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By using fixed point methods and direct method, we establish the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive-quadratic functional equation $f(x+k y)+f(x-k y)=f(x+$ $y)+f(x-y)+(2(k+1) / k) f(k y)-2(k+1) f(y)$ for fixed integers $k$ with $k \neq 0, \pm 1$ in fuzzy Banach spaces.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations was originated from a question of Ulam [1] in 1940, concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Let ( $\left.G_{1}, \cdot\right)$ be a group and let $\left(G_{2}, *, d\right)$ be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon>0$, does there exist a $\delta>0$, such that if a mapping $h: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x \cdot y), h(x) * h(y))<\delta$ for all $x, y \in G_{1}$, then there exists a homomorphism $H: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ with $d(h(x), H(x))<\epsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$ ? In other words, under what condition does there exist a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism? The concept of stability for functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. In 1941, Hyers [2] gave a first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Let $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be a mapping between Banach spaces such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \delta, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E$, and for some $\delta>0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \delta \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$. Moreover if $f(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in E$, then $T$ is linear. In 1978, Rassias [3] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded. In 1991, Gajda [4] answered the question for the case $p>1$, which was raised by Rassias. This new concept is known as Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations (see [5-17]).

The functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x)+2 f(y) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is related to a symmetric biadditive function. It is natural that this equation is called a quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic equation (1.3) is said to be a quadratic function. It is well known that a function $f$ between real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exists a unique symmetric biadditive function $B$ such that $f(x)=B(x, x)$ for all $x$ (see $[6,18])$. The biadditive function $B$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x, y)=\frac{1}{4}(f(x+y)-f(x-y)) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the quadratic functional equation (1.3) was proved by Skof for functions $f: A \rightarrow B$, where $A$ is normed space and $B$ Banach space (see [19-22]). Borelli and Forti [23] generalized the stability result of quadratic functional equations as follows (cf. $[24,25])$ : let $G$ be an Abelian group, and $X$ a Banach space. Assume that a mapping $f: G \rightarrow X$ satisfies the functional inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, and $\varphi: G \times G \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, y):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{i+1}} \varphi\left(2^{i} x, 2^{i} y\right)<\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q: G \rightarrow X$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-Q(x)\| \leq \Phi(x, x) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
Now, we introduce the following functional equation for fixed integers $k$ with $k \neq 0, \pm 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+k y)+f(x-k y)=f(x+y)+f(x-y)+\frac{2(k+1)}{k} f(k y)-2(k+1) f(y) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f(0)=0$ in a non-Archimedean space. It is easy to see that the function $f(x)=a x+b x^{2}$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.8), which explains why it is called additive-quadratic functional equation. For more detailed definitions of mixed type functional equations, we can refer to [26-47].

Definition 1.1 (see [48]). Let $X$ be a real vector space. A function $N: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called a fuzzy norm on $X$ if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
(N1) $N(x, t)=0$ for $t \leq 0$;
(N2) $x=0$ if and only if $N(x, t)=1$ for all $t>0$;
(N3) $N(c x, t)=N(x, t /|c|)$ if $c \neq 0$;
(N4) $N(x+y, s+t) \geq \min \{N(x, s), N(y, t)\}$;
(N5) $N(x, \cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t)=1$;
$(N 6)$ for $x \neq 0, N(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.
The pair $(X, N)$ is called a fuzzy normed vector space.
Example 1.2. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and $\alpha, \beta>0$. Then

$$
N(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t+\beta\|x\|}, & t>0, x \in X  \tag{1.9}\\ 0, & t \leq 0, x \in X\end{cases}
$$

is a fuzzy norm on $X$.
Definition 1.3. Let $(X, N)$ be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be convergent or converge if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{n}-x, t\right)=1$ for all $t>0$. In this case, $x$ is called the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ and one denotes it by $N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.

Definition 1.4. Let $(X, N)$ be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is called Cauchy if for each $\epsilon>0$ and each $t>0$ there exists an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and all $p>0$, one has $N\left(x_{n+p}-x_{n}, t\right)>1-\epsilon$.

It is well known that every convergent sequence in a fuzzy normed vector space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be complete and the fuzzy normed vector space is called a fuzzy Banach space.

Example 1.5. Let $N: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a fuzzy norm on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
N(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{t+|x|}, & t>0  \tag{1.10}\\ 0, & t \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

The $(\mathbb{R}, N)$ is a fuzzy Banach space. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}, \delta>0$, and $\epsilon=$ $\delta /(1+\delta)$. Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq m$ and all $p>0$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\left|x_{n+p}-x_{n}\right|} \geq 1-\epsilon \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\left|x_{n+p}-x_{n}\right|<\delta$ for all $n \geq m$ and all $p>0$. Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|)$. Let $x_{n} \rightarrow x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{n}-x_{0}, t\right)=1$ for all $t>0$.

We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between fuzzy normed vector spaces $X$ and $Y$ is continuous at a point $x \in X$ if for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging to $x_{0} \in X$, the sequence $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges to $f\left(x_{0}\right)$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous at each $x \in X$, then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be continuous on $X$ ([49]).

Definition 1.6. Let $X$ be a set. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called a generalized metric on $X$ if $d$ satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(2) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 1.7. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete generalized metric space and let $J: X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L<1$. Then, for all $x \in X$, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(J^{n} x, J^{n+1} x\right)=\infty \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $n$, or there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that
(1) $d\left(J^{n} x, J^{n+1} x\right)<\infty$ for all $n_{0} \geq n_{0}$;
(2) the sequence $\left\{J^{n} x\right\}$ converges to a fixed point $y^{*}$ of J;
(3) $y^{*}$ is the unique fixed point of $J$ in the set $Y=\left\{y \in X: d\left(J^{n_{0}} x, y\right)<\infty\right\}$;
(4) $d\left(y, y^{*}\right) \leq 1 /(1-L) d(y, J y)$ for all $y \in Y$.

We have the following theorem from [42], which investigates the solution of (1.8).
Theorem 1.8. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies (1.8) for all $x, y \in X$ if and only if there exist functions $A: X \rightarrow Y$ and $Q: X \times X \rightarrow Y$, such that $f(x)=A(x)+Q(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$, where the function $Q$ is symmetric biadditive and $A$ is additive.

## 2. A Fixed Point Method

Using the fixed point methods, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic functional equation (1.8) in fuzzy Banach spaces. Throughout this paper, assume that $X$ is a vector space and that $(Y, N)$ is a fuzzy Banach space.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\varphi: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a mapping such that there exists an $\alpha<1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, y) \leq|k| \alpha \varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd function satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(f(k(x+y))+f(k(x-y))-f(k x+y)-f(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} f(k y)+2(k+1) f(y), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x, y)} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then $A(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(k^{n} x\right) / k^{n}\right)$ exists for all $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq \frac{(|2 k+2|-|2 k+2| \alpha) t}{(|2 k+2|-|2 k+2| \alpha) t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.
Proof. Note that $f(0)=0$ and $f(-x)=-f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ since $f$ is an odd function. Putting $x=0$ in (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f(k y)}{k}-f(y), \frac{t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, y)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $y$ by $x$ in (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f(k x)}{k}-f(x), \frac{t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Consider the set $S:=\{h: X \rightarrow Y ; h(0)=0\}$ and introduce the generalized metric on $S$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(g, h)=\inf _{\mu \in(0,+\infty)}\left\{N(g(x)-h(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)}, \forall x \in X\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi=+\infty$. It is easy to show that $(S, d)$ is complete (see [50]). We consider the mapping $J:(S, d) \rightarrow(S, d)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J g(x):=\frac{1}{k} g(k x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h)=\beta$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(g(x)-h(x), \beta t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
N(J g(x)-J h(x), \alpha \beta t) & =N\left(\frac{1}{k} g(k x)-\frac{1}{k} h(k x), \alpha \beta t\right) \\
& =N(g(k x)-h(k x),|k| \alpha \beta t) \\
& \geq \frac{|k| \alpha t}{|k| \alpha t+\varphi(0, x)}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \geq \frac{|k| \alpha t}{|k| \alpha t+|k| \alpha \varphi(0, x)} \\
& =\frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. So $d(g, h)=\beta$ implies that $d(J g, J h) \leq \alpha \beta$. This means that $d(J g, J h) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f, J f) \leq \frac{1}{|2 k+2|} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 1.7, there exists a mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following.
(1) $A$ is a fixed point of $J$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k A(x)=A(k x), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping $A$ is a unique fixed point of $J$ in the set $M=\{g \in S: d(h, g)<\infty\}$. This implies that $A$ is a unique mapping satisfying (2.11) such that there exists a $\mu \in(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
(2) $d\left(J^{n} f, A\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(k^{n} x\right) / k^{n}\right)=A(x)$, for all $x \in X$.
(3) $d(f, A) \leq(1 /(1-\alpha)) d(f, J f)$, which implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f, A) \leq \frac{1}{|2 k+2|-|2 k+2| \alpha} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the inequality (2.3) holds.

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+k y)\right)}{k^{n}}+\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-k y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-y)\right)}{k^{n}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} \frac{f\left(k^{n+1} y\right)}{k^{n}}+2(k+1) \frac{f\left(k^{n} y\right)}{k^{n}}, \frac{t}{k^{n}}\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \quad \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi\left(k^{n} x, k^{n} y\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, all $t>0$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+k y)\right)}{k^{n}}+\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-k y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-y)\right)}{k^{n}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} \frac{f\left(k^{n+1} y\right)}{k^{n}}+2(k+1) \frac{f\left(k^{n} y\right)}{k^{n}}, t\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& \quad \geq \frac{|k|^{n} t}{|k|^{n} t+|k|^{n} \alpha^{n} \varphi(x, y)}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, all $t>0$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(|k|^{n} t /\left(|k|^{n} t+|k|^{n} \alpha^{n} \varphi(x, y)\right)\right)=1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(A(k(x+y))+A(k(x-y))-A(k x+y)-A(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} A(k y)\right. \\
& \quad+2(k+1) A(y), t)=1 \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t>0$. Hence the mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is additive, as desired.
Corollary 2.2. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let $r$ be a real positive number with $r<1$. Let $X$ be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(f(k(x+y))+f(k(x-y))-f(k x+y)-f(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} f(k y)+2(k+1) f(y), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{t}{t+\theta\left(\|x\|^{r}+\|y\|^{r}\right)} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then the limit $A(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(k^{n} x\right) / k^{n}\right)$ exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq \frac{|2 k+2|\left(|k|-|k|^{r}\right) t}{|2 k+2|\left(|k|-|k|^{r}\right) t+\left.|k| \theta| | x\right|^{r}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking $\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{r}+\|y\|^{r}\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $\alpha=|k|^{r-1}$ and we get the desired result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\varphi: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a mapping such that there exists an $\alpha<1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{|k|} \varphi(x, y) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and (2.2). Then the limit $A(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k^{n} f\left(x / k^{n}\right)$ exists for all $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq \frac{(|2 k+2|-|2 k+2| \alpha) t}{(|2 k+2|-|2 k+2| \alpha) t+\alpha \varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. Let $(S, d)$ be the generalized metric space defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Consider the mapping $J: S \rightarrow S$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J g(x):=k g\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in S$. Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h)=\beta$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(g(x)-h(x), \beta t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
N(J g(x)-J h(x), \alpha \beta t) & =N\left(k g\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)-k h\left(\frac{x}{k}\right), \alpha \beta t\right) \\
& =N\left(g\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)-h\left(\frac{x}{k}\right), \frac{\alpha \beta t}{|k|}\right)  \tag{2.23}\\
& \geq \frac{(\alpha t /|k|)}{\alpha t /|k|+\varphi(0, x / k)} \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. So $d(g, h)=\beta$ implies that $d(J g, J h) \leq \alpha \beta$. This means that $d(J g, J h) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)-f(x), \frac{k t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x / k)} \geq \frac{t}{t+(\alpha /|k|) \varphi(0, x)}, \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)-f(x), \frac{\alpha t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $d(f, J f) \leq \alpha$. By Theorem 1.7, there exists a mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following. (1) $A$ is a fixed point of $J$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)=\frac{1}{k} A(x) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping $A$ is a unique fixed point of $J$ in the set $\Omega=\{h \in S: d(g, h)<\infty\}$. This implies that $A$ is a unique mapping satisfying (2.26) such that there exists $\mu \in(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.
(2) $d\left(J^{n} f, A\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality $N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k^{n} f\left(x / k^{n}\right)=A(x)$ for all $x \in X$.
(3) $d(f, A) \leq d(f, J f) /(1-L)$ with $f \in \Omega$, which implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f, A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{|2 k+2|-|2 k+2| \alpha} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the inequality (2.20) holds.
The rest of proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.4. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let $r$ be a real number with $r>1$. Let $X$ be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying (2.17). Then $A(x):=$ $N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k^{n} f\left(x / k^{n}\right)$ exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq \frac{|2 k+2|\left(|k|^{r}-|k|\right) t}{|2 k+2|\left(|k|^{r}-|k|\right) t+|k| \theta\|x\|^{r}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.3 by taking $\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{r}+\|y\|^{r}\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $\alpha=|k|^{1-r}$ and we get the desired result.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\varphi: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha<1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, y) \leq k^{2} \alpha \varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with $f(0)=0$ and satisfying (2.2). Then $Q(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(k^{n} x\right) / k^{2 n}\right)$ exists for all $x \in X$ and defines a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(2|k|-2|k| \alpha) t}{(2|k|-2|k| \alpha) t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. Replacing $x$ by $k x$ in (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(f(k(x+y))+f(k(x-y))-f(k x+y)-f(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} f(k y)+2(k+1) f(y), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(k x, y)} \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Putting $x=0$ and replacing $y$ by $x$ in (2.32), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f(k x)}{k}-k f(x), \frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. By (2.33), (N3), and (N4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f(k x)}{k^{2}}-f(x), \frac{t}{2|k|}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Consider the set $S^{*}:=\{h: X \rightarrow Y ; h(0)=0\}$ and introduce the generalized metric on $S^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(g, h)=\inf _{\mu \in(0,+\infty)}\left\{N(g(x)-h(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)}, \forall x \in X\right\} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi=+\infty$. It is easy to show that $\left(S^{*}, d\right)$ is complete (see [50]). Now we consider the linear mapping $J:\left(S^{*}, d\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{*}, d\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J g(x):=\frac{1}{k^{2}} g(k x) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain that $d(g, h)=\beta$ implies that $d(J g, J h) \leq \alpha \beta$. This means that $d(J g, J h) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in S$. It follows from
(2.34) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f, J f) \leq \frac{1}{2|k|} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 1.7, there exists a mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that one has the folowing.
(1) $Q$ is a fixed point of $J$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2} Q(x)=Q(k x) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping $Q$ is a unique fixed point of $J$ in the set $M=\left\{g \in S^{*}: d(h, g)<\infty\right\}$. This implies that $Q$ is a unique mapping satisfying (2.38) such that there exists a $\mu \in(0, \infty)$ satisfying $N(f(x)-Q(x), \mu t) \geq t /(t+\varphi(0, x))$ for all $x \in X$.
(2) $d\left(J^{n} f, Q\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(k^{n} x\right) / k^{2 n}\right)=Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.
(3) $d(f, Q) \leq(1 /(1-\alpha)) d(f, J f)$, which implies the inequality $d(f, Q) \leq 1 /(2|k|-$ $2|k| \alpha)$. This implies that the inequality (2.31) holds.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.6. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let $r$ be a real positive number with $r<1$. Let $X$ be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with $f(0)=0$ and satisfying (2.17). Then the limit $Q(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(k^{n} x\right) / k^{2 n}\right)$ exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq \frac{\left(2 k^{2}-2 k^{2 r}\right) t}{\left(2 k^{2}-2 k^{2 r}\right) t+|k| \theta\|x\|^{r}} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.5 by taking $\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{r}+\|y\|^{r}\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $\alpha=k^{2 r-2}$ and we get the desired result.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\varphi: X^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha<1$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{k^{2}} \varphi(x, y) \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with $f(0)=0$ and satisfying (2.2). Then the limit $Q(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k^{2 n} f\left(x / k^{n}\right)$ exists for all $x \in X$ and defines a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(2|k|-2|k| \alpha) t}{(2|k|-2|k| \alpha) t+\alpha \varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$.

Proof. Let $\left(S^{*}, d\right)$ be the generalized metric space defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. It follows from (2.34) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k^{2} f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)-f(x), \frac{|k| t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x / k)} \geq \frac{t}{t+\left(\alpha / k^{2}\right) \varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-k^{2} f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right), \frac{\alpha t}{2|k|}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x)} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.
Corollary 2.8. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let $r$ be a real number with $r>1$. Let $X$ be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with $f(0)=0$ and satisfying (2.17). Then $Q(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k^{2 n} f\left(x / k^{n}\right)$ exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq \frac{\left(2|k|^{2 r+1}-2|k|^{3}\right) t}{\left(2|k|^{2 r+1}-2|k|^{3}\right) t+k^{2} \theta\|x\|^{r}}, \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.7 by taking $\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{r}+\|y\|^{r}\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $\alpha=k^{2-2 r}$ and we get the desired result.

## 3. Direct Method

In this section, using direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equation (1.8) in fuzzy Banach spaces. Throughout this section, we assume that $X$ is a linear space, $(Y, N)$ is a fuzzy Banach space, and $\left(Z, N^{\prime}\right)$ is a fuzzy normed space. Moreover, we assume that $N(x, \cdot)$ is a left continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd mapping with $f(0)=0$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(f(k(x+y))+f(k(x-y))-f(k x+y)-f(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} f(k y)+2(k+1) f(y), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(x, y), t) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X, t>0$, and $\varphi: X^{2} \rightarrow Z$ is a mapping for which there is a constant $r \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $0<|r|<1 /|k|$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y), \frac{t}{|r|}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.8) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{|2 k+2|(1-|k r|) t}{|r|}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. It follows from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{k^{j}}, \frac{y}{k^{j}}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y), \frac{t}{|r|^{j}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Putting $x=0$ in (3.1) and then replacing $y$ by $x / k$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)-f(x), \frac{|k| t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{k}\right), t\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $x$ by $x / k^{j}$ in (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j+1}}\right)-k^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j}}\right), \frac{|k|^{j+1} t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{k^{j+1}}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{|r|^{j+1}}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, all $t>0$, and all integer $j \geq 0$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(f(x)-k^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{|k|^{j+1}|r|^{j+1} t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \\
& \quad=N\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} k^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j+1}}\right)-k^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j}}\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{|k|^{j+1}|r|^{j+1} t}{|2 k+2|}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad \geq \min _{0 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{N\left(k^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j+1}}\right)-k^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{j}}\right), \frac{|k|^{j+1}|r|^{j+1} t}{|2 k+2|}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad \geq \min _{0 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t)\right\} \\
& \quad=N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t)
\end{align*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k^{n+p} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n+p}}\right)-k^{p} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{p}}\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{|k|^{j+p+1}|r|^{j+1} t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2^{p}}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{|r|^{p}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$, and all integers $n>0, p \geq 0$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k^{n+p} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n+p}}\right)-k^{p} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{p}}\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{|k|^{j+p+1}|r|^{j+p+1} t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$, and any integers $n>0, p \geq 0$. Hence one can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k^{n+p} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n+p}}\right)-k^{p} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{p}}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(|k|^{j+p+1}|r|^{j+p+1} /|2 k+2|\right)}\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$, and any integers $n>0, p \geq 0$. Since the series $\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} k^{j}|r|^{j}$ is a convergent series, we see by taking the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality that the sequence $\left\{k^{n} f\left(x / k^{n}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the fuzzy Banach space $(Y, N)$ and so it converges in $Y$. Therefore a mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $A(x):=N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k^{n} f\left(x / k^{n}\right)$ is well defined for all $x \in X$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(A(x)-k^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), t\right)=1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. In addition, it follows from (3.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-k^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(|k|^{j+1}|r|^{j+1} /|2 k+2|\right)}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) & \geq \min \left\{N\left(f(x)-k^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right),(1-\epsilon) t\right), N\left(A(x)-k^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), \epsilon t\right)\right\} \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{\epsilon t}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(|k|^{j+1}|r|^{j+1} /|2 k+2|\right)}\right) \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{|2 k+2|(1-|k||r|) \epsilon t}{|k r|}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$ and for all $x \in X, t>0$, and $\epsilon$ with $0<\epsilon<1$. Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary and $N^{\prime}$ is left continuous, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{|2 k+2|(1-|k||r|) t}{|k r|}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t>0$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+k y)\right)}{k^{n}}+\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-k y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-y)\right)}{k^{n}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} \frac{f\left(k^{n+1} y\right)}{k^{n}}+2(k+1) \frac{f\left(k^{n} y\right)}{k^{n}}, t\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(k^{n} x, k^{n} y\right), \frac{t}{|k|^{n}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y), \frac{t}{|r|^{n}|k|^{n}}\right) \longrightarrow 1 \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Therefore, we obtain in view of (3.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(A(k(x+y))+A(k(x-y))-A(k x+y)-A(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} A(k y)\right. \\
& +2(k+1) A(y), t) \\
& \geq \min \left\{N \left(A(k(x+y))+A(k(x-y))-A(k x+y)-A(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} A(k y)\right.\right. \\
& +2(k+1) A(y)-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+k y)\right)}{k^{n}}+\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-k y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+y)\right)}{k^{n}} \\
& \left.-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} \frac{f\left(k^{n+1} y\right)}{k^{n}}+2(k+1) \frac{f\left(k^{n} y\right)}{k^{n}}, \frac{t}{2}\right), \\
& N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+k y)\right)}{k^{n}}+\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-k y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-y)\right)}{k^{n}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} \frac{f\left(k^{n+1} y\right)}{k^{n}}+2(k+1) \frac{f\left(k^{n} y\right)}{k^{n}}, \frac{t}{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+k y)\right)}{k^{n}}+\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-k y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x+y)\right)}{k^{n}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n}(x-y)\right)}{k^{n}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} \frac{f\left(k^{n+1} y\right)}{k^{n}}+2(k+1) \frac{f\left(k^{n} y\right)}{k^{n}}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \quad(\text { for sufficiently large } n) \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y), \frac{t}{2|k|^{n}|r|^{n}}\right) \longrightarrow 1 \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty, \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(k(x+y))+A(k(x-y))=A(k x+y)+A(k x-y)+\frac{2(k+1)}{k} A(k y)-2(k+1) A(y) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is additive, as desired.

To prove the uniqueness, let there be another mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies the inequality (3.3). Since $L\left(k^{n} x\right)=k^{n} L(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N(A(x)-L(x), t) & =N\left(k^{n} A\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right)-k^{n} L\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), t\right) \\
& \geq \min \left\{N\left(k^{n} A\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right)-k^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), \frac{t}{2}\right), N\left(k^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right)-k^{n} L\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), \frac{t}{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), \frac{|2 k+2|(1-|k||r|) t}{2|k|^{n+1}|r|}\right) \\
& \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{|2 k+2|(1-|k||r|) t}{2|k|^{n+1}|r|^{n+1}}\right) \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t>0$. Therefore $A(x)=L(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This completes the proof.
Corollary 3.2. Let $X$ be a normed space and let $\left(\mathbb{R}, N^{\prime}\right)$ be a fuzzy Banach space. Assume that there exist real numbers $\theta \geq 0$ and $p>1$ such that an odd mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(f(k(x+y))+f(k(x-y))-f(k x+y)-f(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} f(k y)+2(k+1) f(y), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}\left(\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right), t\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t>0$. Then there is a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.8) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\frac{\theta\|x\|^{p}}{|2 k+2|^{\prime}},\left(\frac{|k|^{p}-|k|}{|k|}\right) t\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ and $|r|=|k|^{-p}$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we get desired results.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping with $f(0)=0$ satisfying the inequality (3.1) and let $\varphi: X^{2} \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping for which there exists a constant $r \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $0<|r|<|k|$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}(\varphi(x, y),|r| t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k}\right), t\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.8) and the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{|2 k+2|(|k|-|r|) t}{|k|}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. It follows from (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f(k x)}{k}-f(x), \frac{t}{|2 k+2|}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $x$ by $k^{n} x$ in (3.41), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n+1} x\right)}{k^{n+1}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n} x\right)}{k^{n}}, \frac{t}{|2 k+2| k^{n}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, k^{n} x\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{|r|^{n}}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n+1} x\right)}{k^{n+1}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n} x\right)}{k^{n}}, \frac{|r|^{n} t}{|2 k+2||k|^{n}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-\frac{f\left(k^{n} x\right)}{k^{n}}, \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{|r|^{j} t}{|2 k+2||k|^{j}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, all $t>0$, and any integer $n>0$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-\frac{f\left(k^{n} x\right)}{k^{n}}, t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(|r|^{j} /|2 k+2||k|^{j}\right)}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Corollary 3.4. Let $X$ be a normed space and let $\left(\mathbb{R}, N^{\prime}\right)$ be a fuzzy Banach space. Assume that there exist real numbers $\theta \geq 0$ and $0<p<1$ such that an odd mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies (3.19). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.8) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-A(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{|2 k+2|\left(|k|-|k|^{p}\right) t}{|k|}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ and $|r|=|k|^{p}$. Applying Theorem 3.3, we get the desired results.

Theorem 3.5. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping with $f(0)=0$ satisfying the inequality (3.1) and let $\varphi: X^{2} \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping for which there exists a constant $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0<|r|<1 / k^{2}$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y), \frac{t}{|r|}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.8) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{2\left(1-\left|k^{2} r\right|\right) t}{|k r|}\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. Replacing $x$ by $k x$ in (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(f(k(x+y))+f(k(x-y))-f(k x+y)-f(k x-y)-\frac{2(k+1)}{k} f(k y)+2(k+1) f(y), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(k x, y), t) \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Putting $x=0$ and replacing $y$ by $x$ in (3.31), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f(k x)}{k^{2}}-f(x), \frac{t}{|2 k|}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $x$ by $x / k$ in (3.32), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k^{2} f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)-f(x), \frac{|k| t}{2}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{k}\right), t\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Also, replacing $x$ by $x / k^{n}$ in (3.33), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k^{2 n+2} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right)-k^{2 n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), \frac{|k|^{2 n+1} t}{2}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{k^{n+1}}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{|r|^{n+1}}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(k^{2 n+2} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right)-k^{2 n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), \frac{|k|^{2 n+1}|r|^{n+1} t}{2}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-k^{2 n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{|k|^{2 j+1}|r|^{j+1} t}{2}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, all $t>0$, and any integer $n>0$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-k^{2 n} f\left(\frac{x}{k^{n}}\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(|k|^{2 j+1}|r|^{j+1} t / 2\right)}\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Corollary 3.6. Let $X$ be a normed space and let $\left(\mathbb{R}, N^{\prime}\right)$ be a fuzzy Banach space. Assume that there exist real numbers $\theta \geq 0$ and $p>1$ such that an even mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies the inequality (3.19). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.8) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\theta\|x\|^{p}, \frac{2\left(k^{2 p}-k^{2}\right) t}{|k|}\right) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ and $|r|=|k|^{-2 p}$. Applying Theorem 3.5, we get the desired results.

Theorem 3.7. Assume that an even mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies the inequality (3.1) and $\varphi: X^{2} \rightarrow Z$ is a mapping for which there is a constant $r \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $0<|r|<k^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}(\varphi(x, y),|r| t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{y}{k}\right), t\right) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t>0$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.8) and the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{2\left(k^{2}-|r|\right) t}{|k|}\right) \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
Proof. It follows from (3.32) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f(k x)}{k^{2}}-f(x), \frac{t}{|2 k|}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $x$ by $k^{n} x$ in (3.41), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n+1} x\right)}{k^{2 n+2}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n} x\right)}{k^{2 n}}, \frac{t}{2|k|^{2 n+1}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, k^{n} x\right), t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{|r|^{n}}\right) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\frac{f\left(k^{n+1} x\right)}{k^{2 n+2}}-\frac{f\left(k^{n} x\right)}{k^{2 n}}, \frac{|r|^{n} t}{2|k|^{2 n+1}}\right) \geq N^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x), t) \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(f(x)-\frac{f\left(k^{n} x\right)}{k^{2 n}}, t\right) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{t}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(|r|^{j} t / 2|k|^{2 j+1}\right)}\right) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Corollary 3.8. Let $X$ be a normed space and let $\left(\mathbb{R}, N^{\prime}\right)$ be a fuzzy Banach space. Assume that there exist real numbers $\theta \geq 0$ and $0<p<1$ such that an even mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies (3.19). Then there is a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.8) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x), \frac{2\left(k^{2}-k^{2 p}\right) t}{|k|}\right) \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, all $t>0$.
Proof. Let $\varphi(x, y):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ and $|r|=k^{2 p}$. Applying Theorem 3.7, we get the desired results.
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