

Research Article

On Two-Parameter Regularized Semigroups and the Cauchy Problem

Mohammad Janfada

Department of Mathematics, Sabzevar Tarbiat Moallem University, P.O. Box 397, Sabzevar, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to Mohammad Janfada, m_janfada@sttu.ac.ir

Received 13 December 2008; Revised 16 March 2009; Accepted 15 June 2009

Recommended by Stephen Clark

Suppose that X is a Banach space and C is an injective operator in $B(X)$, the space of all bounded linear operators on X . In this note, a two-parameter C -semigroup (regularized semigroup) of operators is introduced, and some of its properties are discussed. As an application we show that the existence and uniqueness of solution of the 2-abstract Cauchy problem $(\partial/(\partial t_i))u(t_1, t_2) = H_i u(t_1, t_2)$, $i = 1, 2$, $t_i > 0$, $u(0, 0) = x$, $x \in C(D(H_1) \cap D(H_2))$ is closely related to the two-parameter C -semigroups of operators.

Copyright © 2009 Mohammad Janfada. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Suppose that X is a Banach space and A is a linear operator in X with domain $D(A)$ and range $R(A)$. For a given $x \in D(A)$, the abstract Cauchy problem for A with the initial value x consists of finding a solution $u(t)$ to the initial value problem

$$\text{ACP}(A; x) \begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where by a solution we mean a function $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$, which is continuous for $t \geq 0$, continuously differentiable for $t > 0$, $u(t) \in D(A)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\text{ACP}(A; x)$ is satisfied.

If $C \in B(X)$, the space of all bounded linear operators on X , is injective, then a one-parameter C -semigroup (regularized semigroup) of operators is a family $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \subset B(X)$

for which $T(0) = C$, $T(s+t)C = T(s)T(t)$, and for each $x \in X$, the mapping $t \mapsto T(t)x$ is continuous. An operator $A : D(A) \rightarrow X$ with

$$D(A) = \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)x - Cx}{t} \text{ exists in the range of } C \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

and where, for $x \in D(A)$, $Ax := C^{-1} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} ((T(t)x - Cx)/t)$ is called the infinitesimal generator of $T(t)$.

Regularized semigroups and their connection with the $ACP(A; x)$ have been studied in [1–6] and some other papers. Also the concept of local C -semigroups and their relation with the $ACP(A; x)$ have been considered in [7–10].

In Section 2, we introduce the concept of two-parameter regularized semigroups of operators and their generator. Some basic properties of two-parameter regularized semigroups and their relation with the generators are studied in this section.

In Section 3, two-parameter abstract Cauchy problems are considered. It is proved that the existence and uniqueness of its solutions is closely related with two-parameter regularized semigroups of operators.

2. Two-Parameter Regularized Semigroups

In this section we introduce two-parameter regularized semigroup and its generator on Banach spaces. Then some properties of two-parameter regularized semigroups are studied.

Definition 2.1. Suppose that X is a Banach space and $C \in B(X)$ is an injective operator. A family $\{W(s, t)\}_{s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \subset B(X)$ is called a two-parameter regularized semigroup (or two parameter C -semigroup) if

- (i) $W(0, 0) = C$,
- (ii) $W(s + s', t + t')C = W(s, t)W(s', t')$, for all $s, s', t, t' \in \mathbb{R}_+$,
- (iii) $\lim_{(s', t') \rightarrow (s, t)} W(s', t')x = W(s, t)x$, for all $x \in X$.

It is called exponentially bounded if $\|W(s, t)\| \leq Me^{(s+t)\omega}$, for some $M, \omega > 0$.

Suppose that $\{W(s, t)\}_{s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a two-parameter C -semigroup. Put $u(s) := W(s, 0)$ and $v(t) := W(0, t)$, then it is easy to see that these families are two commuting one-parameter C -semigroups such that $W(s, t)C = u(s)v(t)$. Also $u(s)$ and $v(t)$ commute with C . If H_1 and H_2 are their generators, respectively, then we will think of (H_1, H_2) as the generator of $W(s, t)$.

From the one-parameter case (see [8]), one can prove that $R(C) \subseteq \overline{D(H_1)} \cap \overline{D(H_2)}$, and $C^{-1}H_i C = H_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Also if $\{U(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $\{V(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ are two commuting one-parameter C -semigroups, then one can see that $W(s, t) := U(s)V(t)$ is a two-parameter C^2 -semigroup of operators.

The following is an example of a two-parameter C -semigroup which is not exponentially bounded.

Example 2.2. Let $X = L^2(\mathbb{C})$, and $[W(s, t)f](z) := e^{-|z|^2 + (s+t)z} f(z)$, $(Cf)(z) := e^{-|z|^2} f(z)$, then $W(s, t)$ is a two-parameter C -semigroup which is not exponentially bounded.

In the following theorem we can see some elementary properties of a two-parameter C -semigroup.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $W(s, t)$ is a two-parameter C -semigroup with the infinitesimal generator (H_1, H_2) . Then, one has the following.

(i) For each $x \in X$ and for every $s, t \geq 0$, $\int_0^t \int_0^s W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu$, is in $D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)$. Also

$$\lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{1}{hk} \int_t^{t+h} \int_s^{s+k} W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu = W(s, t)x. \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) For each $x \in X$, and for every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\int_0^s W(\mu, t)x \, d\mu \in D(H_1)$ and $\int_0^t W(s, \nu)x \, d\nu \in D(H_2)$; furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 \int_0^s W(\mu, t)x \, d\mu &= W(s, t)x - W(0, t)x, \\ H_2 \int_0^t W(s, \nu)x \, d\nu &= W(s, t)x - W(s, 0)x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

(iii) $\overline{R(C)} \subseteq \overline{D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)}$ and H_1 and H_2 are closed.

(iv) For any $x \in D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)$, and each $s, t > 0$, $u(s)x$ and $v(t)x$ are in $D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)$. Also for this x , and $i = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} W(t_1, t_2)x = H_i W(t_1, t_2)x = W(t_1, t_2)H_i x. \quad (2.3)$$

(v) For any $a, b > 0$, $T(t) := W(ta, tb)$ is a one-parameter C -semigroup whose generator is an extension of $aH_1 + bH_2$.

Proof. To prove (i), suppose $x \in X$. First we note that for any $\nu \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} W(\mu, \nu)Cx \, d\mu &= W(0, \nu) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} W(\mu, 0)x \, d\mu \\ &= W(0, \nu)W(t, 0)x \\ &= W(t, \nu)Cx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{h} \left(W(h, 0) \int_0^s \int_0^t W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu - C \int_0^s \int_0^t W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} C \left(\int_0^s \int_h^{t+h} W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu - \int_0^s \int_0^t W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu \right) \\ &= \int_0^s \left(\frac{1}{h} \left[\int_t^{t+h} W(\mu, \nu)Cx \, d\mu - \int_0^h W(\mu, \nu)Cx \, d\mu \right] \right) d\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

which tends to $C \int_0^s (W(t, \nu) - W(0, \nu))x \, d\nu$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. This implies that $\int_0^s \int_0^t W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu$ is in $D(H_1)$ and

$$H_1 \int_0^s \int_0^t W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu = \int_0^s (W(t, \nu) - W(0, \nu))x \, d\nu. \quad (2.6)$$

A similar argument implies that it is in $D(H_2)$ and

$$H_2 \int_0^s \int_0^t W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu = \int_0^t (W(\mu, s) - W(\mu, 0))x \, d\mu. \quad (2.7)$$

For the second part, from the continuity of C we have

$$\begin{aligned} C \lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{1}{hk} \int_t^{t+h} \int_s^{s+k} W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu \\ &= \lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{1}{hk} \int_t^{t+h} \int_s^{s+k} W(\mu, \nu)Cx \, d\mu \, d\nu \\ &= \lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} W(0, \nu) \frac{1}{k} \int_s^{s+k} W(\mu, 0)x \, d\mu \, d\nu \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} W(0, \nu) \left(\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{k} \int_s^{s+k} W(\mu, 0)x \, d\mu \right) d\nu \\ &= W(0, t)W(s, 0)x \\ &= W(s, t)Cx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Now the fact that C is injective completes the proof of this part.

The proof of (ii) has a process similar to the first part of (i).

To prove (iii), we first note that H_1 and H_2 are closed as a trivial consequence of the one-parameter case (see [2]). For any $x \in X$ we saw that

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_0^h W(\mu, \nu)x \, d\mu \, d\nu \in D(H_1) \cap D(H_2), \quad (2.9)$$

which tends to $W(0, 0)x = Cx \in R(C)$, as $h \rightarrow 0$. This implies that $\overline{R(C)} \subseteq \overline{D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)}$.

To prove (iv), we let $x \in D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)$. If $u(s) = W(s, 0)$ and $v(t) = W(s, t)$, there is $y \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(s)x - Cx}{s} = Cy. \quad (2.10)$$

Hence

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(s)v(t)x - Cv(t)x}{s} = v(t)Cy = Cv(t)y, \quad (2.11)$$

which is in the $R(C)$, and this implies that $v(t)x$ is in $D(H_1)$, similarly it is in $D(H_2)$.

Now from [2, Theorem 2.4(b)], for $x \in D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)$, from the fact that $v(t)x$ is in $D(H_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} W(s, t)Cx &= \frac{d}{ds}(u(s)(v(t)x)) \\ &= H_1 u(s)(v(t)x) \\ &= H_1 W(s, t)Cx \\ &= CH_1 W(s, t)x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

On the other hand from the part (ii) and closedness of H_1 ,

$$\int_0^s H_1 W(\mu, t)x \, d\mu = H_1 \int_0^s W(\mu, t)x \, d\mu = W(s, t)x - W(0, t)x, \quad (2.13)$$

which implies that $(\partial/\partial s)W(s, t)x$ exists. Hence from the continuity of C

$$C \frac{\partial}{\partial s} W(s, t)x = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} W(s, t)Cx = CH_1 W(s, t)x. \quad (2.14)$$

But C is injective so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} W(s, t)x = H_1 W(s, t)x = W(s, t)H_1 x. \quad (2.15)$$

The second one is similar.

To prove (v), first we note that $T(t)$ is a one-parameter C -semigroup. Now if $x \in D(aH_1 + bH_2) = D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} C \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T(t)x - Cx}{t} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{W(ta, 0)W(0, tb)x - W(ta, 0)Cx + W(ta, 0)Cx - C^2x}{t} \\ &= b \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} W(ta, 0) \frac{W(0, tb)x - Cx}{bt} + a \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{W(at, 0)Cx - C^2x}{t} \\ &= bC^2H_2x + aH_1C^2x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Now the fact that C is injective implies that

$$C^{-1} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T(t)x - Cx}{t} = aH_1x + bH_2x. \quad (2.17)$$

□

For an exponentially bounded one-parameter C -semigroup $T(t)$ with the generator A , from [1] the existence of $L_\lambda(A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dt$ is guaranteed for sufficiently large $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Now we have the following lemma for one-parameter C -semigroups of operators which is similar to the Yosida-approximation theorem for strongly continuous semigroups. This will be applied in our study of two-parameter regularized semigroups.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be a one-parameter C -semigroup satisfying the condition $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, for some $\omega > 0$ and $M > 0$, with the generator A . If for $\lambda > \omega$, $A_\lambda := \lambda AL_\lambda(A)$, then one has the following.*

- (i) *For any $x \in X$, $\|L_\lambda(A)x\| \leq (M/(\lambda - \omega))\|x\|$, $A_\lambda = \lambda^2 L_\lambda(A) - \lambda C$, and so A_λ is bounded. Also $S(t) := Ce^{tA_\lambda}$ is a one-parameter C -semigroup which is exponentially bounded.*
- (ii) *For any $x \in \overline{D(A)}$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda L_\lambda(A)x = Cx$ and for all $x \in D(A)$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A_\lambda x = CAx$. Also if $R(C)$ is dense in X , then the first equality holds on X .*
- (iii) *For any $x \in \overline{D(A)}$, $T(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} Ce^{tA_\lambda}x$.*

Proof. The first inequality of (i) is trivial. From [2, Lemma 2.8], we know that for any $x \in X$, $(\lambda - A)L_\lambda(A)x = Cx$; thus,

$$-\lambda(\lambda - A)L_\lambda(A)x = -\lambda Cx. \quad (2.18)$$

This implies our desired equality.

For the second part, first we show that $CA_\lambda = A_\lambda C$. For this we note that

$$\begin{aligned} CL_\lambda(A) &= C \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty Ce^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)Cx dx \\ &= L_\lambda(A)Cx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

This and the first part imply that $CA_\lambda = A_\lambda C$. Now we prove the C -semigroup properties of $S(t)$. Trivially $S(0) = C$. Also from the last equality,

$$S(s+t)C = Ce^{(s+t)A_\lambda}C = Ce^{sA_\lambda}Ce^{tA_\lambda} = S(s)S(t). \quad (2.20)$$

The fact that A_λ , $\lambda > \omega$, is a bounded operator trivially implies that $S(\cdot)$ is exponentially bounded. Now the continuity of the mapping $t \mapsto S(t)x$ at zero implies the strongly continuity of $S(t)$.

To prove (ii), for $x \in D(A)$, from (i) and the fact that A is closed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda L_\lambda(A)x - Cx\| &= \|AL_\lambda(A)x\| \\ &= \|L_\lambda(A)Ax\| \\ &\leq \|L_\lambda(A)\| \|Ax\| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - \omega)} \|Ax\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

The continuity of C and $L_\lambda(A)$ implies that for any $x \in \overline{D(A)}$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda L_\lambda(A)x = Cx$.
Now for $x \in D(A)$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A_\lambda x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda L_\lambda(A)Ax = CAx = ACx. \tag{2.22}$$

For the last part of (ii), if C has a dense range, then by [8, Lemma 1.1.3], $R(C) \subseteq \overline{D(A)}$, and so $X = \overline{R(C)} \subseteq \overline{D(A)} \subseteq X$, which means that $\overline{D(A)} = X$.

To prove (iii), for any $x \in D(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ce^{tA_\lambda}x - Ce^{tA_\mu}x\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \left(Ce^{tsA_\lambda} e^{t(1-s)A_\mu} x \right) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 t \left\| Ce^{tsA_\lambda} e^{t(1-s)A_\mu} (A_\lambda x - A_\mu x) \right\| ds \\ &\leq t \|C\| \|A_\lambda x - A_\mu x\| \\ &\leq t \|C\| (\|A_\lambda x - ACx\| + \|ACx - A_\mu x\|). \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

This and the previous part prove the existence of $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} Ce^{tA_\lambda}x$. □

Using this theorem we may find the following approximation theorem for two-parameter regularized semigroups.

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that (H, K) is the infinitesimal generator of an exponentially bounded two-parameter C -semigroup $W(s, t)$, then for each $x \in D(H) \cap D(K)$,*

$$W(s, t)x = C \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{sH_\lambda + tK_\lambda} x. \tag{2.24}$$

For exponentially bounded C -semigroup $W(s, t)$ satisfying $\|W(s, t)\| \leq Me^{(s+t)\omega}$, with the infinitesimal generator (H, K) , define $L_{\lambda_1}(H)x := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_1 s} W(s, 0)x ds$ and $L_{\lambda_2}(K)x := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_2 t} W(0, t)x dt$, where $\text{Re}(\lambda_i) > \omega$. From the previous Lemma $L_{\lambda_1}(H)$ and $L_{\lambda_2}(K)$ are bounded operators.

Theorem 2.6. (i) Let (H, K) be the generator of an exponentially bounded two-parameter C -semigroup, then for large enough λ_1, λ_2

$$L_{\lambda_1}(H)L_{\lambda_2}(K) = L_{\lambda_2}(K)L_{\lambda_1}(H). \quad (2.25)$$

(ii) Let (H, K) be the generator of an exponentially bounded two-parameter C -semigroup, then $D(H) \cap D(HK) \subseteq D(KH)$, and for $x \in D(H) \cap D(HK)$,

$$HKx = KHx. \quad (2.26)$$

(iii) Suppose that H and K are the generators of two exponentially bounded one-parameter C -semigroups $\{u(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $\{v(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, respectively. If their resolvents commute and $R(C)$ is dense in X , then $W(s, t) := u(s)v(t)$ is a two-parameter C^2 -semigroup.

Proof. The proof of (i) follows trivially from the properties of two-parameter C -semigroups.

To prove (ii), we let $x \in D(H) \cap D(HK)$; from the strong continuity of $W(s, t)$ and the fact that K is closed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^2HKx &= C \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(s, 0)Kx - CKx}{s} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \left(W(s, 0) \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(0, t)x - Cx}{t} \right) - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(0, t)x - Cx}{t} \right) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{st} (W(s, 0)W(0, t)x - W(s, 0)Cx - W(0, t)x + Cx) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{st} (W(0, t)W(s, 0)x - W(s, 0)Cx - W(0, t)x + Cx) \quad (2.27) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(W(0, t) \left(\frac{W(s, 0)x - Cx}{s} \right) - \frac{W(s, 0)x - Cx}{s} \right) \\ &= C \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} K \left(\frac{W(s, 0)x - Cx}{s} \right) \\ &= C^2KHx. \end{aligned}$$

However, C is injective, and this completes the proof of (i).

To prove (iii), from our hypothesis, for sufficiently large λ, λ' , we know that

$$L_\lambda(H)L_{\lambda'}(K) = L_{\lambda'}(K)L_\lambda(H). \quad (2.28)$$

By Lemma 2.4, $H_\lambda = \lambda^2 L_\lambda(H) - \lambda C$ and $K_{\lambda'} = \lambda'^2 L_{\lambda'}(H) - \lambda' C$, thus $H_\lambda K_{\lambda'} = K_{\lambda'} H_\lambda$. From (iii) of Lemma 2.4, for each $x \in D(H) \cap D(K)$,

$$u(s)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C e^{sH_\lambda} x, \quad v(t) = \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \infty} C e^{tK_{\lambda'}} x. \quad (2.29)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(s)v(t)x &= C \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{sH_\lambda} v(t)x \\
 &= C^2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{sH_\lambda} \left(\lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \infty} e^{tK_{\lambda'}} x \right), \\
 \left(e^{sH_\lambda} \text{ is continuous} \right) &= C^2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \infty} e^{sH_\lambda} e^{tK_{\lambda'}} x \\
 &= C^2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \infty} e^{tK_{\lambda'}} e^{sH_\lambda} x \\
 &= C \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} v(t) e^{sH_\lambda} x \\
 &= v(t)u(s)x.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Now the continuity of $u(s)$ and $v(t)$ and the fact that $\overline{D(H) \cap D(K)} = \overline{R(C)} = X$ imply that for each $x \in X$, $u(s)v(t)x = v(t)u(s)x$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(s,t)W(s',t') &= u(s)v(t)u(s')v(t') \\
 &= u(s)u(s')v(t)v(t') \\
 &= Cu(s+s')Cv(t+t') \\
 &= W(s+s',t+t')C^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

On the other hand $W(0,0) = C^2$, which completes the proof. \square

If H and K are two closed operators on X , then $X_1 := D(H) \cap D(K)$ with $\|x\|_1 = \|x\| + \|Hx\| + \|Kx\|$, $x \in X_1$, is a Banach space.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that $C \in B(X)$ is injective and $\{W(s,t)\}$ is a two-parameter C -semigroup with the generator (H, K) . Then $W_1(s,t) := W(s,t)|_{X_1}$ defines a two-parameter C_1 -semigroup, with the generator (H_1, K_1) , where $C_1 = C|_{X_1}$, and H_1, K_1 are the part of H and K on X_1 , respectively.*

Proof. The C_1 -semigroup properties of $W_1(s,t)$ are obvious. Let (A, B) be the generator of $W_1(s,t)$; we show that $A = H_1$ and $B = K_1$. First we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(H_1) &= \{x \in X_1 : Hx \in X_1\} \\
 &= \left\{ x \in D(H) \cap D(K) : x \in D(H^2) \cap D(KH) \right\} \\
 &= D(K) \cap D(H^2) \cap D(KH).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Let $x \in D(H_1)$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{W_1(s,0)x - C_1x}{t} &= \frac{W(s,0)x - Cx}{t} \longrightarrow CHx = C_1H_1x, \\
H \frac{W_1(s,0)x - C_1x}{t} &= \frac{W(s,0)Hx - CHx}{t} \longrightarrow CH^2x = HC_1H_1x, \\
K \frac{W_1(s,0)x - C_1x}{t} &= \frac{W(s,0)Kx - CKx}{t} \longrightarrow CHKx \\
&= KCHx = KC_1H_1x.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

These show that $(W_1(s,0)x - C_1x)/t \rightarrow C_1H_1x$ in $\|\cdot\|_1$, that is, $x \in D(A)$ and $Ax = H_1x$. Hence $H_1 \subseteq A$. Conversely, if $x \in D(A) \subseteq X_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\cdot\|_1 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(s,0)x - Cx}{t} &= \|\cdot\|_1 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{W_1(s,0)x - C_1x}{t} \\
&= C_1Ax \\
&= CAx,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

so $Hx = Ax \in X_1$. Hence $x \in D(K) \cap D(H^2) \cap D(KH) = D(H_1)$ and $H_1x = Hx = Ax$.

A similar argument shows that $K_1 = B$, which completes the proof. \square

3. Two-Parameter Abstract Cauchy Problems

Suppose that $H_i : D(H_i) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, 2$, is linear operator. Consider the following two-parameter Cauchy problem:

$$2\text{-ACP}(H_1, H_2; x) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} u(t_1, t_2) = H_i u(t_1, t_2), & t_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \\ u(0, 0) = x, & x \in C(D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

We mean by a solution a continuous Banach-valued function $u(\cdot, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ which has continuous partial derivative and satisfies $2\text{-ACP}(H_1, H_2; x)$.

In this section first we prove that if (H_1, H_2) is the infinitesimal generator of a two-parameter C -semigroup of operators, then $2\text{-ACP}(H_1, H_2; x)$ has a unique solution for any $x \in C(D(H_1) \cap D(H_2))$. Next it is proved that under some condition on C , existence and uniqueness of solutions of $2\text{-ACP}(H_1, H_2; Cx)$, for every $x \in D(H_1) \cap D(H_2)$, imply that this unique solution is induced by a two-parameter regularized semigroup.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that an extension of (H_1, H_2) is the generator of a two-parameter C -semigroup $W(s, t)$, then $2\text{-ACP}(H_1, H_2; x)$ has the unique solution $u(s, t; x) := W(s, t)C^{-1}x$, for all $x \in C(D(H_1) \cap D(H_2))$.*

Proof. The fact that $u(s, t; x) := W(s, t)C^{-1}x$ is a solution of 2-ACP($H_1, H_2; x$) is obvious from Theorem 2.3. It is enough to show that 2-ACP($H_1, H_2; x$) has the unique solution $u(s, t) = 0$, for the initial value $x = 0$. From one-parameter case (see [2]), we know that the systems

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du(t)}{dt} &= H_1u(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(0) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv(t)}{dt} &= H_2v(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ v(0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

have the unique solution zero. Now if $u(s, t; 0)$ is a solution of 2-ACP($H_1, H_2; 0$), then

$$u_1(s) := W(s, 0)C^{-1}u(0, t; 0), \quad u_2(s) := u(s, t; 0) \tag{3.4}$$

are two solutions of (3.2), for the initial value $u(0, t; 0)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}u_1(s) &= \frac{d}{ds}W(s, 0)C^{-1}u(0, t; 0) \\ &= H_1W(s, 0)C^{-1}u(0, t; 0) \\ &= H_1u_1(s), \\ \frac{d}{ds}u_2(s) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s}u(s, t; 0) \\ &= H_1u(s, t; 0) \\ &= H_1u_2(s). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The uniqueness of solution in one-parameter case implies that $u_1(s) = u_2(s)$. So

$$W(s, 0)C^{-1}u(0, t; 0) = u(s, t; 0). \tag{3.6}$$

Also $v_1(t) := W(0, t)C^{-1}u(s, 0; 0)$ and $v_2(t) := u(s, t; 0)$ are two solutions of (3.3) for the initial value $u(s, 0; 0)$. From the uniqueness of solution in (3.3), $W(0, t)C^{-1}u(s, 0; 0) = u(s, t; 0)$, for all $s, t \geq 0$. Thus

$$u(s, t; 0) = W(s, 0)C^{-1}u(0, t; 0) = W(s, 0)C^{-1}W(0, t)u(0, 0; 0) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

□

The uniqueness of solution 2-ACP($H, K; Cx$), for all $x \in D(H) \cap D(K)$, also leads us to a two-parameter C -semigroup. This will be shown in the following theorem.

In this theorem X_1 and C_1 have their meaning in Proposition 2.7.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $C \in B(X)$ is injective and H, K are two closed operators satisfying*

$$Cx \in X_1, \quad KCx = CKx, \quad HCx = CHx, \quad \forall x \in X_1. \quad (3.8)$$

If, for each $x \in X_1$, the Cauchy problem 2-ACP($H, K; Cx$) has a unique solution $u(\cdot, \cdot; Cx)$, then there exists a two-parameter C_1 -semigroup $W_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ on X_1 such that $u(\cdot, \cdot; Cx) = W_1(\cdot, \cdot)x$. Moreover, the infinitesimal generator of $W_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a restriction of (H_1, K_1) , where H_1 and K_1 are the part of H and K on X_1 , respectively.

Proof. Suppose that, for any $x \in X_1$, 2-ACP($H, K; Cx$) has a unique solution $u(\cdot, \cdot; Cx) \in C^1([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty), X)$. For $x \in X_1$ and $0 < s, t < \infty$, define $W_1(s, t)x := u(s, t; Cx)$.

From the uniqueness of solution $W_1(s, t)$ is a well-defined and linear operator on X_1 and

$$W_1(0, 0)x = u(0, 0; x) = Cx. \quad (3.9)$$

By uniqueness of solutions one can see that

$$W_1(s + s', t + t')C_1 = W_1(s, t)W_1(s', t'). \quad (3.10)$$

We are going to show that $W_1(s, t)$ is a bounded operator on $(X_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$. Let $0 < s, t < \infty$. Define the mapping $\phi_{s,t} : X_1 \rightarrow C([0, s] \times [0, t], X_1)$ by $\phi_{s,t}x = W_1(\cdot, \cdot)x = u(\cdot, \cdot; Cx)$. Obviously $\phi_{s,t}$ is linear. We claim that this mapping is closed. Suppose that $x_n \in X_1$, $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $u(\cdot, \cdot; Cx_n) = \phi_{s,t}(x_n) \rightarrow y$ in $C([0, s] \times [0, t], X_1)$ with its usual supremum norm. From the Cauchy problem we know that

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mu, \nu; Cx_n) &= Cx_n + \int_0^\mu Hu(\eta, \nu; Cx_n)d\eta, \\ u(\mu, \nu; Cx_n) &= Cx_n + \int_0^\nu Ku(\mu, \eta; Cx_n)d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y(\mu, \nu) &= Cx + \int_0^\mu Hy(\eta, \nu)d\eta, \\ y(\mu, \nu) &= Cx + \int_0^\nu Ky(\mu, \eta)d\eta \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for any $(\mu, \nu) \in [0, s] \times [0, t]$. Now define \tilde{y} on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ by

$$\tilde{y}(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} Cy(\mu, \nu), & 0 \leq \mu \leq s, 0 \leq \nu \leq t, \\ W_1(0, \nu - t)y(\mu, t), & 0 \leq \mu \leq s, t < \nu < \infty, \\ W_1(\mu - s, 0)y(s, \nu), & s < \mu < \infty, 0 \leq \nu \leq t, \\ W_1(\mu - s, \nu - t)y(s, t), & s < \mu < \infty, t < \nu < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

One can see that \tilde{y} is a solution of 2-ACP($H, K; C^2x$). Indeed from (3.12)

$$\tilde{y}(0, 0) = Cy(0, 0) = C^2x. \quad (3.14)$$

Also (3.12) and the fact that C commutes with H and K imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \tilde{y}(\mu, \nu) &= \begin{cases} Hy(\mu, \nu), & 0 \leq \mu \leq s, 0 \leq \nu \leq t, \\ HW_1(0, \nu - t)y(\mu, t), & 0 \leq \mu \leq s, t < \nu < \infty, \\ HW_1(\mu - s, 0)y(s, \nu), & 0 < \mu < \infty, 0 \leq \nu \leq t, \\ HW_1(\mu - s, \nu - t)y(s, t), & 0 < \mu < \infty, 0 < \nu < \infty, \end{cases} \\ &= H\tilde{y}(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Similarly

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \tilde{y}(\mu, \nu) = K\tilde{y}(\mu, \nu). \quad (3.16)$$

Uniqueness of the solution implies that

$$\tilde{y}(\cdot, \cdot) = u(\cdot, \cdot; Cx^2) = W_1(\cdot, \cdot)Cx = CW_1(\cdot, \cdot)x. \quad (3.17)$$

In particular for $0 \leq \mu \leq s$ and $0 \leq \nu \leq s$,

$$Cy(\mu, \nu) = \tilde{y}(\mu, \nu) = CW_1(\mu, \nu)x = C\phi_{s,t}(x)(\mu, \nu). \quad (3.18)$$

The fact that C is injective implies that $y = \phi_{s,t}(x)$, which shows that $\phi_{s,t}$ is closed operator.

By the Closed Graph Theorem $\phi_{s,t}$ is a continuous operator from Banach space X_1 into the Banach space $C([0, s] \times [0, t], X_1)$. So if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X_1 , then $\phi_{s,t}(x_n) \rightarrow \phi_{s,t}(x)$ in $C([0, s] \times [0, t], X_1)$; thus for each $(\mu, \nu) \in [0, s] \times [0, t]$,

$$W_1(s, t)x_n = \phi_{s,t}(x_n)(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow \phi_{s,t}(x)(\mu, \nu) = W_1(\mu, \nu)x. \quad (3.19)$$

But s and t were arbitrary; hence $W_1(\mu, \nu)$ is continuous for any $\mu, \nu \in [0, \infty)$. Also for every $x \in X_1$, $W_1(\cdot, \cdot)x = \phi_{s,t}(x)$ is continuous on $[0, s] \times [0, t]$; that is, $W_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ is strongly continuous family of operators.

Now let (A, B) be its infinitesimal generator and $x \in D(A)$, then

$$\|\cdot\|_1 - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{W_1(s, 0)x - C_1x}{s} = C_1Ax, \quad (3.20)$$

which implies that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} ((W_1(s, 0)x - Cx)/s) = CAx$, but $D(A) \subseteq D(H)$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{W_1(s, 0)x - Cx}{s} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(s, 0; Cx) - Cx}{s} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u(0, 0; Cx) \\ &= HCx \\ &= CHx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Hence $CHx = CAx$. The injectivity of C implies that $Hx = Ax \in X_1 = D(H) \cap D(K)$. Thus $x \in D(K) \cap D(H^2) \cap D(KH) = D(H_1)$ and $H_1x = Ax$. This shows that A is a restriction of H_1 . Similarly one can see that B is a restriction of K_1 , which completes the proof. \square

We conclude this section with a simple example as an application of our discussion. Consider the following sequence of initial value problems:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_n(s, t) &= nu_n(s, t), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_n(s, t) &= n^2 u_n(s, t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ u_n(0, 0) &= e^{-n^2} q_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Suppose that $X = c_0$, the space of all complex sequences in \mathbb{C} which vanish at infinity. Now define linear operators H and K in X and operator C on X as follows:

$$H(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (nx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad K(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (n^2 x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad C(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (e^{-n^2} x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}. \quad (3.23)$$

Using these operators the initial value problem (3.22) can be rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u(s, t) &= Hu(s, t), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(s, t) &= Ku(s, t), \\ u(0, 0) &= Cq, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where $u(s, t) = (u_n(s, t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $q = (q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. One can easily see that (H, K) is the generator of the following two-parameter C -semigroup:

$$W(s, t)(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (e^{n^2(t-1)+sn} x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (3.25)$$

on X . Hence for every $q = (q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in D(H) \cap D(K)$, by Theorem 3.1, the abstract Cauchy problem (3.24) has the unique solution

$$u(s, t) = W(s, t)q = (e^{n^2(t-1)+sn} q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}. \quad (3.26)$$

This implies that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_n(s, t) = e^{n^2(t-1)+sn} q_n$ is a solution of (3.22).

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to the referees for their very useful suggestions which helped him to improve the presentation considerably.

References

- [1] E. B. Davies and M. M. H. Pang, "The Cauchy problem and a generalization of the Hille-Yosida theorem," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 181–208, 1987.
- [2] R. deLaubenfels, "C-semigroups and the Cauchy problem," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 44–61, 1993.
- [3] Y.-C. Li and S.-Y. Shaw, "N-times integrated C-semigroups and the abstract Cauchy problem," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 75–102, 1997.
- [4] Y.-C. Li and S.-Y. Shaw, "On characterization and perturbation of local C-semigroups," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 135, no. 4, pp. 1097–1106, 2007.
- [5] N. Tanaka and I. Miyadera, "Exponentially bounded C-semigroups and integrated semigroups," *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 99–115, 1989.
- [6] N. Tanaka and I. Miyadera, "C-semigroups and the abstract Cauchy problem," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 196–206, 1992.
- [7] N. Tanaka and N. Okazawa, "Local C-semigroups and local integrated semigroups," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 63–90, 1990.
- [8] M. Gao, "Local C-semigroups and local C-cosine functions," *Acta Mathematica Scientia. Series B*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 201–213, 1999.
- [9] S.-Y. Shaw and C.-C. Kuo, "Generation of local C-semigroups and solvability of the abstract Cauchy problems," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 291–311, 2005.
- [10] S. W. Wang and M. C. Gao, "Automatic extensions of local regularized semigroups and local regularized cosine functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 127, no. 6, pp. 1651–1663, 1999.