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Abstract. In this paper, some sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence and multiplicity of sign-changing solutions for the damped vibration problem with impulsive effects

$$
\begin{cases}-u^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(t) u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t)), & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T] ; \\ u(0)=u(T)=0, & \\ \Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{+}\right)-u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)=I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right), & j=1,2, \ldots, p\end{cases}
$$

where $t_{0}=0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{p}<t_{p+1}=T, g \in L^{1}(0, T ; \mathbb{R}), I_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, p$ are continuous, $f:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function with subcritical growth condition:

$$
\text { (A) }|f(t, u)| \leq C\left(1+|u|^{s-1}\right), \forall t \in[0, T], u \in \mathbb{R}, s \in[2,+\infty) \text {. }
$$

The sign-changing solutions are sought by means of some sign-changing critical point theorems and two examples are presented to illustrate the feasibility and effectiveness of our results.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the damped vibration problem with impulse

$$
\begin{cases}-u^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(t) u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t)), & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T] ;  \tag{1.1}\\ u(0)=u(T)=0, & \\ \Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{+}\right)-u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)=I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right), & j=1,2, \ldots, p\end{cases}
$$

where $t_{0}=0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{p}<t_{p+1}=T, g \in L^{1}(0, T ; \mathbb{R}), I_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, p$ are continuous, $f:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function with subcritical growth condition:

[^0](A) $|f(t, u)| \leq C\left(1+|u|^{s-1}\right), \forall t \in[0, T], u \in \mathbb{R}, s \in[2,+\infty)$.

Impulsive effects exist widely in many evolution processes in which their states are changed abruptly at certain moments of time. The theory of impulsive differential systems has been developed by numerous mathematicians (see [1-4]). Applications of impulsive differential equations with or without delays occur in biology, medicine, mechanics, engineering, chaos theory and so on (see [5-7]).

For a second order differential equation $u^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)$, one usually considers impulses in the position $u$ and the velocity $u^{\prime}$. However, in the motion of spacecraft one has to consider instantaneous impulses depending on the position that result in jump discontinuities in velocity, but with no changes in position (see [8,9]). The impulse only on the velocity occurs also in impulsive mechanics (see [10]).

In recent years, impulsive and periodic boundary value problems have been studied extensively in the literature. There have been many approaches to study periodic solutions of differential equations, such as method of lower and upper solutions, fixed-point theory, coincidence degree theory and so on. In [11], authors used the method of lower and upper solutions with monotone iterative technique to study impulsive differential equations. In [12], authors used the Krasnoselskii<- s fixed point theorem in a cone to impulsive differential equations and obtained the existence of positive solutions. However, the study of solutions for impulsive differential equations using variational methods has received considerably less attention (see, for example [9,13-15]).

Especially, when $g(t) \equiv 0$, authors in [13-15] used variational methods to study the existence and multiplicity of solutions for problems (1.1). But, to the best of authors’ knowledge, when $g(t) \not \equiv 0$, the existence and multiplicity of sign-changing solutions for problem (1.1) have not been studied yet. Our purpose of this paper is to study the sign-changing solutions of problem (1.1) in an appropriate space of functions and the existence and multiplicity of sign-changing solutions for problem (1.1) by some sign-changing critical point theorems.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic facts which will be used in the proofs of our main results. In order to apply the critical point theory, we make a variational structure. From this variational structure, we can reduce the problem of finding solutions of problem (1.1) to the one of seeking the critical points of a corresponding functional.

In the Sobolev space $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$, consider the inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(0, T)}=\int_{0}^{T} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

inducing the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(0, T)}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Let $G(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) \mathrm{d} s$. Since $g \in L^{1}(0, T ; \mathbb{R}), G:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\min _{t \in[0, T]} e^{G(t)}>0, \\
& \beta=\max _{t \in[0, T]} e^{G(t)}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also consider the inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad \forall u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)
$$

and the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)
$$

Then the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{0}^{1}(0, T)}$ are equivalent and there exists $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq C_{1}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ denote the eigenvalues and $\varphi_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ the corresponding eigenfunctions of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda u(t), \quad t \in[0, T] ; \\
u(0)=u(T)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where each eigenvalue $\lambda_{k}$ is repeated as often as multiplicity recall that $0<\lambda_{1}<$ $\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \ldots, \lambda_{k} \longrightarrow \infty$. Then $\varphi_{1}$ is positive (or negative) and the eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_{i}(i \geq 2)$ is sign-changing. Let $X_{k}$ denote the eigenspace associated to $\lambda_{k}$, then $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)=\overline{\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i}}$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ the norm in $L^{p}(0, T)$.

For $u \in H^{2}(0, T)$, we have that $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ are both absolutely continuous, and $u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}(0, T)$. Hence, $\Delta u^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}\left(t^{+}\right)-u^{\prime}\left(t^{-}\right)=0$ for any $t \in[0, T]$.

If $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$, then $u$ is absolutely continuous and $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, T)$. In this case, $\Delta u^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}\left(t^{+}\right)-u^{\prime}\left(t^{-}\right)=0$ may not hold for some $t \in(0, T)$. It allows to consider impulsive effects in the derivative.

Take $v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$ and multiply two sides of the equality

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(t) u^{\prime}(t)+f(t, u(t))=0
$$

by $e^{G(t)} v$ and integrate from 0 to $T$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime \prime}(t) v(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} g(t) u^{\prime}(t) v(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
+ & \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} f(t, u(t)) v(t) \mathrm{d} t=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(e^{G(t)} u^{\prime \prime}(t) v(t)+e^{G(t)} g(t) u^{\prime}(t) v(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{p} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}}\left(e^{G(t)} u^{\prime \prime}(t) v(t)+e^{G(t)} g(t) u^{\prime}(t) v(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{p} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} v(t) \mathrm{d} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime}(t) \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{p}\left(e^{G\left(t_{j+1}^{-}\right)} u^{\prime}\left(t_{j+1}^{-}\right) v\left(t_{j+1}^{-}\right)-e^{G\left(t_{j}^{+}\right)} u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{+}\right) v\left(t_{j}^{+}\right)-\int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j}+1} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) \\
= & -\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} \Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right) v\left(t_{j}\right)-u^{\prime}(0) v(0)+e^{G(T)} u^{\prime}(T) v(T)-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
= & -\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right) v\left(t_{j}\right)-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (2.2), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right) v\left(t_{j}\right)-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} f(t, u(t)) v(t) \mathrm{d} t=0
$$

Considering the above, we introduce the following concept solution for problem (1.1).
Definition 2.1. We say that a function $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if the identity

$$
\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right) v\left(t_{j}\right)=\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} f(t, u(t)) v(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

holds for any $v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$.
Consider the functional $\varphi: H_{0}^{1}(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} \int_{0}^{u\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-J_{1}(u)-J_{2}(u) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-J(u),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(t, s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1}(u) & =-\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} \int_{0}^{u\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t, J_{2}(u) \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t, J(u)=J_{1}(u)+J_{2}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the subcritical growth condition (A) and the continuity of $I_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, p$, one has that $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(H_{0}^{1}(0, T), \mathbb{R}\right)$. For any $v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$, we have
$\varphi^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right) v\left(t_{j}\right)-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} f(t, u(t)) v(t) \mathrm{d} t$.
Thus, the solutions of problem (1.1) are corresponding to the critical points of $\varphi$.
Lemma 2.1. $J_{1}^{\prime}$ is continuous on $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subseteq H_{T}^{1}$ and $u_{k} \rightarrow u$. By (2.1), $\left\|u_{k}-u\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|J_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)-J_{1}^{\prime}(u)\right\| & =\sup _{v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T),\|v\| \leq 1}\left|\left\langle J_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)-J_{1}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\sup _{v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T),\|v\| \leq 1}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)}\left[I_{j}\left(u_{k}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right] v\left(t_{j}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T),\|v\| \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta\left|I_{j}\left(u_{k}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right|\|v\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq C_{1} \beta \sup _{v \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T),\|v\| \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|I_{j}\left(u_{k}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right|\|v\| \\
& =C_{1} \beta \sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|I_{j}\left(u_{k}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The continuity of $I_{j}$ and this imply that $J_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow J_{1}^{\prime}(u)$ in $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2. $J^{\prime}$ is continuous on $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$.
Proof. By condition (A) and [16, Lemma 2.3], $J_{2}^{\prime}$ is continuous on $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$. By Lemma 2.1 and $J(u)=J_{1}(u)+J_{2}(u)$, we have $J^{\prime}$ is continuous on $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$. The proof is complete.

To prove our main results, we need the following definitions and theorems.
Definition 2.2. ([17], p. 81). Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}) . I$ is said to satisfy (PS) condition on $X$ if any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq X$ for which $I\left(x_{n}\right)$ is bounded and $I^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, possesses a convergent subsequence in $X$.

Definition 2.3. ([18]). Let $E$ is Hilbert space, $I \in C^{1}(E, R)$. We say that $I$ satisfies (w-PS) condition on $E$ if $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subseteq E$ and $I\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded, $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, we have either $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and has a convergent subsequence or $\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 2.1. It is clear that the (PS) condition implies the (w-PS) condition.
Theorem 2.1. ([19], Theorem 3.2). Assume that $H$ is Hilbert space, $f$ satisfies (PS) condition on $H$ and $f^{\prime}(u)$ has the expression $f^{\prime}(u)=u-A u . D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are open convex subsets of $H, D_{1} \bigcap D_{2} \neq \emptyset, A\left(\partial D_{1}\right) \subset D_{1}, A\left(\partial D_{2}\right) \subset D_{2}$. If there exists a path $h:[0,1] \rightarrow H$ such that

$$
h(0) \in D_{1} \backslash D_{2}, h(1) \in D_{2} \backslash D_{1}
$$

and

$$
\inf _{u \in \overline{D_{1}} \cap \overline{D_{2}}} f(u)>\sup _{t \in[0,1]} f(h(t)) .
$$

Then $f$ has at least four critical points: $u_{1} \in D_{1} \bigcap D_{2}, u_{2} \in D_{1} \backslash \overline{D_{2}}, u_{3} \in D_{2} \backslash \overline{D_{1}}, u_{4} \in$ $H \backslash\left(\overline{D_{1}} \bigcup \overline{D_{2}}\right)$.

Theorem 2.2. ([18], Theorem 2.1). Let $E$ be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle$,$\rangle and norm \|\cdot\|$. Assume that $E$ has an orthogonal decomposition $E=N \bigoplus M$ with $\operatorname{dim} N<\infty$. Let $G \in C^{1}(E, R)$ and the gradient $G^{\prime}$ be of the form

$$
G^{\prime}(u)=u-J^{\prime}(u)
$$

where $J^{\prime}: E \rightarrow E$ is a continuous operator. Let $P$ denote a closed convex positive cone of $E$; $D_{0}^{(i)}$ be an open convex subset of $E, i=1,2, S=E \backslash W, W=$ $D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{0}^{(2)}$. Assume
$\left(H_{1}\right) J^{\prime}\left(D_{0}^{(i)}\right) \subset D_{0}^{(i)}, i=1,2$.
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ If $D_{0}^{(1)} \bigcap D_{0}^{(2)}=\emptyset$, then either $D_{0}^{(1)}=\emptyset$ or $D_{0}^{(2)}=\emptyset$.
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ There exist a $\delta>0$ and $z_{0} \in N$ with $\left\|z_{0}\right\|=1$ such that

$$
B:=\{u \in M:\|u\| \geq \delta\} \bigcup\left\{k z_{0}+v: v \in M, k \geq 0,\left\|k z_{0}+v\right\|=\delta\right\} \subset S
$$

Let G map bounded sets to bounded sets and satisfy (w-PS) condition and

$$
b_{0}=\inf _{M} G \neq-\infty, a_{0}=\sup _{N} G \neq+\infty
$$

Then $G$ has a critical point in $S$ with critical value $\geq \inf _{B} G$.

## 3. Main Results

Our main results of this paper are as follows.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (A) and the following conditions are satisfied.
( $f_{1}$ ) there exists $\eta>2$ such that

$$
0 \leq \eta F(t, u) \leq f(t, u) u, \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \forall u \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Moreover $f(t, u)=o(|u|)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$;
$\left(f_{2}\right) \eta \int_{0}^{u} I_{j}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \geq I_{j}(u) u \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, p ;$
$\left(f_{3}\right) I_{j}(u) \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, p ;$
$\left(f_{4}\right)$ there exists $a_{j}>0$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{u} I_{j}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right| \leq a_{j}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, p
$$

Then problem (1.1) has four solutions: one zero solution, one positive solution, one negative solution and one sign-changing solution.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $(\mathrm{A}),\left(f_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}\right),\left(f_{3}\right),\left(f_{4}\right)$ and the following condition are satisfied.
$\left(f_{5}\right) \lambda_{l} u^{2}-W_{1}(t) \leq 2 e^{G(t)} F(t, u) \leq \lambda_{l+1} u^{2}+W_{2}(t)$, a.e $t \in[0, T], u \in \mathbb{R}$, where $W_{1}, W_{2} \in L^{1}(0, T), l \geq 2$.

Then problem (1.1) has at least one sign-changing solution.

## 4. Proofs of Theorems

For $\mu_{0>0}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T): u(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]\right\}, \\
D_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right) & =\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T): \operatorname{dist}(u, P)<\mu_{0}\right\} \\
-D_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right) & =\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T): \operatorname{dist}(u,-P)<\mu_{0}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.1. Assume that $(\mathrm{A}),\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right)$ hold, then $\varphi$ satisfies (PS) condition.
Proof. Assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subseteq H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$ is a (PS) sequence, that is, $\left|\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \leq$ $C_{2}, \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. By $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta C_{2}+\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\| \\
\geq & \eta \varphi\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{\eta}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\eta \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
p}} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} \int_{0}^{u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\eta \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -\left\langle u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle-\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)\right) u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) u_{n}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \frac{\eta-2}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\eta F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)-f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) u_{n}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)}\left(\eta \int_{0}^{u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t-I_{j}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)\right) u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)\right) \\
\geq & \frac{\eta-2}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$. Hence there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ (for simplicity denoted again by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(0, T) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { uniformly in } C([0, T]) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)-u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)}\left(I_{j}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)-I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right)\right)\left(u_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)-u\left(t_{j}\right)\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& -\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(f\left(t, u_{n}(t)-f(t, u(t))\right)\right)\left(u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t
\end{align*}
$$

From (A), (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), it follows that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$. Thus, $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition. The proof is complete.

Lemma 4.2. Assume that $(\mathrm{A}),\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$ hold, then there exists $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that
(i) $J^{\prime}\left(\partial D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\right) \subset D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$, and if $u \in D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$ is the solution of problem (1.1), then $u \in P ;$
(ii) $J^{\prime}\left(\partial\left(-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\right)\right) \subset-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$, and if $u \in-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$ is the solution of problem (1.1), then $u \in-P$.

Proof. Let $u^{ \pm}=\max \{ \pm u, 0\}$. for all $w \in-P$, we have $w(t) \leq 0$, so $-w(t) \geq 0$. Hence, $\forall w \in-P, r \in[2,+\infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq \int_{u(t) \geq 0}\left|u^{+}-w\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{u(t)<0}\left|-u^{-}-w\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{T}|u-w|^{r} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (4.4) implies that $\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{r} \leq \inf _{w \in(-P)}\|u-w\|_{r}$. Moreover, by Sobolev embedding Theorem, when $r \in[2,+\infty)$, the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(0, T) \hookrightarrow L^{r}(\Omega)$ is continuous. So there exists $C_{r}>0$ such that for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$, if $r \in[2,+\infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{r} \leq \inf _{w \in(-P)}\|u-w\|_{r} \leq C_{r} \inf _{w \in(-P)}\|u-w\|=C_{r} \operatorname{dist}(u,-P) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A) and ( $f_{1}$ ): $\forall \epsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u) u \leq \epsilon u^{2}+C_{\epsilon}|u|^{s}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $v=J^{\prime}(u)$. Then by (4.5), (4.6) and $\left(f_{3}\right)$, for $\epsilon$ small enough,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}(v,-P)\left\|v^{+}\right\| & \leq\left\|v^{+}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\langle v, v^{+}\right\rangle \\
& \leq-\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right) v^{+}\left(t_{j}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} f\left(x, u^{+}\right) v^{+} \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} f\left(x, u^{+}\right) v^{+} \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \beta\left(\epsilon\left|u^{+}\right|+C_{\epsilon}\left|u^{+}\right|^{s-1}\right) \| v^{+} \mid \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(u,-P)+C_{3} \operatorname{dist}(u,-P)^{s-1}\right)\left\|v^{+}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\max _{t \in[0, T]} e^{G(t)}>0
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(J^{\prime}(u),-P\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(u,-P)+C_{3}\left(\operatorname{dist}(u,-P)^{s-1}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So there exists $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(J^{\prime}(u),-P\right) \leq \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_{0}$ for every $u \in \partial\left(-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\right)$. Thus $J^{\prime}\left(\partial\left(-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\right)\right) \subset-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$. If $u \in D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$ is the solution of problem (1.1), then $\varphi^{\prime}(u)=u-J^{\prime}(u)=0$, that is, $J^{\prime}(u)=u$. By (4.7), $u \in-P$, (ii) holds. (i) can be proved analogously. The proof is complete.

Lemma 4.3. Assume that $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{4}\right)$ hold, then

$$
\frac{\inf }{D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} \xlongequal[\cap]{-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} \varphi(u)=d_{0}>-\infty .
$$

Proof. By $\left(f_{1}\right),\left(f_{4}\right)$, (4.6) and Holder inequality, we have that there exists $C_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u) & \geq \sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} \int_{0}^{u\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq-\beta p a-\frac{\beta}{\eta} \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u(t)) u(t) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{4.8}\\
& \geq-\beta p a-\frac{\beta C_{4}}{\eta}\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{s}^{s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $a=\max \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}\right\}$. According to (4.5), for any $u \in \overline{D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} \cap \overline{-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)}$, one has
(4.9) $\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{s} \leq C_{s} \operatorname{dist}(u,-P) \leq C_{s} \epsilon_{0},\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{s} \leq C_{s} \operatorname{dist}(u, P) \leq C_{s} \epsilon_{0}$.

Thus, by (4.8) and (4.9), we get

$$
\frac{\inf }{D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} \xlongequal{-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} \varphi(u)=d_{0}>-\infty .
$$

Now, we prove Theorem 3.1. Proof. By $\left(f_{1}\right)$, there are two positive constants $C_{5}$ and $C_{6}$ such that $\forall u \in R, \forall t \in[0, T]$ (see [13, p. 2863]),

$$
F(t, u) \geq C_{5}|u|^{\eta}-C_{6} .
$$

For any finitely dimensional subspace $\widetilde{V}$ of $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$, by $\left(f_{4}\right)$, there exists a constant $C_{7}>0$ such that for all $u$ in $\widetilde{V}$ it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} \int_{0}^{u\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\beta p a-\alpha C_{5}\|u\|_{\eta}^{\eta}+\alpha C_{6} T  \tag{4.10}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\beta p a-\alpha C_{7}\|u\|^{\eta}+\alpha C_{6} T
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\eta>2$, by (4.10), there are two positive numbers $C_{8}$ and $C_{9}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u) \leq-C_{8}\|u\|^{2}+C_{9}, \quad \forall u \in V_{0} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varphi_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ is the eigenfunctions of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda u(t), \quad t \in[0, T] ; \\
u(0)=u(T)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

corresponding the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ and $X_{k}$ is the eigenspace associated to $\lambda_{k}, H_{0}^{1}(0, T)=\overline{\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i}}$, thus $\varphi_{1}$ is positive (or negative) and the eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_{i}(i \geq 2)$ is sign-changing. Since $\varphi_{2} \in H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$ is sign-changing, that is $\varphi_{2}^{+} \neq 0, \varphi_{2}^{-} \neq 0$. Let $V_{0}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{2}^{+}, \varphi_{2}^{-}\right\}$, then $V_{0}$ is the finitely dimensional subspace of $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$. Define a path $h:[0,1] \mapsto H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$,

$$
h(t)=t \frac{\sqrt{R_{0}}}{\left\|\varphi_{2}^{+}\right\|} \varphi_{2}^{+}+(1-t) \frac{\sqrt{R_{0}}}{\left\|\varphi_{2}^{-}\right\|}\left(-\varphi_{2}^{-}\right)
$$

where $R_{0}=\max \left\{\frac{d_{0}-C_{9}-1}{-C_{8}}, 1\right\}$, then by (4.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(h(t)) & =\varphi\left(t \frac{\sqrt{R_{0}}}{\left\|\varphi_{2}^{+}\right\|} \varphi_{2}^{+}+(1-t) \frac{\sqrt{R_{0}}}{\left\|\varphi_{2}^{-}\right\|}\left(-\varphi_{2}^{-}\right)\right) \\
& \leq-C_{8} R_{0}+C_{9}  \tag{4.12}\\
& \leq d_{0}-1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.3 and (4.12),

$$
\inf _{u \in \overline{D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} \cap-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} \varphi(u)>\sup _{t \in[0,1]} \varphi(h(t)) .
$$

Obviously, $h(0) \in-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right), h(1) \in D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$, thus $h(0) \in-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \backslash D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$. If not, $h(0) \in-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \cap D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$, by Lemma 4.3, $G(h(0)) \geq d_{0}$. This is a contradiction. Analogously, $h(1) \in D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \backslash-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$. Moreover, $0 \in-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \cap$ $D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)$, by Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 2.1, problem (1.1) has four solutions: $u_{1} \in D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \bigcap\left(-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\right), u_{2} \in D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right) \backslash \overline{-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)}, u_{3} \in\left(-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)\right) \backslash \overline{D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)}, u_{4} \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(0, T) \backslash\left(\overline{D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)} \bigcup \overline{-D_{0}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)}\right)$. That is, $u_{1}$ is a zero solution, $u_{2}$ is a positive solution, $u_{3}$ is a negative solution and $u_{4}$ is a sign-changing solution. The proof is complete.
To prove Theorem 3.2, we first let $N=X_{1} \oplus X_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{l}(l \geq 2)$, $M=$ ${\underset{i=l+1}{\infty} X_{i}}^{\infty}$, then $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)=N \oplus M$. We take $z_{0} \in X_{l},\left\|z_{0}\right\|=1$ and define
$B=\{u \in M:\|u\| \geq \delta\} \bigcup\left\{u=k z_{0}+v: v \in M, k \geq 0,\|u\|=\delta\right\}$.
Then each element of $B$ is sign-changing.

Lemma 4.4. $\operatorname{dist}(B,-P \bigcup P)=d_{1}>0$.

Proof. $\quad B$ and $-P \bigcup P$ are two closed subsets of $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$. Note that $B \bigcap(-P$ $\bigcup P)=\emptyset$ and $H_{0}^{1}(0, T)$ is a normal space, the conclusion is readily to be shown. The proof is complete.

Lemma 4.5. Assume that $(\mathrm{A}),\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$ hold, then there exists $\mu_{0} \in\left(0, d_{1}\right)$ such that $J^{\prime}\left( \pm D_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right)\right) \subset \pm D_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Assume $v=J^{\prime}(u)$, by (4.7), there is $\mu_{0}<d_{1}$ (cf. Lemma 4.4) such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(J^{\prime}(u),-P\right) \leq \frac{3}{4} \mu_{0}$ for every $u \in-D_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$. In a similar way, $\operatorname{dist}\left(J^{\prime}(u), P\right) \leq$ $\frac{3}{4} \mu_{0}$ for every $u \in D_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$. The conclusion follows. The proof is complete.

Now, we prove Theorem 3.2.
Proof. Assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}^{(1)} & =D_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right), D_{0}^{(2)}=-D_{0}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \\
W & =D_{0}^{(1)} \bigcup D_{0}^{(2)}, S=V \backslash W
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.4, $B \subset S$, that is, the condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ of Theorem 2.2 holds. Lemma 4.5 says that condition $\left(H_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 2.2 is also satisfied. Since $0 \in D_{0}^{(1)} \cap D_{0}^{(2)}$, then $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds automatically. By Lemma 4.1 and Remark 2.1, $\varphi$ satisfies w-PS condition. Moreover, note that $\|v\|^{2} \leq \mu_{l}\|v\|_{2}^{2}$ for all $v \in N$ and $\mu_{l+1}\|w\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|w\|^{2}$ for all $w \in M$. Combine ( $f_{4}$ ) and ( $f_{5}$ ), we have that for any $v \in N, w \in M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(v) & =\frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} \int_{0}^{v\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F(t, v) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2}+\beta p a-\frac{\mu_{l}}{2}\|v\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\int_{0}^{T} W_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{2} \\
& \leq \beta p a+\frac{\int_{0}^{T} W_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(w) & =\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} e^{G\left(t_{j}\right)} \int_{0}^{w\left(t_{j}\right)} I_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F(t, w) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}-\beta p a-\frac{\mu_{l+1}}{2}\|w\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{\int_{0}^{T} W_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{2} \\
& \geq-\beta p a-\frac{\int_{0}^{T} W_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\sup _{N} G=a_{0}<\infty, \inf _{M}=b_{0}>-\infty .
$$

Since (A) holds, $\varphi$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets. By Theorem 2.2, $\varphi$ has a critical point in $S$. Therefore, problem (1.1) has a sign-changing solution. The proof is complete.

## 5. Examples

Example 5.1. Let $T=\frac{\pi}{2}, t_{1}=\frac{1}{3}, t_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$. Consider the damped vibration problem with impulse

$$
\begin{cases}-u^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(t) u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t)), & \text { a.e. } t \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] ;  \tag{5.1}\\ u(0)=u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=0, & \\ \Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{+}\right)-u^{\prime}\left(t_{j}^{-}\right)=I_{j}\left(u\left(t_{j}\right)\right), & j=1,2,\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
I_{1}(u)=I_{2}(u)= \begin{cases}-(u-5)^{2}+25, & u \in[0,10] ; \\ 0, & u \in(-\infty, 0) \bigcup(10,+\infty)\end{cases}
$$

and $f(t, u)=\frac{1}{t+1} u^{2}, g(t)=-1-t$. All conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold because of $s=3, \eta=3, a_{1}=a_{2}=250$. According to Theorem 3.1, problem (5.1) has four solutions: one zero solution, one positive solution, one negative solution and one sign-changing solution.

Example 5.2. Let $T=1, t_{1}=\frac{1}{3}$. Consider the damped vibration problem with impulse

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(t) u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t)), \\
u(0)=u(1)=0, \\
\Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)-u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=I_{1}\left(u\left(t_{1}\right)\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
I_{1}(u)= \begin{cases}0, & u \in(-\infty, 0) \bigcup(100,+\infty)  \tag{5.2}\\ -u+100, & u \in[50,100] \\ u, & u \in[0,50)\end{cases}
$$

$\lambda_{2}=4 \pi^{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}=9 \pi^{2}$ are the eigenvalue the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda u(t), \quad t \in[0,1] ; \\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $f(t, u)=\frac{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}{2} u=\frac{13 \pi^{2}}{2} u, g(t)=\sin t+\cos t$. All conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold because of $s=2, \eta=2, a_{1}=2500, W_{1}(t)=W_{2}(t)=0$. According to Theorem 3.2 , problem (5.2) has at least one sign-changing solution.
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