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Two upper and lower bounds for Mathieu's series are established, which refine to a certain extent a sharp double inequality obtained by Alzer-Brenner-Ruehr in 1998. Moreover, the very closer lower and upper bounds for $\zeta(3)$ are deduced.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1890, Mathieu in [1] defined $S(r)$ for $r>0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conjectured that $S(r)<1 / r^{2}$. We call formula (1.1) Mathieu's series.
There has been a lot of literature about the estimations of $S(r)$ for more than 100 years till 1998, for example, [2-14] and the references therein. In [9], Makai proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2}<S(r)<\frac{1}{r^{2}} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1998, Alzer et al. presented in [2] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2 \zeta(3)}<S(r)<\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 6} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta$ denotes the zeta function and the constants $1 / 2 \zeta(3)$ and $1 / 6$ in (1.3) are the best possible.

After 2000, among other things, several open problems on the estimations and integral representations of generalized Mathieu's series were posed in [15-17] by Guo and Qi. Stimulated by or originated from these open problems, a lot of articles such as [18-37] have been published in variant reputable journals by many mathematicians all over the world.

In this article, by utilizing the well-known telescope technique ever used in [9, 38], we would like to improve or refine the sharp double inequality (1.3) and to establish a very closer double inequality for $\zeta$ (3).

Our main results are the following four theorems.
Theorem 1.1. For $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r)>\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 6+\left(r^{2}+6\right) / 3\left(9 r^{2}+8\right)}=\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-2\left(4 r^{2}+1\right) / 3\left(9 r^{2}+8\right)} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.2. By standard argument, it is showed readily that inequality (1.4) is better than the left-hand side inequality in $(1.3)$ when $r>2 \sqrt{(5 \zeta(3)-6) /(27-11 \zeta(3))}=0.05 \ldots$.

Theorem 1.3. For $r>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 6+5 / 6\left(2 r^{2}+3\right)} & =\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-\left(4 r^{2}+1\right) / 6\left(2 r^{2}+3\right)}<S(r) \\
& <\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-\left(4 r^{2}+1\right) / 2\left(2 r^{2}-3+4 \sqrt{r^{4}+2 r^{2}+5}\right)} . \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.4. It is not difficult to verify that the left-hand side inequality in (1.5) is better than the left-hand side inequality in $(1.3)$ when $r>\sqrt{(8 \zeta(3)-9) / 2[3-\zeta(3)]}=0.41 \ldots$ and that the right-hand side inequality in (1.5) is better than the right-hand side inequality in (1.3) when $r<\sqrt{239 / 16}=3.86 \ldots$.

It is important to remark that inequality (1.4) and the left-hand side inequality in (1.5) do not include each other, which can be proved straightforwardly.

Theorem 1.5. For $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r)<\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{4}+2 r^{2}+2}-1} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.6. It is easy to deduce that inequality (1.6) is better than the right-hand side inequality in (1.3) when $0<r<\sqrt{23 / 12}=1.38 \ldots$.

Theorem 1.7. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S_{3}(m)=\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(1 / n^{3}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 m^{2}+2 m+1-1 / 6\left(m^{2}+m+3 / 2\right)}<\zeta(3)-S_{3}(m)<\frac{1}{2 m^{2}+2 m+1-1 / 6\left(m^{2}+m+1\right)} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.8. Calculation by Mathematica 5.2 shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(3)=1.202056903159594285399 \ldots \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If taking $m$ from 1 to 9 , the sums of the right side term in (1.7) and $S_{3}(m)$ are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1.202247191011235955, & 1.202064220183486239, & 1.202057560382342322, \\
1.202057003155139651, & 1.202056924652726768, & 1.202056909039779896, \\
1.202056905080018071, & 1.202056903877571143, & 1.202056903458154800 . \tag{1.9}
\end{array}
$$

If taking $m$ from 1 to 9 , the sums of the left side term in (1.7) and $S_{3}(m)$ are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1.201923076923076923, & 1.202054794520547945, & 1.202056799882886839, \\
1.202056893315403149, & 1.202056901714344462, & 1.202056902872941459, \\
1.202056903088695828, & 1.202056903138840387, & 1.202056903152657143 . \tag{1.10}
\end{array}
$$

These numerical computations by mathematic 5.2 reveals that inequalities in (1.7) give much accurate approximations from left and right.

Corollary 1.9. If $1 \leq \delta<3 / 2$ and $m \geq \sqrt{\left(3 \delta^{2}-\delta+1 / 12\right) /(6-4 \delta)}-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(3)<S_{3}(m)+\frac{1}{2 m^{2}+2 m+1-1 / 6\left(m^{2}+m+\delta\right)} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.10. In [39, 40], the number $\zeta(3)$ was estimated by using Jordan's inequality and its refinements. In [41, 42], some more general conclusions were obtained.

Remark 1.11. Finally, an open problem is posed: find the best possible constants $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-\left(4 r^{2}+1\right) / 12\left(r^{2}+a\right)}<S(r)<\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-\left(4 r^{2}+1\right) / 12\left(r^{2}+b\right)} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true for all $r>0$.
It is clear that $a \leq 3 / 2$ and $b \geq 1 / 4$.

## 2. Proofs of theorems and corollary

Now we are in a position to prove our theorems and corollary.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}(r)=n(n-1)+r^{2}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\theta}{n^{2}+\gamma}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta=(1 / 3)\left(r^{2}+1 / 4\right)$ and $\gamma$ is a possible and undetermined positive function of $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{w_{n}(r)}-\frac{1}{w_{n+1}(r)} \leq \frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Straightforward computation yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{w_{n}(r)}-\frac{1}{w_{n+1}(r)}=\frac{2 n\left\{1+\theta(1+1 / 2 n) /\left(n^{2}+\gamma\right)\left[(n+1)^{2}+\gamma\right]\right\}}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}+\theta Q(n, r, \gamma) /\left(n^{2}+\gamma\right)\left[(n+1)^{2}+\gamma\right]}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(n, r, \gamma)= & n^{4}+4 n^{3}+\left(4 \gamma-2 r^{2}-1\right) n^{2} \\
& +\left(6 \gamma-2 r^{2}-2\right) n+3 \gamma^{2}+2\left(1-r^{2}\right) \gamma-\frac{2 r^{2}}{3}-\frac{5}{12} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+1 / 2 n}{Q(n, r, \gamma)} \leq \frac{1}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then inequality (2.2) holds. Further, inequality (2.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{4}+ & 4 n^{3}+\left(4 \gamma-2 r^{2}-1\right) n^{2}+\left(6 \gamma-2 r^{2}-2\right) n+3 \gamma^{2} \\
& +2\left(1-r^{2}\right) \gamma-\frac{2 r^{2}}{3}-\frac{5}{12} \geq\left(1+\frac{1}{2 n}\right)\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
7 n^{3}+ & \left(8 \gamma-8 r^{2}-2\right) n^{2}+\left(12 \gamma-6 r^{2}-4\right) n \\
& +6 \gamma^{2}+4\left(1-r^{2}\right) \gamma-2 r^{4}-\frac{4 r^{2}}{3}-\frac{5}{6}-\frac{r^{4}}{n} \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be further rearranged as

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(n, \gamma) \triangleq(n-1)\left[7 n^{2}+\left(8 \gamma-8 r^{2}+5\right) n+20 \gamma-14 r^{2}+1+\frac{r^{4}}{n}\right]  \tag{2.8}\\
+6 \gamma^{2}+4\left(6-r^{2}\right) \gamma-3 r^{4}-\frac{46}{3} r^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Direct computation reveals that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n, \frac{9 r^{2}}{8}\right)=(n-1)\left[7 n^{2}+\left(r^{2}+5\right) n+\frac{17}{2} r^{2}+1+\frac{r^{4}}{n}\right]+\frac{3}{32} r^{4}+\frac{35}{3} r^{2}+\frac{1}{6}>0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

but

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n, r^{2}\right)=(n-1)\left(7 n^{2}+5 n+6 r^{2}+\frac{r^{4}}{n}\right)-r^{4}+\frac{26}{3} r^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is negative if $r$ is large enough. Consequently, if taking $\gamma=9 r^{2} / 8$, then inequality (2.2) is valid. Summing up on both sides of (2.2), with respect to $n=1,2, \ldots$, leads to (1.4). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is finished.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Now let us consider the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}(r)=n(n-1)+r^{2}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\theta}{n(n-1)+\beta} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\theta$ and $\beta$ are two undetermined functions of $r$, in order that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{v_{n}(r)}-\frac{1}{v_{n+1}(r)}<\frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Direct calculation yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{v_{n}(r)}-\frac{1}{v_{n+1}(r)}=\frac{2 n+2 \theta n /\left(n^{2}-n+\beta\right)\left(n^{2}+n+\beta\right)}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}+P(n, r, \theta, \beta) /\left(n^{2}-n+\beta\right)\left(n^{2}+n+\beta\right)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
P(n, r, \theta, \beta)= & \left(r^{2}+\frac{1}{4}-2 \theta\right) n^{4}+\left(r^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \beta^{2}-\theta \beta\left(2 r^{2}+1\right)+\theta^{2} \\
& +\left[\left(r^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)(2 \beta-1)-\theta\left(2 \beta+2 r^{2}+3\right)\right] n^{2} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $r^{2}+1 / 4-2 \theta=\theta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)(2 \beta-1)-\theta\left(2 \beta+2 r^{2}+3\right)=2 \theta r^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{1}{3}\left(r^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right), \quad \beta=r^{2}+\frac{3}{2} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
P(n, r, \theta, \beta) & =\theta n^{4}+2 \theta r^{2} n^{2}+3 \theta \beta^{2}-\theta \beta\left(2 r^{2}+1\right)+\theta^{2} \\
& =\theta\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}+\theta\left[3 \beta^{2}-\beta\left(2 r^{2}+1\right)+\theta-r^{4}\right]  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\theta\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{16}{3} \theta\left(r^{2}+1\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As a result,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{v_{2}(r)}-\frac{1}{v_{n+1}(r)} & =\frac{2 n+2 \theta n /\left(n^{2}-n+\beta\right)\left(n^{2}+n+\beta\right)}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\theta\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}+16 \theta\left(r^{2}+1\right) / 3\right) /\left(n^{2}-n+\beta\right)\left(n^{2}+n+\beta\right)} \\
& <\frac{2 n+2 \theta n /\left(n^{2}-n+\beta\right)\left(n^{2}+n+\beta\right)}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}+\theta\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} /\left(n^{2}-n+\beta\right)\left(n^{2}+n+\beta\right)}=\frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$
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Summing up on both sides of the above inequality with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r)>\frac{1}{\nu_{1}}=\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-\theta / \beta}=\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-\left(4 r^{2}+1\right) /\left(12 r^{2}+18\right)} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As mentiond above, taking $\theta=(1 / 3)\left(r^{2}+1 / 4\right)$ and simplifying yield that

$$
\begin{align*}
P(n, r, \theta, \beta) & =\theta\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}-\theta\left[\left(4 r^{2}+6-4 \beta\right) n^{2}-3 \beta^{2}+\left(2 r^{2}+1\right) \beta+r^{4}-\theta\right] \\
& =\theta\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}-\theta\left(4 r^{2}+6-4 \beta\right)\left(n^{2}-1\right)+\theta R, \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=3 \beta^{2}-\left(2 r^{2}-3\right) \beta-r^{4}-\frac{11}{3} r^{2}-\frac{71}{12} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choosing $\beta>0$ such that $R=0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{2 r^{2}-3+4 \sqrt{r^{4}+2 r^{2}+5}}{6} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is observed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 r^{2}+6-4 \beta=\frac{8}{3}\left(r^{2}+3-\sqrt{r^{4}+2 r^{2}+5}\right)>0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(n, r, \theta, \beta)=\theta\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{8 \theta}{3}\left(r^{2}+3-\sqrt{r^{4}+2 r^{2}+5}\right)\left(n^{2}-1\right) \geq \theta\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{v_{n}(r)}-\frac{1}{v_{n+1}(r)}>\frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up on both sides from $n=1$ to $\infty$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{v_{1}(r)}=\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-\theta / \beta}=\frac{1}{r^{2}+1 / 2-\left(4 r^{2}+1\right) / 2\left(2 r^{2}-3+4 \sqrt{r^{4}+2 r^{2}+5}\right)}>S(r) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $u_{n}(r)=n(n-1)+r^{2}+\mu(r)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(r)=\sqrt{\left(r^{2}+1\right)^{2}+1}-\left(r^{2}+1\right)>0 . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{u_{n}(r)}-\frac{1}{u_{n+1}(r)}=\frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}-[1-2 \mu(r)] n^{2}+\mu^{2}(r)+2 r^{2} \mu(r)} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.27), it is deduced that $\mu^{2}(r)+2 r^{2} \mu(r)=1-2 \mu(r)>0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{u_{n}(r)}-\frac{1}{u_{n+1}(r)}=\frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}-[1-2 \mu(r)]\left(n^{2}-1\right)} \geq \frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 n}{\left(n^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{2}}<\frac{1}{u_{1}}=\frac{1}{r^{2}+\mu(r)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{4}+2 r^{2}+2}-1} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}=2 n^{2}-2 n+1-\frac{1}{6\left(n^{2}-n+\delta\right)} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is a fixed positive number and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Direct computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t_{n}}-\frac{1}{t_{n+1}}=\frac{4 n+2 n / 6\left(n^{2}-n+\delta\right)\left(n^{2}+n+\delta\right)}{4 n^{4}+\left(2 n^{4}+(8 \delta-12) n^{2}+6 \delta^{2}-2 \delta+1 / 6\right) / 6\left(n^{2}-n+\delta\right)\left(n^{2}+n+\delta\right)} . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta=3 / 2$, then $8 \delta-12=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t_{n}}-\frac{1}{t_{n+1}}=\frac{4 n+2 n / 6\left(n^{2}-n+3 / 2\right)\left(n^{2}+n+3 / 2\right)}{4 n^{4}+\left(2 n^{4}+32 / 3\right) / 6\left(n^{2}-n+3 / 2\right)\left(n^{2}+n+3 / 2\right)}<\frac{1}{n^{3}} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up on both sides of the above inequality for $n$ from $m+1$ to infinity produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t_{m+1}}=\frac{1}{2 m^{2}+1-1 / 6\left(m^{2}+m+3 / 2\right)}<\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding $S_{3}(m)$ on both sides of the above inequality leads to the left-hand side inequality in (1.7).

If $\delta=1$ and $n>1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t_{n}}-\frac{1}{t_{n+1}}=\frac{4 n+2 n / 6\left(n^{2}-n+1\right)\left(n^{2}+n+1\right)}{4 n^{4}+\left(2 n^{4}-\left[4\left(n^{2}-1\right)-1 / 6\right]\right) / 6\left(n^{2}-n+1\right)\left(n^{2}+n+1\right)}>\frac{1}{n^{3}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up on both sides of the above inequality for $n$ from $m+1$ to infinity yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 m^{2}+2 m+1-1 / 2\left(m^{2}+m+1\right)}>\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}} . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent to the right-hand side inequality in (1.7). Theorem 1.7 is proved. Proof of Corollary 1.9. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n^{4}+(8 \delta-12) n^{2}+6 \delta^{2}-2 \delta+\frac{1}{6}=2 n^{4}-(12-8 \delta)\left(n^{2}-\frac{3 \delta^{2}-\delta+1 / 12}{6-4 \delta}\right) \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $1 \leq \delta<3 / 2$ and $n \geq \sqrt{\left(3 \delta^{2}-\delta+1 / 12\right) /(6-4 \delta)}$, from (2.32), it is deduced that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t_{n}}-\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \geq \frac{1}{n^{3}} . \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same argument as mentiond above, when $m \geq \sqrt{\left(3 \delta^{2}-\delta+1 / 12\right) /(6-4 \delta)}-1$, inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t_{m+1}}=\frac{1}{2 m^{2}+2 m+1-1 / 6\left(m^{2}+m+\delta\right)}>\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained, which is equivalent to (1.11). The proof of Corollary 1.9 is complete.
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