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The existence and multiplicity of solutions for second-order differential equations with a parameter are discussed in this paper. We are mainly concerned with the semipositone case. The analysis relies on the nonlinear alternative principle of Leray-Schauder and Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem in cones.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the problem of existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence of positive solutions for the following boundary value problem (BVP):

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(a(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+b(t) x=\lambda f(t, x), \quad t \in I, \\
x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad a(0) x^{\prime}(0)=a(2 \pi) x^{\prime}(2 \pi),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $I:=[0,2 \pi], \lambda$ is a positive parameter, $f(t, x) \in \operatorname{Car}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and $a(t), b(t)$ are realvalued measurable functions defined on $[0,2 \pi]$ and satisfy the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)>0, \quad b(t) \geq 0, \quad b(t) \not \equiv 0, \quad \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d t}{a(t)}<\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{2 \pi} b(t) d t<\infty \tag{H1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the symbol $\operatorname{Car}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ denotes the set of functions satisfying the Carathédory conditions on $I \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$; that is,
(i) $f(\cdot, x): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, and
(ii) $f(t, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in I$.

Due to a wide range of applications in physics and engineering, second-order boundary value problems have been extensively investigated by numerous researchers in recent years. For a small sample of such work, we refer the reader to [1-18] and the references therein. When $a(t)=1, b(t)=m^{2}, \lambda=1$ of $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$, in [11, 18], by using Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions are established to the periodic boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime \prime}+m^{2} x=f(t, x), \quad t \in I  \tag{1.1}\\
x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(2 \pi)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f(t, x) \in \operatorname{Car}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
In [8], Graef et al. consider the second-order periodic boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -x^{\prime \prime}+m^{2} x=\lambda g(t) f(x), \quad t \in I \\
& x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(2 \pi) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is continuous and $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is continuous and $f(x)>0$ for $x>0$. Under different combinations of superlinearity and sublinearity of the function $f$, various existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence results for positive solutions are derived in terms of different value of $\lambda$ via Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem.

Hao et al. [9] use the Global continuation theorem, fixed point index theory, and approximate method to study the following periodic boundary value problems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x^{\prime \prime}+a(t) x=\lambda f(t, x), \quad t \in I  \tag{1.3}\\
x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(2 \pi)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a \in L^{1}(0,2 \pi)$ and $f(t, x) \in \operatorname{Car}\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
In [10], by using the fixed point index theory, He et al. study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to BVP $\left(E_{\Omega}\right)$. Motivated by the above works, we establish the results of existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence of positive solutions for BVP $\left(E_{\mathcal{~}}\right)$ via Leray-Schauder alternative principle and Krasnosel'skii's fixed point in the semipositone case, that is, $f(t, x)+M>0$ for some $M>0$. Notice that we do not need $f(t, x)>0$ for any $t \in[0,2 \pi]$ and $x>0$, which is an essential condition of [9, 10].

The main result of the present paper is summarized as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{0}:=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \max _{t \in[0,2 \pi]} \frac{f(t, x)}{x}<\infty, \quad f^{\infty}:=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \max _{t \in[0,2 \pi]} \frac{f(t, x)}{x}<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exist $0<\underline{\lambda}<\bar{\lambda}$ such that ( $E_{\lambda}$ ) has no positive solution for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}<\underline{\lambda}$ and at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}$.

Remark 1.2. The main result above is a generalization of [9, Theorem 1.2] and [10, Theorem 1.2] and some other known results, in which $f^{0}$ and $f^{\infty}$ must be zero, besides $f(t, x)$ is positive.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. Some preliminary results will be given in Section 2. In Section 3, existence results are obtained using a nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder and fixed point theorem in cones when $\lambda$ is large enough; the proof of Theorem 1.1 is also given.

## 2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

In this section, we present some preliminary results which will be needed in subsequent sections. Denote by $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ the solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(a(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+b(t) x=0, \quad t \in I, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=1, \quad a(0) u(0)=0, \quad v(0)=0, \quad a(0) v(0)=1 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [2, Theorem 2.4], [10, Lemma 2.1]). Assume that (H1) holds and $h \in C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. Then for the solution $x(t)$ of the BVP

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(a(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+b(t) x=h(t), \quad t \in I, \\
x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad a(0) x^{\prime}(0)=a(2 \pi) x^{\prime}(2 \pi), \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=(\perp h)(t):=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(t, s) h(s) d s, \quad t \in I \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where

$$
\begin{align*}
G(t, s)= & \frac{v(2 \pi)}{D} u(t) u(s)-\frac{a(2 \pi) u^{\prime}(2 \pi)}{D} v(t) v(s) \\
& + \begin{cases}\frac{a(2 \pi) v^{\prime}(2 \pi)-1}{D} u(t) v(s)-\frac{u(2 \pi)-1}{D} u(s) v(t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 2 \pi, \\
\frac{a(2 \pi) v^{\prime}(2 \pi)-1}{D} u(s) v(t)-\frac{u(2 \pi)-1}{D} u(t) v(s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 2 \pi,\end{cases} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and $D=u(2 \pi)+a(2 \pi) v^{\prime}(2 \pi)-1>0$.

Lemma 2.2 (see [2, Theorem 2.5], [10, Lemma 2.2]). Under condition (H1), the Green's function of the $B V P(2.3)$ is positive, that is, $G(t, s)>0$ for $t, s \in I$.

Remark 2.3. We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\min _{0 \leq s, t \leq 2 \pi} G(t, s), \quad B=\max _{0 \leq s, t \leq 2 \pi} G(t, s), \quad \sigma=\frac{A}{B} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $B>A>0$ and $0<\sigma<1$. In this paper, we use $\omega(t)$ to denote the unique periodic solution of (2.3) with $h(t)=1$, that is, $\omega(t)=(\mathcal{L} 1)(t)$. Obviously, $A \leq\|\omega\|_{\infty} / 2 \pi \leq B$.

Remark 2.4. If $a(t)=1, b(t)=m^{2}>0$, then the Green's function $G(t, s)$ of the boundary value problem (2.3) has the form

$$
G(t, s)=G(|t, s|)= \begin{cases}\frac{\exp (m(t-s))+\exp (m(2 \pi-t+s))}{2 m(\exp (2 m \pi)-1)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 2 \pi  \tag{2.7}\\ \frac{\exp (m(s-t))+\exp (m(2 \pi-s+t))}{2 m(\exp (2 m \pi)-1)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 2 \pi\end{cases}
$$

It is obvious that $G(t, s)>0$ for $0 \leq s, t \leq 2 \pi$, and a direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{e^{m \pi}}{m\left(e^{2 m \pi}-1\right)}, \quad B=\frac{1+e^{2 m \pi}}{2 m\left(e^{2 m \pi}-1\right)}, \quad \sigma=\frac{2 e^{m \pi}}{1+e^{2 m \pi}}<1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the obtention of the second periodic solution of $\left(E_{\curlywedge}\right)$, we need the following wellknown fixed point theorem of compression and expansion of cones [19].

Lemma 2.5 (see Krasnosel'skii [19]). Let $X$ be a Banach space and $K(\subset X)$ a cone. Assume that $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ are open subsets of $X$ with $0 \in \Omega_{1}, \bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow K \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a continuous and compact operator such that either
(i) $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, or
(ii) $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.

Then $T$ has a fixed point in $K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.
In the applications below, we take $X=C[0,2 \pi]$ with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\{x \in X: x(t) \geq 0 \forall t, \min _{0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi} x(t) \geq \sigma\|x\|\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|x(t)\|=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi}|x(t)|$.

One may readily verify that $K$ is a cone in $X$. Finally, we define an operator $T: X \rightarrow K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T x)(t)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(t, s) F(s, x(s)) d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in X$ and $t \in[0,2 \pi]$, where $F:[0,2 \pi] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and $G(t, s)$ is the Green function defined above.

Lemma 2.6 (see [12, Lemmas 2.2, 2.3], [13, Lemma 2.4]). $T$ is well defined and maps $X$ into $K$. Moreover, $T: X \rightarrow K$ is continuous and completely continuous.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we establish the existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence of positive solutions to the periodic boundary problem $\left(E_{\Lambda}\right)$. The first existence result is based on the following nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder, which can be found in [15].

Lemma 3.1. Assume $\Omega$ is a relatively compact subset of a convex set $K$ in a normed space $X$. Let $T: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow K$ be a compact map with $0 \in \Omega$. Then one of the following two conclusions holds:
(I) $T$ has at least one fixed point in $\bar{\Omega}$.
(II) There exist $x \in \Omega$ and $0<\lambda<1$ such that $x=\lambda T x$.

Since we are mainly interested in the semipositone case, without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(t, x)$ satisfies the following.
(F1) There is a constant $M>0$ such that $f(t, x)+M>0$ for all $(t, x) \in[0,2 \pi] \times(0, \infty)$ and let $F(t, x):=\lambda(f(t, x)+M)>0$. Besides, we introduce the following assumption on $f(t, x)$.
(F2) there exists a continuous, nonnegative function $g(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x) \leq g(x), \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0,2 \pi] \times(0, \infty) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \leq \lambda(g(x)+M), \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0,2 \pi] \times(0, \infty) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g(x)>0$ is nondecreasing in $x \in(0, \infty)$.
Theorem 3.2. Suppose $f(t, x)$ satisfies (F1) and (F2). Suppose further that
(F3) there exists $r>M\|\omega\| / \sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{\lambda(g(r)+M)}>\|\omega\| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ and $\omega$ are as in Section 2.

Then $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least one positive periodic solution with $0<\|x+M \omega\|<r$.
Proof. The existence is proved using the Leray-Schauder alternative principle. Consider the following equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(a(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+b(t) x=\mu F(t, x(t)-M \omega(t)), \quad t \in I \\
x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad a(0) x^{\prime}(0)=a(2 \pi) x^{\prime}(2 \pi) \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mu \in[0,1]$. Problem (3.4) is equivalent to the following fixed point problem in $C[0,2 \pi]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\mu T x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ denotes the operator defined by (2.11), with $F(t, x)$ replaced by $F(t, x-M \omega)$.
We claim that any fixed point $x$ of (3.5) for any $\mu \in[0,1]$ must satisfy $\|x\| \neq r$.
Then we have from condition (F2), for all $t \in I$,

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) & =\mu T x(t) \\
& =\mu \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(t, s) F(s, x(s)-M \omega(s)) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(t, s) F(s, x(s)-M \omega(s)) d s  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(t, s)(\lambda(g(x-M \omega)+M)) d s \\
& \leq \lambda(g(r)+M)\|\omega\|
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\|x\| \leq \lambda(g(r)+M)\|\omega\| \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction to the condition (F3). From this claim, the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder guarantees that (3.5) (with $\mu=1$ ) has a fixed point, denoted by $\widehat{x}_{1}(t)$, that is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(a(t) \widehat{x}_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+b(t) \widehat{x}_{1}=\lambda\left(f\left(t, \widehat{x}_{1}(t)-M \omega(t)\right)+M\right), \quad t \in I, \\
\hat{x}_{1}(0)=\widehat{x}_{1}(2 \pi), \quad a(0) \widehat{x}_{1}^{\prime}(0)=a(2 \pi) \widehat{x}_{1}^{\prime}(2 \pi) . \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.5 and condition (F3), for all $t \in I$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{x}_{1}(t) \geq \sigma\left\|\widehat{x}_{1}\right\|=\sigma r>\sigma \cdot \frac{M\|\omega\|}{\sigma}=M\|\omega\|>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{x}_{1}(t)-M\|\omega\|>0 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{*}(t)=\widehat{x}_{1}(t)-M \omega . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $x_{1}^{*}(t)$ is a solution of $\left(E_{\curlywedge}\right)$ which satisfies $0<\left\|x_{1}^{*}+M \omega\right\|<r$. Thus, the proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that conditions (F1)-(F3) hold. In addition, it is assumed that the following two conditions are satisfied.
(F4) There exists a continuous, nonnegative function $h(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x)+M \geq h(x), \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0,2 \pi] \times(0, \infty), \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geq \lambda h(x), \quad \forall(t, x) \in[0,2 \pi] \times(0, \infty) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h(x)>0$ is nondecreasing in $x \in(0, \infty)$.
(F5) There exists a positive number $R>r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R}{\lambda h(\sigma R-M\|\omega\|)} \leq\|\omega\| . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, besides the periodic solution $x$ constructed in Theorem 3.2, $\left(E_{\mathcal{l}}\right)$ has another positive periodic solution $\tilde{x}$ with $r<\|\tilde{x}+M \omega\|<R$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we only need to show that (3.8) has a periodic solution with $\widehat{x}_{2} \in C[0,2 \pi]$ with $\widehat{x}_{2}>M \omega$ and $r<\left\|\widehat{x}_{2}\right\|<R$.

Let $X=C[0,2 \pi]$ and $K$ the cone in $X$ in Section 2 . Let $\Omega_{1}=B_{r}$ and $\Omega_{2}=B_{R}$ be balls in $X$. The operator $T: K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow K$ is defined by (2.11), with $F(t, x)$ replaced by $F(t, x-M \omega)$. Note that any $x \in K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$ satisfies $0<\sigma r \leq x(t) \leq R$, thus $T$ is well defined.

First we have $\|T x\| \leq\|x\|$ for $x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$. In fact, if $x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, then $\|x\|=r$. Now the estimate $\|T x\| \leq r$ can be obtained almost following the same ideas in proving (3.7). We omit the details here.

Next we show that $\|T x\| \geq\|x\|$ for $x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$. To see this, let $x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, then $\|x\|=R$ and $x \geq \sigma R$; it follows from conditions (F4) and (F5) that, for $0 \geq t \geq 2 \pi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
T x(t) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(t, s) F(s, x(s)-M \omega(s)) d s \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(t, s)(\lambda(h(x-M \omega))) d s  \tag{3.15}\\
& \geq \lambda h(\sigma R-M\|\omega\|)\|\omega\| \geq R=\|x\|
\end{align*}
$$

Now Lemma 2.5 guarantees that $T$ has a fixed point $\widehat{x}_{2} \in K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$, thus $r \leq$ $\left\|\widehat{x}_{2}(t)\right\| \leq R$.

Finally, $x_{2}^{*}(t)=\widehat{x}_{2}(t)-M \omega$ will be the another desired positive periodic solution of $\left(E_{\Omega}\right)$. We omit the details because they are much similar to that in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Now we are in a position to present the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Consider $v(x)>0$ be an eigenfunction satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(a(t) v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+b(t) v=\lambda_{1} v, \quad t \in I \\
v(0)=v(2 \pi), \quad a(0) v^{\prime}(0)=a(2 \pi) v^{\prime}(2 \pi) \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

corresponding to the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$. Let $x$ be a positive solution of $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$. Multiplying (3.16) by $x$ and $\left(E_{\mathcal{l}}\right)$ by $v$, and subtracting we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\lambda f(t, x)-\lambda_{1} x\right) v \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f^{0}<\infty$ and $f^{\infty}<\infty$, there exist positive numbers $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \epsilon_{1}$, and $\epsilon_{2}$ such that $\epsilon_{1}<\epsilon_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(t, x)| \leq \eta_{1} x \quad \text { for } x \in\left[0, \epsilon_{1}\right] \\
& |f(t, x)| \leq \eta_{2} x \quad \text { for } x \in\left[\epsilon_{2}, \infty\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

with $t \in I$. Let the positive number $\eta_{3}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{3}=\max \left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \max _{\epsilon_{1}<x<\epsilon_{2}}\left\{\left|\frac{f(t, x)}{x}\right|\right\}\right\} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, x)| \leq \eta_{3} x \quad \text { for } x \in[0, \infty) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there exists a $\underline{\lambda}>0$, for $0<\lambda<\underline{\lambda}$ satisfying $\left|\lambda_{1} / \lambda\right|>\eta_{3}$. (3.17) cannot hold, and hence $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ has no positive solution for $\lambda<\underline{\lambda}$.

Note that the sublinearity of $f(t, x)$ near $x=\infty$, we can construct a suitable $g(x)$ in (F2) which satisfies $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r) / r<\infty$. This means that there exists $\lambda>\bar{\Lambda}_{1}$ satisfying (3.3) with $r$ being large enough. There also exists $\lambda>\bar{\lambda}_{2}=R /\|\omega\|(h(\sigma R-M\|\omega\|))$ satisfying (3.14). Let $\bar{\lambda}=\max \left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)$. Thus, with the help of Theorems 3.2 and $3.3,\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least two positive solution for $\lambda>\bar{\lambda}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Example 3.4. Let the nonlinearity in $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x)=\alpha(t) g(x) \exp \left(-x^{\gamma}\right), \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma>0, \alpha(t)$ is a continuous function for all $t \in I$ and $g(x)$ is a real coefficient polynomial function which has zero constant term. Then Theorem 1.1 is valid.

Proof. In this case, with the function $f(t, x)=\alpha(t) g(x) \exp \left(-x^{\gamma}\right)$, it is easy to verify

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{0}:=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \max _{t \in[0,2 \pi]} \frac{f(t, x)}{x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \max _{t \in[0,2 \pi]} \frac{\alpha(t) g(x) \exp \left(-x^{\gamma}\right)}{x}<\infty, \\
& f^{\infty}:=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \max _{t \in[0,2 \pi]} \frac{f(t, x)}{x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \max _{t \in[0,2 \pi]} \frac{\alpha(t) g(x) \exp \left(-x^{\gamma}\right)}{x}=0<\infty . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1 that there exists $0<\underline{\lambda}<\bar{\lambda}$ such that $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ has no positive solution for $\lambda<\underline{\lambda}$ and at least two positive solutions for $\bar{\lambda} \geq \bar{\lambda}$.
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