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We give fixed point results for four mappings which satisfy almost generalized contractive condition on partial metric space and we support the results with an example.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Partial metric spaces, introduced by Matthews [1, 2], are a generalization of the notion of the metric space in which in definition of metric, the condition $d(x, x)=0$ is replaced by the condition $d(x, x) \leq d(x, y)$.

In [1], Matthews discussed some properties of convergence of sequence and proved the fixed point theorems for contractive mapping on partial metric spaces: any mapping $T$ of a complete partial metric space $X$ into itself that satisfies, where $0 \leq k<1$, the inequality $d(T x, T y) \leq k d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, has a unique fixed point. Recently, many authors (see [3-15]) have focused on this subject and generalized some fixed point theorems from the class of metric spaces.

The definition of partial metric space is given by Matthews (see [2]) as follows.
Definition 1.1. Let $X$ be a nonempty set and let $p: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (PM1) } x=y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x)=p(y, y)=p(x, y), \\
& \text { (PM2) } p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

(PM3) $p(x, y)=p(y, x)$,
(PM4) $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z)+p(z, y)-p(z, z)$,
for all $x, y$ and $z \in X$, where $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}=[0, \infty)$. Then the pair $(X, p)$ is called a partial metric space (in short PMS) and $p$ is called a partial metric on $X$.

Let $(X, p)$ be a PMS. Then, the functions $p^{s}, p^{w}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
p^{s}(x, y)=2 p(x, y)-p(x, x)-p(y, y)  \tag{1.1}\\
p^{w}(x, y)=p(x, y)-\min \{p(x, x), p(y, y)\}
\end{gather*}
$$

are ordinary equivalent metrics on $X$. Each partial metric $p$ on $X$ generates a $T_{0}$ topology $\tau_{p}$ on $X$ with a base of the family of open $p$-balls $\left\{B_{p}(x, \varepsilon): x \in X, \varepsilon>0\right\}$, where $B_{p}(x, \varepsilon)=\{y \in$ $X: p(x, y)<p(x, x)+\varepsilon\}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$.

Example 1.2 (see $[1,2])$. Let $X=\{[a, b]: a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
p([a, b],[c, d])=\max \{b, d\}-\min \{a, c\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $(X, p)$ is a partial metric space.
We give same topological definitions on partial metric spaces.
Definition 1.3 (see [1, 2, 4]).
(i) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a PMS $(X, p)$ converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $p(x, x)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x, x_{n}\right)$.
(ii) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a $\operatorname{PMS}(X, p)$ is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)$ exists (and finite).
(iii) A PMS $(X, p)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converges, with respect to $\tau_{p}$, to a point $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)$.
(iv) A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be continuous at $x_{0} \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $f\left(B\left(x_{0}, \delta\right)\right) \subset B\left(f\left(x_{0}\right), \varepsilon\right)$.

Lemma 1.4 (see $[1,2,4]$ ).
(A) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy in a PMS $(X, p)$ if and only if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy in a metric space $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$.
(B) A PMS $(X, p)$ is complete if and only if the metric space $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$ is complete. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p^{s}\left(x, x_{n}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow p(x, x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is a limit of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$.

Remark 1.5 (see [11]). Let ( $X, p$ ) be a PMS. Therefore,
(A) if $p(x, y)=0$, then $x=y$;
(B) if $x \neq y$, then $p(x, y)>0$.

Lemma 1.6 (see [10]). Assume $x_{n} \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in a PMS $(X, p)$ such that $p(z, z)=0$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, y\right)=p(z, y)$ for every $y \in X$.

On the other hand, Kannan [16] proved a fixed point theorem for a map satisfying a contractive condition that did not require continuity at each point. Afterward Sessa [17] introduced the notion of weakly commuting maps, which generalized the concept of commuting maps. Then Jungck generalized this idea, first to compatible mappings [18] and then to weakly compatible mappings [19].

A pair $(f, T)$ of self-mappings on $X$ is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point (i.e., $f T x=T f x$ whenever $f x=T x$ ). A point $y \in X$ is called point of coincidence of a family $T_{j}, j \in J$, of self-mappings on $X$ if there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $y=T_{j} x$ for all $j \in J$.

The concept of almost contraction property was given to as follows by Berinde.
Definition 1.7 (see $[20,21]$ ). Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. A map $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called an almost contraction if there exist a constant $\delta \in[0,1[$ and some $L \geq 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f x, f y) \leq \delta d(x, y)+L d(f x, y) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Berinde called this as "weak contraction" in [20], then he renamed it as "almost contraction" in [21, 22], also Berinde [21] proved some fixed point theorems for almost contraction in complete metric space. Definition 1.7 is a special case of the following definition (choose $g=$ $I_{X}, I_{X}$ is the identity map on $X$ ).

Definition 1.8 (see [7]). Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. A map $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called an almost contraction with respect to a mapping $g: X \rightarrow X$ if there exist a constant $\delta \in[0,1[$ and some $L \geq 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f x, f y) \leq \delta d(g x, g y)+L d(f x, g y) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Babu et al. [23] considered the class of mappings that satisfy "condition (B)."
Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. A map $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to satisfy "condition (B)" if there exist a constant $\delta \in[0,1[$ and some $L \geq 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f x, f y) \leq \delta d(x, y)+L \min \{p(x, f x), p(y, f y), p(x, f y), p(y, f x)\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Afterward, Berinde [21], Abbas and Ilić [24], and Ćirić et al. [7] generalized the above definition and proved some fixed point results.

In recent paper, Altun and Acar [25] introduced the notion of $(\delta, L)$ weak contraction in the sense of Berinde in partial metric space.

Definition 1.9 (see [25]). Let $(X, p)$ be a partial metric space. A map $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called $(\delta, L)$-weak contraction if there exist a $\delta \in[0,1)$ and some $L \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(T x, T y) \leq \delta p(x, y)+L p^{w}(y, T x) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
In this paper, we give a fixed point theorem for four mappings satisfying almost generalized contractive condition in [26] on partial metric spaces.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, p)$ be a complete partial metric space and $f, g, S$ and $T$ be self maps on $X$, with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $g(X) \subseteq S(X)$. If there exists $\delta \in[0,1[$ and $L \geq 0$ with such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(f x, g y) \leq \delta M(x, y)+L N(x, y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, y \in X$, where,

$$
\begin{gather*}
M(x, y)=\max \left\{p(S x, T y), p(f x, S x), p(g y, T y), \frac{p(S x, g y)+p(f x, T y)}{2}\right\}  \tag{2.2}\\
N(x, y)=\min \left\{p^{w}(f x, S x), p^{w}(g y, T y), p^{w}(S x, g y), p^{w}(f x, T y)\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\{f, S\}$ and $\{g, T\}$ are weakly compatible and one of $f(X), g(X), S(X)$, and $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of $X$, then $f, g, S$, and $T$ have a common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $X$. Since $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$, we can find $x_{1} \in X$ such that $f x_{0}=T x_{1}$ and also, as $g x_{1} \in S(X)$, there exist $x_{2} \in X$ such that $g x_{1}=S x_{2}$. In general, $x_{2 n+1} \in X$ is chosen such that $f x_{2 n}=T x_{2 n+1}$ and $x_{2 n+2} \in X$ such that $g x_{2 n+1}=S x_{2 n+2}$, we obtain a sequences $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2 n}=f x_{2 n}=T x_{2 n+1}, \quad y_{2 n+1}=g x_{2 n+1}=S x_{2 n+2}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $y_{2 m}=y_{2 m+1}$ for some $m$. Thus, $g$ and $T$ have a coincidence point. Due to (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m+1}\right) & =p\left(f x_{2 m+2}, g x_{2 m+1}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leq \delta M\left(x_{2 m+2}, x_{2 m+1}\right)+L N\left(x_{2 m+2}, x_{2 m+1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(x_{2 m+2}, x_{2 m+1}\right) & =\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
p^{w}\left(f x_{2 m+2}, S x_{2 m+2}\right), p^{w}\left(g x_{2 m+1}, T x_{2 m+1}\right), \\
p^{w}\left(S x_{2 m+2}, g x_{2 m+1}\right), p^{w}\left(f x_{2 m+2}, T x_{2 m+1}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
p^{w}\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m+1}\right), p^{w}\left(y_{2 m+1}, y_{2 m}\right), \\
p^{w}\left(y_{2 m+1}, y_{2 m+1}\right), p^{w}\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =0, \\
M\left(x_{2 m+2}, x_{2 m+1}\right) & =\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p\left(S x_{2 m+2}, T x_{2 m+1}\right), p\left(f x_{2 m+2}, S x_{2 m+2}\right), \\
p\left(g x_{2 m+1}, T x_{2 m+1}\right), \\
\frac{p\left(S x_{2 m+2}, g x_{2 m+1}\right)+p\left(f x_{2 m+2}, T x_{2 m+1}\right)}{2}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p\left(y_{2 m+1}, y_{2 m}\right), p\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m+1}\right), \\
p\left(y_{2 m+1}, y_{2 m}\right), \\
\frac{p\left(y_{2 m+1}, y_{2 m+1}\right)+p\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m}\right)}{2}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =p\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m+1}\right) \leq \delta p\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m+1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by $\delta \in\left[0,1\left[\right.\right.$, we have $p\left(y_{2 m+2}, y_{2 m+1}\right)=0$, that is, $y_{2 m+1}=y_{2 m+2}$. So, $f$ and $S$ have a coincidence point.

Suppose now that $y_{n} \neq y_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$. From (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right)=p\left(f x_{2 n}, g x_{2 n+1}\right) \leq \delta M\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)+L N\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
N\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right) & =\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
p^{w}\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), p^{w}\left(g x_{2 n+1}, T x_{2 n+1}\right), \\
p^{w}\left(S x_{2 n}, g x_{2 n+1}\right), p^{w}\left(f x_{2 n}, T x_{2 n+1}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p^{w}\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n-1}\right), p^{w}\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right), \\
p^{w}\left(y_{2 n-1}, y_{2 n+1}\right), p^{w}\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =0, \\
M\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right) & =\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p\left(S x_{2 n}, T x_{2 n+1}\right), p\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), \\
\left.p\left(g x_{2 n+1}, T x_{2 n+1}\right), \frac{p\left(S x_{2 n}, g x_{2 n+1}\right)+p\left(f x_{2 n}, T x_{2 n+1}\right)}{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p\left(y_{2 n-1}, y_{2 n}\right), p\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n-1}\right), \\
\left.p\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right), \frac{p\left(y_{2 n-1}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+p\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n}\right)}{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Due to (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right) \leq \delta M\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to PM4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{2 n-1}, y_{2 n+1}\right)+p\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n}\right) \leq p\left(y_{2 n-1}, y_{2 n}\right)+p\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n+1}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $M\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)=\max \left\{p\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n-1}\right), p\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right)\right\}$. If $M\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)=p\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right)$, then by (2.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right) \leq \delta p\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\delta \in\left[0,1\left[\right.\right.$, the inequality (2.9) yields a contradiction. Hence, $M\left(x_{2 n}, x_{2 n+1}\right)=p\left(y_{2 n}\right.$, $y_{2 n-1}$ ), then by (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{2 n+1}, y_{2 n}\right) \leq \delta p\left(y_{2 n}, y_{2 n-1}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, one can observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n}\right) \leq \delta^{n} p\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right), \quad \forall n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{s}\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}\right) & =2 p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}\right)-p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}\right)-p\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right) \\
& \leq 2 p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \leq \delta^{n+1} p\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, regarding (2.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p^{s}\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}\right)=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{s}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+k}\right) & \leq p^{s}\left(y_{n+k-1}, y_{n+k}\right)+\cdots+p^{s}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \leq 2 \delta^{n+k-1} p\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)+\cdots+2 \delta^{n+1} p\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

After standard calculation, we obtain that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$, that is, $p^{s}\left(y_{n}, y_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $(X, p)$ is complete, by Lemma $1.4,\left(X, p^{s}\right)$ is complete and sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is convergent in $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$ to say $z \in X$. From Lemma 1.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z, z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(y_{n}, z\right)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(y_{n}, y_{m}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(X, p^{s}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p^{s}\left(y_{n}, y_{m}\right)=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assert that $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(y_{n}, y_{m}\right)=0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $n>m$,

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n}\right) & \leq p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}\right)+p\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n}\right)-p\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right) \\
& \leq p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}\right)+p\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(y_{n+3}, y_{n}\right) & \leq p\left(y_{n+3}, y_{n+2}\right)+p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n}\right)-p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}\right) \\
& \leq p\left(y_{n+3}, y_{n+2}\right)+p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n}\right) . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account (2.20), the expression (2.19) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{n+3}, y_{n}\right) \leq p\left(y_{n+3}, y_{n+2}\right)+p\left(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}\right)+p\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inductively, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(y_{m}, y_{n}\right) \leq p\left(y_{m}, y_{m+1}\right)+\cdots+p\left(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}\right)+p\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (2.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(y_{m}, y_{n}\right) & \leq \delta^{m} p\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)+\cdots+\delta^{n-2} p\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)+\delta^{n-1} p\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \delta^{m}\left(1+\delta+\cdots+\delta^{n-m-1}\right) p\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Regarding $\delta \in\left[0,1\left[\right.\right.$, we can observe that $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(y_{n}, y_{m}\right)=0$.
Since $y_{n} \rightarrow z$ in $X,\left\{f x_{2 n}\right\},\left\{T x_{2 n+1}\right\},\left\{g x_{2 n+1}\right\},\left\{S x_{2 n+2}\right\}$ converge to $z$.
Now we show that $z$ is the fixed point for maps $g$ and $T$. Assume that $T(X)$ is complete, there exists $u \in X$ such that $z=T u$. We will show that $g u=z$. On the contrary, assume that $g u \neq z$.

From, (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(f x_{2 n}, g u\right) \leq \delta M\left(x_{2 n}, u\right)+L N\left(x_{2 n}, u\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
N\left(x_{2 n}, u\right) & =\min \left\{p^{w}\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), p^{w}(g u, T u), p^{w}\left(S x_{2 n}, g u\right), p^{w}\left(f x_{2 n}, T u\right)\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{p^{w}\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), p^{w}(g u, z), p^{w}\left(S x_{2 n}, g u\right), p^{w}\left(f x_{2 n}, z\right)\right\}, \\
M\left(x_{2 n}, u\right) & =\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p\left(S x_{2 n}, T u\right), p\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), p(g u, T u), \\
\frac{p\left(S x_{2 n}, g u\right)+p\left(f x_{2 n}, T u\right)}{2}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{2.25}\\
& =\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p\left(S x_{2 n}, z\right), p\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), p(g u, z), \\
\frac{p\left(S x_{2 n}, g u\right)+p\left(f x_{2 n}, z\right)}{2}
\end{array}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(x_{2 n}, u\right)=p(g u, z)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{2 n}, u\right)=0$. We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z, g u) \leq \delta p(g u, z) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\delta \in[0,1[$, we get $p(z, g u)=0$. Therefore, $g u=T u=z$. Since the maps $g$ and $T$ are weakly compatible, we have $g z=g T u=T g u=T z$. We will also show that $g z=z$. From (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(f x_{2 n}, g z\right) \leq \delta M\left(x_{2 n}, z\right)+L N\left(x_{2 n}, z\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(x_{2 n}, z\right)=\min \left\{p^{w}\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), p^{w}(g z, T z), p^{w}\left(S x_{2 n}, g z\right), p^{w}\left(f x_{2 n}, T z\right)\right\}, \\
& M\left(x_{2 n}, z\right)=\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p\left(S x_{2 n}, T z\right), p\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), \\
\left.p(g z, T z), \frac{p\left(S x_{2 n}, g z\right)+p\left(f x_{2 n}, T z\right)}{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{2.28}\\
&=\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p\left(S x_{2 n}, g z\right), p\left(f x_{2 n}, S x_{2 n}\right), \\
\left.p(g z, g z), \frac{p\left(S x_{2 n}, g z\right)+p\left(f x_{2 n}, T z\right)}{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(x_{2 n}, z\right)=p(z, g z)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{2 n}, z\right)=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z, g z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(f x_{2 n}, g z\right) \leq \delta p(z, g z) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\delta \in[0,1[, p(z, g z)=0$. By Remark 1.5, we get $z=g z$.
Similarly, we show that $z$ is also fixed point of $f$ and $S$. Hence, $f z=g z=T z=S z=z$.
The proofs for the cases in which $S(X), f(X)$, or $g(X)$ is complete are similar.
Last, we show $z$ is unique. Suppose on the contrary that there is another common fixed point $t$ of $f, g, S$, and $T$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z, t)=p(f z, g t) \leq \delta M(z, t)+L N(z, t) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
N(z, t) & =\min \left\{p^{w}(f z, S z), p^{w}(g t, T t), p^{w}(S z, g t), p^{w}(f z, T t)\right\} \\
& =0, \\
M(z, t) & =\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
p(S z, T t), p(f z, S z), p(g t, T t), \\
\frac{p(S z, g t)+p(f z, T t)}{2}
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{2.31}\\
& =p(S z, T t) \\
& =p(z, t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z, t) \leq \delta p(z, t) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $p(z, t)=0$ and Remark $1.5 z=t$. So, $z$ is the unique common fixed point os $f, g, S$, and $T$.

Example 2.2. Let $X=\{0,1,2\}$ endowed with the partial metric $p$ given by $p(x, y)=\max \{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. It is clear that $(X, p)$ is a complete partial metric space. Define the mappings $f, g, S, T: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=g, \quad S=T, \\
f 0=f 2=0, \quad f 1=1  \tag{2.33}\\
T 0=0, \quad T 1=2, \quad T 2=1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

We have $f(X) \subseteq T(X)=X$. For $\delta=1 / 2, L=1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
p(f 0, f 1)=1 \leq \delta .2+L .1 \\
p(f 2, f 1)=1 \leq \delta .2+L .0 \\
p(f 2, f 2)=p(f 0, f 0)=0 \leq \delta .0+L .1  \tag{2.34}\\
p(f 1, f 1)=1 \leq \delta .2+L .0
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, the contractive condition (2.1) is satisfied for every $x, y \in X$. Moreover, $\{f, T\}$ is weakly compatible. So all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. We deduce the existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point of $f$ and $T$. Here, 0 is the unique common fixed point.

Corollary 2.3. Let $(X, p)$ is complete PMS and $f$ and $T$ be self maps on $X$, with $f(X) \subseteq T(X)$. If there exists $\delta \in[0,1[$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(f x, f y) \leq \delta M(x, y)+L N(x, y) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{gather*}
M(x, y)=\max \left\{p(T x, T y), p(f x, T x), p(f y, T y), \frac{p(T x, f y)+p(f x, T y)}{2}\right\},  \tag{2.36}\\
N(x, y)=\min \left\{p^{w}(f x, T x), p^{w}(f y, T y), p^{w}(T x, f y), p^{w}(f x, T y)\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $x, y \in X$. If $\{f, T\}$ is weakly compatible and one of $f(X)$ and $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of $X$, then $f$ and $T$ have a common fixed point.

Remark 2.4. It is easy to see that for every map $T: X \rightarrow X,\left\{T, I_{X}\right\}$ is weakly compatible, where $I_{X}$ is identity map on $X$, so by taking $f=g=I_{X}$ in Theorem 2.1 we have the following results.

Corollary 2.5. Let $(X, p)$ is complete $P M S$ and $S$ and $T$ be self maps on $X$. If there exists $\delta \in[0,1[$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x, y) \leq \delta M(x, y)+L N(x, y) \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x, y \in X$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
M(x, y)=\max \left\{p(S x, T y), p(x, S x), p(y, T y), \frac{p(S x, y)+p(x, T y)}{2}\right\}  \tag{2.38}\\
N(x, y)=\min \left\{p^{w}(x, S x), p^{w}(y, T y), p^{w}(S x, y), p^{w}(x, T y)\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $S$ and $T$ have a common fixed point.
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