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#### Abstract

We introduce Mann-type viscosity approximation methods for finding solutions of a multivalued variational inclusion (MVVI) which are also common ones of finitely many variational inequality problems and common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in real smooth Banach spaces. Here the Mann-type viscosity approximation methods are based on the Mann iteration method and viscosity approximation method. We consider and analyze Mann-type viscosity iterative algorithms not only in the setting of uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space but also in a uniformly convex Banach space having a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm. Under suitable assumptions, we derive some strong convergence theorems. In addition, we also give some applications of these theorems; for instance, we prove strong convergence theorems for finding a common fixed point of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings and a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. The results presented in this paper improve, extend, supplement, and develop the corresponding results announced in the earlier and very recent literature.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a real Banach space whose dual space is denoted by $X^{*}$. The normalized duality mapping $J: X \rightarrow 2^{X^{*}}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \quad \forall x \in X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is an immediate consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem that $J(x)$ is nonempty for each $x \in X$. Let $U=\{x \in X:\|x\|=1\}$ denote the unite sphere of $X$. A Banach space $X$ is said to be uniformly convex if, for each $\epsilon \in(0,2]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for all $x, y \in U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \Longrightarrow \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} \leq 1-\delta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that a uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive and strict convex. A Banach space $X$ is said to be smooth if the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $x, y \in U$; in this case, $X$ is also said to have a Gáteaux differentiable norm. $X$ is said to have a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm if, for each $y \in$ $U$, the limit is attained uniformly for $x \in U$. Moreover, it is said to be uniformly smooth if this limit is attained uniformly for $x, y \in U$. The norm of $X$ is said to be the Fréchet differential if, for each $x \in U$, this limit is attained uniformly for $y \in U$. In addition, we define a function $\rho$ :
$[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ called the modulus of smoothness of $X$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(\tau)=\sup \{ & \frac{1}{2}(\|x+y\|+\|x-y\|)  \tag{4}\\
& -1: x, y \in X,\|x\|=1,\|y\|=\tau\} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is known that $X$ is uniformly smooth if and only if $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \rho(\tau) / \tau=0$. Let $q$ be a fixed real number with $1<q \leq$ 2. Then a Banach space $X$ is said to be $q$-uniformly smooth if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\rho(\tau) \leq c \tau^{q}$ for all $\tau>0$. It is well-known that no Banach space is $q$-uniformly smooth for $q>2$. In addition, it is also known that $J$ is single-valued if and only if $X$ is smooth, whereas if $X$ is uniformly smooth, then the mapping $J$ is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $X$. If $X$ has a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm then the duality mapping $J$ is norm-toweak ${ }^{*}$ uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $X$.

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Banach space $X$. A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is called nonexpansive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$. We use the notation $\rightarrow$ to indicate the weak convergence and the one $\rightarrow$ to indicate the strong convergence.

Definition 1. Let $A: C \rightarrow X$ be a mapping of $C$ into $X$. Then $A$ is said to be
(i) accretive if for each $x, y \in C$ there exists $j(x-y) \in$ $J(x-y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A x-A y, j(x-y)\rangle \geq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the normalized duality mapping;
(ii) $\alpha$-strongly accretive if for each $x, y \in C$ there exists $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A x-A y, j(x-y)\rangle \geq \alpha\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$;
(iii) $\beta$-inverse strongly accretive if for each $x, y \in C$ there exists $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A x-A y, j(x-y)\rangle \geq \beta\|A x-A y\|^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\beta>0$;
(iv) $\lambda$-strictly pseudocontractive if for each $x, y \in C$ there exists $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A x-A y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\lambda\|x-y-(A x-A y)\|^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$.

Let $X$ be a real smooth Banach space. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ and let $A: C \rightarrow X$ be a nonlinear mapping. The so-called variational inequality problem (VIP) is the problem of finding $x^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A x^{*}, J\left(x-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was considered by Aoyama et al. [1]. Note that VIP (10) is connected with the fixed point problem for nonlinear mapping (see e.g., [2]), the problem of finding a zero point of a nonlinear operator (see e.g., [3]), and so on. In particular, whenever $X=H$ a Hilbert space, the VIP (10) reduces to the classical VIP of finding $x^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A x^{*}, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution set is denoted by $\mathrm{VI}(C, A)$. Recently, in order to find a solution of VIP (10), Aoyama et al. [1] introduced Mann-type iterative scheme for an accretive operator $A$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \Pi_{C}\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}\right), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi_{C}$ is a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $X$ onto $C$. Then they proved a weak convergence theorem.

Definition 2. Let $C$ be a nonempty convex subset of a real Banach space $X$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself and let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}$ be real numbers such that $0 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for every $i=1, \ldots, N$. Define a mapping $K: C \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{1}=\lambda_{1} T_{1}+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) I \\
U_{2}=\lambda_{2} T_{2} U_{1}+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) U_{1}, \\
U_{3}=\lambda_{3} T_{3} U_{2}+\left(1-\lambda_{3}\right) U_{2}, \\
\vdots  \tag{13}\\
U_{N-1}=\lambda_{N-1} T_{N-1} U_{N-2}+\left(1-\lambda_{N-1}\right) U_{N-2} \\
K=U_{N}=\lambda_{N} T_{N} U_{N-1}+\left(1-\lambda_{N}\right) U_{N-1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Such a mapping $K$ is called the $K$-mapping generated by $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N}$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}$.

Lemma 3 (see [4]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself with $\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}$ be real numbers such that $0<\lambda_{i}<1$ for every $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ and $0<\lambda_{N} \leq 1$. Let $K$ be the $K$-mapping generated by $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N}$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}$. Then $\operatorname{Fix}(K)=\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right)$.

From Lemma 3, it is easy to see that the $K$-mapping is a nonexpansive mapping.

On the other hand, let $C B(X)$ be the family of all nonempty, closed, and bounded subsets of a real smooth

Banach space $X$. Also, we denote by $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ the Hausdorff metric on $C B(X)$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
H(A, B):=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in B} \inf _{y \in A} d(x, y), \sup _{x \in A} \inf _{y \in B} d(x, y)\right\}  \tag{14}\\
\forall A, B \in C B(X)
\end{array}
$$

Let $T, F: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ be two multivalued mappings, let $A$ : $D(A) \subset X \rightarrow 2^{X}$ be an $m$-accretive mapping, let $g: X \rightarrow$ $D(A)$ be a single-valued mapping, and let $N(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a nonlinear mapping. Then for any given $v \in X, \lambda>0$, Chidume et al. [5] introduced and studied the multivalued variational inclusion (MVVI) of finding $x \in D(A)$ such that $(x, w, k)$ is a solution of the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \in N(w, k)+\lambda A(g(x)), \quad \forall w \in T x, k \in F x . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v=0$ and $\lambda=1$, then the MVVI (15) reduces to the problem of finding $x \in D(A)$ such that $(x, w, k)$ is a solution of the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in N(w, k)+A(g(x)), \quad \forall w \in T x, k \in F x . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\Gamma$ the set of such solutions $x$ for MVVI (16).
The authors [5] established an existence theorem for MVVI (15) in a smooth Banach space $X$ and then proved that the sequence generated by their iterative algorithm converges strongly to a solution of MVVI (16).

Theorem 4 (see [5, Theorem 3.2]). Let $X$ be a real smooth Banach space. Let T, $F: X \rightarrow C B(X)$, and $A: D(A) \subset$ $X \rightarrow 2^{X}$ be three multivalued mappings, let $g: X \rightarrow D(A)$ be a single-valued mapping, and let $N(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a single-valued continuous mapping satisfying the following conditions:
(C1) $A \circ g: X \rightarrow 2^{X}$ is m-accretive and $H$-uniformly continuous;
(C2) T:X C ( $\mathrm{CB}(X)$ is $H$-uniformly continuous;
(C3) F:X $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{CB}(X)$ is $H$-uniformly continuous;
(C4) the mapping $x \mapsto N(x, y)$ is $\phi$-strongly accretive and $\mu$-H-Lipschitz with respect to the mapping $T$, where $\phi$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing function with $\phi(0)=0$;
(C5) the mapping $y \mapsto N(x, y)$ is accretive and $\xi-H$ Lipschitz with respect to the mapping $F$.

For arbitrary $x_{0} \in D(A)$, define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ iteratively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\sigma_{n}\left(N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right), u_{n} \in A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right\|  \tag{18}\\
& \quad \leq(1+\varepsilon) H\left(A\left(g\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right), A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right), \forall n \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for any $w_{n} \in T x_{n}, k_{n} \in F x_{n}$, and some $\varepsilon>0$, where $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ is a positive real sequence such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=0, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{n}=\infty$.

Then, there exists $\bar{d}>0$ such that, for $0<\sigma_{n} \leq \bar{d}$ and for all $n \geq 0,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\bar{x} \in \Gamma$, and, for any $w \in T \bar{x}$ and $k \in F \bar{x},(\bar{x}, w, k)$ is a solution of the MVVI (16).

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real smooth Banach space $X$ and let $\Pi_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $X$ onto $C$. Let $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\rho \in(0,1)$. Motivated and inspired by the research going on this area, we introduce Mann-type viscosity approximation methods for finding solutions of the MVVI (16) which are also common ones of finitely many variational inequality problems and common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings. Here, the Mann-type viscosity approximation methods are based on the Mann iteration method and viscosity approximation method. We consider and analyze Mann-type viscosity iterative algorithms not only in the setting of uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space but also in a uniformly convex Banach space having a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm. Under suitable assumptions, we derive some strong convergence theorems. In addition, we also give some applications of these theorems; for instance, we prove strong convergence theorems for finding a common fixed point of a finite family of $\eta_{i}$-strictly pseudocontractive mappings ( $i=1, \ldots, N$ ) and a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. The results presented in this paper improve, extend, supplement, and develop the corresponding results announced in the earlier and very recent literature; see, for example, [6-11].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a real Banach space with dual $X^{*}$. We denote by $J$ the normalized duality mapping from $X$ to $2^{X^{*}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. Throughout this paper, the single-valued normalized duality map is still denoted by $J$. Unless otherwise stated, we assume that $X$ is a smooth Banach space with dual $X^{*}$.

A multivalued mapping $A: D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow 2^{X}$ is said to be
(i) accretive, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u-v, J(x-y)\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in A x, v \in A y \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $m$-accretive, if $A$ is accretive and $(I+r A)(D(A))=X$, for all $r>0$, where $I$ is the identity mapping;
(iii) $\zeta$-inverse strongly accretive, if there exists a constant $\zeta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u-v, J(x-y)\rangle \geq \zeta\|u-v\|^{2}, \quad \forall u \in A x, v \in A y \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) $\phi$-strongly accretive, if there exists a strictly increasing continuous function $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0)=0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle u-v, J(x-y)\rangle \\
& \quad \geq \phi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|, \quad \forall u \in A x, v \in A y \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

(v) $\phi$-expansive, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-v\| \geq \phi(\|x-y\|), \quad \forall u \in A x, v \in A y . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that if $A$ is $\phi$-strongly accretive, then $A$ is $\phi$-expansive.

A mapping $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ is said to be $H$-uniformly continuous, if for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that whenever $\|x-y\|<\delta$ then $H(T x, T y)<\varepsilon$.

A mapping $N: X \times X \rightarrow X$ is $\phi$-strongly accretive, with respect to $T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$, in the first argument if

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle N(u, z)-N(v, z), J(x-y)\rangle \geq \phi(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\|, \\
\forall u \in T x, v \in T y . \tag{24}
\end{array}
$$

A mapping $S: X \rightarrow 2^{X}$ is called lower semicontinuous, if $S^{-1}(O):=\{x \in X: S x \cap O \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in $X$ whenever $O \subset Y$ is open.

We list some propositions and lemmas that will be used in the sequel.

Proposition 5 (see [12]). Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ a sequence satisfying the conditions that $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$, and $b_{n} \rightarrow$ 0 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let the recursive inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+1}^{2} \leq \lambda_{n}^{2}-2 \alpha_{n} \psi\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)+2 \alpha_{n} b_{n} \lambda_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given where $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing function such that it is positive on $(0, \infty)$ and $\psi(0)=0$. Then $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 6 (see [13]). Let X be a real smooth Banach space. Let $T$, and $F: X \rightarrow 2^{X}$ be two multivalued mappings, and let $N(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a nonlinear mapping satisfying the following conditions:
(i) the mapping $x \mapsto N(x, y)$ is $\phi$-strongly accretive with respect to the mapping $T$;
(ii) the mapping $y \mapsto N(x, y)$ is accretive with respect to the mapping $F$.

Then the mapping $S: X \rightarrow 2^{X}$ defined by $S x=N(T x, F x)$ is $\phi$-strongly accretive.

Proposition 7 (see [14]). Let X be a real Banach space and let $S: X \rightarrow 2^{X} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ be a lower semicontinuous and $\phi$-strongly accretive mapping; then, for any $x \in X, S x$ is a one-point set; that is, $S$ is a single-valued mapping.

Lemma 8 can be found in [15]. Lemma 9 is an immediate consequence of the subdifferential inequality of the function $(1 / 2)\|\cdot\|^{2}$.

Lemma 8. Let $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) s_{n}+\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(ii) $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq 0$;
(iii) $\gamma_{n} \geq 0$, for all $n \geq 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}<\infty$.

Then $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=0$.
Lemma 9. In a smooth Banach space $X$, there holds the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, J(x+y)\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in X \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 10 (see [1]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space $X$. Let $\Pi_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $X$ onto $C$ and let $A$ be an accretive operator of $C$ into $X$. Then, for all $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{VI}(C, A)=\operatorname{Fix}\left(\Pi_{C}(I-\lambda A)\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D$ be a subset of $C$ and let $\Pi$ be a mapping of $C$ into $D$. Then $\Pi$ is said to be sunny if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi[\Pi(x)+t(x-\Pi(x))]=\Pi(x), \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\Pi(x)+t(x-\Pi(x)) \in C$ for $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$. A mapping $\Pi$ of $C$ into itself is called a retraction if $\Pi^{2}=\Pi$. If a mapping $\Pi$ of $C$ into itself is a retraction, then $\Pi(z)=z$ for every $z \in R(\Pi)$ where $R(\Pi)$ is the range of $\Pi$. A subset $D$ of $C$ is called a sunny nonexpansive retract of $C$ if there exists a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $C$ onto $D$. The following lemma concerns the sunny nonexpansive retraction.

Lemma 11 (see [16]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real smooth Banach space X. Let D be a nonempty subset of $C$. Let $\Pi$ be a retraction of $C$ onto $D$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $\Pi$ is sunny and nonexpansive;
(ii) $\|\Pi(x)-\Pi(y)\|^{2} \leq\langle x-y, J(\Pi(x)-\Pi(y))\rangle$, for all $x, y \in C$;
(iii) $\langle x-\Pi(x), J(y-\Pi(x))\rangle \leq 0$, for all $x \in C, y \in D$.

It is well known that if $X=H$ a Hilbert space, then a sunny nonexpansive retraction $\Pi_{C}$ is coincident with the metric projection from $X$ onto $C$; that is, $\Pi_{C}=P_{C}$. If $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space $X$ and if $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping with the fixed point set $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, then the set $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ is a sunny nonexpansive retract of $C$.

Lemma 12 (see [17]). Let $X$ be a uniformly convex Banach space and $\bar{B}_{r}(0):=\{x \in X:\|x\| \leq r\}, r>0$. Then there exists a continuous, strictly increasing, and convex function $\varphi:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0, \infty], \varphi(0)=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z\|^{2} \leq \alpha\|x\|^{2}+\beta\|y\|^{2}+\gamma\|z\|^{2}-\alpha \beta \varphi(\|x-y\|) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \bar{B}_{r}(0)$ and all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in[0,1]$ with $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=1$.

Lemma 13 (see [18]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space X. Let $S_{0}, S_{1}, \ldots$ be a sequence of mappings of $C$ into itself. Suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup \left\{\left\|S_{n} x-S_{n-1} x\right\|\right.$ : $x \in C\}<\infty$. Then for each $y \in C,\left\{S_{n} y\right\}$ converges strongly to some point of $C$. Moreover, let $S$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself defined by $S y=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n} y$ for all $y \in C$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{\left\|S x-S_{n} x\right\|: x \in C\right\}=0$.

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. As previous, let $\Xi_{C}$ be the set of all contractions on $C$. For $t \in(0,1)$ and $f \in \Xi_{C}$, let $x_{t} \in C$ be the unique fixed point of the contraction $x \mapsto t f(x)+(1-t) T x$ on $C$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{t}=t f\left(x_{t}\right)+(1-t) T x_{t} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 14 (see [19]). Let X be a uniformly smooth Banach space or a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space with a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$, let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $f \in \Xi_{C}$. Then the net $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ defined by $x_{t}=t f\left(x_{t}\right)+(1-t) T x_{t}$ converges strongly to a point in $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$. If one defines a mapping $Q: \Xi_{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fix}(T)$ by $Q(f):=s-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} x_{t}$, for all $f \in \Xi_{C}$, then $Q(f)$ solves the VIP as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle(I-f) Q(f), J(Q(f)-p)\rangle \leq 0,  \tag{32}\\
\forall f \in \Xi_{C}, p \in \operatorname{Fix}(T) .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 15 (see [20]). Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space X. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonexpansive mappings on C. Suppose $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{n}\right)$ is nonempty. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1$. Then a mapping $S$ on $C$ defined by $S x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} T_{n} x$ for $x \in C$ is defined well and nonexpansive, and $\operatorname{Fix}(S)=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{n}\right)$ holds.

Lemma 16 (see [21]). Given a number $r>0$. A real Banach space $X$ is uniformly convex if and only if there exists a continuous strictly increasing function $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, $\varphi(0)=0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{2}  \tag{33}\\
& \quad \leq \lambda\|x\|^{2}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-\lambda(1-\lambda) \varphi(\|x-y\|),
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and $x, y \in X$ such that $\|x\| \leq r$ and $\|y\| \leq r$.

## 3. Mann-Type Viscosity Algorithms in Uniformly Convex and 2-Uniformly Smooth Banach Spaces

In this section, we introduce Mann-type viscosity iterative algorithms in uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach spaces and show strong convergence theorems. We will use the following useful lemma.

Lemma 17. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real 2-uniformly smooth Banach space X. Let A:C $\rightarrow X$ be an $\alpha$-inverse strongly accretive mapping. Then, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|(I-\lambda A) x-(I-\lambda A) y\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+2 \lambda\left(\lambda \kappa^{2}-\alpha\right)\|A x-A y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C, \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$. In particular, if $0<\lambda \leq \alpha / \kappa^{2}$, then $I-\lambda A$ is nonexpansive.

Theorem 18. Let $X$ be a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ such that $C \pm C \subset C$. Let $\Pi_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $X$ onto $C$. Let $T, F: X \rightarrow$ $C B(X)$, and $A: C \rightarrow 2^{C}$ be three multivalued mappings, let $g: X \rightarrow C$ be a single-valued mapping, and let $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ : $X \times X \rightarrow C$ be a single-valued continuous mapping satisfying conditions (C1)-(C5) in Theorem 4. Consider that
(C6) $N(T x, F x)+A(g(x)): X \rightarrow 2^{C} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ is $\zeta$-inverse strongly accretive with $\zeta \geq \kappa^{2}$.

Let $A_{i}: C \rightarrow X$ be an $\alpha_{i}$-inverse strongly accretive mapping for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Define the mapping $G_{i}$ : $C \rightarrow C$ by $G_{i}=\Pi_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{i} A_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$, where $\lambda_{i} \in\left(0, \alpha_{i} / \kappa^{2}\right)$ and $\kappa$ is the 2 -uniformly smooth constant of $X$. Let $B: C \rightarrow C$ be the $K$-mapping generated by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{N}$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{N}$, where $\rho_{i} \in(0,1)$, for all $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ and $\rho_{N} \in(0,1]$. Let $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\rho \in(0,1)$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that $\Delta:=\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma \cap$ $\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\},\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$ are the sequences in $[0,1], \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}=1$ and satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right|\right)<\infty$;
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\} \subset[c, d]$ for some $c, d \in(0,1)$;
(iv) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}<1$;
(v) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}<1$;
(vi) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n}<1$.

For arbitrary $x_{0} \in C$, define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ iteratively by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}= & \epsilon_{n}\left[x_{n}-\sigma_{n}\left(N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right)\right]  \tag{35}\\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) y_{n}, \quad u_{n} \in A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right\| \leq(1+\varepsilon) H\left(A\left(g\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right), A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right), \\
\forall n \geq 0 \tag{36}
\end{array}
$$

for any $w_{n} \in T x_{n}, k_{n} \in F x_{n}$, and some $\varepsilon>0$. Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup _{x \in D}\left\|S_{n+1} x-S_{n} x\right\|<\infty$ for any bounded subset
$D$ of $C$ and let $S$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself defined by $S x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n} x$ for all $x \in C$ and suppose that $\operatorname{Fix}(S)=$ $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right)$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q \in \Delta$, which solves the following VIP:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle q-f(q), J(q-p)\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Delta, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for any $w \in T q$ and $k \in F q,(q, w, k)$ is a solution of the MVVI (16).

Proof. First of all, by Lemma 17 we know that $I-\lambda_{i} A_{i}$ is a nonexpansive mapping, where $\lambda_{i} \in\left(0, \alpha_{i} / \kappa^{2}\right)$ for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Hence, from the nonexpansivity of $\Pi_{C}$, it follows that $G_{i}$ is a nonexpansive mapping for each $i=$ $1, \ldots, N$. Since $B: C \rightarrow C$ is the $K$-mapping generated by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{N}$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{N}$, by Lemma 3, we deduce that $\operatorname{Fix}(B)=\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(G_{i}\right)$. Utilizing Lemma 10, and the definition of $G_{i}$, we get $\operatorname{Fix}\left(G_{i}\right)=\operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)$ for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Fix}(B)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(G_{i}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us show that for any $v \in C, \lambda>0$, there exists a point $\tilde{x} \in C$ such that $(\widetilde{x}, w, k)$ is a solution of the MVVI (15), for any $w \in T \tilde{x}$ and $k \in F \tilde{x}$. Indeed, following the argument idea in the proof of Chidume et al. [5, Theorem 3.1], we put $V x:=N(T x, F x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then by Proposition $6, V$ is $\phi-$ strongly accretive. Since $T$ and $F$ are $H$-uniformly continuous and $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous, $V x$ is continuous and hence lower semicontinuous. Thus, by Proposition 7, $V x$ is single-valued. Moreover, since $V$ is $\phi$-strongly accretive and by assumption $A \circ g: X \rightarrow 2^{C}$ is $m$-accretive, we have that $V+\lambda A \circ g$ is an $m$-accretive and $\phi$-strongly accretive mapping, and hence by Cioranescu [22, page 184], for any $x \in X$, we have that ( $V+$ $\lambda A \circ g)(x)$ is closed and bounded. Therefore, by Morales [23], $V+\lambda A \circ g$ is surjective. Hence, for any $v \in X$ and $\lambda>0$, there exists $\tilde{x} \in D(A)=C$ such that $v \in V \tilde{x}+\lambda A(g(\tilde{x}))=N(w, k)+$ $\lambda A(g(\tilde{x}))$, where $w \in T \tilde{x}$ and $k \in F \tilde{x}$. In addition, in terms of Proposition 7, we know that $V+\lambda A \circ g$ is a single-valued mapping. Assume that $N(T x, F x)+\lambda A(g(x)): X \rightarrow C$ is $\zeta$ inverse strongly accretive with $\zeta \geq \kappa^{2}$. Then by Lemma 17 , we conclude that the mapping $x \mapsto x-(N(T x, F x)+\lambda A(g(x)))$ is nonexpansive.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v=0$ and $\lambda=1$. Let $p \in \Delta$ and let $r(\geq\|f(p)-p\| /(1-\rho))$ be sufficiently large such that $x_{0} \in \bar{B}_{r}(p)=: B$. Then $p \in D(A)=C$ such that $0 \in N(w, k)+A \circ g(p)$ for any $w \in T p$ and $k \in F p$. Let $M:=\sup \{\|u\|: u \in N(w, k)+A(g(x)), x \in B, w \in T x$, $k \in F x\}$. Then as $A \circ g, T$, and $F$ are $H$-uniformly continuous on $X$, for $\varepsilon_{1}:=\phi(r) / 8(1+\varepsilon), \varepsilon_{2}:=\phi(r) / 8 \mu(1+\varepsilon)$, and $\varepsilon_{3}:=$ $\phi(r) / 8 \xi(1+\varepsilon)$, there exist $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}>0$ such that for any $x, y \in X,\|x-y\|<\delta_{1},\|x-y\|<\delta_{2}$ and $\|x-y\|<\delta_{3}$ imply $H(A \circ g(x), A \circ g(y))<\varepsilon_{1}, H(T x, T y)<\varepsilon_{2}$ and $H(F x, F y)<$ $\varepsilon_{3}$, respectively.

Let us show that $x_{n} \in B$ for all $n \geq 0$. We show this by induction. First, $x_{0} \in B$ by construction. Assume that $x_{n} \in B$.

We show that $x_{n+1} \in B$. If possible we assume that $x_{n+1} \notin B$, then $\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|>r$. Further from (35) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\| y_{n}- & p \| \\
= & \| \alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right)+\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right) \\
& +\gamma_{n}\left(B x_{n}-p\right)+\delta_{n}\left(S_{n} x_{n}-p\right) \| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|+\delta_{n}\left\|S_{n} x_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left(\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(p)\right\|+\|f(p)-p\|\right) \\
& +\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|+\delta_{n}\left\|S_{n} x_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left(\rho\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\|f(p)-p\|\right)  \tag{39}\\
& +\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\delta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\| \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\alpha_{n}(1-\rho) \frac{\|f(p)-p\|}{1-\rho} \\
\leq & \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|, \frac{\|f(p)-p\|}{1-\rho}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| x_{n+1} & -p \|^{2} \\
= & \left\langle\epsilon_{n}\left[x_{n}-p-\sigma_{n}\left(N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-p\right), J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\epsilon_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-p\right), J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\epsilon_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}, J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left\|\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-p\right)\right\|\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \\
& -\epsilon_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}, J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(\epsilon_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \\
& -\alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}, J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(\epsilon_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|, \frac{\|f(p)-p\|}{1-\rho}\right\}\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \\
& -\alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}, J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|, \frac{\|f(p)-p\|}{1-\rho}\right\}\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \\
& -\alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}, J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(\max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right\}+\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& -\alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}, J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

which immediately yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& -2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}, J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& -2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n+1}\right)+u_{n+1}, J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& -2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left\langle N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n+1}\right)+u_{n+1}\right), J\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $\phi$-strongly accretive with respect to $T$ and $A(g(\cdot))$ is accretive, we deduce from (41) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p \|^{2}}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& -2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n} \phi\left(\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \\
& \quad+2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left[\left\|N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n+1}\right)-N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)\right\|\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|\right]\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|  \tag{42}\\
& \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p \|^{2}}{1-\rho}\right\|^{\}}\right. \\
& \quad-2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n} \phi\left(\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \\
& \quad+2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left[\left\|N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n+1}\right)-N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n}\right)\right\|\right. \\
& \quad+\left\|N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n}\right)-N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|\right]\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Again from (35), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \epsilon_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p-\sigma_{n}\left(N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\sigma_{n}\left\|N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& \quad+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|, \frac{\|f(p)-p\|}{1-\rho}\right\} \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left[r+\sigma_{n} M\right]+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) r \\
& \leq 2 r . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, from Proposition 7, $V x=N(T x, F x)$ is a single-valued mapping; that is, for any $k, k^{\prime} \in F x$ and $w, w^{\prime} \in T x$, we have $N(w, k)=N\left(w, k^{\prime}\right)$ and $N(w, k)=N\left(w^{\prime}, k\right)$. On the other hand, it follows from Nadler [24] that, for $k_{n+1} \in F x_{n+1}$ and $w_{n+1} \in T x_{n+1}$, there exist $k_{n}^{\prime} \in F x_{n}$ and $w_{n}^{\prime} \in T x_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|k_{n+1}-k_{n}^{\prime}\right\| & \leq(1+\varepsilon) H\left(F x_{n+1}, F x_{n}\right)  \tag{44}\\
\left\|w_{n+1}-w_{n}^{\prime}\right\| & \leq(1+\varepsilon) H\left(T x_{n+1}, T x_{n}\right) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Therefore, from (42) and (36), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right\}-2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n} \phi(r) r \\
& +2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left[\left\|N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n+1}\right)-N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\|\right. \\
& +\left\|N\left(w_{n+1}, k_{n}\right)-N\left(w_{n}^{\prime}, k_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \left.+\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|\right] 2 r \\
& \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right\}-2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n} \phi(r) r \\
& +2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left[\xi(1+\varepsilon) H\left(F x_{n+1}, F x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& +\mu(1+\varepsilon) H\left(T x_{n+1}, T x_{n}\right) \\
& \left.+(1+\varepsilon) H\left(A\left(g\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right), A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right)\right] 2 r \\
& \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right\}-2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n} \phi(r) r \\
& +2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n}\left[\frac{\phi(r)}{8}+\frac{\phi(r)}{8}+\frac{\phi(r)}{8}\right] 2 r \\
& =\max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& -2 \alpha_{n} \sigma_{n} \phi(r) r+\alpha_{n} \sigma_{n} \frac{3}{2} \phi(r) r \\
& \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},\left\|\frac{f(p)-p}{1-\rho}\right\|^{2}\right\} \text {. } \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

So, we get $\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \leq r$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.

Let us show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.

Indeed, we define $G: C \rightarrow C$ by $G x:=x-(N(T x, F x)+$ $A(g(x)))$ for all $x \in C$. Then, $G$ is a nonexpansive mapping and the iterative scheme (35) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=  \tag{47}\\
\epsilon_{n}\left[\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right) x_{n}+\sigma_{n} G x_{n}\right] \\
+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) y_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into account condition (iv), we may assume that $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in(0,1)$. From (47), we can rewrite $y_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) z_{n} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n}=\left(\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}\right) /\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)$. Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\| \\
& =\| \frac{\alpha_{n+1} f\left(x_{n+1}\right)+\gamma_{n+1} B x_{n+1}+\delta_{n+1} S_{n+1} x_{n+1}}{1-\beta_{n+1}} \\
& -\frac{\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}}{1-\beta_{n}} \| \\
& =\left\|\frac{y_{n+1}-\beta_{n+1} x_{n+1}}{1-\beta_{n+1}}-\frac{y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}}{1-\beta_{n}}\right\| \\
& =\| \frac{y_{n+1}-\beta_{n+1} x_{n+1}}{1-\beta_{n+1}}-\frac{y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}}{1-\beta_{n+1}} \\
& +\frac{y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}}{1-\beta_{n+1}}-\frac{y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}}{1-\beta_{n}} \| \\
& \leq\left\|\frac{y_{n+1}-\beta_{n+1} x_{n+1}}{1-\beta_{n+1}}-\frac{y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\right\| \\
& +\left\|\frac{y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}}{1-\beta_{n+1}}-\frac{y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}}{1-\beta_{n}}\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\left\|y_{n+1}-\beta_{n+1} x_{n+1}-\left(y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left|\frac{1}{1-\beta_{n+1}}-\frac{1}{1-\beta_{n}}\right|\left\|y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\left\|y_{n+1}-\beta_{n+1} x_{n+1}-\left(y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& +\frac{\left|\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right|}{\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n+1}\right)}\left\|y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{1-\beta_{n+1}} \| \alpha_{n+1} f\left(x_{n+1}\right)+\gamma_{n+1} B x_{n+1}+\delta_{n+1} S_{n+1} x_{n+1} \\
& -\left(\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}\right) \| \\
& +\frac{\left|\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right|}{\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n+1}\right)}\left\|y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\left(\alpha_{n+1}\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|\right. \\
& +\gamma_{n+1}\left\|B x_{n+1}-B x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\delta_{n+1}\left\|S_{n+1} x_{n+1}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \left.+\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|\left\|B x_{n}\right\|+\left|\delta_{n+1}-\delta_{n}\right|\left\|S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +\frac{\left|\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right|}{\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n+1}\right)}\left\|y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\left[\alpha_{n+1}\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\gamma_{n+1}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& +\delta_{n+1}\left(\left\|S_{n+1} x_{n+1}-S_{n+1} x_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|S_{n+1} x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right| \\
& \left.\times\left\|B x_{n}\right\|+\left|\delta_{n+1}-\delta_{n}\right|\left\|S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& +\frac{\left|\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right|}{\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n+1}\right)}\left\|y_{n}-\beta_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\left[\alpha_{n+1} \rho\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+y_{n+1}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& +\delta_{n+1}\left(\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|S_{n+1} x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \left.+\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|\left\|B x_{n}\right\|+\left|\delta_{n+1}-\delta_{n}\right|\left\|S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& +\frac{\left|\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right|}{\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n+1}\right)}\left\|\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\frac{1-\beta_{n+1}-\alpha_{n+1}(1-\rho)}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\frac{\delta_{n+1}}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\left\|S_{n+1} x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& +\frac{1}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\left[\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\|B x_{n}\right\|+\left|\delta_{n+1}-\delta_{n}\right|\left\|S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& +\frac{\left|\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right|}{\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n+1}\right)}\left\|\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{n+1}(1-\rho)}{1-\beta_{n+1}}\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|S_{n+1} x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& +M_{0}\left[\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right|+\left|\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n}\right|\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left|\gamma_{n+1}-\gamma_{n}\right|+\left|\delta_{n+1}-\delta_{n}\right|\right] \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 /(1-b)^{2} \sup _{n \geq 0}\left\{\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|B x_{n}\right\|+\left\|S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right\} \leq M_{0}$ for some $M_{0}>0$. By simple calculation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}-y_{n-1}= & \beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)+\left(\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right) \\
& \times\left(x_{n-1}-z_{n-1}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}-z_{n-1}\right) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

So, from (49), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\| \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\left\|x_{n-1}-z_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-z_{n-1}\right\| \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\left\|x_{n-1}-z_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\{\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{n}(1-\rho)}{1-\beta_{n}}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|\right. \\
& +\left\|S_{n} x_{n-1}-S_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +M_{0}\left[\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\right.  \tag{51}\\
& \left.\left.+\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right|\right]\right\} \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\left\|x_{n-1}-z_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +\left\|S_{n} x_{n-1}-S_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +M_{0}\left[\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right|\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Also, for convenience, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}=\epsilon_{n} \widehat{z}_{n}+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) y_{n}, \\
& \widehat{z}_{n}=\sigma_{n} G x_{n}+\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right) x_{n} . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

By simple calculation, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1}-x_{n}= & \epsilon_{n}\left(\widehat{z}_{n}-\widehat{z}_{n-1}\right)+\left(\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right) \\
& \times\left(\widehat{z}_{n-1}-y_{n-1}\right)+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right)  \tag{53}\\
\widehat{z}_{n}-\widehat{z}_{n-1}= & \sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-G x_{n-1}\right)+\left(\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right) \\
& \times\left(G x_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right)+\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (51) and (53), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\| \widehat{z}_{n}- & \widehat{z}_{n-1} \| \\
\leq & \sigma_{n}\left\|G x_{n}-G x_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right| \\
& \times\left\|G x_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \\
\leq & \sigma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|  \tag{54}\\
& \times\left\|G x_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|\left\|G x_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left\|\widehat{z}_{n}-\widehat{z}_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right| \\
& \times\left\|\widehat{z}_{n-1}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\| \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left[\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|\left\|G x_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|\right] \\
& +\left|\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right|| | \widehat{z}_{n-1}-y_{n-1}| | \\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\{\left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|\right. \\
& +\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\left\|x_{n-1}-z_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +\left\|S_{n} x_{n-1}-S_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +M_{0}\left[\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right|\right]\right\} \\
& \leq\left[1-\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right]\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|  \tag{55}\\
& +\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|\left\|G x_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +\left|\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right|\left\|\widehat{z}_{n-1}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right| \\
& \times\left\|x_{n-1}-z_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|S_{n} x_{n-1}-S_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +M_{0}\left[\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right|\right] \\
& \leq\left[1-\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right]\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +\left\|S_{n} x_{n-1}-S_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& +M_{1}\left[\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|\right. \\
& +\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right| \\
& \left.+\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right|\right] \text {, }
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\{\left\|G x_{n-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|\widehat{z}_{n-1}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n-1}-z_{n-1}\right\|+\right.$ $\left.M_{0}\right\} \leq M_{1}$ for some $M_{1}>0$. Utilizing Lemma 17, we conclude from (55), conditions (i), (ii), and (vi), and the assumption on $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, utilizing Lemma 16, we obtain from (39) and (47) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& =\| \epsilon_{n}\left[\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-p\right)\right] \\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-p\right) \|^{2} \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\epsilon_{n}\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \\
& \times \varphi\left(\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left[\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\sigma_{n}\left\|G x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma_{n}\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|\right)\right] \\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\epsilon_{n}\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \\
& \times \varphi\left(\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left[\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\sigma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma_{n}\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|\right)\right] \\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|\right]^{2} \\
& -\epsilon_{n}\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \varphi\left(\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \|\right) \\
& =\epsilon_{n}\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\sigma_{n}\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|\right)\right] \\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(2\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|\right)\right] \\
& -\epsilon_{n}\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \varphi\left(\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \|\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\epsilon_{n} \sigma_{n}\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|\left(2\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|\right) \\
& -\epsilon_{n}\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \varphi\left(\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right) \|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which immediately yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{n} \sigma_{n} & \left(1-\sigma_{n}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|\right)+\epsilon_{n}\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \\
& \times \varphi\left(\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\| \\
& \times\left(2\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\| \\
& +\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|\left(2\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\|\right) . \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

So, from (56) and conditions (ii), (v), and (vi), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|\right)=0 \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|\right)=0 \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

which together with the properties of $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{1}$ implies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|=0  \tag{60}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \\
& \quad=\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(x_{n}-G x_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|+\sigma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\| . \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (60), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| x_{n}-$ $S x_{n} \|=0$.

Indeed, from the definition of $y_{n}$, we can rewrite $y_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n} \\
& =\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}\right) \frac{\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}}{\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}}  \tag{63}\\
& =\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+e_{n} z_{n}^{\prime},
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{n}=\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}\right) /\left(\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}\right)$.
Utilizing Lemma 12, from (63) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \qquad=\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right)+\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+e_{n}\left(z_{n}^{\prime}-p\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +e_{n}\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} e_{n} \varphi_{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\beta_{n} e_{n} \varphi_{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|\right)+e_{n}\left\|\frac{\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}}{\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}}-p\right\|^{2} \\
= & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} e_{n} \varphi_{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& +e_{n}\left\|\left(1-\frac{\delta_{n}}{\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}}\right)\left(B x_{n}-p\right)+\frac{\delta_{n}}{\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}}\left(S_{n} x_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\beta_{n} e_{n} \varphi_{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|\right)+e_{n}\left(\left(1-\frac{\delta_{n}}{\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}}\right)\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|\right. \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.-\beta_{n} e_{n} \varphi_{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|\right)+e_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|_{n}^{2}-p \|\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} e_{n} \varphi_{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{n} e_{n} \varphi_{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& \quad \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}+\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

From (62) and conditions (ii), (iii), and (iv), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|\right)=0 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the properties of $\varphi_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 16, we deduce from the definition of $z_{n}^{\prime}$ the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left\|\frac{\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}}{\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}}-p\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \left\|\left(1-\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\right)\left(B x_{n}-p\right)+\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left(S_{n} x_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\right)\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left\|S_{n} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\right) \varphi_{3}\left(\left\|B x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\right) \varphi_{3}\left(\left\|B x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n}+\gamma_{n}}\right) \varphi_{3}\left(\left\|B x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-p\right\|^{2}  \tag{69}\\
& \quad \leq\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|z_{n}^{\prime}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}^{\prime}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

From (67) and condition (iii), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{3}\left(\left\|B x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right)=0 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the properties of $\varphi_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|B x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $y_{n}$, we can rewrite $y_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n} \\
& =\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\left(\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}\right) \frac{\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}}  \tag{72}\\
& =\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+d_{n} z_{n}^{\prime \prime},
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{n}=\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}\right) /\left(\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}\right)$.
Utilizing Lemma 12, from (72) and the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left\|\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(B x_{n}-p\right)+d_{n}\left(z_{n}^{\prime \prime}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +d_{n}\left\|z_{n}^{\prime \prime}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} \gamma_{n} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +d_{n}\left\|\frac{\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} \gamma_{n} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +d_{n} \| \frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right) \\
& \quad+\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}}\right)\left(S_{n} x_{n}-p\right) \|_{n} \gamma_{n} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +d_{n}\left[\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n}+\delta_{n}}\right)\left\|S_{n} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& -\beta_{n} \gamma_{n} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +\delta_{n}\left\|S_{n} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} \gamma_{n} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +\delta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} \gamma_{n} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n} \gamma_{n} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{n} \gamma_{n} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|^{2} \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

From (62), (74), and conditions (ii), (iii), and (iv), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|\right)=0 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the properties of $\varphi_{4}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (71), (76), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|+\left\|B x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|, \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-S_{n} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|S_{n} x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\| . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilizing Lemma 13, we conclude from (78) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a mapping $W x=\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) B x+\theta_{1} S x+\theta_{2} G x$, where $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in(0,1)$ are two constants with $\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}<1$. Then by Lemma 15, we have $\operatorname{Fix}(W)=\operatorname{Fix}(B) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(G)=\Delta$. We observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-W x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\|\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\left(x_{n}-B x_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \quad+\theta_{1}\left(x_{n}-S x_{n}\right)+\theta_{2}\left(x_{n}-G x_{n}\right) \|  \tag{81}\\
& \leq \\
& \quad\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\| \\
& \quad+\theta_{1}\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|+\theta_{2}\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

From (60), (76), and (80), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-W x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=s-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} x_{t}$ with $x_{t}$ being the fixed point of the contraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \longmapsto t f(x)+(1-t) W x . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $x_{t}$ solves the fixed point equation $x_{t}=t f\left(x_{t}\right)+(1-$ $t) W x_{t}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{t}-x_{n}=(1-t)\left(W x_{t}-x_{n}\right)+t\left(f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{n}\right) . \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 9, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| x_{t} & -x_{n} \|^{2} \\
& =\left\|(1-t)\left(W x_{t}-x_{n}\right)+t\left(f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & (1-t)^{2}\left\|W x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 t\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{n}, J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & (1-t)^{2}\left(\left\|W x_{t}-W x_{n}\right\|+\left\|W x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& +2 t\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{n}, J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & (1-t)^{2}\left(\left\|x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|W x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right)^{2} \\
& +2 t\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{n}, J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & (1-t)^{2}\left[\left\|x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\|W x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|W x_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 t\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{t}, J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +2 t\left\langle x_{t}-x_{n}, J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left(1-2 t+t^{2}\right)\left\|x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+f_{n}(t) \\
& +2 t\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{t}, J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle+2 t\left\|x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}, \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n}(t)= & (1-t)^{2}\left(2\left\|x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-W x_{n}\right\|\right)  \tag{87}\\
& \times\left\|x_{n}-W x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (86) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{t}-f\left(x_{t}\right), J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq \frac{t}{2}\left\|x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 t} f_{n}(t) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (88) and noticing (87), we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle x_{t}-f\left(x_{t}\right), J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq \frac{t}{2} M_{2} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{2}>0$ is a constant such that $\left\|x_{t}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq M_{2}$ for all $t \in(0,1)$ and $n \geq 0$. Taking $t \rightarrow 0$ in (89), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \sup \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle x_{t}-f\left(x_{t}\right), J\left(x_{t}-x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle f(q) & \left.-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle f(q)-x_{t}, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle f(q)-x_{t}, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{t}, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{t}, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)-J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle x_{t}-q, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle f(q)-f\left(x_{t}\right), J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{t}, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)-J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\left\|x_{t}-q\right\| \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{t}\right\|  \tag{92}\\
& \quad+\rho\left\|q-x_{t}\right\| \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{t}\right\| \\
& \quad+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{n}\left\langle f\left(x_{t}\right)-x_{t}, J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account that $x_{t} \rightarrow q$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \sup \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \sup \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)-J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $X$ has a uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm, the duality mapping $J$ is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $X$. Consequently, the two limits are interchangeable and hence (83) holds. Noticing that $J$ is norm-to-norm uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $X$, we deduce from (62) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
&=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}( \left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right.  \tag{94}\\
&\left.+\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)-J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
&=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, let us show that $x_{n} \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, utilizing Lemma 9, we obtain from (47) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|y_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
&= \| \alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(q)\right)+\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-q\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(B x_{n}-q\right) \\
&+\delta_{n}\left(S_{n} x_{n}-q\right)+\alpha_{n}(f(q)-q) \|^{2} \\
& \leq \| \alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(q)\right)+\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-q\right) \\
&+\gamma_{n}\left(B x_{n}-q\right)+\delta_{n}\left(S_{n} x_{n}-q\right) \|^{2} \\
&+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(q)\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
&+\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\delta_{n}\left\|S_{n} x_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
&+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \alpha_{n} \rho\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\| \\
& +\delta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right)\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle, \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|x_{n+1} q\right\|^{2} \\
&= \| \epsilon_{n}\left[\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-q\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-q\right)\right] \\
&+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-q\right) \|^{2} \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left\|\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-q\right)+\sigma_{n}\left(G x_{n}-q\right)\right\|^{2} \\
&+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left[\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\sigma_{n}\left\|G x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}\right]+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left[\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\sigma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}\right] \\
&+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
&= \epsilon_{n}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \epsilon_{n}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \\
& \times\left[\left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right)\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \quad\left.+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
&= {\left[1-\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right]\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2} } \\
&+2\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
&= {\left[1-\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right]\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2} } \\
&+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(1-\rho) \frac{2\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle}{1-\rho} . \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 8 to (96), we conclude from conditions (ii) and (vi) and (94) that $x_{n} \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 19. Let $X$ be a uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ such that $C \pm C \subset C$. Let $\Pi_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $X$ onto $C$. Let $T, F: X \rightarrow$ $C B(X)$, and $A: C \rightarrow 2^{C}$ be three multivalued mappings, let $g: X \rightarrow C$ be a single-valued mapping, and let $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ : $X \times X \rightarrow C$ be a single-valued continuous mapping satisfying conditions (C1)-(C5) in Theorem 4 and (C6) N(Tx, Fx) +
$A(g(x)): X \rightarrow 2^{C} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ is $\zeta$-inverse strongly accretive with $\zeta \geq \kappa^{2}$.

Let $T_{i}: C \rightarrow X$ be a $\eta_{i}$-strictly pseudocontractive mapping for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Define the mapping $G_{i}: C \rightarrow$ $C$ by $G_{i}=\Pi_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{i}\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$, where $\lambda_{i} \in$ $\left(0, \eta_{i} / \kappa^{2}\right)$, and $\kappa$ is the 2 -uniformly smooth constant of $X$. Let $B: C \rightarrow C$ be the $K$-mapping generated by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{N}$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{N}$, where $\rho_{i} \in(0,1)$, for all $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ and $\rho_{N} \in(0,1]$. Let $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\rho \in(0,1)$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that $\Delta:=\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma \cap$ $\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\},\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$ are the sequences in $[0,1], \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}=1$ and satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right|\right)<\infty$;
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\} \subset[c, d]$ for some $c, d \in(0,1)$;
(iv) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}<1$;
(v) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}<1$;
(vi) $0<\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n}<1$.

For arbitrary $x_{0} \in C$, define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ iteratively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}, \\
& x_{n+1}=  \tag{97}\\
& \quad \epsilon_{n}\left[x_{n}-\sigma_{n}\left(N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) y_{n}, \quad u_{n} \in A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right\| \leq(1+\varepsilon) H\left(A\left(g\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right), A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{98}\\
\forall n \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

for any $w_{n} \in T x_{n}, k_{n} \in F x_{n}$ and some $\varepsilon>0$. Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup _{x \in D}\left\|S_{n+1} x-S_{n} x\right\|<\infty$ for any bounded subset $D$ of $C$ and let $S$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself defined by $S x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n} x$ for all $x \in C$ and suppose that $\operatorname{Fix}(S)=$ $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right)$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q \in \Delta$, which solves the following VIP:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle q-f(q), J(q-p)\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Delta \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for any $w \in T q$ and $k \in F q,(q, w, k)$ is a solution of the MVVI (16).

Proof. Since $T_{i}$ is a $\eta_{i}$-strictly pseudocontractive mapping for each $i=1, \ldots, N$, it is known that $A_{i}:=I-T_{i}$ is $\eta_{i}$-inverse strongly accretive for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. In Theorem 18, we put $G_{i}=\Pi_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} A_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$, where $\lambda_{i} \in\left(0, \eta_{i} / \kappa^{2}\right)$.

It is not hard to see that $\operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right)=\operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)$. As a matter of fact, we have, for $\lambda_{i}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \in \mathrm{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left\langle A_{i} u, J(y-u)\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left\langle u-\lambda_{i} A_{i} u-u, J(u-y)\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C \\
& \Longleftrightarrow u=\Pi_{C}\left(u-\lambda_{i} A_{i} u\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow u=\Pi_{C}\left(u-\lambda_{i} u+\lambda_{i} T_{i} u\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left\langle u-\lambda_{i} u+\lambda_{i} T_{i} u-u, J(u-y)\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left\langle u-T_{i} u, J(u-y)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in C \\
& \Longleftrightarrow u=T_{i} u \\
& \Longleftrightarrow u \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right) . \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly, we conclude that $\Delta:=\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma \cap$ $\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right)=\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right)\right)$. Therefore, the desired result follows from Theorem 18.

Remark 20. Theorem 18 improves, extends, supplements, and develops [5, Theorem 3.2] and [25, Theorem 3.1] in the following aspects.
(i) Kangtunyakarn's problem of finding a point of $\operatorname{Fix}(S) \cap$ $\operatorname{Fix}(V) \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right)$ (see [25, Theorem 1.1]) is extended to develop our problem of finding a point of $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma \cap$ $\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right)$ in Theorem 18 because $B_{A}:=S((1-\alpha) I+\alpha V)$ is nonexpansive with $\alpha \in\left(0, \eta / \kappa^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\left(B_{A}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}(S) \cap$ $\operatorname{Fix}(V)$ (see [25, Lemma 2.12]). It is clear that the problem of finding a point of $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right)$ in Theorem 18 is more general and more subtle than the problem of finding a point of $\Gamma$ in [ 5 , Theorem 3.2].
(ii) The iterative scheme in [25, Theorem 3.1] is extended to develop the iterative scheme (35) of Theorem 18 by virtue of the iterative schemes of [ 5 , Theorem 3.2]. The iterative scheme (35) of Theorem 18 is more advantageous and more flexible than the iterative scheme of [10, Theorem 3.2] because it can be applied to solving three problems (i.e., MVVI (16), a finite family of VIPs, and the fixed point problem of $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ ) and involves several parameter sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$.
(iii) Theorem 18 extends and generalizes [5, Theorems 3.2] to the setting of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings and a finite family of VIPs. In the meantime, Theorem 18 extends and generalizes Kangtunyakarn [25, Theorem 3.1] to the setting of the MVVI (16).
(iv) The iterative scheme (35) in Theorem 18 is very different from every one in [5, Theorem 3.2] and [25, Theorem 3.1] because every iterative scheme in [25, Theorem 3.1] and [5, Theorem 3.2] is one-step iterative scheme and the iterative scheme (35) in Theorem 18 is the combination of two iterative schemes in [25, Theorem 3.1] and [5, Theorem 3.2].
(v) No boundedness condition on the ranges $R(I-$ $N(T(\cdot), F(\cdot)))$ and $R(A(g(\cdot)))$ is imposed in Theorems 18 .

## 4. Mann-Type Viscosity Algorithms in a Uniformly Convex Banach Space Having a Uniformly Gáteaux Differentiable Norm

In this section, we introduce Mann-type viscosity iterative algorithms in a uniformly convex Banach space having a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm and show strong convergence theorems. First, we give the following useful lemma.

Lemma 21. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space $X$ and let $A: C \rightarrow X$ be a $\xi$-strictly pseudocontractive and $\nu$-strongly accretive mapping with $\xi+$ $\nu \geq 1$. Then, for $\lambda \in(0,1]$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|(I-\lambda A) x-(I-\lambda A) y\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\{\sqrt{\frac{1-v}{\xi}}+(1-\lambda)\left(1+\frac{1}{\xi}\right)\right\}\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C . \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, if $1-(\xi /(1+\xi))(1-\sqrt{(1-\nu) / \xi}) \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then $I-\lambda A$ is nonexpansive.

Theorem 22. Let $X$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space which has a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ such that $C \pm C \subset C$. Let $\Pi_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $X$ onto $C$. Let $T, F: X \rightarrow$ $C B(X)$, and $A: C \rightarrow 2^{C}$ be three multivalued mappings, let $g: X \rightarrow C$ be a single-valued mapping, and let $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ : $X \times X \rightarrow C$ be a single-valued continuous mapping satisfying conditions (C1)-(C5) in Theorem 4. Consider that
(H6) $N(T x, F x)+A(g(x)): X \rightarrow C$ is $\xi_{0}$-strictly pseudocontractive and $\nu_{0}$-strongly accretive with $\xi_{0}+\nu_{0} \geq 1$.

Let $A_{i}: C \rightarrow X$ be $\xi_{i}$-strictly pseudocontractive and $v_{i}$-strongly accretive with $\xi_{i}+v_{i} \geq 1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Define the mapping $G_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ by $G_{i}=\Pi_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{i} A_{i}\right)$ where $1-\left(\xi_{i} /\left(1+\xi_{i}\right)\right)\left(1-\sqrt{\left(1-v_{i}\right) / \xi_{i}}\right) \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Let $B: C \rightarrow C$ be the $K$-mapping generated by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{N}$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{N}$, where $\rho_{i} \in(0,1)$, for all $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ and $\rho_{N} \in(0,1]$. Let $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\rho \in(0,1)$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that $\Delta:=\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\},\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$ are the sequences in $[0,1], \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}=1$ and satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right|\right)<\infty ;$
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\} \subset[c, d]$ for some $c, d \in(0,1)$;
(iv) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}<1$;
(v) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}<1$;
(vi) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n}<1$.

For arbitrary $x_{0} \in C$, define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ iteratively by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}= & \alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}= & \epsilon_{n}\left[x_{n}-\sigma_{n}\left(N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right)\right]  \tag{102}\\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) y_{n}, u_{n} \in A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right\| \leq(1+\varepsilon) H\left(A\left(g\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right), A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{103}\\
\forall n \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

for any $w_{n} \in T x_{n}, k_{n} \in F x_{n}$, and some $\varepsilon>0$. Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup _{x \in D}\left\|S_{n+1} x-S_{n} x\right\|<\infty$ for any bounded subset $D$ of $C$ and let $S$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself defined by $S x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n} x$ for all $x \in C$ and suppose that $\operatorname{Fix}(S)=$ $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right)$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q \in \Delta$, which solves the following VIP:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle q-f(q), J(q-p)\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Delta \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for any $w \in T q$ and $k \in F q,(q, w, k)$ is a solution of the MVVI (16).

Proof. First of all, by Lemma 21, we know that $I-\lambda_{i} A_{i}$ is a nonexpansive mapping, where $1-\left(\xi_{i} /\left(1+\xi_{i}\right)\right)(1-$ $\left.\sqrt{\left(1-v_{i}\right) / \xi_{i}}\right) \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Hence, from the nonexpansivity of $\Pi_{C}$, it follows that $G_{i}$ is a nonexpansive mapping for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Since $B: C \rightarrow C$ is the $K$-mapping generated by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{N}$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{N}$, by Lemma 3, we deduce that $\operatorname{Fix}(B)=\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(G_{i}\right)$. Utilizing Lemma 10 and the definition of $G_{i}$, we get $\operatorname{Fix}\left(G_{i}\right)=\operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right)$ for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Fix}(B)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(G_{i}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{VI}\left(C, A_{i}\right) . \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the same arguments as those in the proof of Theorem 18, we can prove that for any $v \in C, \lambda>0$, there exists a point $\tilde{x} \in C$ such that $(\tilde{x}, w, k)$ is a solution of the MVVI (15), for any $w \in T \tilde{x}$ and $k \in F \tilde{x}$. In addition, in terms of Proposition 7, we know that $V+\lambda A \circ g$ is a single-valued mapping due to the fact that $V+\lambda A \circ g$ is $\phi$-strongly accretive. Assume that $N(T x, F x)+A(g(x)): X \rightarrow C$ is $\xi_{0}$-strictly pseudocontractive and $\nu_{0}$-strongly accretive with $\xi_{0}+v_{0} \geq 1$. Then by Lemma 21, we conclude that the mapping $x \mapsto x-$ $(N(T x, F x)+\lambda A(g(x)))$ is nonexpansive.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v=0$ and $\lambda=1$. Let $p \in \Delta$ and let $r(\geq\|f(p)-p\| /(1-\rho))$ be sufficiently large such that $x_{0} \in \bar{B}_{r}(p)=$ : $B$. Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\| y_{n} & -p \| \\
\qquad & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p\right\|+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
& +\gamma_{n}\left\|B x_{n}-p\right\|+\delta_{n}\left\|S_{n} x_{n}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left(\rho\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\|f(p)-p\|\right)+\beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|  \tag{106}\\
& +\gamma_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\delta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(p)-p\| \\
\leq & \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|, \frac{\|f(p)-p\|}{1-\rho}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Utilizing (106) and repeating the same arguments as those in the proof of Theorem 18, we can derive $x_{n} \in B$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.

Let us show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.

Indeed, we define $G: C \rightarrow C$ by $G x:=x-(N(T x, F x)+$ $A(g(x)))$ for all $x \in C$. Then, $G$ is a nonexpansive mapping and the iterative scheme (102) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=\epsilon_{n}\left[\left(1-\sigma_{n}\right) x_{n}+\sigma_{n} G x_{n}\right]+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) y_{n}  \tag{107}\\
\forall n \geq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

Repeating the same arguments as those of (56), (60), (62), (76), and (80) in the proof of Theorem 18, we can obtain that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0  \tag{108}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\|=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\|=0  \tag{109}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Define a mapping $W x=\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) B x+\theta_{1} S x+\theta_{2} G x$, where $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in(0,1)$ are two constants with $\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}<1$. Then by Lemma 15, we have that $\operatorname{Fix}(W)=\operatorname{Fix}(B) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S) \cap$ $\operatorname{Fix}(G)=\Delta$. We observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n}-W x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\|\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\left(x_{n}-B x_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \quad+\theta_{1}\left(x_{n}-S x_{n}\right)+\theta_{2}\left(x_{n}-G x_{n}\right) \|  \tag{110}\\
& \leq \\
& \quad\left(1-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-B x_{n}\right\| \\
& \quad+\theta_{1}\left\|x_{n}-S x_{n}\right\|+\theta_{2}\left\|x_{n}-G x_{n}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

From (109), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-W x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=s-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} x_{t}$ with $x_{t}$ being the fixed point of the contraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \longmapsto t f(x)+(1-t) W x . \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $x_{t}$ solves the fixed point equation $x_{t}=t f\left(x_{t}\right)+(1-$ $t) W x_{t}$. Repeating the same arguments as those of (93) in the proof of Theorem 18, we can deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\lim \sup \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad \leq \lim \sup _{t \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)-J\left(x_{n}-x_{t}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $X$ has a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm, the duality mapping $J$ is norm-to-weak * uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $X$. Consequently, the two limits are interchangeable and hence (112) holds. Noticing that $J$ is norm-to-weak * uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $X$, we conclude from (108) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \\
&= \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle\right.  \tag{115}\\
&\left.+\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)-J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
&=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(x_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, let us show that $x_{n} \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, repeating the same arguments as those (96) in the proof of Theorem 18, we can deduce from (107) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-q\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left[1-\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(1-\rho)\right]\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2}  \tag{116}\\
& \quad+\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}(1-\rho) \frac{2\left\langle f(q)-q, J\left(y_{n}-q\right)\right\rangle}{1-\rho}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 8 to (116), we infer from conditions (ii) and (vi) and (115) that $x_{n} \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 23. Let $X$ be a uniformly convex Banach space which has a uniformly Gáteaux differentiable norm and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ such that $C \pm$ $C \subset C$. Let $\Pi_{C}$ be a sunny nonexpansive retraction from $X$ onto C. Let $T, F: X \rightarrow C B(X)$, and $A: C \rightarrow 2^{C}$ be three multivalued mappings, let $g: X \rightarrow C$ be a singlevalued mapping, and let $N(\cdot, \cdot): X \times X \rightarrow C$ be a singlevalued continuous mapping satisfying conditions (C1)-(C5) in Theorem 4 and (H6) N(Tx,Fx)+A(g(x)):X C is $\xi_{0-}$ strictly pseudocontractive and $\nu_{0}$-strongly accretive with $\xi_{0}+$ $v_{0} \geq 1$.

For each $i=1, \ldots, N$, let $T_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be a self-mapping such that $I-T_{i}: C \rightarrow X$ is $\xi_{i}$-strictly pseudocontractive and $\nu_{i}$-strongly accretive with $\xi_{i}+v_{i} \geq 1$. Define the mapping $G_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ by $G_{i}=\left(1-\lambda_{i}\right) I+\lambda_{i} T_{i}$ where $1-\left(\xi_{i} /(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.\xi_{i}\right)\right)\left(1-\sqrt{\left(1-v_{i}\right) / \xi_{i}}\right) \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 1$ for each $i=1, \ldots, N$. Let $B: C \rightarrow C$ be the $K$-mapping generated by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{N}$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{N}$, where $\rho_{i} \in(0,1)$, for all $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ and $\rho_{N} \in(0,1]$. Let $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\rho \in(0,1)$. Let $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that $\Delta:=\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \Gamma \cap$ $\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\},\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$ are the sequences in $[0,1], \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}+\delta_{n}=1$ and satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha_{n-1}\right|+\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{n-1}\right|+\left|\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\delta_{n}-\delta_{n-1}\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left|\sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1}\right|+\left|\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right|\right)<\infty$;
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\} \subset[c, d]$ for some $c, d \in(0,1)$;
(iv) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}<1$;
(v) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}<1$;
(vi) $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n}<1$.

For arbitrary $x_{0} \in C$, define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ iteratively by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}= & \alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} B x_{n}+\delta_{n} S_{n} x_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}= & \epsilon_{n}\left[x_{n}-\sigma_{n}\left(N\left(w_{n}, k_{n}\right)+u_{n}\right)\right]  \tag{117}\\
& +\left(1-\epsilon_{n}\right) y_{n}, u_{n} \in A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right\| \leq(1+\varepsilon) H\left(A\left(g\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right), A\left(g\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{118}\\
\forall n \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

for any $w_{n} \in T x_{n}, k_{n} \in F x_{n}$, and some $\varepsilon>0$. Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup _{x \in D}\left\|S_{n+1} x-S_{n} x\right\|<\infty$ for any bounded subset $D$ of $C$ and let $S$ be a mapping of $C$ into itself defined by $S x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n} x$ for all $x \in C$ and suppose that $\operatorname{Fix}(S)=$ $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right)$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q \in \Delta$, which solves the following VIP:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle q-f(q), J(q-p)\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Delta \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for any $w \in T q$ and $k \in F q,(q, w, k)$ is a solution of the MVVI (16).
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