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#### Abstract

An approximation theorem is given for abstract quasilinear evolution equations in the sense of Hadamard. A stability condition is proposed under which a sequence of approximate solutions converges to the solution. The result obtained in this paper is a generalization of an approximation theorem of regularized semigroups and is applied to an approximation problem for a degenerate Kirchhoff equation.


## 1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to an approximation theorem for the Cauchy problem of the quasilinear evolution equation
(QE; $u_{0}$ )

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)=A(u(t)) u(t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] \\
u(0)=u_{0} \in D_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in a real Banach space $X$ equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$. Here $\{A(w) ; w \in D\}$ is a family of closed linear operators in $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(A(w)) \supset Y \quad \text { for } w \in D \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ is strongly continuous on $D$ in $B(Y, E)$,
and $D$ is a closed subset of $Y$ which is continuously embedded in $E$. The spaces $E$ and $X_{0}$ are real Banach spaces continuously embedded in $X$ and $D_{0}$ is a subset of $X_{0}$ satisfying the following relation.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cup$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\cup$ |
| $D_{0}$ |  |  |  | $\subset$ |  |  |
|  |  | $X_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |

According to the device due to Kato [13], let $Z$ be another Banach space and $S$ an operator in $B(Y, Z)$ such that there exists $c_{S}>0$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X}+\|S u\|_{Z} \leq c_{S}\|u\|_{Y} \quad \text { for } u \in Y . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Cauchy problem $\left(\mathrm{QE} ; u_{0}\right)$ is said to be well-posed in the sense of Hadamard if for each $u_{0} \in D_{0}$ there exists a unique solution $u$ in the class $C([0, T] ; D) \cap$ $C^{1}([0, T] ; E)$ satisfying the following continuous dependence of solutions on their initial data:

$$
\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{X} \leq M\|u(0)-v(0)\|_{X_{0}} \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] .
$$

For the autonomous case, there exists a vast literature on Hadamard well-posed problems, which are closely related with the theory of distribution semigroups. For instance, see Krein and Khazan [15] and Fattorini [8]. Recently, Hadamard wellposed problems were studied using the theory of integrated semigroups ([1, 2, 14, $22]$ ) or regularized semigroups ([4-6, 18, 25]). The theory of regularized semigroups was also used to deal with generation theorems for various classes of semigroups and distribution semigroups in a unified way ( $[20,25]$ ). To extend the theory of regularized semigroups so that it may be applied to quasilinear equations, the wellposedness of the Cauchy problem ( $\mathrm{QE} ; u_{0}$ ) in the sense of Hadamard was studied in [26], and the Kato theorem $[12,13]$ in the special case where $X_{0}=E=X$ was also generalized.

It is natural to try to compute solutions numerically and to discuss the question of convergence which arises in that case. We are interested in studying such a problem in an operator-theoretical fashion. In the autonomous case, such a problem has been studied by interpreting as the problem of strong convergence of the semigroups generated by a given sequence of infinitesimal generators or the problem of approximation of a semigroup of operators by a sequence of discrete semigroups. The former is applied to the method of lines for concrete problems and the latter is closely related with finite difference approximations.

Both problems were discussed by Trotter [27], Chernoff [3], Kurtz [16] and Kato [11] for semigroups of class $\left(C_{0}\right)$. These results were extended to the cases of several classes of semigroups ([7, 9, 24]). Although the case of integrated semigroups or regularized semigroups was discussed and the problem of strong convergence of the integrated semigroups or regularized semigroups generated by a given sequence of generators was studied intensively ( $[17,21,29]$ ), a few attempt has been made to study the problem of approximation of an integrated semigroups
or regularized semigroup by a sequence of discrete semigroups. To our knowledge, the case of local regularized semigroups was studied by Piskarev et. al. [23] to investigate an ill-posed problem. (See also Guidetti et. al. [10] and Melnikova et. al. [19].)

The purpose of this paper is to extend the above-mentioned results, by discussing an approximation theorem for the Cauchy problem of the quasilinear evolution equation (QE; $u_{0}$ ) in the sense of Hadamard. In fact, the final part of Section 2 contains an application of the main theorem (Theorem 1) to an approximation of local regularized semigroups.

To attain our objective, we consider an approximation of the solution of ( $\mathrm{QE} ; u_{0}$ ) by the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of solutions of the problems

$$
\left(u_{n}\left(t+h_{n}\right)-u_{n}(t)\right) / h_{n}=A_{n}\left(u_{n}(t)\right) u_{n}(t),
$$

where $A_{n}(w)$ is an appropriate approximation to $A(w)$ and $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ is a null sequence of positive numbers as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If a family $\left\{C_{n}(w) ; w \in D_{n}\right\}$ is defined by $C_{n}(w)=I+h_{n} A_{n}(w)$ for $w \in D_{n}$, then the solution $u_{n}$ is given by $u_{n}(t)=u_{i, n}$ for $t \in\left[i h_{n},(i+1) h_{n}\right) \cap[0, T]$ and $i=0,1, \ldots, K_{n}$, where $\left\{u_{i, n}\right\}_{i=0}^{K_{n}}$ is a sequence in $D_{n}$ such that

$$
u_{i, n}=C_{n}\left(u_{i-1, n}\right) u_{i-1, n}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, K_{n}$ and $K_{n}$ is the greatest integer such that $h_{n} K_{n} \leq T$. The feature of this paper is to propose the stability condition (H4) for the family $\left\{C_{n}(w) ; w \in\right.$ $\left.D_{n}\right\}$ under which the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to the solution of $\left(\mathrm{QE} ; u_{0}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow$ $\infty$.

In Section 3, we give a key estimate (Lemma 1) on the difference between the solution of the Euler forward difference equation governed by a "quasilinear generator" $B$ with time scale $h$ and the solution of the quasilinear evolution equation governed by $B$. Section 4 presents an application of the main theorem to an approximation problem of a degenerate Kirchhoff equation.

## 2. Basic Hypotheses and the Main Theorem

In this section we make basic hypotheses with some comments and state the main theorem. The purpose of this paper is to discuss an approximation problem which arises when the solution of concrete problem is computed numerically. To do this, without discussing the solvability of the problem ( $\mathrm{QE} ; u_{0}$ ) we concentrate on studying an approximation problem under the following hypothesis.
(H1) For each $u_{0} \in D_{0}$, the $\left(\mathrm{QE} ; u_{0}\right)$ has a unique solution $u \in C([0, T] ; D) \cap$ $C^{1}([0, T] ; E)$.

The well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (QE; $u_{0}$ ) in the sense of Hadamard was studied in [26]. The special case where $X_{0}=X=E$ corresponds to the Kato theory [12, 13]. An approximation theorem for Kato's quasilinear evolution equations may be derived from the main theorem (Theorem 1) by considering the special case where $X_{0, n}=X_{n}=E_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$ in the following setting.

The following hypothesis is an abstract version of the fact that a finite difference approximation to a differential operator in a space of functions defined on a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ acts on a different space like a space of discrete functions defined only at certain grid points. This idea is due to Trotter [27] and Kurtz [16].
(H2) For each $n \geq 1$, there exist three Banach spaces $E_{n}, X_{n}$ and $X_{0, n}$ and two subsets $D_{n}$ and $D_{0, n}$ of $X_{n}$ satisfying the relation

and another Banach space $Z_{n}$ such that the following four conditions are satisfied:
(H2-i) There exists a sequence $\left\{P_{X_{n}}\right\}$ of operators such that $P_{X_{n}} \in B\left(X, X_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{X_{n}} u\right\|_{X_{n}}=\|u\|_{X}$ for $u \in X$.
(H2-ii) There exists a sequence $\left\{P_{E_{n}}\right\}$ of operators such that $P_{E_{n}} \in B\left(E, E_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{E_{n}} u\right\|_{E_{n}}=\|u\|_{E}$ for $u \in E$.
(H2-iii) There exists a sequence $\left\{P_{X_{0, n}}\right\}$ of operators such that $P_{X_{0, n}} \in B\left(X_{0}, X_{0, n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{X_{0, n}} u\right\|_{X_{0, n}}=\|u\|_{X_{0}}$ for $u \in X_{0}$.
(H2-iv) There exists a sequence $\left\{P_{Z_{n}}\right\}$ of operators such that $P_{Z_{n}} \in B\left(Z, Z_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{Z_{n}} u\right\|_{Z_{n}}=\|u\|_{Z}$ for $u \in Z$.

In applications, the sets $D_{0}$ and $D$ are taken as the set of initial data and the union of all positive orbits of solutions corresponding to the initial data, respectively. These sets need to be approximated in the following sense.
(H3) There exists a sequence $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ of operators such that $S_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}, Z_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ and the following conditions are satisfied:
(H3-i) For each $u \in D$, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n} \in D_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{X_{n}} u-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{Z_{n}} S u-S_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{Z_{n}}=0$.
(H3-ii) For each $u \in D_{0}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n} \in D_{0, n}$ for $n \geq 1, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{X_{0, n}} u-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{0, n}}=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{X_{n}} u-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{Z_{n}} S u-S_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{Z_{n}}=0$.

The following is a stability condition proposed in this paper.
(H4) Let $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ be a null sequence of positive numbers as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each $n \geq 1$, let $\left\{C_{n}(w) ; w \in D_{n}\right\}$ be a family in $B\left(X_{n}\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(H4-i) If $x_{0} \in D_{0, n}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{n}}$ in $D_{n}$ such that $x_{i}=C_{n}\left(x_{i-1}\right) x_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K_{n}$, where $K_{n}$ is the greatest integer such that $h_{n} K_{n} \leq T$.
(H4-ii) There exist $M \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$, independent of $n$, such that if $x_{0} \in$ $D_{0, n},\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{n}}$ is a sequence in $D_{n}$ satisfying $x_{i}=C_{n}\left(x_{i-1}\right) x_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K_{n}, w_{0} \in X_{0, n},\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{n}}$ is a sequence in $X_{n}$ satisfying $w_{i}=C_{n}\left(x_{i-1}\right) w_{i-1}+h_{n} f_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K_{n}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{n}}$ is a sequence in $E_{n}$, then the inequality

$$
\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{X_{n}}^{p} \leq M\left(\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{X_{0, n}}^{p}+h_{n} \sum_{l=1}^{i}\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{E_{n}}^{p}\right)
$$

holds for $1 \leq i \leq K_{n}$.
(H4-iii) $C_{n}(w)\left(D_{n}\right) \subset E_{n}$ for $w \in D_{n}$.
(H4-iv) There exists $L \geq 0$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\left\|C_{n}(w) u-C_{n}(z) u\right\|_{E_{n}} \leq h_{n} L\|w-z\|_{X_{n}}\left(\|u\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|S_{n} u\right\|_{Z_{n}}\right)
$$

for $w, z, u \in D_{n}$.
The following is a consistency condition.
(H5) If $u \in D$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence such that $u_{n} \in D_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{X_{n}} u-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{Z_{n}} S u-S_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{Z_{n}}=0$, then
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{E_{n}} u-u_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}}=0 \quad$ and $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{E_{n}} A(u) u-A_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}}=0$,
where $A_{n}(w)=\left(C_{n}(w)-I\right) / h_{n}$ for $w \in D_{n}$ and $n \geq 1$.
The main theorem in this paper is given by
Theorem 1. Assume (1.1) through (1.4) and (H1) through (H5) to be satisfied. Let $u_{0} \in D_{0}$ and let $\left\{u_{0, n}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $u_{0, n} \in D_{0, n}$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{X_{0, n}} u_{0}-u_{0, n}\right\|_{X_{0, n}}=0$. Then the following assertions hold.
(i) For each $n \geq 1$, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{i, n}\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{n}}$ in $D_{n}$ such that $u_{i, n}=$ $C_{n}\left(u_{i-1, n}\right) u_{i-1, n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K_{n}$.
(ii) For each $n \geq 1$, define a step function $u_{n}:[0, T] \rightarrow D_{n}$ by

$$
u_{n}(t)=u_{i, n} \quad \text { for } t \in\left[i h_{n},(i+1) h_{n}\right) \cap[0, T] \text { and } i=0,1,2, \ldots, K_{n} .
$$

Then, it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup \left\{\left\|u_{n}(t)-P_{X_{n}} u(t)\right\|_{X_{n}} ; t \in[0, T]\right\}\right)=0 .
$$

Remark. The main theorem seems to be new, even if $X_{0, n}=X_{n}=E_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. This case gives an approximation theorem for Kato's quasilinear evolution equations.

We conclude this section by applying Theorem 1 to an approximation problem of local regularized semigroups by a sequence of discrete semigroups.

Let $C \in B(X)$ be injective and assume that $C$ has the dense range $R(C)$. Let $\tau \in(0, \infty]$. A one parameter family $\{S(t) ; t \in[0, \tau)\}$ in $B(X)$ is a local regularized semigroup on $X$ with regularizing operator $C$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(S1) $S(0)=C$ and $S(t) S(s)=S(t+s) C$ for $t, s \in[0, \tau)$ and $t+s \in[0, \tau)$.
(S2) For each $x \in X, S(\cdot) x:[0, \tau) \rightarrow X$ is continuous.
Let $\{S(t) ; t \in[0, \tau)\}$ be a local regularized semigroup on $X$ with regularizing operator $C$. The operator $A$ in $X$ defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.A x=C^{-1}\left(\lim _{h \downarrow 0}(S(h) x-x) / h\right)\right) \text { for } x \in D(A) \\
D(A)=\left\{x \in X ; \lim _{h \downarrow 0}(S(h) x-x) / h \text { exists in } X \text { and is in } R(C)\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is called the generator of $\{S(t) ; t \in[0, \tau)\}$ and satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $A$ is a densely defined closed linear operator in $X$ and $C^{-1} A C=A$.
(A2) For $u \in D(A), S(t) u \in D(A), A S(t) u=S(t) A u$ for $t \in[0, \tau)$ and $S(\cdot) u \in C([0, \tau) ;[D(A)]) \cap C^{1}([0, \tau) ; X)$, where $[D(A)]$ is the Banach space $D(A)$ equipped with the graph norm of $A$.

The definition of generators of regularized semigroups was first given by Da Prato [4]. Several types of characterizations of the generators of local regularized semigroups were given by [25] and [28]. The following approximation theorem of such regularized semigroups is a generalization of the Chernoff product formula [3]. Another type of approximation theorem is found in the paper due to Piskarev et. al. [23].

Theorem 2. Let $A$ be the generator of a local regularized semigroup $\{S(t) ; t \in$ $[0, \tau)\}$ on $X$ with regularizing operator $C$. Assume that $X$ is approximated by a sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ of Banach spaces in the following sense: There exists a sequence $\left\{P_{X_{n}}\right\}$ such that $P_{X_{n}} \in B\left(X, X_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{X_{n}} u\right\|_{X_{n}}=\|u\|_{X} \quad \text { for } u \in X \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists a sequence $\left\{C_{n}\right\}$ such that $C_{n} \in B\left(X_{n}\right)$ is injective for $n \geq 1$ and
(2.2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-P_{X_{n}} x\right\|_{X_{n}}=0$ implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{n} x_{n}-P_{X_{n}} C x\right\|_{X_{n}}=0$.

For each $n \geq 1$, let $F_{n} \in B\left(X_{n}\right)$ satisfy the following conditions:
(F1) For each $\sigma \in(0, \tau)$ there exists $M_{\sigma}>0$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\left\|F_{n}^{i} C_{n} u\right\|_{X_{n}} \leq M_{\sigma}\|u\|_{X_{n}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq K_{\sigma, n}:=\left[\sigma / h_{n}\right] \text { and } u \in X_{n}
$$

where $[a]$ is the integer part of $a$.
(F2) $\quad C_{n} F_{n}=F_{n} C_{n}$.
Let $A_{n}=\left(F_{n}-I\right) / h_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Assume that for each $u \in D(A)$ there exists $a$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n} \in X_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|u_{n}-P_{X_{n}} u\right\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|A_{n} u_{n}-P_{X_{n}} A u\right\|_{X_{n}}\right)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for each $\sigma \in(0, \tau)$ and $u \in X$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup \left\{\left\|F_{n}^{\left[t / h_{n}\right]} C_{n} P_{X_{n}} u-P_{X_{n}} S(t) u\right\|_{X_{n}} ; t \in[0, \sigma]\right\}\right)=0
$$

Proof. Let $Y$ be the Banach space $C(D(A))$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$ defined by $\|u\|_{Y}=\|u\|_{X}+\left\|C^{-1} u\right\|_{X}+\left\|A C^{-1} u\right\|_{X}$ for $u \in Y$. Let $Z$ be the Banach space $X \times X$ equipped with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_{Z}=\|u\|_{X}+\|v\|_{X}$ for $(u, v) \in Z$, and define $S \in B(Y, Z)$ by $S u=\left(C^{-1} u, A C^{-1} u\right)$ for $u \in Y$. Then, the inequality (1.4) holds for $c_{S}=1$. Let $E$ be the Banach space $R(C)$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E}$ defined by $\|u\|_{E}=\|u\|_{X}+\left\|C^{-1} u\right\|_{X}$ for $u \in E$, and let $X_{0}=E$. Clearly, $A \in B(Y, E)$ by the definition of the spaces $Y$ and $E$. Let $D=C(D(A))$ and $D_{0}=C^{2}(D(A))$. Then, the relation (1.3) is satisfied and it is seen by (A2) that the abstract Cauchy problem for $A$ has a unique solution $u \in C([0, \tau) ; D) \cap C^{1}([0, \tau) ; E)$ given by $u(t)=S(t) C^{-1} u_{0}$ for $t \in[0, \tau)$, for each initial data $u_{0} \in D_{0}$. This means that condition (H1) is satisfied.

For each $n \geq 1$, let $Z_{n}$ be the Banach space $X_{n} \times X_{n}$ equipped with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_{Z_{n}}=\|u\|_{X_{n}}+\|v\|_{X_{n}}$ for $(u, v) \in Z_{n}$, and define $P_{Z_{n}} \in B\left(Z, Z_{n}\right)$ by $P_{Z_{n}}(u, v)=\left(P_{X_{n}} u, P_{X_{n}} v\right)$ for $(u, v) \in Z$. Then, hypothesis (H2-iv) is clearly checked by (2.1). For each $n \geq 1$, let $E_{n}$ be the Banach space $R\left(C_{n}\right)$ equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{E_{n}}=\|u\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|C_{n}^{-1} u\right\|_{X_{n}}$ for $u \in E_{n}$, and define $P_{E_{n}} \in B\left(E, E_{n}\right)$ by $P_{E_{n}} u=C_{n} P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u$ for $u \in E$. By (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{n} P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u-P_{X_{n}} u\right\|_{X_{n}}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in E$. This fact together with (2.1) shows that ( H 2 -ii) is satisfied. All the other hypotheses in (H2) are checked by taking $X_{0, n}=E_{n}, D_{n}=R\left(C_{n}\right)$ and $D_{0, n}=R\left(C_{n}\right)$ for each $n \geq 1$.

For each $n \geq 1$ we consider the operator $S_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}, Z_{n}\right)$ defined by $S_{n} u=$ $\left(C_{n}^{-1} u, A_{n} C_{n}^{-1} u\right)$ for $u \in E_{n}$. For every $u \in C(D(A))$ and every sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n} \in R\left(C_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$, we have
$\left\|P_{Z_{n}} S u-S_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{Z_{n}}=\left\|P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u-C_{n}^{-1} u_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|P_{X_{n}} A C^{-1} u-A_{n} C_{n}^{-1} u_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}}$.
Let $u \in D=C(D(A))$. Then, by (2.3) there exists a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ such that $v_{n} \in$ $X_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|v_{n}-P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u\right\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|A_{n} v_{n}-P_{X_{n}} A C^{-1} u\right\|_{X_{n}}\right)=0$. The sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1,2, \ldots}$, defined by $u_{n}=C_{n} v_{n} \in R\left(C_{n}\right)=D_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$, satisfies hypothesis (H3-i) by (2.2) and (2.5). To check (H3-ii), notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{X_{0, n}} u-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{0, n}}=\left\|C_{n} P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u-C_{n}^{-1} u_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in R(C)$ and every sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n} \in R\left(C_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$, and let $u \in D_{0}=C^{2}(D(A))$. Then, by (2.3) there exists a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ such that $v_{n} \in X_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|v_{n}-P_{X_{n}} C^{-2} u\right\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|A_{n} v_{n}-P_{X_{n}} A C^{-2} u\right\|_{X_{n}}\right)=0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ defined by $u_{n}=C_{n}^{2} v_{n} \in D_{0, n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Then, by (2.5) and (2.6) we have $\left\|P_{X_{0, n}} u-u_{n}\right\|_{X_{0, n}} \leq\left(\left\|C_{n}\right\|_{X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}}+1\right) \| P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u-$ $C_{n} v_{n} \|_{X_{n}}$ and $\left\|P_{Z_{n}} S u-S_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{Z_{n}}=\left\|P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u-C_{n} v_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}}+\| P_{X_{n}} A C^{-1} u-$ $A_{n} C_{n} v_{n} \|_{X_{n}}$ for $n \geq 1$. Notice that by (2.2) that the sequence $\left\{\left\|C_{n}\right\|_{X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in a way similar to that in [8, Theorem 5.7.1]. Thus, by (2.2), (H3-ii) follows from (2.7), since $C^{-1} A C=A$ (by (A1)) and $A_{n} C_{n}=C_{n} A_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$ (by (F2)).

To check hypothesis (H4), let $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in(0, \tau)$. Let $x_{0} \in D_{0, n}$ and set $x_{i}=$ $F_{n}^{i} x_{0}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, K_{\sigma, n}$. By condition (F2) we have $x_{i}=C_{n} F_{n}^{i}\left(C_{n}^{-1} x_{0}\right) \in$ $R\left(C_{n}\right)=D_{n}$ and $x_{i}=F_{n} x_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K_{\sigma, n}$. This implies that hypothesis (H4-i) is satisfied. Let $w_{0} \in X_{0, n}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{\sigma, n}}$ be a sequence in $R\left(C_{n}\right)$. If
$\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{\sigma, n}}$ is a sequence satisfying $w_{i}=F_{n} w_{i-1}+h_{n} f_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K_{\sigma, n}$, then $w_{i}=F_{n}^{i} w_{0}+h_{n} \sum_{l=1}^{i} F_{n}^{i-l} f_{l}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K_{\sigma, n}$. We use condition (F1) to find the inequality

$$
\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{X_{n}} \leq M_{\sigma}\left\|C_{n}^{-1} w_{0}\right\|_{X_{n}}+h_{n} \sum_{l=1}^{i} M_{\sigma}\left\|C_{n}^{-1} f_{l}\right\|_{X_{n}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq K_{\sigma, n}$. This inequality means that hypothesis (H4-ii) is satisfied. Hypothesis (H4-iii) is checked by condition (F2). Since for every $u \in C(D(A))$ and $u_{n} \in R\left(C_{n}\right),\left\|P_{E_{n}} u-u_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}} \leq\left(\left\|C_{n}\right\|_{X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}}+1\right)\left\|P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u-C_{n}^{-1} u_{n}\right\|_{X_{n}}$ (by (2.6) with $X_{0, n}=E_{n}$ ) and $\left\|P_{E_{n}} A u-A_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}} \leq\left(\left\|C_{n}\right\|_{X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}}+1\right) \| P_{X_{n}} A C^{-1} u-$ $A_{n} C_{n}^{-1} u_{n} \|_{X_{n}}$ (by the definition of $P_{E_{n}}$ and the norm of $E_{n}$ ), we verify (H5) by (2.5). Therefore, we apply Theorem 1 to prove that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup \left\{\left\|F_{n}^{\left[t / h_{n}\right]} C_{n} P_{X_{n}} C^{-1} u_{0}-P_{X_{n}} S(t) C^{-1} u_{0}\right\|_{X_{n}} ; t \in[0, \sigma]\right\}\right)=0
$$

for every $u_{0} \in C^{2}(D(A))$. Since $C(D(A))$ is dense in $X$, the theorem is proved by a standard density argument.

## 3. Key Estimate and the Proof of the Main Theorem

Throughout the following lemma, let $E, X$ and $X_{0}$ be three real Banach spaces and let $D$ and $D_{0}$ be two subsets of $X$ such that they satisfy the following relation:


Let $h>0$ and let $\{C(w) ; w \in D\}$ be a family in $B(X)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(C1) If $x_{0} \in D_{0}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K}$ in $D$ such that $x_{i}=$ $C\left(x_{i-1}\right) x_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K$, where $K$ is the greatest integer such that $K h \leq T$.
(C2) There exist $M \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$ such that if $x_{0} \in D_{0},\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K}$ is a sequence in $D$ satisfying $x_{i}=C\left(x_{i-1}\right) x_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K, w_{0} \in X_{0},\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K}$ is a sequence in $X$ satisfying $w_{i}=C\left(x_{i-1}\right) w_{i-1}+h f_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq K$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K}$ is a sequence in $E$, then the inequality

$$
\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{X}^{p} \leq M\left(\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p}+h \sum_{l=1}^{i}\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{E}^{p}\right)
$$

holds for $1 \leq i \leq K$.

Lemma 1. Let $u_{0} \in D_{0}$ and let $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K}$ be a sequence in $D$ such that

$$
u_{i}=C\left(u_{i-1}\right) u_{i-1} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq K
$$

Define a step function $u:[0, T] \rightarrow D$ by

$$
u(t)=u_{i} \quad \text { for } t \in[i h,(i+1) h) \cap[0, T] \text { and } i=0,1,2, \ldots, K
$$

Let $\left\{0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}=T\right\}$ be a partition of $[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \leq \min _{1 \leq j \leq N}\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $B(w)=(C(w)-I) / h$ for $w \in D$. Let $v_{0} \in D_{0}$ and let $\left\{v_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ be a sequence in $D$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)=B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}+z_{j} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq N \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ is a sequence in $E$. Define a step function $v:[0, T] \rightarrow D$ by

$$
v(t)= \begin{cases}v_{j-1} & \text { for } t \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right) \text { and } j=1,2, \ldots, N \\ v_{N} & \text { for } t=t_{N}\end{cases}
$$

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(C3) $C(w)(D) \subset E$ for $w \in D$.
(C4) There exists $L_{0} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\max _{0 \leq j \leq N}\left\|(C(w)-C(z)) v_{j}\right\|_{E} \leq h L_{0}\|w-z\|_{X} \quad \text { for } w, z \in D
$$

Then there exists $c>0$, depending only on $M, p$ and $T$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{X}^{p} \leq c \exp \left(c L_{0}^{p} t\right)\left(\left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p}+\alpha^{p}+\beta^{p}+\left(1+L_{0}^{p}\right) \gamma^{p}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in[0, T]$. Here the symbols $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\max _{1 \leq j \leq N}\left\|B\left(v_{j}\right) v_{j}-B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}\right\|_{E} \\
& \beta=\max _{1 \leq j \leq N}\left\|z_{j}\right\|_{E}, \quad \gamma=\max _{1 \leq j \leq N}\left\|v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right\|_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We use the function $w:[0, T] \rightarrow \operatorname{co}(D)$ defined by

$$
w(t)=v_{j-1}+\left(t-t_{j-1}\right)\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)
$$

for $t \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, N$, where $\operatorname{co}(D)$ is the convex hull of $D$. Notice that $K h \leq T$ and define

$$
f_{i}=(w(i h)-w((i-1) h)) / h-B\left(u_{i-1}\right) w((i-1) h)
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, K$. Then, by the definition of $B(w)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(i h)=C\left(u_{i-1}\right) w((i-1) h)+h f_{i} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, K$. Since $w(t) \in \operatorname{co}(D) \subset E$ for $t \in[0, T]$, we have $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K} \subset E$ by condition (C3). Since $u_{i}=C\left(u_{i-1}\right) u_{i-1}$, we have by (3.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}-w(i h)=C\left(u_{i-1}\right)\left(u_{i-1}-w((i-1) h)\right)-h f_{i} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq K$. Since $u_{0}-w(0)=u_{0}-v_{0} \in D_{0}-D_{0} \subset X_{0}$, we apply condition (C2) to the equality (3.5), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{i}-w(i h)\right\|_{X}^{p} \leq M\left(\left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p}+h \sum_{l=1}^{i}\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{E}^{p}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq K$.
We want to estimate $\sum_{l=1}^{i}\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{E}^{p}$ in (3.6), for $1 \leq i \leq K$. For this purpose, let $1 \leq l \leq K$ and $r \in((l-1) h, l h)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r)=u_{l-1} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(l-1) h \leq(K-1) h<T$, there exists $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(l-1) h \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $w$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
w((l-1) h)= & \left(\left(t_{j}-(l-1) h\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)\right) v_{j-1}  \tag{3.9}\\
& +\left(\left((l-1) h-t_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)\right) v_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h)$ is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h) \\
= & \left(\left(t_{j}-(l-1) h\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)\right)\left(B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) v_{j-1}\right) \\
& +\left(\left((l-1) h-t_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)\right)\left(B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) v_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.9), and since

$$
B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) v_{j}=\left(B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}-B\left(v_{j}\right) v_{j}\right)+\left(B\left(v_{j}\right)-B\left(u_{l-1}\right)\right) v_{j},
$$

we have by (3.7) and condition (C4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h)\right\|_{E}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad \leq \alpha+L_{0} \max \left\{\left\|v_{j-1}-u(r)\right\|_{X},\left\|v_{j}-u(r)\right\|_{X}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.1) and (3.8), we need to consider the following two cases:
(a) $l h \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]$,
(b) $l h \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right]$ and $1 \leq j \leq N-1$.

We start with the case (b). Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j-1} \leq(l-1) h<t_{j} \leq l h \leq t_{j+1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.11) we have $r \in\left(t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}\right)$; hence $v(r)=v_{j-1}$ or $v_{j}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(v_{j+1}-v_{j}\right) /\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h) \\
& \quad=\left(B\left(v_{j}\right) v_{j}-B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}\right)+\left(B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h)\right)+z_{j+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.2), we use (3.10) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(v_{j+1}-v_{j}\right) /\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h)\right\|_{E} \\
\leq & 2 \alpha+\beta+L_{0}\left(\|v(r)-u(r)\|_{X}+\gamma\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h) \\
= & B\left(v_{j-1}\right) v_{j-1}+z_{j}-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have by (3.10)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h)\right\|_{E} \\
\leq & \alpha+\beta+L_{0}\left(\|v(r)-u(r)\|_{X}+\gamma\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We apply (3.12) and (3.13) to $f_{l}$ which is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{l}= & \left(\left(w(l h)-w\left(t_{j}\right)\right)+\left(w\left(t_{j}\right)-w((l-1) h)\right)\right) / h-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h) \\
= & \left\{\left(l h-t_{j}\right)\left(\left(v_{j+1}-v_{j}\right) /\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(t_{j}-(l-1) h\right)\left(\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)-B\left(u_{l-1}\right) w((l-1) h)\right)\right\} / h
\end{aligned}
$$

by the definition of $w$ and (3.11). This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{E} \leq 2 \alpha+\beta+L_{0} \gamma+L_{0}\|v(r)-u(r)\|_{X} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of (a), we have $t_{j-1} \leq(l-1) h<r<l h \leq t_{j}$ by (3.8), so that $(w(l h)-w((l-1) h)) / h=\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)$. This together with (3.13) implies that (3.14) is also valid in the case of (a). It is thus shown that (3.14) holds for $r \in((l-1) h, l h)$ and $1 \leq l \leq K$. It follows that

$$
h\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{E}^{p} \leq c\left(\left(\alpha^{p}+\beta^{p}\right) h+L_{0}^{p} \gamma^{p} h+L_{0}^{p} \int_{(l-1) h}^{l h}\|u(r)-v(r)\|_{X}^{p} d r\right)
$$

for $1 \leq l \leq K$. Substituting this inequality into (3.6), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{i}-w(i h)\right\|_{X}^{p} \\
\leq & c\left(\left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p}+\left(\alpha^{p}+\beta^{p}\right) T+L_{0}^{p} \gamma^{p} T+L_{0}^{p} \int_{0}^{i h}\|u(r)-v(r)\|_{X}^{p} d r\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq K$.
Now, we turn to the proof of (3.3). Let $t \in[0, T]$. There exists $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, K\}$ such that $t \in[i h,(i+1) h)$, and then $u(t)=u_{i}$. Since $i h \leq t \leq T$, there exists $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ such that $i h \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(i h)=v_{j-1}+\left(i h-t_{j-1}\right)\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{X}$, by (3.15) it suffices to estimate $\|v(t)-w(i h)\|_{X}$. By (3.1) we need to consider the following three cases:
(i) $t \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right)$,
(ii) $t \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right)$ and $j \leq N-1$,
(iii) $t=t_{j}$ and $j=N$.

In the case of (i), we have $v(t)=v_{j-1}$ and $\|v(t)-w(i h)\|_{X} \leq\left\|v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right\|_{X} \leq \gamma$ by (3.16). Next, we consider the cases (ii) and (iii). In both cases, we have $v(t)=v_{j}$. By (3.16) we have

$$
v(t)-w(i h)=\left(\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right) /\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)\right)\left(\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)-\left(i h-t_{j-1}\right)\right) ;
$$

hence $\|v(t)-w(i h)\|_{X} \leq\left\|v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right\|_{X} \leq \gamma$. Combining these estimates and (3.15) and using the fact that $i h \leq t$, we have

$$
\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{X}^{p} \leq c\left(\left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p}+\alpha^{p}+\beta^{p}+\gamma^{p}+L_{0}^{p} \gamma^{p}+L_{0}^{p} \int_{0}^{t}\|u(r)-v(r)\|_{X}^{p} d r\right)
$$

for $t \in[0, T]$. An application of Gronwall's inequality gives the desired inequality (3.3).

Proof of Theorem 1. Assertion (i) is a direct consequence of hypothesis (H4-i). To prove that assertion (ii) is true, let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $u \in C([0, T] ; D)$ and $A$ is strongly continuous on $D$ in $B(Y, E)$ (by (1.2)), there exists a partition $\left\{0=t_{0}^{\varepsilon}<\right.$ $\left.t_{1}^{\varepsilon}<\cdots<t_{N_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}=T\right\}$ of $[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{j}^{\varepsilon}-t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon} \\
\left\|u(t)-u\left(t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{X} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}, t_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right] \text { and } j=1,2, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon},  \tag{3.17}\\
\left\|A(u(t)) u(t)-A\left(u\left(t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) u\left(t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{E} \leq \varepsilon  \tag{3.18}\\
\text { for } t \in\left[t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}, t_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right] \text { and } j=1,2, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Set $v_{j}^{\varepsilon}=u\left(t_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon}$. Since $v_{0}^{\varepsilon}=u_{0} \in D_{0}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{v_{0, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\}_{n=1,2, \ldots}$ such that $v_{0, n}^{\varepsilon} \in D_{0, n}$ for $n \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|P_{X_{0, n}} v_{0}^{\varepsilon}-v_{0, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{0, n}}+\left\|P_{X_{n}} v_{0}^{\varepsilon}-v_{0, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{n}}\right.  \tag{3.19}\\
& \left.\quad+\left\|P_{Z_{n}} S v_{0}^{\varepsilon}-S_{n} v_{0, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{Z_{n}}\right)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

by hypothesis (H3-ii). Since $v_{j}^{\varepsilon} \in D$ for $1 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}$, hypothesis (H3-i) ensures that for each $j=1,2, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon}$ there exists a sequence $\left\{v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\}_{n=1,2, \ldots}$ such that $v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon} \in D_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|P_{X_{n}} v_{j}^{\varepsilon}-v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|P_{Z_{n}} S v_{j}^{\varepsilon}-S_{n} v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{Z_{n}}\right)=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.19) and (3.20), the consistency condition (H5) implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| P_{E_{n}} v_{j}^{\varepsilon}-$ $v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon} \|_{E_{n}}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P_{E_{n}} A\left(v_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right) v_{j}^{\varepsilon}-A_{n}\left(v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right) v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{E_{n}}=0$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon}$. For each $n \geq 1$, the sequence $\left\{z_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\}_{j=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}}$, defined by

$$
z_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}=\left(v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}-v_{j-1, n}^{\varepsilon}\right) /\left(t_{j}^{\varepsilon}-t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right)-A_{n}\left(v_{j-1, n}^{\varepsilon}\right) v_{j-1, n}^{\varepsilon}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon}$, satisfies that $z_{j, n}^{\varepsilon} \in E_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{E_{n}}=\left\|\left(v_{j}^{\varepsilon}-v_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right) /\left(t_{j}^{\varepsilon}-t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right)-A\left(v_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right) v_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{E} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}$. We shall apply Lemma 1 to estimate the difference between $u_{n}$ and the step function $v_{n}^{\varepsilon}:[0, T] \rightarrow D_{n}$ defined by

$$
v_{n}^{\varepsilon}(t)= \begin{cases}v_{j-1, n}^{\varepsilon} & \text { for } t \in\left[t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}, t_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { and } j=1,2, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon} \\ v_{N_{\varepsilon}, n}^{\varepsilon} & \text { for } t=T\end{cases}
$$

Let $n_{0} \geq 1$ be an integer such that $h_{n} \leq \min _{1 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}}\left(t_{j}^{\varepsilon}-t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. By condition (H4-iv) we have

$$
\left\|C_{n}(w) v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}-C_{n}(z) v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{E_{n}} \leq h_{n} L\left(\left\|v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|S_{n} v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{Z_{n}}\right)\|w-z\|_{X_{n}}
$$

for $w, z \in D_{n}$ and $0 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| A_{n}\left(v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right) v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}-A_{n}\left(v_{j-1, n}^{\varepsilon}\right) v_{j-1, n}^{\varepsilon}$ $\left\|_{E_{n}}=\right\| A\left(v_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right) v_{j}^{\varepsilon}-A\left(v_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right) v_{j-1}^{\varepsilon} \|_{E} \leq \varepsilon\left(\right.$ by 3.18) and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}-v_{j-1, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{n}}=$ $\left\|v_{j}^{\varepsilon}-v_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X} \leq \varepsilon$ (by 3.17) for $1 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}$, we apply Lemma 1 to find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{n}(t)-v_{n}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{X_{n}}^{p} \leq c \exp \left(c L^{p}\left(a_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{p} T\right)\left\{\left\|u_{0, n}-v_{0, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{0, n}}^{p}\right.  \tag{3.22}\\
\left.+\varepsilon^{p}+\left(b_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{p}+\left(1+L^{p}\left(a_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{p}\right) \varepsilon^{p}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $t \in[0, T]$ and $n \geq n_{0}$, where the symbols $a_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ and $b_{n}^{\varepsilon}$ are defined by

$$
a_{n}^{\varepsilon}=\max _{0 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}}\left(\left\|v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{n}}+\left\|S_{n} v_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{Z_{n}}\right), \quad b_{n}^{\varepsilon}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}}\left\|z_{j, n}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{E_{n}}
$$

By (3.19) and (3.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}^{\varepsilon}=\max _{0 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}}\left(\left\|v_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X}+\left\|S v_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{Z}\right) \leq c_{S} \max _{0 \leq j \leq N_{\varepsilon}}\left\|u\left(t_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{Y} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used (1.4) to obtain the last inequality. By (3.18) and (3.21) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We employ the step function $v^{\varepsilon}:[0, T] \rightarrow D$ defined by

$$
v^{\varepsilon}(t)= \begin{cases}v_{j-1}^{\varepsilon} & \text { for } t \in\left[t_{j-1}^{\varepsilon}, t_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { and } j=1,2, \ldots, N_{\varepsilon}, \\ v_{N_{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon} & \text { for } t=T\end{cases}
$$

By (3.19) and (3.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup \left\{\left\|P_{X_{n}} v^{\varepsilon}(t)-v_{n}^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{X_{n}} ; t \in[0, T]\right\}\right)=0 . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left\|P_{X_{n}} u(t)-P_{X_{n}} v^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{X_{n}} ; t \in[0, T]\right\} \leq\left(\left\|P_{X_{n}}\right\|_{X \rightarrow X_{n}}\right) \varepsilon . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use (3.22) through (3.26) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup \left\{\left\|u_{n}(t)-P_{X_{n}} u(t)\right\|_{X_{n}}^{p} ; t \in[0, T]\right\}\right) \\
\leq & c\left\{\left(\sup \left\{\left\|P_{X_{n}}\right\|_{X \rightarrow X_{n}} ; n \geq 1\right\}\right)^{p} \varepsilon^{p}\right. \\
& +\exp \left(c L^{p}\left(c_{S} \sup \left\{\|u(t)\|_{Y} ; t \in[0, T]\right\}\right)^{p} T\right) \\
\times & \left.\left(3+L^{p}\left(c_{S} \sup \left\{\|u(t)\|_{Y} ; t \in[0, T]\right\}\right)^{p}\right) \varepsilon^{p}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, the desired claim is thus proved.

## 4. An Approximation of a Degenerate Kirchhoff Equation

This section is devoted to an approximation of the solution of the system

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}(x, t)=v_{x}(x, t) & \text { for }(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)  \tag{4.1}\\ v_{t}(x, t)=\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2 \alpha} u_{x}(x, t) & \text { for }(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

which is obtained by setting $u=w_{x}$ and $v=w_{t}$ in the Kirchhoff equation

$$
w_{t t}(x, t)=\left\|w_{x}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2 \alpha} w_{x x}(x, t) \quad \text { for }(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)
$$

Here $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\|u\|_{L^{2}}$ denotes the usual norm in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
We are interested in the degenerate case where $u(\cdot, 0)=0$, which implies that the right-hand side of the second equation of (4.1) is zero when $t=0$.

Let $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ be two null sequences of positive numbers such that $h_{n} / k_{n}=$ $r$, where $r$ is an appropriate positive constant to be determined later. Consider the difference scheme of Lax-Friedrichs type

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{l, i}-\left(u_{l+1, i-1}+u_{l-1, i-1}\right) / 2\right) / h_{n}=\left(v_{l+1, i-1}-v_{l-1, i-1}\right) /\left(2 k_{n}\right),  \tag{4.2}\\
\left(v_{l, i}-\left(v_{l+1, i-1}+v_{l-1, i-1}\right) / 2\right) / h_{n}=\left\|\left(u_{l, i-1}\right)\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}\left(u_{l+1, i-1}-u_{l-1, i-1}\right) /\left(2 k_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i=1,2, \ldots$. Here the symbol $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ is the norm in $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by $\|u\|_{n}=\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|u_{l}\right|^{2} k_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $u=\left(u_{l}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 3. Let $v_{0} \in H^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\partial_{x} v_{0} \neq 0$. Then there exists $T>0$ such that the following assertions hold:
(i) The Cauchy problem for the system (4.1) with the initial condition $u(x, 0)=0$ and $v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x)$ has a unique solution $(u, v)$ in the class $C\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times\right.$ $\left.H^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.
(ii) The solution $(u, v)$ of (4.1) can be approximated by the solution $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ in $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ of the system (4.2) with the initial condition $\left(u_{l, 0}\right)=0$ and $\left(v_{l, 0}\right)=p_{n} v_{0}$ in the sense that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sup \left\{\left\|u_{\left[t / h_{n}\right]}-p_{n} u(t)\right\|_{n}+\left\|v_{\left[t / h_{n}\right]}-p_{n} v(t)\right\|_{n} ; t \in[0, T]\right\}\right)=0
$$

where $u_{i}=\left(u_{l, i}\right), v_{i}=\left(v_{l, i}\right)$ and $p_{n}$ is the operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ to $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$
p_{n} u=\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}} \int_{(l-1 / 2) k_{n}}^{(l+1 / 2) k_{n}} u(x) d x\right) \quad \text { for } u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Let $X$ be the Banach space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ equipped with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_{X}=$ $\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|v\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $(u, v) \in X$. Let $E=X_{0}=H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{1}(\mathbb{R}), Y=H^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times$ $H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $Z=X \times X$. Here $Z$ is equipped with the norm $\|((u, v),(\hat{u}, \hat{v}))\|_{Z}=$ $\left(\|(u, v)\|_{X}^{2}+\|(\hat{u}, \hat{v})\|_{X}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $H^{k}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{k}(\mathbb{R})$ is equipped with the norm $\|(u$, $v) \|_{H^{k} \times H^{k}}=\left(\|u\|_{H^{k}}^{2}+\|v\|_{H^{k}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $\|w\|_{H^{k}}=\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k}\left\|\partial_{x}^{l} w\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $w \in$ $H^{k}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, the operator $S \in B(Y, Z)$, defined by $S(u, v)=\left(\left(u_{x}, v_{x}\right),\left(u_{x x}, v_{x x}\right)\right)$ for $(u, v) \in Y$, satisfies condition (1.4) with $c_{S}=2$.

Let $v_{0} \in H^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\partial_{x} v_{0} \neq 0$. Let $r_{0}, R_{0}$ and $R$ be positive constants such that $\left\|\partial_{x} v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}>r_{0},\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{3}} \leq R_{0}$ and $r_{0}<R_{0}<R$, and define $D=\{(u, v) \in$ $\left.Y ;\|u\|_{H^{2}} \leq R,\|v\|_{H^{2}} \leq R\right\}$ and $D_{0}=\left\{\left(0, v_{0}\right)\right\}$. Then, relation (1.3) is satisfied
and it is shown [26, Theorem 8.1] that the family $\{A((w, z)) ;(w, z) \in D\}$ of closed linear operators in $X$ defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A((w, z))(u, v)=\left(v_{x},\left(\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2 \alpha} u\right)_{x}\right) \quad \text { for }(u, v) \in D((A(w, z))), \\
D(A((w, z)))=\left\{(u, v) \in X ; v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}),\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2 \alpha} u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

satisfies conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (H1) for sufficiently small $T>0$. This means that assertion (i) holds.

Let $X_{n}$ and $E_{n}$ be the Banach spaces $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ equipped with the norms $\|(u, v)\|_{X_{n}}=\left(\|u\|_{n}^{2}+\|v\|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\|(u, v)\|_{E_{n}}=\left(\|u\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} u\right\|_{n}^{2}+\|v\|_{n}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} v\right\|_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, respectively. Here the operator $\delta_{n}^{-}$on $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is defined by

$$
\delta_{n}^{-} u=\left(\left(u_{l}-u_{l-1}\right) / k_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } u=\left(u_{l}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) .
$$

Let $P_{X_{n}}(u, v)=\left(p_{n} u, p_{n} v\right)$ for $(u, v) \in X$, and let $P_{E_{n}}(u, v)=\left(p_{n} u, p_{n} v\right)$ for $(u, v) \in E$ and $X_{0, n}=E_{n}$. Let $Z_{n}$ be the Banach space $X_{n} \times X_{n}$ with the norm $\|((u, v),(\hat{u}, \hat{v}))\|_{Z_{n}}=\left(\|(u, v)\|_{X_{n}}^{2}+\|(\hat{u}, \hat{v})\|_{X_{n}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, and let $P_{Z_{n}}((u, v),(\hat{u}, \hat{v}))=$ $\left(P_{X_{n}}(u, v), P_{X_{n}}(\hat{u}, \hat{v})\right)$ for $((u, v),(\hat{u}, \hat{v})) \in Z$. Let $D_{n}$ be the set of all $(u, v) \in$ $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\|u\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} u\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} u\right\|_{n}^{2} \leq R^{2}$ and $\|v\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} v\right\|_{n}^{2}+$ $\left\|\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} v\right\|_{n}^{2} \leq R^{2}$, where $\delta_{n}^{+}$is the operator on $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$
\delta_{n}^{+} u=\left(\left(u_{l+1}-u_{l}\right) / k_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } u=\left(u_{l}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) .
$$

Let $D_{0, n}=\left\{\left(0, p_{n} v_{0}\right)\right\}$. Then, we have $D_{0, n} \subset D_{n}$ by Lemma 4 (ii) in Appendix, since $\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{2}} \leq\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{3}} \leq R_{0} \leq R$. All the other hypotheses in (H2) are easily shown to be satisfied by (5.1) and Lemma 4 (i), (ii).

To check (H3) we employ $S_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}, Z_{n}\right)$ defined by

$$
S_{n}(u, v)=\left(\left(\delta_{n}^{-} u, \delta_{n}^{-} v\right),\left(\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} u, \delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} v\right)\right)
$$

for $(u, v) \in E_{n}$. For $(u, v) \in D$, the sequence $\left(\left(p_{n} u, p_{n} v\right)\right)$ in $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a desired one satisfying (H3-i) by Lemma 4 (i), since $\left(p_{n} u, p_{n} v\right) \in D_{n}$ (by (5.1) and Lemma 4 (ii)) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P_{Z_{n}} S(u, v)-S_{n}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|_{Z_{n}}^{2} \\
= & \left\|p_{n} u_{x}-\delta_{n}^{-} u_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|p_{n} v_{x}-\delta_{n}^{-} v_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}  \tag{4.4}\\
& +\left\|p_{n} u_{x x}-\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} u_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|p_{n} v_{x x}-\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} v_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $(u, v) \in H^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. For $(u, v) \in D_{0}$, the sequence $\left(\left(p_{n} u, p_{n} v\right)\right)$ in $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a desired one satisfying (H3-ii), since $p_{n} u=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|P_{X_{0, n}}(u, v)-\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|_{X_{0, n}}^{2}= & \left\|p_{n} u-u_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{-}\left(p_{n} u-u_{n}\right)\right\|_{n}^{2}  \tag{4.5}\\
& +\left\|p_{n} v-v_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{-}\left(p_{n} v-v_{n}\right)\right\|_{n}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $(u, v) \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Hypothesis (H3) is thus checked.

For each $n \geq 1$, consider the family $\left\{C_{n}((w, z)) ;(w, z) \in D_{n}\right\}$ in $B\left(X_{n}\right)$ defined in the following way: $C_{n}((w, z))(u, v)=(f, g)$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f=\left(\tau^{+} u+\tau^{-} u\right) / 2+\left(h_{n} / k_{n}\right)\left(\tau^{+} v-\tau^{-} v\right) / 2  \tag{4.6}\\
g=\left(\tau^{+} v+\tau^{-} v\right) / 2+\left(h_{n} / k_{n}\right)\|w\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}\left(\tau^{+} u-\tau^{-} u\right) / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\tau^{+} u=\left(u_{l+1}\right)$ and $\tau^{-} u=\left(u_{l-1}\right)$ for $u=\left(u_{l}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\left(w_{n}, z_{n}\right):=$ $A_{n}\left(\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{n}=(1 / r)\left(\delta_{n}^{+} u_{n}-\delta_{n}^{-} u_{n}\right) / 2+\left(\delta_{n}^{+} v_{n}+\delta_{n}^{-} v_{n}\right) / 2 \\
& z_{n}=(1 / r)\left(\delta_{n}^{+} v_{n}-\delta_{n}^{-} v_{n}\right) / 2+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}\left(\delta_{n}^{+} u_{n}+\delta_{n}^{-} u_{n}\right) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in D_{n}$ and $P_{E_{n}} A((u, v))(u, v)=\left(p_{n} v_{x},\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2 \alpha} p_{n} u_{x}\right)$ for $(u, v) \in D$, we use (4.4) and (4.5) with $X_{0, n}=E_{n}$ to show that the family $\left\{C_{n}((w, z)) ;(w, z) \in\right.$ $\left.D_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the consistency condition (H5) by (5.1) and Lemma 4.

We want to show that the family $\left\{C_{n}((w, z)) ;(w, z) \in D_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the stability condition (H4). For this purpose, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $h>0, k>0$ and $r=h / k$. Let $a \geq 0$ and assume that $(a+1) r^{2} \leq 1$. Let $f, g, \xi, \eta, w$ and $z$ in $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfy the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f=\left(\tau^{+} w+\tau^{-} w\right) / 2+r\left(\tau^{+} z-\tau^{-} z\right) / 2 \\
g=\left(\tau^{+} z+\tau^{-} z\right) / 2+\operatorname{ar}\left(\tau^{+} w-\tau^{-} w\right) / 2 \\
\eta=\xi+r\left(\tau^{+} w-\tau^{-} w\right) / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (a+1)\|f\|^{2}+\|g\|^{2}+2\langle g, \eta\rangle-a\|\eta\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq(a+1)\|w\|^{2}+\|z\|^{2}+2\left\langle\left(\tau^{+} z+\tau^{-} z\right) / 2, \xi\right\rangle-a\|\xi\|^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\|u\|=\left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|u_{l}\right|^{2} k\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} u_{l} v_{l} k$ for $u=\left(u_{l}\right), v=$ $\left(v_{l}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. The left-hand side of (4.7) is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (a+1)\|f\|^{2}+\|g+\eta\|^{2}-(a+1)\|\eta\|^{2} \\
= & (a+1)\left\{\left\|\left(\tau^{+} w+\tau^{-} w\right) / 2\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 r\left\langle\left(\tau^{+} w+\tau^{-} w\right) / 2,\left(\tau^{+} z-\tau^{-} z\right) / 2\right\rangle+r^{2}\left\|\left(\tau^{+} z-\tau^{-} z\right) / 2\right\|^{2}\right\}  \tag{4.8}\\
& +\left\|\left(\tau^{+} z+\tau^{-} z\right) / 2+(a+1) r\left(\tau^{+} w-\tau^{-} w\right) / 2+\xi\right\|^{2} \\
& -(a+1)\left\{\|\xi\|^{2}+2 r\left\langle\xi,\left(\tau^{+} w-\tau^{-} w\right) / 2\right\rangle+r^{2}\left\|\left(\tau^{+} w-\tau^{-} w\right) / 2\right\|^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (4.8) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\tau^{+} z+\tau^{-} z\right) / 2\right\|^{2}+(a+1)^{2} r^{2}\left\|\left(\tau^{+} w-\tau^{-} w\right) / 2\right\|^{2}+\|\xi\|^{2} \\
& \quad+2(a+1) r\left\langle\left(\tau^{+} z+\tau^{-} z\right) / 2,\left(\tau^{+} w-\tau^{-} w\right) / 2\right\rangle+2\left\langle\left(\tau^{+} z+\tau^{-} z\right) / 2, \xi\right\rangle  \tag{4.9}\\
& \quad+2(a+1) r\left\langle\left(\tau^{+} w-\tau^{-} w\right) / 2, \xi\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.9) into (4.8) and using the following two equalities

$$
\left\|\left(\tau^{+} u+\tau^{-} u\right) / 2\right\|^{2}+\left\|\left(\tau^{+} u-\tau^{-} u\right) / 2\right\|^{2}=\|u\|^{2} \quad \text { for } u \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

and $\left\langle\tau^{+} w, \tau^{+} z\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{-} w, \tau^{-} z\right\rangle$ for $w, z \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, we obtain the desired inequality (4.7), by the condition that $(a+1) r^{2} \leq 1$.

Lemma 3. Let $h>0, k>0$ and $r=h / k$. Let $K \geq 1$ be an integer such that $K h \leq T$. Let $M \geq 0$ and $L \geq 0$. Let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{K-1}$ be a sequence such that $0 \leq a_{i} \leq M$ and $0 \leq a_{i}-a_{i-1} \leq$ Lh for $0 \leq i \leq K-1$, where $a_{-1}=a_{0}$. Let $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K}$ and $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{K}$ be two sequences in $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{K}$ and $\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{K}$ be two sequences in $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{i}=\left(\tau^{+} w_{i-1}+\tau^{-} w_{i-1}\right) / 2+r\left(\tau^{+} z_{i-1}-\tau^{-} z_{i-1}\right) / 2+h f_{i}  \tag{4.10}\\
z_{i}=\left(\tau^{+} z_{i-1}+\tau^{-} z_{i-1}\right) / 2+a_{i-1} r\left(\tau^{+} w_{i-1}-\tau^{-} w_{i-1}\right) / 2+h g_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq K$. Assume that $(M+1) r^{2} \leq 1$ and $(M+1) h \leq 1 / 2$. Then it holds that

$$
\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq \exp ((2(M+1)+L+T+1) T)\left((M+1) M_{1}+M_{2}+T M_{3}\right)
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq K$. Here $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\left\|w_{0}\right\|^{2}+h \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left\|f_{i}\right\|^{2}, \quad M_{2}=\left\|z_{0}\right\|^{2}+h \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& M_{3}=\left\|\delta^{-} z_{0}\right\|^{2}+h \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left\|\delta^{-} g_{i}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta^{-} u=\left(\left(u_{l}-u_{l-1}\right) / k\right)$ for $u=\left(u_{l}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
Proof. Let $1 \leq j \leq K$. To use Lemma 2, we employ the sequence $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{j}$ in $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined inductively by $\xi_{j}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i-1}=\left(\tau^{+} \xi_{i}+\tau^{-} \xi_{i}\right) / 2-r\left(\tau^{+} w_{i-1}-\tau^{-} w_{i-1}\right) / 2 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq j$. Since $r\left(\tau^{+} w_{i-1}-\tau^{-} w_{i-1}\right) / 2=h\left(\delta^{+} w_{i-1}+\delta^{-} w_{i-1}\right) / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}+h \sum_{p=i}^{j-1}\left(2^{-1}\left(\tau^{+}+\tau^{-}\right)\right)^{p-i}\left(2^{-1}\left(\delta^{+}+\delta^{-}\right) w_{p}\right)=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq j$. Consider the sequence $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{j}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
E_{i}=\left(a_{i-1}+1\right)\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle z_{i},\left(\tau^{+} \xi_{i}+\tau^{-} \xi_{i}\right) / 2\right\rangle-a_{i-1}\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|^{2}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq j$. To obtain the recursive inequality (4.14) for $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{j}$, let $1 \leq i \leq j$. Since $\left(a_{i-1}+1\right) r^{2} \leq(M+1) r^{2} \leq 1$, we apply Lemma 2 with $(a, f, g, \xi, \eta, w, z)=$ $\left(a_{i-1}, w_{i}-h f_{i}, z_{i}-h g_{i}, \xi_{i-1},\left(\tau^{+} \xi_{i}+\tau^{-} \xi_{i}\right) / 2, w_{i-1}, z_{i-1}\right)$ to the system (4.10) and (4.11), so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{i-1}+1\right)\left\|w_{i}-h f_{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{i}-h g_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2\left\langle z_{i}-h g_{i},\left(\tau^{+} \xi_{i}+\tau^{-} \xi_{i}\right) / 2\right\rangle-a_{i-1}\left\|\left(\tau^{+} \xi_{i}+\tau^{-} \xi_{i}\right) / 2\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(a_{i-1}+1\right)\left\|w_{i-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{i-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2\left\langle\left(\tau^{+} z_{i-1}+\tau^{-} z_{i-1}\right) / 2, \xi_{i-1}\right\rangle-a_{i-1}\left\|\xi_{i-1}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a_{i-2} \leq a_{i-1}$ and $\left\|\left(\tau^{+} \xi_{i}+\tau^{-} \xi_{i}\right) / 2\right\| \leq\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{i} \leq & E_{i-1}+\left(a_{i-1}-a_{i-2}\right)\left\|w_{i-1}\right\|^{2}+2 h\left(a_{i-1}+1\right)\left\langle w_{i}, f_{i}\right\rangle+2 h\left\langle z_{i}, g_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{4.13}\\
& +2 h\left\langle g_{i},\left(\tau^{+} \xi_{i}+\tau^{-} \xi_{i}\right) / 2\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\langle\delta^{+} u, v\right\rangle+\left\langle u, \delta^{-} v\right\rangle=0$ for $u, v \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, we see by (4.12) that the last term on the right-hand side of (4.13) is equal to

$$
2 h^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{j-1}\left\langle 2^{-1}\left(\delta^{+}+\delta^{-}\right) g_{i},\left(2^{-1}\left(\tau^{+}+\tau^{-}\right)\right)^{p-i+1} w_{p}\right\rangle
$$

Since $2\langle u, v\rangle \leq\|u\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}$ for $u, v \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, it follows that

$$
E_{i} \leq E_{i-1}+L h\left\|w_{i-1}\right\|^{2}+(M+1) h\left(\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|f_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+h\left(\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)+(j-1) h^{2}\left\|\delta^{-} g_{i}\right\|^{2}+h^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{j-1}\left\|w_{p}\right\|^{2} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi_{j}=0$ and $a_{j-1} \geq 0$, we have $\left\|w_{j}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq E_{j}$. Adding (4.14) from $i=1$ to $i=j$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{j}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq & (M+1) M_{1}+M_{2}+2\left\langle z_{0}, 2^{-1}\left(\tau^{+}+\tau^{-}\right) \xi_{0}\right\rangle \\
& +L h \sum_{i=0}^{j-1}\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{2}+(M+1) h \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{2}  \tag{4.15}\\
& +h \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2}+T h \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left\|\delta^{-} g_{i}\right\|^{2}+T h \sum_{p=1}^{j-1}\left\|w_{p}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The third term on the right-hand side of (4.15) is estimated by $h j\left\|\delta^{-} z_{0}\right\|^{2}+$ $h \sum_{p=0}^{j-1}\left\|w_{p}\right\|^{2}$, since it is written as $2 h \sum_{p=0}^{j-1}\left\langle\left(2^{-1}\left(\tau^{+}+\tau^{-}\right)\right)^{p+1}\left(2^{-1}\left(\delta^{+}+\delta^{-}\right) z_{0}\right)\right.$, $\left.w_{p}\right\rangle$ by (4.12). It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|w_{j}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq & (M+1) M_{1}+M_{2}+T M_{3}+(L+T+1) h \sum_{p=0}^{j-1}\left\|w_{p}\right\|^{2} \\
& +(M+1) h \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{2}+h \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left\|z_{i}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq j \leq K$. By $A_{j}$ we denote the right-hand side. Then, we have $\left\|w_{j}\right\|^{2}+$ $\left\|z_{j}\right\|^{2} \leq A_{j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq K$ and $A_{j}-A_{j-1} \leq(L+T+1) h A_{j-1}+(M+1) h A_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq K$. Since $1+t \leq \exp (t)$ for $t \geq 0$ and $(1-t)^{-1} \leq \exp (2 t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2$, we have $A_{j} \leq \exp ((2(M+1)+L+T+1) h) A_{j-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq K$. The desired inequality is obtained by solving this inequality and using the fact that $A_{0}=(M+1) M_{1}+M_{2}+T M_{3}$.

Now, we show that for each $n \geq 1$, the family $\left\{C_{n}((w, z)) ;(w, z) \in D_{n}\right\}$ defined by (4.6) satisfies the stability condition (H4). Since $(d / d \theta) \| \theta w+(1-$ $\theta) \hat{w}\left\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}=2 \alpha\right\| \theta w+(1-\theta) \hat{w} \|_{n}^{2(\alpha-1)}\langle\theta w+(1-\theta) \hat{w}, w-\hat{w}\rangle_{n}$, where $\langle u, v\rangle_{n}=$ $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} u_{l} v_{l} k_{n}$ for $u=\left(u_{l}\right), v=\left(v_{l}\right) \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\|w\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}-\|\hat{w}\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}\right| \leq 2 \alpha \max \left(\|w\|_{n},\|\hat{w}\|_{n}\right)^{2 \alpha-1}\|w-\hat{w}\|_{n} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $w, \hat{w} \in l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $r\left(\tau_{n}^{+} u-\tau_{n}^{-} u\right) / 2=h_{n}\left(\delta_{n}^{+} u+\delta_{n}^{-} u\right) / 2$, (H4-iv) follows from (4.16). Hypothesis (H4-iii) is automatically satisfied, since $E_{n}=l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

To check (H4-i), let $M=R^{2 \alpha}, 0<r \leq 1 /(M+1)^{1 / 2}$ and $L=2 \alpha(1 / r+1) R^{2 \alpha}$. Let $\left(w_{0}, z_{0}\right) \in D_{0, n}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right)\left(p_{n} v_{0}\right)\right\|_{n}=\left\|\partial_{x} v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}>r_{0}$ (by Lemma 4 (i)), $z_{0}=p_{n} v_{0}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}=0$, there exists an integer $n_{0} \geq 1$ such that $(M+1) h_{n} \leq 1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{0} \leq\left\|2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right) z_{0}\right\|_{n}  \tag{4.17}\\
\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} \delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2} \leq R_{0}^{2} \tag{4.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $n \geq n_{0}$. Here (4.18) follows from Lemma 4 (ii), since $\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{3}} \leq R_{0}$. Let $n \geq n_{0}$. Then it will be proved that there exists $T>0$ such that hypothesis (H4-i) is satisfied, by showing inductively that the sequence $\left\{\left(w_{i}, z_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{n}}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{i}, z_{i}\right)=C_{n}\left(\left(w_{i-1}, z_{i-1}\right)\right)\left(w_{i-1}, z_{i-1}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq K_{n}$, satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left\|w_{j}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha} \leq M \quad \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq K_{n} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq\left\|w_{j}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}-\left\|w_{j-1}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha} \leq L h_{n} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq K_{n},  \tag{4.21}\\
\left(w_{j}, z_{j}\right) \in D_{n} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq K_{n}, \tag{4.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $w_{-1}=w_{0}$. Since $w_{0}=0$ and $D_{0, n} \subset D_{n}$, (4.20) through (4.22) are clearly true for $j=0$. Assume that (4.20) through (4.22) hold for $0 \leq j \leq i-1$. Then, $\left(w_{i}, z_{i}\right)$ is well-defined by (4.19). By (4.6), the sequence $\left\{\left(w_{j}, z_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=0}^{i}$ satisfies the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{j}=\left(\tau^{+} w_{j-1}+\tau^{-} w_{j-1}\right) / 2+r\left(\tau^{+} z_{j-1}-\tau^{-} z_{j-1}\right) / 2,  \tag{4.23}\\
z_{j}=\left(\tau^{+} z_{j-1}+\tau^{-} z_{j-1}\right) / 2+r\left\|w_{j-1}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}\left(\tau^{+} w_{j-1}-\tau^{-} w_{j-1}\right) / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i$. Since (4.20) and (4.21) hold for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, we apply Lemma 3 with $K=i, f_{j}=0, g_{j}=0$ and $a_{j}=\left\|w_{j}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}$ to find the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|z_{i}\right\|_{n}^{2} \leq \exp ((2 M+L+T+3) T)\left(\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}+T\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}\right), \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $w_{0}=0$ by the definition of $D_{0, n}$. Since the two sequences $\left\{\left(\delta_{n}^{-} w_{j}, \delta_{n}^{-} z_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=0}^{i}$ and $\left\{\left(\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} w_{j}, \delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} z_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=0}^{i}$ satisfy the systems similar to (4.23), we have by Lemma 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} w_{i}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} z_{i}\right\|_{n}^{2} \leq \exp ((2 M+L+T+3) T)\left(\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}+T\left\|\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}\right) \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} w_{i}\right\|_{n}^{2}+\left\|\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} z_{i}\right\|_{n}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \exp ((2 M+L+T+3) T)\left(\left\|\delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}+T\left\|\delta_{n}^{-} \delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

If $T>0$ is chosen so that $\exp (\alpha(2 M+L+T+3) T)(1+T)^{\alpha} R_{0}^{2 \alpha} \leq M$, then the inequality (4.20) is true for $j=i$ by (4.24) combined with (4.18). If $T>0$ is chosen so that $\exp ((2 M+L+T+3) T)(1+T) R_{0}^{2} \leq R^{2}$, then condition (4.22) is satisfied for $j=i$, by (4.18), (4.24), (4.25) and (4.26). By (4.23) with $j=i$ we have

$$
w_{i}-w_{i-1}=h_{n}\left(\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right) 2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}-\delta_{n}^{-}\right) w_{i-1}+2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right) z_{i-1}\right) .
$$

Hence $\left\|w_{i}-w_{i-1}\right\|_{n} \leq h_{n}((1 / r) R+R)$. By (4.16) we have

$$
\left|\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}-\left\|w_{i-1}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}\right| \leq 2 \alpha R^{2 \alpha} h_{n}(1 / r+1) .
$$

Since $\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha}-\left\|w_{i-1}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha} \geq 2 \alpha\left\|w_{i-1}\right\|_{n}^{2(\alpha-1)}\left\langle w_{i-1}, w_{i}-w_{i-1}\right\rangle_{n}$ by convexity, the desired inequality (4.21) will be proved, if $T>0$ is chosen so that $\left\langle w_{i-1}, w_{i}-\right.$ $\left.w_{i-1}\right\rangle_{n} \geq 0$. Since $w_{0}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j}-w_{j-1}=h_{n}^{2}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right)^{2} 2^{-1} \delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} w_{j-1}+h_{n} 2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right) z_{j-1} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i-1}=h_{n}^{2}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^{-1} \delta_{n}^{+} \delta_{n}^{-} w_{j-1}+h_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right) z_{j-1} . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have
$z_{j}=z_{0}+\sum_{p=1}^{j}\left(h_{n}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right) 2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}-\delta_{n}^{-}\right) z_{p-1}+h_{n}\left\|w_{p-1}\right\|_{n}^{2 \alpha} 2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right) w_{p-1}\right)$
for $0 \leq j \leq i$. Substituting (4.29) into (4.28) and estimating the resulting equality, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|w_{i-1}-h_{n}(i-1) 2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right) z_{0}\right\|_{n} \\
& \quad \leq h_{n}^{2}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right)^{2}(i-1) 2^{-1} R+h_{n}^{2}(i-1)^{2}\left\{2^{-1}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right) R+R^{2 \alpha+1}\right\} . \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.27) and (4.29) we have, in a way similar to the derivation of (4.30),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|w_{i}-w_{i-1}-2^{-1} h_{n}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right) z_{0}\right\|_{n}  \tag{4.31}\\
& \quad \leq 2^{-1} h_{n}^{2}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right)^{2} R+h_{n}^{2}(i-1)\left\{2^{-1}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right) R+R^{2 \alpha+1}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.30) and (4.31), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle w_{i-1}, w_{i}-w_{i-1}\right\rangle_{n} \\
\geq & h_{n}^{2}(i-1)\left\{\left\|2^{-1}\left(\delta_{n}^{+}+\delta_{n}^{-}\right) z_{0}\right\|_{n}^{2}\right. \\
& -2 R_{0}\left(2^{-1} h_{n}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right)^{2} R+h_{n}(i-1)\left(2^{-1}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right) R+R^{2 \alpha+1}\right)\right) \\
& \left.-\left(2^{-1} h_{n}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right)^{2} R+h_{n}(i-1)\left(2^{-1}\left(k_{n} / h_{n}\right) R+R^{2 \alpha+1}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} \\
\geq & h_{n}^{2}(i-1)\left\{r_{0}^{2}-R T\left(R / r^{2}+2 R^{2 \alpha+1}\right)-\left(T\left(R /\left(2 r^{2}\right)+R^{2 \alpha+1}\right)\right)^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used (4.17), (4.18) and the fact that $r^{2} \leq r$. Since $r_{0}>0$ it is possible to choose $T>0$ independently of $n, i$ such that $\left\langle w_{i-1}, w_{i}-w_{i-1}\right\rangle_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Hypothesis (H4-i) is thus shown to be satisfied. Since the sequence $\left\{\left(w_{i}, z_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{K_{n}}$ defined by (4.19) satisfies (4.20) and (4.21), Hypothesis (H4-ii) is checked by Lemma 3.

## 5. Appendix

In this section we study some properties of the operator $p_{n}$ from $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ into $l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by (4.3). It is known [27] that

$$
\left\|p_{n} u\right\|_{n} \leq\|u\|_{L^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{n} u\right\|_{n}=\|u\|_{L^{2}} \quad \text { for } u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) .
$$

Lemma 4. The following assertions hold:
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{n}\left(\partial_{x}^{i} u\right)-\left(\delta_{n}^{-}\right)^{i} p_{n} u\right\|_{n}=0$ for $u \in H^{i}(\mathbb{R})$ and $i \geq 0$.
(ii) $\left\|\left(\delta_{n}^{-}\right)^{i} p_{n} u\right\|_{n} \leq\left\|\partial_{x}^{i} u\right\|_{L^{2}}$ for $u \in H^{i}(\mathbb{R})$ and $i \geq 0$.
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\tau^{+}\left(p_{n} u\right)-p_{n} u\right\|_{n}=0$ for $u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. We employ the operator $\nabla_{n}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$
\left(\nabla_{n} w\right)(x)=k_{n}^{-1}\left(w(x)-w\left(x-k_{n}\right)\right) \quad \text { for } w \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Since $\left(\nabla_{n} w\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\partial_{x} w\right)\left(x+(\theta-1) k_{n}\right) d \theta$ for $w \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\left\|\nabla_{n} w\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq$ $\left\|\partial_{x} w\right\|_{L^{2}}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla_{n} w-\partial_{x} w\right\|_{L^{2}}=0$ for $w \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, by the RiemannLebesgue theorem.

Let $k \geq 1$ and $u \in H^{k}(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that (i) and (ii) hold for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}^{-}\left(p_{n} u\right)=p_{n}\left(\nabla_{n} u\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have by (ii) with $i=k-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\delta_{n}^{-}\right)^{k-1}\left(p_{n}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)-\delta_{n}^{-}\left(p_{n} u\right)\right)\right\|_{n} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\partial_{x}^{k-1}\left(\partial_{x} u-\nabla_{n} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|\partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x}^{k-1} u\right)-\nabla_{n}\left(\partial_{x}^{k-1} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the right-hand side vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by the first part of the proof. This fact and (i) with $i=k-1$ and $u$ replaced by $\partial_{x} u$ together imply that (i) holds for $i=k$. By (5.2) and the first part of the proof, we show that (ii) is true for $i=k$ in the way that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\delta_{n}^{-}\right)^{k} p_{n} u\right\|_{n} & =\left\|\left(\delta_{n}^{-}\right)^{k-1} p_{n}\left(\nabla_{n} u\right)\right\|_{n} \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{x}^{k-1}\left(\nabla_{n} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|\nabla_{n}\left(\partial_{x}^{k-1} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|\partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x}^{k-1} u\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tau^{+}\left(p_{n} u\right)-p_{n} u=p_{n}\left(\tau_{k_{n}} u-u\right)$ where $\left(\tau_{k_{n}} w\right)(x)=w\left(x+k_{n}\right)$, we have $\left\|\tau^{+} p_{n} u-p_{n} u\right\|_{n} \leq\left\|\tau_{k_{n}} u-u\right\|_{L^{2}}$ by (ii) with $i=0$. Assertion (iii) is a direct consequence of the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem.
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