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We study the Schrödinger equation: $-\Delta u+V(x) u+f(x, u)=0, u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $V$ is 1-periodic and $f$ is 1-periodic in the $x$-variables; 0 is in a gap of the spectrum of the operator $-\Delta+V$. We prove that, under some new assumptions for $f$, this equation has a nontrivial solution. Our assumptions for the nonlinearity $f$ are very weak and greatly different from the known assumptions in the literature.

## 1. Introduction and Statement of Results

In this paper, we consider the following Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u+f(x, u)=0, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 1$. For $V$ and $f$, we assume the following.
(v) $V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is 1-periodic in $x_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, N, 0$ is in a spectral gap $\left(-\mu_{-1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ of $-\Delta+V$, and $-\mu_{-1}$ and $\mu_{1}$ lie in the essential spectrum of $-\Delta+V$.
Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}:=\min \left\{\mu_{-1}, \mu_{1}\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right) f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ is 1-periodic in $x_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, N$. And there exist constants $C>0$ and $2<p<2^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq C\left(1+|t|^{p-1}\right), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
2^{*}:= \begin{cases}\frac{2 N}{(N-2)}, & N \geq 3  \tag{4}\\ \infty, & N=1,2\end{cases}
$$

$\left(\mathbf{f}_{2}\right)$ The limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(x, t) / t=0$ holds uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. And there exists $D>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|t| \geq D} \frac{f(x, t)}{t}>\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{ \pm}(x)=\max \{ \pm V(x), 0\}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
$\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$ For any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \widetilde{F}(x, t) \geq 0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}(x, t):=\frac{1}{2} t f(x, t)-F(x, t), \quad F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$ There exist $0<\kappa<D$ and $\nu \in\left(0, \mu_{0}\right)$ such that, for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $|t|<\kappa$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq v|t| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\kappa \leq|t| \leq D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}(x, t)>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. By the definitions of $F$ and $\widetilde{F}$, it is easy to verify that, for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{F(x, t)}{t^{2}}\right)=\frac{2 \widetilde{F}(x, t)}{t^{3}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with $f(x, t)=o(t)$ as $|t| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \geq 0 \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. There are many functions satisfying $\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$. We give several examples here.

Example 1. $D=1+\mu_{0} / 2+e^{1+\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}}, \kappa=1+\mu_{0} / 2, \nu=\mu_{0} / 2$, and

$$
f(x, t)= \begin{cases}0, & |t| \leq 1  \tag{11}\\ t \ln |t|, & |t|>1\end{cases}
$$

Example 2. $D=3+\mu_{0} / 2+2 \max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}, \kappa=3 / 2, v=\mu_{0} / 2$, and

$$
f(x, t)= \begin{cases}0, & |t| \leq 1  \tag{12}\\ D(t-1), & t>1 \\ D(t+1), & t<-1\end{cases}
$$

Example 3. $D=\mu_{0} / 2+e^{1+\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}}, \kappa=\nu=\mu_{0} / 2$, and $f(x, t)=t \ln (1+|t|)$.

A solution $u$ of ( 1 ) is called nontrivial if $u \not \equiv 0$. Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 3. Suppose (v) and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied. Then (1) has a nontrivial solution.

Note that

$$
\left(\mathbf{f}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \text { the limits } \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(x, t) / t=0 \text { and } \lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}(f(x,
$$

$$
t) / t)=+\infty \text { hold uniformly for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

Implying $\left(f_{2}\right)$, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 4. Suppose $(\mathbf{v}),\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathbf{f}_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$, and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied. Then (1) has a nontrivial solution.

It is easy to verify that the condition

$$
\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{F}(x, t)>0 \text {, for every }(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} .
$$

And the assumption that $f(x, t) / t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ imply $\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$. Therefore, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5. Suppose $(\mathbf{v}),\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathbf{f}_{2}\right)$, and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ are satisfied. Then (1) has a nontrivial solution.

Semilinear Schrödinger equations with periodic coefficients have attracted much attention in recent years due to its numerous applications. One can see [1-24] and the references therein. In [2], the authors used the dual variational method to obtain a nontrivial solution of (1) with $f(x, t)=$ $\pm W(x)|t|^{p-2} t$, where $W$ is an asymptotically periodic function. In [20], Troestler and Willem firstly obtained nontrivial
solutions for (1) with $f$ being a $C^{1}$ function satisfying the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition:
(AR) there exists $\alpha>2$ such that, for every $u \neq 0,0<$ $\alpha G(x, u) \leq g(x, u) u$, where $g(x, u)=-f(x, u), G(x$, $u)=-F(x, u)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial f(x, u)}{\partial u}\right| \leq C\left(|u|^{p-2}+|u|^{q-2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $2<p<q<2^{*}$. Then, in [9], Kryszewski and Szulkin developed some infinite-dimensional linking theorems. Using these theorems, they improved Troestler and Willem's results and obtained nontrivial solutions for (1) with $f$ only satisfying ( $\mathbf{f}_{1}$ ) and the (AR) condition. These generalized linking theorems were also used by Li and Szulkin to obtain nontrivial solution for (1) under some asymptotically linear assumptions for $f$ (see [11]). In [13] (see also [14]), existence of nontrivial solutions for (1) under ( $\mathbf{f}_{1}$ ) and the (AR) condition was also obtained by Pankov and Pflüger through approximating (1) by a sequence of equations defined in bounded domains. In the celebrated paper [17], Schechter and Zou combined a generalized linking theorem with the monotonicity methods of Jeanjean (see [8]). They obtained a nontrivial solution of (1) when $f$ exhibits the critical growth. A similar approach was applied by Szulkin and Zou to obtain homoclinic orbits of asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems (see [19]). Moreover, in [5] (see also [6]), Ding and Lee obtained nontrivial solutions for (1) under some new superlinear assumptions on $f$ different from the classical (AR) conditions.

Our assumptions on $f$ are very weak and greatly different from the assumptions mentioned above. In fact, our assumptions $\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$ do not involve the properties of $f$ at infinity. It may be asymptotically linear growth at infinity, that is, $\lim \sup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}(f(x, t) / t)<+\infty$, or superlinear growth at infinity as well, that is, $\liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}(f(x, t) / t)=+\infty$. Moreover, the assumptions $\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$ allow $f(x, t) \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of $t=0$ (see Remark 2).

In this paper, we use the generalized linking theorem for a class of parameter-dependent functionals (see [17, Theorem 2.1] or Proposition 8 in the present paper) to obtain a sequence of approximate solutions for (1). Then, we prove that these approximate solutions are bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (see Lemmas 13 and 14). Finally, using the concentration-compactness principle, we obtain a nontrivial solution of (1).

Notation. $B_{r}(a)$ denotes the open ball of radius $r$ and center a. For a Banach space $E$, we denote the dual space of $E$ by $E^{\prime}$ and denote strong and weak convergence in $E$ by $\rightarrow$ and $\rightarrow$, respectively. For $\varphi \in C^{1}(E ; \mathbb{R})$, we denote the Fréchet derivative of $\varphi$ at $u$ by $\varphi^{\prime}(u)$. The Gateaux derivative of $\varphi$ is denoted by $\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle, \forall u, v \in E . L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes the standard $L^{p}$ space $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$, and $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes
the standard Sobolev space with norm $\|u\|_{H^{1}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.u^{2}\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2}$. We use $O(h), o(h)$ to mean $|O(h)| \leq C|h|$, $o(h) /|h| \rightarrow 0$.

## 2. Existence of Approximate Solutions for (1)

Under the assumptions $(\mathbf{v}),\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$, and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$, the functional

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u^{2} d x \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

is of class $C^{1}$ on $X:=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and the critical points of $\Phi$ are weak solutions of (1).

There is a standard variational setting for the quadratic form $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) d x$. For the reader's convenience, we state it here. One can consult [5] or [6] for more details.

Assume that ( $\mathbf{v}$ ) holds, and let $S=-\Delta+V$ be the self-adjoint operator acting on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with domain $D(S)=H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By virtue of $(\mathbf{v})$, we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=L^{+}+L^{-} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $S$ is negative (resp., positive) in $L^{-}$(resp., in $L^{+}$). As in [5, Section 2] (see also [6, Chapter 6.2]), let $X=D\left(|S|^{1 / 2}\right)$ be equipped with the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)=\left(|S|^{1 / 2} u,|S|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{L^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and norm $\|u\|=\left\||S|^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ denotes the inner product of $L^{2}$. From (v),

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equivalent norms. Therefore, $X$ continuously embeds in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for all $2 \leq q \leq 2 N /(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$ and for all $q \geq 2$ if $N=1,2$. In addition, we have the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X^{+}+X^{-} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{ \pm}=X \cap L^{ \pm}$is orthogonal with respect to both $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Therefore, for every $u \in X$, there is a unique decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u^{+}+u^{-}, \quad u^{ \pm} \in X^{ \pm} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left(u^{+}, u^{-}\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) u^{2} d x=\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}, \quad u \in X \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{-1}\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall u \in X  \tag{21}\\
& \mu_{1}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall u \in X . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The functional $\Phi$ defined by (14) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)+\psi(u) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above variational setting for the functional (14) is standard. One can consult [5] or [6] for more details.

Let $\left\{e_{k}^{ \pm}\right\}$be the total orthonormal sequence in $X^{ \pm}$. Let $P$ : $X \rightarrow X^{-}, Q: X \rightarrow X^{+}$be the orthogonal projections. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\|u\|\|=\max \left\{\|P u\|, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\left|\left(Q u, e_{k}^{+}\right)\right|\right\} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $X$. The topology generated by $|||\cdot|||$ is denoted by $\tau$, and all topological notation related to it will include this symbol.

## Lemma 6. Suppose that (v) holds. Then

(a) $\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-} \geq \mu_{-1}$, where $\mu_{-1}$ is defined in (v);
(b) for any $C>\mu_{-1}$, there exists $u_{0} \in X^{-}$with $\left\|u_{0}\right\|=1$ such that $C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}>1$.

Proof. (a) We apply an indirect argument, and assume by contradiction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}<\mu_{-1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From assumption (v), $-\mu_{-1}$ is in the essential spectrum of the operator (with domain $D(L)=H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=-\Delta+V: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Weyl's criterion (see, e.g., [25, Theorem VII.12] or [26, Theorem 7.2]), there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with the properties that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}=1, \forall n$ and $\|-\Delta u_{n}+V u_{n}+$ $\mu_{-1} u_{n} \|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\mu_{-1}>\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}$, we deduce that $-V_{-}(x)+\mu_{-1}>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Together with the facts that $V$ is a continuous periodic function and $V=V_{+}-V_{-}$, this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(V(x)+\mu_{-1}\right)>0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that there exists a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(V(x)+\mu_{-1}\right) u^{2}\right) d x \geq C^{\prime}\|u\|^{2}, \quad \forall u \in X \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(-\Delta u_{n}+V(x) u_{n}+\mu_{-1} u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left(V(x)+\mu_{-1}\right) u_{n}^{2}\right) d x \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Together with (29) and the fact that $\|-\Delta u_{n}+V u_{n}+$ $\mu_{-1} u_{n} \|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}=1$, this implies $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. It contradicts $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}=1, \forall n$. Therefore, $\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-} \geq \mu_{-1}$.
(b) It suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{-1}=C_{-}:=\inf \left\{\|u\|^{2} \mid u \in X^{-},\|u\|_{L^{2}}=1\right\} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21), we deduce that $\mu_{-1} \leq C_{-}$. From assumption (v), $-\mu_{-1}$ is in the essential spectrum of $L$. By Weyl's criterion, there exists $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}=1$ and $\left\|-\Delta u_{n}+V u_{n}+\mu_{-1} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow 0$. Multiplying $-\Delta u_{n}+V u_{n}+$ $\mu_{-1} u_{n}$ by $u_{n}^{+}$and then integrating it into $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, by (20) and (22), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{-1}\right)\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}^{+}\right|^{2}+V(x)\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}+\mu_{-1}\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}\right) d x  \tag{32}\\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(-\Delta u_{n}+V(x) u_{n}+\mu_{-1} u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{+} d x \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow 1$. Multiplying $-\Delta u_{n}+V u_{n}+\mu_{-1} u_{n}$ by $u_{n}^{-}$and then integrating it into $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
- & \left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}+\mu_{-1}\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}+V(x)\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}+\mu_{-1}\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right) d x  \tag{33}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(-\Delta u_{n}+V u_{n}+\mu_{-1} u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{-} d x \longrightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

It implies that $\mu_{-1} \geq C_{-}$. This together with $\mu_{-1} \leq C_{-}$implies $\mu_{-1}=C_{-}$.

Let $R>r>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|t| \geq D} \frac{f(x, t)}{t} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From assumption (5), we have $A>\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}$. Together with the result (a) of Lemma 6, this implies that $(1 / 2)\left(A+\mu_{-1}\right)>$ $\mu_{-1}$. Choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \in\left(\mu_{-1}, \frac{\left(A+\mu_{-1}\right)}{2}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by the result (b) of Lemma 6, there exists $u_{0} \in X^{-}$with $\left\|u_{0}\right\|=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}>1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{gather*}
N=\left\{u \in X^{-} \mid\|u\|=r\right\},  \tag{37}\\
M=\left\{u \in X^{+} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} u_{0} \mid\|u\| \leq R\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, $M$ is a submanifold of $X^{+} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} u_{0}$ with boundary

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial M= & \left\{u \in X^{-} \mid\|u\| \leq R\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{u^{-}+t u_{0} \mid u^{-} \in X^{-}, t>0,\left\|u^{-}+t u_{0}\right\|=R\right\} . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 7. Let $\phi \in C^{1}(X ; \mathbb{R})$. A sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is called a Palais-Smale sequence at level $c\left((P S)_{c}\right.$-sequence for short) for $\phi$, if $\phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\left\|\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The following proposition is proved in [17] (see [17, Theorem 2.1]).

Proposition 8. Let $0<K<1$. The family of $C^{1}$-functional $\left\{H_{\lambda}\right\}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\lambda}(u)=\lambda I(u)-J(u), \quad u \in X, \lambda \in[K, 1] . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Assume

(a) $J(u) \geq 0, \forall u \in X$;
(b) $|I(u)|+J(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty$;
(c) for all $\lambda \in[K, 1], H_{\lambda}$ is $\tau$-sequentially upper semicontinuous; that is, if $\left\|\mid u_{n}-u\right\| \| 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} H_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq H_{\lambda}(u), \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $H_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous. Moreover, $H_{\lambda}$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets;
(d) there exist $u_{0} \in X^{-} \backslash\{0\}$ with $\left\|u_{0}\right\|=1$ and $R>r>0$ such that, for all $\lambda \in[K, 1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{N} H_{\lambda}>\sup _{\partial M} H_{\lambda} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $E \subset[K, 1]$ such that the Lebesgue measure of $[K, 1] \backslash E$ is zero and, for every $\lambda \in E$, there exist $c_{\lambda}$ and a bounded $(P S)_{c_{\lambda}}$-sequence for $H_{\lambda}$, where $c_{\lambda}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{M} H_{\lambda} \geq c_{\lambda} \geq \inf _{N} H_{\lambda} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<K<1$ and $\lambda \in[K, 1]$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u)= & \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u^{2} d x \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V_{+}(x) u^{2}\right) d x+\psi(u)\right), \quad u \in X \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1}=-\Phi \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is easy to verify that a critical point $u$ of $\Psi_{\lambda}$ is a weak solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V_{\lambda}(x) u+f(x, u)=0, \quad u \in X \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\lambda}=V_{+}-\lambda V_{-} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 9. Suppose that $(\mathbf{v})$ and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ hold. Then, there exist $0<K_{*}<1$ and $E \subset\left[K_{*}, 1\right]$ such that the Lebesgue measure of $\left[K_{*}, 1\right] \backslash E$ is zero and, for every $\lambda \in E$, there exist $c_{\lambda}$ and a bounded $(P S)_{c_{\lambda}}$-sequence for $\Psi_{\lambda}$, where $c_{\lambda}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\infty>\sup _{\lambda \in E} c_{\lambda} \geq \inf _{\lambda \in E} c_{\lambda}>0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof. For $u \in X$, let

$$
\begin{gather*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u^{2} d x  \tag{48}\\
J(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V_{+}(x) u^{2}\right) d x+\psi(u) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, $I$ and $J$ satisfy assumptions (a) and (b) in Proposition 8, and, by (43), $\Psi_{\lambda}(u)=\lambda I(u)-J(u)$.

From (43) and (20), for any $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in[K, 1]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u)= & \frac{\lambda-1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u^{2} d x \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1-\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $u_{*} \in X$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ be such that $\left\|\mid u_{n}-u_{*}\right\| \| \rightarrow 0$. It follows that $u_{n}^{-} \rightarrow u_{*}^{-}, u_{n}^{+} \rightharpoonup u_{*}^{+}$, and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{*}$. In addition, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{*}$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2} \longrightarrow\left\|u_{*}^{-}\right\|^{2} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u_{n}^{2} d x \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u_{*}^{2} d x \quad \text { (by Fatou's lemma) },  \tag{51}\\
& \quad \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2} \geq\left\|u_{*}^{+}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By Remark 1, $F(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $x$ and $t$. This together with Fatou's lemma implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, u_{*}\right) d x \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (49), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{*}\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\Psi_{\lambda}$ is $\tau$-sequentially upper semicontinuous.
If $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{*}$ in $X$, then, for any fixed $\varphi \in X$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle & \left.-\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi+V_{\lambda} u_{n} \varphi\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) \varphi d x \\
& \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\nabla u_{*} \nabla \varphi+V_{\lambda} u_{*} \varphi\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{*}\right) \varphi d x \\
& =\left\langle-\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{*}\right), \varphi\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\Psi_{\lambda}$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets. Therefore, $\Psi_{\lambda}$ satisfies assumption (c) in Proposition 8.

Finally, we will verify assumption (d) in Proposition 8 for $\Psi_{\lambda}$.

From assumption ( $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ) and $f(x, t) / t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we deduce that, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \leq \epsilon t^{2}+C_{\epsilon}|t|^{p}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (49) and (55), we have, for $u \in N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u) \geq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1-\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u^{2} d x  \tag{56}\\
& -\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2} d x-C_{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Then by the Sobolev inequality $\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C\|u\|$ and $\|u\|_{L^{2}} \leq$ $C\|u\|$ (by (21) and (22)), we deduce that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u) \geq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-C(1-\lambda) \max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x)\|u\|^{2}  \tag{57}\\
& -\epsilon C\|u\|^{2}-C C_{\epsilon}\|u\|^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $0<K_{*}<1$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that $C\left(1-K_{*}\right)$ $\max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x)<1 / 4$ and $C_{\epsilon}=1 / 8$. Then, for every $\lambda \in\left[K_{*}, 1\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{8}\|u\|^{2}-C C_{\epsilon}\|u\|^{p} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r>0$ be such that $r^{p-2} C C_{\epsilon}=1 / 16$ and $\beta=r^{2} / 16$. Then, from (58), we deduce that, for $N=\left\{u \in X^{-} \mid\|u\|=r\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{N} \Psi_{\lambda} \geq \beta, \quad \forall \lambda \in\left[K_{*}, 1\right] \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove that $\sup _{K_{*} \leq \lambda \leq 1} \Psi_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $u \in X^{+} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} u_{0}$. Arguing indirectly, assume that, for some sequences $\lambda_{n} \in\left[K_{*}, 1\right]$ and $u_{n} \in X^{+} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{+} u_{0}$ with $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$, there is $\mathscr{L}>0$ such that $\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq-\mathscr{L}$ for all $n$. Then, setting $w_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, we have $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$, and, up to a subsequence, $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w, w_{n}^{-} \rightarrow w^{-} \in X^{-}$and $w_{n}^{+} \rightharpoonup w^{+} \in X^{+}$.

First, we consider the case $w \neq 0$. Dividing both sides of (49) by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\mathscr{L}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \leq & \frac{\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) w_{n}^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x . \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5) and the result (a) of Lemma 6, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{t^{2}} \geq \frac{A}{2}>\frac{1}{2} \max _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-} \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu_{-1} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is defined by (34). Note that, for $x \in\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid\right.$ $w \neq 0\}$, we have $\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \rightarrow+\infty$. This implies that, when $n$ is large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid w \neq 0\right\}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x \geq \frac{A+\mu_{-1}}{4} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid w \neq 0\right\}} w_{n}^{2} d x \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (10), we have, when $n$ is large enough,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x  \tag{63}\\
& \geq \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid w \neq 0\right\}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the above two inequalities yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) w_{n}^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{A+\mu_{-1}}{4} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid w \neq 0\right\}} w_{n}^{2} d x\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|w^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{A+\mu_{-1}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w^{2} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|w^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{A+\mu_{-1}}{4}\left\|w^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} . \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

We used the inequalities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w^{-}\right\|^{2}, \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2} \geq\left\|w^{+}\right\|^{2},  \tag{65}\\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid w \neq 0\right\}} w_{n}^{2} d x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w^{2} d x
\end{gather*}
$$

in the second inequality of (64).
Since $w^{-}=t u_{0}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by (36), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A+\mu_{-1}}{4}\left\|w^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq \frac{A+\mu_{-1}}{4 \gamma}\left\|w^{-}\right\|^{2} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, by the choice of $\gamma$ (see (35)), we have $((A+$ $\left.\left.\mu_{-1}\right) / 4 \gamma\right)>1 / 2$. Then by (64) and the fact that $w \neq 0$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) w_{n}^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x\right) \\
& \leq-\left(\frac{A+\mu_{-1}}{4 \gamma}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\|w^{-}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|w^{+}\right\|^{2}<0 \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

It contradicts (60), since $-\mathscr{L} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Second, we consider the case $w=0$. In this case, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}^{-}\right\|=0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}^{+}\right\| \geq 1 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$ and $w_{n}=w_{n}^{+}+w_{n}^{-}$. Therefore, the right hand side of (60) is less than $-1 / 4$ when $n$ is large enough. However, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the left hand side of (60) converges to zero. It induces a contradiction.

Therefore, there exists $R>r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda \in\left[K_{*}, 1\right]} \sup _{\partial M} \Psi_{\lambda} \leq 0 . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\Psi_{\lambda}$ satisfies assumption (d) in Proposition 8 if $\lambda \in\left[K_{*}, 1\right]$. Finally, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda \in\left[K_{*}, 1\right]} \sup _{M} \Psi_{\lambda}<+\infty . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the results of this lemma follow immediately from Proposition 8.

Lemma 10. Suppose that $(\mathbf{v})$ and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$ are satisfied. Let $\lambda \in$ [ $\left.K_{*}, 1\right]$ be fixed, where $K_{*}$ is the constant in Lemma 9. If $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded $(P S)_{c}$-sequence for $\Psi_{\lambda}$ with $c \neq 0$, then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $a_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_{n}:=v_{n}\left(\cdot+a_{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda} \neq 0, \quad \Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \leq c, \quad \Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0 . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is inspired by the proof of Lemma 3.7 in [19]. Because $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $X$, up to a subsequence, either
(a) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d x=0$ or
(b) there exist $\varrho>0$ and $a_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1}\left(a_{n}\right)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d x \geq \varrho$.
If (a) occurs, using the Lions lemma (see, e.g., [21, Lemma $1.21]$ ), a similar argument as for the proof of [19, Lemma 3.6] shows that
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, v_{n}\right) d x=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, v_{n}\right) v_{n}^{ \pm} d x=0$.

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(2 F\left(x, v_{n}\right)-f\left(x, v_{n}\right) v_{n}\right) d x=0 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, as $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence of $\Psi_{\lambda}$, we have $\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ and $\Psi_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \neq 0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(f\left(x, v_{n}\right) v_{n}-2 F\left(x, v_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& \quad=2 \Psi_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right)-\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle \longrightarrow 2 c \neq 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

This contradicts (73). Therefore, case (a) cannot occur.
If case (b) occurs, let $u_{n}=v_{n}\left(\cdot+a_{n}\right)$. For every $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1}(0)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \geq \varrho \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $V$ and $F(x, t)$ are 1-periodic in every $x_{j},\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is still bounded in $X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq c, \quad \Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to a subsequence, we assume that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda}$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda}$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, it follows from (75) that $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$. Recall that $\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is weakly sequentially continuous. Therefore, $\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup \Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)$ and, by $(76), \Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$.

Finally, by $\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$ and Fatou's lemma

$$
\begin{align*}
c & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \widetilde{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \widetilde{F}\left(x, u_{\lambda}\right)=\Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) . \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 11. There exist $0<K_{* *}<1$ and $\eta>0$ such that, for any $\lambda \in\left[K_{* *}, 1\right]$, if $u \neq 0$ satisfies $\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)=0$, then $\|u\| \geq \eta$.

Proof. We adapt the arguments of Yang [23, p. 2626] and Liu [12, Lemma 2.2]. Note that, by $\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{2}\right)$, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq \epsilon|t|+C_{\epsilon}|t|^{p-1} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \neq 0$ be a critical point of $\Psi_{\lambda}$. Then $u$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V_{\lambda} u+f(x, u)=0, \quad u \in X . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of this equation by $u^{ \pm}$, respectively, and then integrating into $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \pm\left\|u^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}+(1-\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u_{n} u^{ \pm} d x  \tag{80}\\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) u^{ \pm} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}= & \mp(1-\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u u^{ \pm} d x \mp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) u^{ \pm} d x \\
\leq & (1-\lambda) \sup _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u| \cdot\left|u^{ \pm}\right| d x \\
& +\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u| \cdot\left|u^{ \pm}\right| d x+C_{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p-1}\left|u^{ \pm}\right| d x \\
\leq & C_{1}((1-\lambda)+\epsilon)\|u\| \cdot\left\|u^{ \pm}\right\|+C_{2}\|u\|^{p-1}\left\|u^{ \pm}\right\| \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are positive constants related to the Sobolev inequalities and $\sup _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}$. From the above two inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2}=\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2} \leq 2 C_{1}((1-\lambda)+\epsilon)\|u\|^{2}+2 C_{2}\|u\|^{p} . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $p>2$, this implies that $\|u\| \geq \eta$ for some $\eta>0$ if $\epsilon>0$ and $1-K_{* *}>0$ are small enough and $\lambda \in\left[K_{* *}, 1\right]$. The desired result follows.

Let $K=\max \left\{K_{*}, K_{* *}\right\}$, where $K_{*}$ and $K_{* *}$ are the constants that appeared in Lemmas 9 and 11, respectively. Combining Lemmas 9-11, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 12. Suppose $(\mathbf{v})$ and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ are satisfied. Then, there exist $\eta>0,\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset[K, 1]$, and $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ such that $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n} \Psi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<+\infty, \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq \eta, \quad \Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. A Priori Bound of Approximate Solutions and Proof of the Main Theorem

In this section, we give a priori bound for the sequence of approximate solutions $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ obtained in Lemma 12. We then give the proofs of Theorem 3.

Lemma 13. Suppose (v) and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$ are satisfied. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence obtained in Lemma 12. Then, $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq D . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From $\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, we deduce that $u_{n}$ is a weak solution of (45) with $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{n}+V_{\lambda_{n}}(x) u_{n}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption ( $\mathbf{f}_{1}$ ) and the bootstrap argument of elliptic equations, we deduce that $u_{n} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Multiplying both sides of (85) by $v_{n}=\left(u_{n}-D\right)^{+}$:= $\max \left\{u_{n}-D, 0\right\}$ and integrating into $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{u_{n} \geq D}\left(V_{\lambda_{n}}(x) u_{n}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) v_{n} d x=0 \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $V_{\lambda_{n}}=V_{+}-\lambda_{n} V_{-}$and $\lambda_{n} \leq 1$. Then by (5), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{u_{n} \geq D}\left(V_{\lambda_{n}}(x) u_{n}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) v_{n} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{u_{n} \geq D}\left(V_{\lambda_{n}}(x)+\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\right) u_{n} v_{n} d x \geq 0 . \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

This together with (86) yields $v_{n}=0$. It follows that $u_{n}(x) \leq D$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Similarly, multiplying both sides of (85) by $w_{n}=\left(u_{n}+\right.$ $D)^{-}:=\max \left\{-\left(u_{n}+D\right), 0\right\}$ and integrating into $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we can get that $u_{n} \geq-D$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Therefore, for all $n,\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq D$.

Lemma 14. Suppose that $(\mathbf{v}),\left(\mathbf{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathbf{f}_{2}\right),\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$, and $\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence obtained in Lemma 12. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\inf _{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq \sup _{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|<+\infty \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As $\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ and $u_{n} \neq 0$, Lemma 11 implies that $\inf _{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|>0$.

To prove $\sup _{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|<+\infty$, we apply an indirect argument and assume by contradiction that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$.

Since $\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, by (81), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2} & =\mp\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u_{n} u_{n}^{ \pm} d x \mp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{ \pm} d x \\
& =\mp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{ \pm} d x+\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) O\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) . \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} & +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}^{+}-u_{n}^{-}\right) d x \\
= & \left\|u_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}^{+}-u_{n}^{-}\right) d x  \tag{90}\\
= & \left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) O\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Set $w_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Then, by (90),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} & \left(1+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n} d x\right)  \tag{91}\\
& =\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) O\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n} d x \longrightarrow-1, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 12,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}:=\sup _{n} \Psi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<+\infty . \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by $\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 C_{0} \geq 2 \Psi_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \widetilde{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left(\mathbf{f}_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 C_{0} \geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \widetilde{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \geq 2 \int_{\left\{x\left|D \geq\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq \kappa\right\}\right.} \widetilde{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is the constant in $\left(\mathbf{f}_{4}\right)$. As the continuous function $\widetilde{F}$ is 1-periodic in every $x_{j}$ variable, we deduce from (8) that there exists a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\widetilde{F}(x, t) \geq C^{\prime} t^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every }(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \text { with } \kappa \leq|t| \leq D \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (95) and (96) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} \geq C^{\prime} \int_{\left\{x\left|D \geq\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq \kappa\right\}\right.} u_{n}^{2} d x \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing both sides of this inequality by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and sending $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\left\{x\left|D \geq\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq \kappa\right\}\right.} w_{n}^{2} d x=0 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7), (21), and (22), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{x \| u_{n}(x) \mid<\kappa\right\}}\left|\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n}\right| d x \\
& \quad \leq \nu \int_{\left\{x \|\left|u_{n}(x)\right|<\kappa\right\}}\left|\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n}\right| d x  \tag{99}\\
& \quad \leq \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n}\right| d x \\
& \quad \leq \nu\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{v}{\mu_{0}}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}=\frac{v}{\mu_{0}}<1,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{0}$ is the constant defined in (v).
Since $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(x, t) / t=0$, we deduce that there exists $C>0$ such that, for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| \leq D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq C|t| . \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (98) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{x\left|D \geq\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq \kappa\right\}\right.}\left|\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n}\right| d x \\
& \quad \leq C \int_{\left\{x\left|D \geq\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq \kappa\right\}\right.}\left|\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n}\right| d x \\
& \quad \leq C\left\|w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left(\int_{\left\{x\left|D \geq\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq \kappa\right\}\right.} w_{n}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leq 2 C\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left(\int_{\left\{x\left|D \geq\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq \kappa\right\}\right.} w_{n}^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \longrightarrow 0, n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (99) and (101) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n}\right| d x \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\left\{x| | u_{n}(x) \mid<\kappa\right\}}\left|\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n}\right| d x \\
& \quad+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\left\{x\left|D \geq\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq \kappa\right\}\right.}\left|\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}}\left(w_{n}^{+}-w_{n}^{-}\right) w_{n}\right| d x<1 . \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

This contradicts (92). Therefore, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$.
Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence obtained in Lemma 12. From Lemma 14, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. Therefore, up to a subsequence, either
(a) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x=0$ or
(b) there exist $\varrho>0$ and $y_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \geq \varrho$.

According to (72), if case (a) occurs,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{ \pm} d x=0 \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (81) and $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}= & \mp\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) u_{n} u_{n}^{ \pm} d x \\
& \mp \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{ \pm} d x \\
\leq & C\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}^{ \pm} d x\right| \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{104}
\end{align*}
$$

This contradicts $\inf _{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|>0$ (see (88)). Therefore, case (a) cannot occur. As case (b) therefore occurs, $w_{n}=u_{n}\left(\cdot+y_{n}\right)$ satisfies $w_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \neq 0$. From (14) and (43), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u)=-\Phi(u)+\frac{\lambda-1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-} u^{2} d x, \quad \forall u \in X \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=-\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle+(\lambda-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-} u \varphi d x \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\forall u, \varphi \in X
$$

By $\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ (see Lemma 12), we have $\Psi^{\prime}{ }_{\lambda_{n}}\left(w_{n}\right)=0$. From (106), we have that, for any $\varphi \in X$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle= & -\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle+\left(\lambda_{n}-1\right) \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{-}(x) w_{n} \varphi d x \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

Together with $\Psi_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)=0$ and $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1$, this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \longrightarrow 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in X \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by $w_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \neq 0$ and the weakly sequential continuity of $\Phi^{\prime}$, we have that $\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, $u_{0}$ is a nontrivial solution of (1). This completes the proof.
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