n^{c} / m^{c}$. If $c<0$, then $A \leq C_{8}$. Hence, combining (6.1), (6.2), (6.5), (6.6), Theorem 6.1 follows.

THEOREM 6.2. Assume $\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}<\infty$, where $q>2$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, and $\Psi_{2, \alpha}<\infty$ : (i) If $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$, then for $x \gtrsim \sqrt{n \ell} \Psi_{2, \alpha}+n^{1 / q} \ell^{3 / 2}\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq x\right) \leq \frac{C_{q, \alpha} n \ell^{q / 2}\left\||X \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}^{q}}{x^{q}}+C_{q, \alpha} \exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} x^{2}}{n \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $0<\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q$, then for $x \gtrsim \sqrt{n \ell} \Psi_{2, \alpha}+n^{1 / 2-\alpha} \ell^{3 / 2}\left\||X .|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geq x\right) \leq \frac{C_{q, \alpha} n^{q / 2-\alpha q} \ell^{q / 2}\left\||X \cdot|_{\infty}\right\|_{q, \alpha}^{q}}{x^{q}}+C_{q, \alpha} \exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} x^{2}}{n \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.1, and thus is omitted.
7. Proofs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3. The main result in this section is Theorem 7.4, which provides an error bound of the Gaussian approximation. Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 follow from Theorem 7.4.
7.1. An error bound of the Gaussian approximation. We shall apply the $m$ dependence approximation approach. For $m \geq 0$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i, m}=\left(X_{i 1, m}, \ldots, X_{i p, m}\right)^{\top}=\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} \mid \varepsilon_{i-m}, \varepsilon_{i-m+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $T_{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ and $T_{X, m}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, m}$. For simplicity, suppose $n=(M+$ $m) w$, where $M \gg m$ and $M, m, w \rightarrow \infty$ (to be determined) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We apply the block technique and split the interval $[1, n]$ into alternating large blocks $L_{b}=$
$[(b-1)(M+m)+1, b M+(b-1) m]$ and small blocks $S_{b}=[b M+(b-1) m+$ $1, b(M+m)], 1 \leq b \leq w$. Let

$$
Y_{b}=\sum_{i \in L_{b}} X_{i}, \quad Y_{b, m}=\sum_{i \in L_{b}} X_{i, m}, \quad T_{Y}=\sum_{b=1}^{w} Y_{b}, \quad T_{Y, m}=\sum_{b=1}^{w} Y_{b, m}
$$

Let $Z_{b}, 1 \leq b \leq w$, be i.i.d. $N(0, M B)$ and $Z_{b, m}$ be i.i.d. $N(0, M \tilde{B})$, where the covariance matrices $B$ and $\tilde{B}$ are respectively given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{p}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{b} / \sqrt{M}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{B}=\left(\tilde{b}_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{p}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{b, m} / \sqrt{M}\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $T_{Z, m}=\sum_{b=1}^{w} Z_{b, m}$ and let $Z \sim N(0, \Sigma)$.
Lemma 7.1. (i) Assume $\Theta_{q, \alpha}<\infty$ for some $q>2$ and $\alpha>0$. Then there exists some constant $C_{q, \alpha}$ such that for $y>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{X}-T_{Y, m}\right|_{\infty} \geq y\right) \lesssim f_{1}^{*}(y)+f_{2}^{*}(y)=: f^{*}(y) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant in $\lesssim$ only depends on $q$ and $\alpha$,

$$
f_{1}^{*}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{-q} n m^{q / 2-1-\alpha q} \Theta_{q, \alpha}^{q}+p \exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} y^{2} m^{2 \alpha}}{n \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right)  \tag{7.4}\\
\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q, \\
y^{-q} n^{q / 2-\alpha q} \Theta_{q, \alpha}^{q}+p \exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} y^{2} m^{2 \alpha}}{n \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right) \\
\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
f_{2}^{*}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{-q} w m \Theta_{q, \alpha}^{q}+p \exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} y^{2}}{m w \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right)  \tag{7.5}\\
\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q \\
y^{-q}(w m)^{q / 2-\alpha q} \Theta_{q, \alpha}^{q}+p \exp \left(-\frac{C_{q, \alpha} y^{2}}{w m \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}}\right) \\
\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) Assume $\Phi_{\psi_{v}, \alpha}<\infty$ for some $v \geq 0$ and $\alpha>0$. Let $\beta=2 /(1+2 v)$. Then there exists a constant $C_{\beta}>0$ such that for $y>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{X}-T_{Y, m}\right|_{\infty} \geq y\right) \lesssim f_{1}^{\diamond}(y)+f_{2}^{\diamond}(y)=: f^{\diamond}(y) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant in $\lesssim$ only depends on $\beta$ and $\alpha$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}^{\diamond}(y)=p \exp \left\{-C_{\beta}\left(\frac{y m^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{n} \Phi_{\psi_{v}, \alpha}}\right)^{\beta}\right\} \\
& f_{2}^{\diamond}(y)=p \exp \left\{-C_{\beta}\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{m w} \Phi_{\psi_{v}, 0}}\right)^{\beta}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 7.2. Let $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{p}$ be a diagonal matrix. Assume that there exist constants $c>0, c_{2}>c_{1}>0$ such that $c<\min _{1 \leq j \leq p} d_{j j}$ and $c_{1} \leq \tilde{b}_{j j} / d_{j j} \leq c_{2}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. Assume $\Psi_{q, 0}<\infty$ for some $q \geq 4$. Then for all $\lambda \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} & \left|\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D^{-1 / 2} T_{Y, m} / \sqrt{n}\right|_{\infty} \leq t\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D^{-1 / 2} T_{Z, m} / \sqrt{n}\right|_{\infty} \leq t\right)\right| \\
& \lesssim w^{-1 / 8}\left(\Psi_{3,0}^{3 / 4} \vee \Psi_{4,0}^{1 / 2}\right)(\log (p w / \lambda))^{7 / 8}+w^{-1 / 2}(\log (p w / \lambda))^{3 / 2} u_{m}(\lambda)+\lambda \\
& =: h\left(\lambda, u_{m}(\lambda)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant in $\lesssim$ depends on $c, c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $q$ and $\alpha$ for (i), and $\beta$ for (ii) below, and $u_{m}(\lambda) \leq u_{m}^{*}(\lambda)$ in (i), and $u_{m}(\lambda) \leq u_{m}^{\diamond}(\lambda)$ in (ii).
(i) Assume $\Theta_{q, \alpha}<\infty$ for some $q \geq 4$ and $\alpha>0$, then

$$
u_{m}^{*}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max \left\{\Theta_{q, \alpha}\left(\lambda^{-1} w\right)^{1 / q} M^{1 / q-1 / 2}, \Psi_{2, \alpha} \sqrt{\log (p w / \lambda)}\right\},  \tag{7.7}\\
\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q, \\
\max \left\{\Theta_{q, \alpha}\left(\lambda^{-1} w\right)^{1 / q} M^{-\alpha}, \Psi_{2, \alpha} \sqrt{\log (p w / \lambda)}\right\}, \\
\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) Assume $\Phi_{\psi_{\nu}, 0}<\infty$ for some $\nu \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}^{\diamond}(\lambda)=\max \left\{\Phi_{\psi_{\nu}, 0}(\log (p w / \lambda))^{1 / \beta}, \sqrt{\log (p w / \lambda)}\right\} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMmA 7.3. Assume $\Psi_{2, \alpha}<\infty$ for some $\alpha>0$. Let $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{p}$ be a diagonal matrix such that there exist some constants $0<C_{1}<C_{2}$ such that $C_{1} \leq$ $\sigma_{j j} / d_{j j} \leq C_{2}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} & \left|\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D^{-1 / 2} T_{Z, m} / \sqrt{n}\right|_{\infty} \leq t\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D^{-1 / 2} Z\right|_{\infty} \leq t\right)\right| \\
& \lesssim \pi\left(\max _{1 \leq j \leq p} d_{j j}^{-1} \Psi_{2, \alpha} \Psi_{2,0}\left(m^{-\alpha}+v(M)\right)+w m / n\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi(x)=x^{1 / 3}(1 \vee \log (p / x))^{2 / 3}$ for $x>0$ and $v(M)$ is the same as defined in Corollary 5.3.

Theorem 7.4. Let $\Sigma_{0}=\operatorname{diag}(\Sigma)$ and $D_{0}=\Sigma_{0}^{1 / 2}$. Let Assumption 3.1 be satisfied. (i) Assume $\Theta_{q, \alpha}<\infty$, where $q \geq 4$ and $\alpha>0$. Let $\chi(m, M)=$ $\Psi_{2, \alpha} \Psi_{2,0}\left(m^{-\alpha}+v(M)\right)+w m / n$, where $v(M)$ is given in Corollary 5.3. Recall (3.1) for $\rho_{n}$. Then for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $\eta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n} \lesssim f^{*}(\sqrt{n} \eta)+\eta \sqrt{\log p}+h\left(\lambda, u_{m}^{*}(\lambda)\right)+\pi(\chi(m, M)) . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Assume $\Phi_{\psi_{\nu}, \alpha}<\infty$, where $\nu \geq 0$ and $\alpha>0$. Then for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $\eta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n} \lesssim f^{\diamond}(\sqrt{n} \eta)+\eta \sqrt{\log p}+h\left(\lambda, u_{m}^{\diamond}(\lambda)\right)+\pi(\chi(m, M)) \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) By Lemma 7.2(i) and Lemma 7.3, we have for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1} T_{Y, m} / \sqrt{n}\right|_{\infty} \leq t\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1} Z\right|_{\infty} \leq t\right)\right|  \tag{7.11}\\
& \lesssim h\left(\lambda, u_{m}^{*}(\lambda)\right)+\pi\left(\Psi_{2, \alpha} \Psi_{2,0}\left(m^{-\alpha}+v(M)\right)+w m / n\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that the Gaussian vector $D_{0}^{-1} Z$ has marginal variance 1. By Theorem 3 of [12], for every $\eta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(\left.| | D_{0}^{-1} Z\right|_{\infty}-t \mid \leq \eta\right) \lesssim \eta \sqrt{\log p} \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the triangle inequality, for every $\eta>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1} T_{X} / \sqrt{n}\right|_{\infty}>t\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1} T_{Y, m} / \sqrt{n}\right|_{\infty}>t\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|D_{0}^{-1}\left(T_{X}-T_{Y, m}\right) / \sqrt{n}\right|_{\infty}>\eta\right)+\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(| | D_{0}^{-1} T_{Y, m} /\left.\sqrt{n}\right|_{\infty}-t \mid \leq \eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies Theorem 7.4(i) in view of Lemma 7.1(i), (7.11) and (7.12).
(ii) Inequality (7.10) can be obtained by replacing $f^{*}$ and $u_{m}^{*}$ with $f^{\diamond}$ and $u_{m}^{\diamond}$ in the above proof.

### 7.2. Proofs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

Proof. Recall (7.3) for $f^{*}(\cdot)$. By Theorem 7.4, for $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$, to have (3.1), we need

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(\Psi_{2, \alpha} \Psi_{2,0}\left(m^{-\alpha}+v(M)\right)+w m / n\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for some $\eta>0$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{*}(\sqrt{n} \eta)+\eta \sqrt{\log p} & \rightarrow 0  \tag{7.14}\\
h\left(\lambda, u_{m}^{*}(\lambda)\right) & \rightarrow 0 \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

First, (7.13) requires $m \gg L_{1}, w m \ll n(\log p)^{-2}, w \ll n(\log p)^{-2}\left(\Psi_{2, \alpha} \Psi_{2,0}\right)^{-1}$ if $\alpha>1$ and $w \ll n / L_{1}$ if $0<\alpha<1$. Moreover, (7.14) requires $m \gg \max \left(L_{0}\right.$, $\left.\left(\Psi_{2, \alpha} \log p\right)^{1 / \alpha}\right)$ with $L_{0}=\left(n^{1 / q-1 / 2}(\log p)^{1 / 2} \Theta_{q, \alpha}\right)^{1 /(\alpha-1 / 2+1 / q)}$ and $w m \ll$ $\min \left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$. And (7.15) needs (3.2) and $w \gg \max \left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$. We also need $M \asymp n / w \gg m$. Notice that $\left(\Psi_{2, \alpha} \log p\right)^{1 / \alpha} \lesssim L_{1}, N_{2} \lesssim n(\log p)^{-2}, N_{2} \leq$ $n(\log p)^{-2}\left(\Psi_{2, \alpha} \Psi_{2,0}\right)^{-1}$ and under (3.2), $L_{0} \rightarrow 0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} \max \left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)=o(1) \min \left(n, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we can always choose $m$ and $w$ such that (3.1) holds. Observe that $N_{2} \lesssim n$, then (7.16) is reduced to (3.3).

For $0<\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q$, the function $f^{*}$ in (7.14) is replaced by $f^{\diamond}$ [cf. (7.6)], which implies $\Theta_{q, \alpha}(\log p)^{1 / 2}=o\left(n^{\alpha}\right), m \gg\left(\Psi_{2, \alpha} \log p\right)^{1 / \alpha}$ and
$w m \ll \min \left(N_{2}, N_{3}\right)$. And $u_{m}^{*}$ in (7.15) is replaced by $u_{m}^{\diamond}$, implying $w \gg \max \left(W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}\right)$. By the similar argument, if (3.4) is further assumed, then (3.1) also holds for the case $0<\alpha<1 / 2-1 / q$.

The proof of Theorem 3.3 is similar to that of Theorem 3.2, and thus is omitted.

REMARK 5. In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we exclude the case $\alpha=1$ when $\alpha>1 / 2-1 / q$. If $\alpha=1$, we need to impose the additional assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)=o\left(n /\left(L_{1} \log n\right)\right) \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

to ensure (7.13). The above condition is very mild since (3.3) implies that $\max \left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)=o\left(n / L_{1}\right)$. If $\log n \lesssim(\log p)^{2} \Psi_{2, \alpha}^{2}$, which trivially holds in the high-dimensional case $p \asymp n^{\kappa}$ with some $\kappa>0$, we have $N_{2}=O(n / \log n)$, and hence (3.3) implies (7.17). Similarly, in Theorem 3.3 we shall further assume $\max \left(W_{1}, W_{4}\right)=o\left(n /\left(L_{1} \log n\right)\right)$ if $\alpha=1$.
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