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#### Abstract

In this paper, we showed some results of similarity degrees of von Neumann algebras satisfying co-amenability. We also obtain some results of Christensen's property $D_{k}$ for such von Neumann algebras.


## 1. Introduction

In 1955, Kadison [9] asked whether every bounded homomorphism $\phi$ from a unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ into the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is similar to a ${ }^{*}$-homomorphism $\pi$ of $\mathfrak{A}$; i.e. there exist an invertible operator $S$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\pi(X)=S \phi(X) S^{-1}$ for any $X$ in $\mathfrak{A}$. This is the Kadison's similarity problem. When the homomorphism $\phi$ admits a cyclic vector $\eta$ in $\mathcal{H}$, Haagerup [7] and Christensen [5] proved that $\phi$ is similar to a *-homomorphism. More generally when $\phi$ admits a finite cyclic set $\left\{\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right\}$, the conjecture is still true. In 1999, G. Pisier $[10,11,12]$ introduced the similarity length $l(\mathfrak{A})$ of an operator algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and the similarity degree $d(\mathfrak{A})$ of $\mathfrak{A}$. Moreover he proved that $l(\mathfrak{A})=d(\mathfrak{A})$.

Currently, some partial results of the Kadison's similarity problem and corresponding similarity degree are known in the following cases:
(1) $\mathfrak{A}$ is finite dimensional and $d(\mathfrak{A})=1$;
(2) $\mathfrak{A}$ is nuclear and infinite-dimensional, such as infinite-dimensional abelian $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebra, and $\mathcal{K}$, the algebra of all compact operators on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space (see [2]) and $d(\mathfrak{A})=2$;
(3) $\mathfrak{A}$ has no tracial state, such as $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (see [7]) and $d(\mathfrak{A})=3$;
(4) $\mathfrak{A}=\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$, where $\mathfrak{B}$ is a unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebra and $2 \leq d(\mathfrak{A}) \leq 3$;

[^0](5) $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{N} \otimes \mathfrak{B}$, where $\mathfrak{N}$ is a unital nuclear $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebra containing unital matrices of any order and $\mathfrak{B}$ is a unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebra and $d(\mathfrak{A}) \leq 5$ (see [16]);
(6) $\mathcal{M}$ is a property $\Gamma$ factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$, such as the hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ factor $\mathcal{R}$, McDuff factor $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{R}$ and in this case the similarity degree $d(\mathcal{M})=3$.

In addition to the result (2), G. Pisier [13] showed that an infinite-dimensional C* algebra is nuclear if and only if its similarity degree is equal to 2 . The result (6) was first proved by Christensen [1] that property $\Gamma$ factors have similarity degree $\leq 44$. In [12], Pisier improved his result to show that property $\Gamma$ factors have similarity degree less than or equal to 5 . Finally, Christensen [4] proved that the similarity degree of a property $\Gamma$ factor is equal to 3 .

In this paper, we will explore the similarity degrees of some constructions for finite von Neumann algebras. Let $G$ be a discrete group, $\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state and $\sigma: G \mapsto \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ a trace preserving cocycle action of $G$ on $\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{B}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ be the corresponding crossed product von Neumann algebra with its normal faithful tracial state given by $\tau\left(\sum_{g \in G} B_{g} U_{g}\right)=$ $\tau_{0}\left(B_{e}\right)$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ co-amenable in $G$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} H$. We show that if $\mathcal{N}$ is a factor and $\mathcal{B}$ has similarity degree $d$, then $\mathcal{N}$ has similarity degree of at most $9 d+8$. In particular, we also obtain some more results on similarity degrees for Jones basic construction when its Jones index is finite. In [1], Christensen introduced property $D_{k}$ for $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebras. We will investigate Christensen's property $D_{k}$ for certain finite von Neumann algebras.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some notations and properties for the similarity degrees and similarity length for $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebras and co-amenability of von Neumann subalgebras.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a unital C ${ }^{*}$ algebra and $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Suppose that $\phi: \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital homomorphism; i.e. $\phi(I)=I$ and $\phi\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)=\phi\left(X_{1}\right) \phi\left(X_{2}\right)$ for all $X_{1}, X_{2}$ in $\mathfrak{A}$. The Kadison's similarity problem is whether the condition that $\phi$ is bounded implies that $\phi$ is similar to a *-homomorphism, i.e. there exists an invertible operator $S$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\phi_{S}: X \mapsto S^{-1} \phi(X) S$ is a *-homomorphism. In [7], Haagerup proved that $\phi$ is similar to a *-homomorphism if and only if $\phi$ is completely bounded and

$$
\|\phi\|_{c b}=\inf \left\{\left\|S^{-1}\right\| \cdot\|S\|: \phi_{S} \text { is a *-homomorphism. }\right\}
$$

An operator algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ has similarity property if any bounded homomorphism $\phi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is completely bounded.

An operator algebra $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be of length $\leq d$ if there is a constant $K$ such that, for any $n$ and any $X$ in $M_{n}(\mathfrak{A})$, there is a positive integer $N=N(n, X)$ and scalar matrices $\alpha_{0} \in M_{n, N}(\mathbb{C}), \alpha_{1} \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C}), \ldots, \alpha_{d-1} \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C}), \alpha_{d} \in M_{N, n}(\mathbb{C})$
together with diagonal matrices $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{d}$ in $M_{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X=\alpha_{0} D_{1} \alpha_{1} D_{2} \cdots D_{d} \alpha_{d} \\
\prod_{0}^{d}\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\| \prod_{1}^{d}\left\|D_{i}\right\| \leq K\|X\| .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Denote by $\ell(\mathfrak{A})$ the length of $\mathfrak{A}$; i.e the smallest $d$ for which the two equations above holds. We say the least $K$ satisfying the above condition as length constant for $\mathfrak{A}$.

Let

$$
d(\mathfrak{A})=\inf \left\{\alpha \geq 0 \mid \exists K, \forall \phi,\|\phi\|_{c b} \leq K\|\phi\|^{\alpha}\right\}
$$

where $\phi$ denotes an arbitrary unital homomorphism from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. G. Pisier [10, 11, 12] showed that $d(\mathfrak{A})=\ell(\mathfrak{A})$ for any operator algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and that $\mathfrak{A}$ has similarity property if and only if $d(\mathfrak{A})<\infty$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be weakly dense $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ subalgebra in a factor $\mathcal{M}$ of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$. Then Pisier showed [12] that $d(\mathcal{M})=\max \{d(\mathfrak{A}), 3\}$ derived from Remark 7 and Theorem 9. In [6], E. Christensen, A. Sinclair, R. Smith, and S. White showed that similarity property is preserved under perturbation of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras.

Let $\omega$ be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$. It is known that the ultrapower $\mathcal{M}^{\omega}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor of type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$. By [11, 12], we have that $d\left(\mathcal{M}^{\omega}\right) \leq d(\mathcal{M})$.

A C* ${ }^{*}$ algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to have property $D_{k}$ for some positive real $k$ if for each non degenerate *-representation $\pi$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ on a Hilbert space we have for any $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ :

$$
\inf \left\{\|X-Z\| \mid Z \in \pi(\mathfrak{A})^{\prime}\right\} \leq k \sup \{\|X \pi(A)-\pi(A) X\| \mid A \in \mathfrak{A},\|A\| \leq 1\} .
$$

In [6], E. Christensen, A. Sinclair, R. Smith, and S. White showed the following relations between the property $D_{k}$ and the similarity degree (length) for a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebra.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ have property $D_{k}$ for some $k$. The the length of $\mathcal{M}$ is $\lfloor 2 k\rfloor$, where $\lfloor a\rfloor$ is the integral part of $a$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $C^{*}$ algebra with length at most $\ell$ and the length constant at most $K$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ has property $D_{k}$ for $k=K \ell / 2$.

Now let us recall the co-amenability of groups and von Neumann algebras. A subgroup $H$ of a group $G$ is called co-amenable in $G$ if there exists a $G$-invariant mean on the space $\ell^{\infty}(G / H)$.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state $\tau$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{N}$ a von Neumann subalgebra. We assume that $\mathcal{N}$ has a separable predual. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{N} \stackrel{e_{\mathcal{B}}}{\subset}\langle\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{B}\rangle$ be the Jones basic construction for $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{N}$; i.e. $\langle\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{B}\rangle=J \mathcal{B}^{\prime} J \cap$ $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)\right)$ with $J$ the canonical conjugation on $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ and $e_{\mathcal{B}}$ the canonical projection of $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ onto $L^{2}(\mathcal{B}, \tau)$. The subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ is co-amenable in $\mathcal{N}$ if there exists a norm one projection $\Psi$ of $\langle\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{B}\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{N}$. One also says that $\mathcal{N}$ is amenable relative to $\mathcal{B}$. In particular, if an inclusion $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of factors of type $I_{1}$ has finite Jones index, then $\mathcal{N}$ is co-amenable in $\mathcal{M}$.

## 3. Basic Construction with Finite Jones Index

In this section we will study similarity degrees for Jones basic construction with finite Jones index.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $I I_{1}$ with similarity property and $P$ be a non zero projection in $\mathcal{M}$. Then $d(P \mathcal{M} P) \leq d(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. Let $\tau$ be the trace on $\mathcal{M}, d=d(\mathcal{M})$ and $\frac{1}{k} \leq \tau(P)<\frac{1}{k-1}$ for some integer $k \geq 2$. We have that there is a constant $K$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $X$ in $M_{n}(P \mathcal{M} P)$, there is an integer $N=N(n, X)$ and scalar matrices $\alpha_{0} \in M_{n, N}(\mathbb{C})$, $\alpha_{1} \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C}), \ldots, \alpha_{d-1} \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C}), \alpha_{d} \in M_{N, n}(\mathbb{C})$ together with diagonal matrices $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{d}$ in $M_{N}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X=\alpha_{0} D_{1} \alpha_{1} D_{2} \cdots D_{d} \alpha_{d} \\
\prod_{0}^{d}\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\| \prod_{1}^{d}\left\|D_{i}\right\| \leq K\|X\| .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $E$ be a subprojection of $P$ in $\mathcal{M}$ with $\tau(E)=\frac{1}{k}$ and $\left\{E_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{k}$ be the system of matrix units in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $E=E_{11}$. We write $X=\left[X_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$, where $X_{i j} \in$ $P \mathcal{M} P$. Then $\widetilde{P} X \widetilde{P}=X$, where $\widetilde{P}$ is the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(P, \ldots, P)$. For convenience, we denote $n \times n$ or $N \times N$ diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(T, \ldots, T)$ by $\widetilde{T}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
X=\widetilde{P} X \widetilde{P}= & \alpha_{0} \widetilde{P} D_{1} \alpha_{1} \cdots D_{d} \widetilde{P} \alpha_{d} \\
= & \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}=1}^{k} \alpha_{0} \widetilde{P} D_{1} \widetilde{E}_{j_{1} j_{1}} \alpha_{1} \widetilde{E}_{j_{1} j_{1}} D_{2} \widetilde{E}_{j_{2} j_{2}} \alpha_{2} \\
& \cdots \widetilde{E}_{j_{d-2} j_{d-2}} D_{d-1} \widetilde{E}_{j_{d-1} j_{d-1}} \alpha_{d-1} \widetilde{E}_{j_{d-1} j_{d-1}} D_{d} \widetilde{P} \alpha_{d} \\
= & \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}=1}^{k} \alpha_{0} \widetilde{P} D_{1} \widetilde{E}_{j_{1} 1} \alpha_{1} \widetilde{E}_{1 j_{1}} D_{2} \widetilde{E}_{j_{2} 1} \alpha_{2} \\
& \cdots \widetilde{E}_{1 j_{d-2}} D_{d-1} \widetilde{E}_{j_{d-1} 1} \alpha_{d-1} \widetilde{E}_{1 j_{d-1}} D_{d} \widetilde{P} \alpha_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\beta$ be $n \times\left(n k^{d-1}\right)$ matrix $\left(I_{n} \cdots I_{n}\right)$, where $I_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Let

$$
\bar{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\widetilde{P} D_{1} \widetilde{E}_{11} & \widetilde{E}_{11} D_{2} \widetilde{E}_{11} & \cdots & \widetilde{E}_{11} D_{d} \widetilde{P} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\widetilde{P} D_{1} \widetilde{E}_{j_{1} 1} & \widetilde{E}_{1 j_{1}} D_{2} \widetilde{E}_{j_{2} 1} & \cdots & \widetilde{E}_{1 j_{d-1}} D_{d} \widetilde{P} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\widetilde{P} D_{1} \widetilde{E}_{n 1} & \widetilde{E}_{1 k} D_{2} \widetilde{E}_{k 1} & \cdots & \widetilde{E}_{1 k} D_{d} \widetilde{P}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}$ run through $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $\bar{D}$ has $k^{d-1}$ rows. Let $\bar{D}_{j}$ be the diagonal matrix with diagonal obtained from the $j$-th column in $\bar{D}$. Then

$$
X=\beta \widetilde{\alpha_{0}} \bar{D}_{1} \widetilde{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \widetilde{\alpha_{d-1}} \bar{D}_{d} \widetilde{\alpha_{d}} \beta^{t}
$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha_{j}}$ is block matrix with block diagonal $\alpha_{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots, d$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\beta \widetilde{\alpha_{0}}\right\|\left\|\bar{D}_{1}\right\| \cdots\left\|\bar{D}_{d}\right\|\left\|\widetilde{\alpha_{d}} \beta^{t}\right\| & \leq\|\beta\|\left\|\alpha_{0}\right\|\left\|D_{1}\right\| \cdots\left\|D_{d}\right\|\left\|\alpha_{d}\right\|\left\|\beta^{t}\right\| \\
& \leq\|\beta\|^{2} K\|X\|=k^{d-1} K\|X\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $P \mathcal{M} P$ has similarity degree at most $d$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an inclusion of factors of type $I I_{1}$ and its Jones index $[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}]<\infty$. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}$ has similarity property. Then $d(\mathcal{M}) \leq d(\mathcal{N})+1$.

Proof. Let $\lambda=[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}], d=d(\mathcal{N})$, and $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ be the trace preserving conditional expectation of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$. By [17], Proposition 1.3, there exists a family $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq l+1}$ of elements in $\mathcal{M}$ with $l$ equal to the integer part of $\lambda$ (i.e. $l=\lfloor\lambda\rfloor$ ) such that

$$
T=\sum_{j=1}^{l+1} V_{j} E_{\mathcal{N}}\left(V_{j}^{*} T\right)
$$

for all $T$ in $\mathcal{M}$.
For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $X$ in $M_{n}(\mathcal{M})$, we write $X=\left[X_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$, where $X_{i j} \in \mathcal{M}$. For each $X_{i j}$, we have decomposition

$$
X_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{l+1} V_{k} E_{\mathcal{N}}\left(V_{k}^{*} X_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{l+1} V_{k} X_{i j}^{(k)},
$$

where $X_{i j}^{(k)}=E_{\mathcal{N}}\left(V_{k}^{*} X_{i j}\right) \in \mathcal{N}$.
Let $X^{(k)}=\left[X_{i j}^{(k)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$. Then for $n$ and $X^{(k)} \in M_{n}(\mathcal{N})$, there is a constant $K_{k}$ such that there is an integer $N\left(n, X^{(k)}\right)\left(=N_{k}\right)$ and scalar matrices $\alpha_{0}^{(k)} \in M_{n, N_{k}}(\mathbb{C})$, $\alpha_{1}^{(k)} \in M_{N_{k}}(\mathbb{C}), \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}^{(k)} \in M_{N_{k}}(\mathbb{C}), \alpha_{d}^{(k)} \in M_{N_{k}, n}(\mathbb{C})$ together with diagonal matrices $D_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, D_{d}^{(k)}$ in $M_{N_{k}}(\mathcal{N})$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X^{(k)}=\alpha_{0}^{(k)} D_{1}^{(k)} \alpha_{1}^{(k)} D_{2}^{(k)} \cdots D_{d}^{(k)} \alpha_{d}^{(k)} \\
\prod_{0}^{d}\left\|\alpha_{i}^{(k)}\right\| \prod_{1}^{d}\left\|D_{i}^{(k)}\right\| \leq K_{k}\left\|X^{(k)}\right\| .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We may assume that $\left\|\alpha_{i}^{(k)}\right\|=1$ for $i=0, \ldots, d-1$ and $\left\|D_{i}^{(k)}\right\|=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$. Then $\left\|\alpha_{d}^{(k)}\right\| \leq K_{k}\left\|X^{(k)}\right\|$.

Let $\alpha_{i}$ be the $(l+1) \times(l+1)$ block diagonal matrix with block diagonal $\alpha_{i}^{(1)}, \ldots$, $\alpha_{i}^{(l+1)}$ and $D_{i}$ be the $(l+1) \times(l+1)$ block diagonal matrix with block diagonal $D_{i}^{(1)}, \ldots, D_{i}^{(l+1)}$. Then $\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\|=\max _{k}\left\|\alpha_{i}^{(k)}\right\|=1$ and $\left\|D_{i}\right\|=\max _{k}\left\|D_{i}^{(k)}\right\|=1$. Let
$\tilde{V}_{k}$ be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with diagonal $V_{k}, \ldots, V_{k}$ and $V$ be the $(l+1) \times(l+1)$ block diagonal matrix with block diagonal $\widetilde{V}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{V}_{l+1}$. Let $\beta$ be $n \times(n(l+1))$ matrix $\left(I_{n} \cdots I_{n}\right)$, where $I_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\left[X_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{l+1} V_{k} X_{i j}^{(k)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{l+1}\left[V_{k} X_{i j}^{(k)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{l+1} \widetilde{V}_{k} X^{(k)} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{l+1} \widetilde{V}_{k} \alpha_{0}^{(k)} D_{1}^{(k)} \alpha_{1}^{(k)} D_{2}^{(k)} \cdots D_{d}^{(k)} \alpha_{d}^{(k)} \\
& =\beta V \alpha_{0} D_{1} \alpha_{1} D_{2} \cdots D_{d} \alpha_{d} \beta^{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{l+1} V_{k} V_{k}^{*}=\lambda$, we obtain $\left\|V_{k}\right\| \leq \lambda^{1 / 2}$ and $\|V\|=\max _{k}\left\|V_{k}\right\| \leq \lambda^{1 / 2}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|X^{(k)}\right\| & =\left\|\left[X_{i j}^{(k)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}\right\|=\left\|\left[X_{i j}^{(k)}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left[E_{\mathcal{N}}\left(V_{k} X_{i j}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}\right\| \leq\left\|\left[V_{k} X_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\widetilde{V}_{k}\right\|\|X\| \leq \lambda^{1 / 2}\|X\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K=\max \left\{K_{1}, \ldots, K_{l+1}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\beta\|\|V\|\left\|\alpha_{0}\right\|\left\|D_{1}\right\| \cdots\left\|D_{d}\right\|\left\|\alpha_{d} \beta^{t}\right\| \\
& =\|\beta\|\|V\|\left\|\alpha_{d} \beta^{t}\right\| \\
& \leq(l+1)^{1 / 2} \lambda^{1 / 2}\left\|\alpha_{d}\right\|\left\|\beta^{t}\right\| \\
& \leq(l+1) \lambda^{1 / 2} \max \left\{K_{1}\left\|X^{(1)}\right\|, \ldots, K_{l+1}\left\|X^{(l+1)}\right\|\right\} \\
& \leq(l+1) \lambda^{1 / 2} K \lambda^{1 / 2}\|X\| \leq(l+1) \lambda K\|X\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $d(\mathcal{M}) \leq d+1=d(\mathcal{N})+1$.
Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an inclusion of factors of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ with finite Jones index; i.e $[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}]<\infty$. If $\mathcal{N}$ has property $\Gamma$, then by [17] we have that $\mathcal{M}$ has property $\Gamma$. In this case, the similarity degree of the factors are equal; i.e. $d(\mathcal{M})=d(\mathcal{N})=3$. In general, $\mathcal{M}$ preserves most properties of $\mathcal{N}$. Thus, it is natural to ask the question whether it is true that $d(\mathcal{M})=d(\mathcal{N})$ for the inclusion $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of factors of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ with Jones index $[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}]<\infty$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $I_{1}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ has similarity property. Then $\mathcal{M} \otimes M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ has similarity property and $d\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \leq d(\mathcal{M})+1$.

Proof. $\quad$ Since $\left[\mathcal{M} \otimes M_{n}(\mathbb{C}): \mathcal{M}\right]=n^{2}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ has similarity property, by Proposition 3.2, we have that $d\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \leq d(\mathcal{M})+1$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ with the trace $\tau$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Denote the standard tracial weight on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $T r$. Suppose that $P$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$; i.e. $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(P)=t<\infty$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, where $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is the group of all positive real numbers. Then $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ which is defined to be the von Neumann algebra isomorphic to $P \mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) P$ is a $t$-amplification (contraction) of $\mathcal{M}$. By " $\simeq$ " we mean the *-isomorphism between two $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebras, for instance, $\mathcal{M}_{t} \simeq P \mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) P$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $I I_{1}$. Then $d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{1}}\right) \leq d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{2}}\right) \leq$ $d(\mathcal{M})+1$ when $t_{1} \leq t_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.3, we have that $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ has similarity property for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$if $\mathcal{M}$ has similarity property. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{t_{1}}$ has similarity property if and only $\mathcal{M}_{t_{2}}$ has similarity property when $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, since $\mathcal{M}_{t_{2}}=\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{1}}\right)_{t_{2} / t_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{t_{1}}=\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{2}}\right)_{t_{1} / t_{2}}$. Hence if $\mathcal{M}$ does not have similarity property then $d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{1}}\right)=\infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and the inequality $d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{1}}\right) \leq d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{2}}\right) \leq d(\mathcal{M})+1$ holds. If $\mathcal{M}$ has similarity property, then by Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.3 we have $d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{1}}\right) \leq$ $d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{2}}\right) \leq d(\mathcal{M})+1$ again.

Corollary 3.5. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an inclusion of factors of type $I_{1}$ and its Jones index $[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}]<\infty$. Then $\max \{d(\mathcal{N})-1,3\} \leq d(\mathcal{M}) \leq d(\mathcal{N})+1$. In particular, $\mathcal{N}$ does not have similarity property if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ does not have similarity property.

Proof. Let $\tau$ be the trace on $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ acts on the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Let $e_{\mathcal{N}}$ be the projection of $L^{2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ onto $L^{2}(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$. Then $\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\rangle=$ $J \mathcal{N}^{\prime} J$ and $[\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\rangle: \mathcal{M}]=[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}](=\lambda)$. Suppose $\mathcal{N}$ has similarity property. By Proposition 3.2, we have $d(\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\rangle) \leq d(\mathcal{M})+1$. On the other hand $\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\rangle \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ and $d(\mathcal{N}) \leq d\left(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}\right)$ since $[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}]=\lambda \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.1. Then

$$
d(\mathcal{N}) \leq d\left(\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}\right)=d(\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\rangle) \leq d(\mathcal{M})+1
$$

Thus combining the equation $d(\mathcal{M}) \leq d(\mathcal{N})+1$ followed by Proposition 3.2, we have that $\mathcal{M}$ does not have similarity property if and only if $\mathcal{N}$ does not have similarity property. Therefore $d(\mathcal{N})-1 \leq d(\mathcal{M}) \leq d(\mathcal{N})+1$. By [12], we have that $d(\mathcal{M}) \geq 3$. Hence $\max \{d(\mathcal{N})-1,3\} \leq d(\mathcal{M}) \leq d(\mathcal{N})+1$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$. The subgroup $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mid \mathcal{M}_{t} \simeq \mathcal{M}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$ is called the fundamental group of $\mathcal{M}$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $I_{1}$. Suppose that the fundamental group $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is non trivial. Then $d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t}\right)=d(\mathcal{M})$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Proof. Since the fundamental group $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ is non trivial, there exists a positive number $t_{0} \neq 1$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{t_{0}} \simeq \mathcal{M}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $t_{0}>1$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{t_{0}^{k}} \simeq \mathcal{M}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, there exists an integer $k$ such that $t_{0}^{k-1} \leq t \leq t_{0}^{k}$. By Corollary 3.4, we have that

$$
d(\mathcal{M})=d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{0}^{k-1}}\right) \leq d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t}\right) \leq d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t_{0}^{k}}\right)=d(\mathcal{M})
$$

Therefore $d\left(\mathcal{M}_{t}\right)=d(\mathcal{M})$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

## 4. Crossed Product by Amenable Group

In section 3, we have result of similarity degree for inclusion of factors of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ with finite Jones index. In general, for the case, we might not have similar result for the inclusion of factors of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ with infinite Jones index. But for the following case when the Jones index is infinite, we have affirmative answer to Kadison's similarity problem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $G$ be a discrete group, $\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state and $\sigma: G \mapsto \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ a trace preserving cocycle action of $G$ on $\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{B}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ be the corresponding crossed product von Neumann algebra with its normal faithful tracial state given by $\tau\left(\sum_{g \in G} B_{g} U_{g}\right)=$ $\tau_{0}\left(B_{e}\right)$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ co-amenable in $G$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} H$. If $\mathcal{N}$ is a factor and $\mathcal{B}$ has similarity degree $d$, then $\mathcal{N}$ has similarity degree of at most $9 d+8$.

Proof. Suppose $\phi$ is a unital bounded representation of $\mathcal{N}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\overline{\operatorname{sp}} \phi(\mathcal{N}) \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}$. Then $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a bounded representation of $\mathcal{B}$, and so there is an invertible operator $S_{0}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\left.S_{0} \phi\right|_{\mathcal{B}} S_{0}^{-1}$ is a *-representation of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\left\|S_{0}^{-1}\right\|\left\|S_{0}\right\| \leq K\left\|\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{B}}\right\|^{d}$. Let $\phi_{0}=S_{0} \phi S_{0}^{-1}$. Then $\phi_{0}$ is a bounded representation of $\mathcal{N}$.

We have to estimate the complete bounded norm of $\phi_{0}$. To do this, we may and will assume that the representation has an at most countable cyclic set. In this case [2] there is a *-representation $\pi$ of $\mathcal{N}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that for any vector $\xi$ in $\mathcal{H}$, there exists a bounded injective operator $X$ with dense range and a vector $\eta$ satisfying

$$
\forall Y \in \mathcal{N}: \phi_{0}(Y) X=X \pi(Y) ;\|X\| \leq 2\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|^{2} ; X \eta=\xi ;\|\eta\| \leq\|\xi\| .
$$

The first property admits a homomorphism $\psi$ of $\pi(\mathcal{N})$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $A \mapsto \overline{X A X^{-1}}$ and $\|\psi\|=\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|$, whereas the second shows that $\psi$ is ultrastrongly continuous since $\psi(A) \xi=X A \eta$. We will denote by $\psi$ again the extension of $\psi$ to the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(\mathcal{N})$. In this algebra we will let $F$ denote the maximal finite central projection and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a copy of the compact operators placed inside ( $I-$ $F) \pi(\mathcal{N})$, such that $I-F$ belongs to the weak closure of $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{D}+\mathbb{C} F$ is a nuclear
$\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebra, by [2], we can perturb $\psi$ with a $Z$ in $G L(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(Z) \circ \psi$ is trivial on $\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathbb{C} F$ and $\left\|Z^{-1}\right\|\|Z\| \leq\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|^{2}$. The new homomorphism $\operatorname{Ad}(Z) \circ \psi$ decomposes naturally into an orthogonal direct sum. The restriction to the properly infinite part is by construction completely bounded with complete bounded norm less than $\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|^{3}$. The restriction to the finite part yields homomorphisms $\pi_{F}$ and $\Delta$ of the finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ into $\mathcal{B}(F \mathcal{H})$ given by

$$
\pi_{F}(Y)=\left.\pi(Y)\right|_{F \mathcal{H}} \text { and } \Delta(Y)=\left.(Z X) F \pi_{F}(Y)(Z X)^{-1}\right|_{F \mathcal{H}} .
$$

Since a finite representation of a finite representation of a finite factor is ultrastrongly continuous because of the uniqueness of the trace, we see that $\Delta$ is ultrastrongly continuous.

Let $F_{n} \nearrow G / H$ be a net of finite Følner sets, which we identify with some sets of representatives $F_{n} \subset G$. Since $\Delta$ is unital bounded, the set $\left|F_{n}\right|^{-1} \sum_{s \in F_{n}} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right)^{*} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right)$ in the von Neumann algebra generated by $\Delta(\mathcal{N})$ has a strong-operator accumulation point. The accumulation point is positive. So let $S$ be the square root of it. We have

$$
\|S \xi\|^{2}=\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\left|F_{n}\right|} \sum_{s \in F_{n}}\left\|\Delta\left(U_{s}\right) \xi\right\|^{2}
$$

and hence, $\|\Delta\|^{-1} \leq S \leq\|\Delta\|$. For any unitary element $U$ in $\mathcal{B}_{0}$, let $V_{s}=U_{s} U U_{s}^{*}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{2} \Delta(U) \xi & =\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\left|F_{n}\right|} \sum_{s \in F_{n}} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right)^{*} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right) \Delta(U) \xi \\
& =\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\left|F_{n}\right|} \sum_{s \in F_{n}} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right)^{*} \Delta\left(V_{s} U_{s}\right) \xi \\
& =\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\left|F_{n}\right|} \sum_{s \in F_{n}} \Delta(U) \Delta\left(U_{s}\right)^{*} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right) \xi \\
& =\Delta(U) S^{2} \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any unitary element $U_{g}, g \in G$ in $\mathcal{N}$, let $h_{s} s^{\prime}=s g$ if $s g$ is in $F_{n}$. Since $F_{n}$ is a Følner set and $\Delta\left(U_{h_{s}}\right)$ is a unitary, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{2} \Delta\left(U_{g}\right) \xi & =\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\left|F_{n}\right|} \sum_{s \in F_{n}} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right)^{*} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right) \Delta\left(U_{g}\right) \xi \\
& =\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\left|F_{n}\right|} \sum_{s \in F_{n}} \Delta\left(U_{s}\right)^{*} \Delta\left(U_{s g}\right) \xi \\
& =\lim _{n} \frac{1}{\left|F_{n}\right|} \sum_{s^{\prime} \in F_{n} g} \Delta\left(U_{g}\right) \Delta\left(U_{s^{\prime}}\right)^{*} \Delta\left(U_{s^{\prime}}\right) \xi \\
& =\Delta\left(U_{g}\right) S^{2} \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{N}_{0}$ be the ${ }^{*}$-subalgebra in $\mathcal{N}$ generated by $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ and $U_{g}, g \in G$. For any element $A_{0}$ in $\mathcal{N}_{0}$, we have $S^{2} \Delta\left(A_{0}\right) \xi=\Delta\left(A_{0}\right) S^{2} \xi$, for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. By the Kaplansky density theorem, for any $A$ in the unit ball of $\mathcal{N}$, there is a net of $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ in the unit ball of $\mathcal{N}_{0}$ convergent to $A$ in the strong-operator topology.

Since $\Delta$ is strong-operator continuous, $\Delta\left(A_{\alpha}\right)$ converges to $\Delta(A)$, then $\| A d(S) \circ$ $\Delta \| \leq 1$ and $\Delta$ is completely bounded with completely bounded norm $\|\Delta\|_{c b} \leq$ $\|S\|\left\|S^{-1}\right\| \leq\|\Delta\|^{2}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{c b} & \leq\left\|S_{0}^{-1}\right\|\left\|S_{0}\right\|\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{c b} \\
& \leq K\|\phi\|^{d}\|Z\|\left\|Z^{-1}\right\|\|\Delta\|_{c b} \\
& \leq K\|\phi\|^{d}\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|^{2}\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|^{6} \\
& \leq K^{9}\|\phi\|^{9 d+8},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left\|S_{0}^{-1}\right\|\left\|S_{0}\right\| \leq K\left\|\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{B}}\right\|^{d} \leq K\|\phi\|^{d},\|Z\|\left\|Z^{-1}\right\| \leq\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(K\|\phi\|^{d+1}\right)^{2}$ and $\|\Delta\| \leq\|Z\|\left\|Z^{-1}\right\|\left\|\phi_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|\phi_{0}\right\|^{3}$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $G$ be a discrete group, $\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state and $\sigma: G \mapsto \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ a trace preserving cocycle action of $G$ on $\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{B}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ be the corresponding crossed product von Neumann algebra with its normal faithful tracial state given by $\tau\left(\sum_{g \in G} B_{g} U_{g}\right)=$ $\tau_{0}\left(B_{e}\right)$. If $\mathcal{N}$ is a factor and $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ has similarity degree d, then $\mathcal{N}$ has similarity degree of at most $9 d+8$.

Remark. It is known [4] that the similarity degree of a property $\Gamma$ factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ is 3 . Hence if the crossed product $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{B} \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ of a property $\Gamma$ factor $\mathcal{B}$ by an amenable group $G$ is a factor, then $\mathcal{M}$ has similarity degree of at most 35 . Here we would like to point out that it is open whether the crossed product of a property $\Gamma$ factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ by an amenable group has property $\Gamma$.

In Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 2.1, we obtain partial results for similarity problem for von Neumann algebras satisfying co-amenability. The first named author showed that the co-amenability of von Neumann algebra preserves Connes's embedding property [18]. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}$ has similarity property and $\mathcal{N}$ is co-amenable in $\mathcal{M}$. We do not known whether $\mathcal{M}$ have similarity property and what the similarity degree of $\mathcal{M}$ is.

## 5. Christensen's Property $D_{k}$

In this section we will obtain some results on the Christensen's property $D_{k}[1,2$, 3, 4] for some finite von Neumann algebras.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $I I_{1}$ with similarity degree $d<\infty$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ has property $D_{\text {ed } / 2}$ or $D_{3 d / 2}$, where $e$ is the Euler's number $2.718 \cdots$.

Proof. Let $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a non degenerate *-representation and let $X$ be in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then we get for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$a homomorphism $\Phi_{t}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ by

$$
\Phi_{t}(Y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\pi(Y) & t[X, \pi(Y)] \\
0 & \pi(Y)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\alpha=\sup \{\|[X, \pi(Y)]\|: Y \in \mathcal{M},\|Y\| \leq 1\}$ and let $\delta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be given by $\delta(Y)=[X, \pi(Y)]$. It is clear that $\delta$ is completely bounded, $\|\delta\|=\alpha$, and

$$
t\|\delta\|_{c b} \leq\left\|\Phi_{t}\right\|_{c b} \leq\left\|\Phi_{t}\right\|^{d} \leq(1+t\|\delta\|)^{d}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\delta\|_{c b} & \leq \inf \left\{t^{-1}(1+t\|\delta\|)^{d} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{s^{-1}(1+s)^{d}\|\delta\| \mid s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} \quad(s=t\|\delta\|)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f(s)=\frac{(1+s)^{d}}{s}$. Then $f^{\prime}(s)=\frac{d(1+s)^{d-1} s-(1+s)^{d}}{s^{2}}=0$ implies $s=\frac{1}{d-1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\delta\|_{c b} & \leq(d-1)\left(1+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)^{d}\|\delta\| \\
& =d\left(1+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)^{d-1}\|\delta\| \\
& \leq d e\|\delta\|<3 d\|\delta\|
\end{aligned}
$$

An application of Corollary 2.2 of [3] yields $\inf \left\{\|X-Z\|: Z \in \pi(\mathcal{M})^{\prime}\right\}=(1 / 2)\|\delta\|_{c b}$ $\leq(d e / 2)\|\delta\|<(3 d / 2)\|\delta\|$ and the Lemma follows.

Corollary 5.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $I I_{1}$ with property $D_{k}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ has property $D_{3 k+1.5}$ when $t>1$; has property $D_{3 k}$ when $t<1$.

Proof. By the stated hypothesis and Proposition 2.1, we have that $\mathcal{M}$ has similarity degree $\lfloor 2 k\rfloor$. Then by Proposition 3.4 , we have $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ has similarity degree at most $\lfloor 2 k\rfloor$ when $t<1$ and $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ has similarity degree at most $\lfloor 2 k\rfloor+1$ when $t>1$. Hence by Lemma 5.1, $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ has property $D_{3 k}$ when $t<1$ and $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ has property $D_{3 k+1.5}$ when $t>1$.

Corollary 5.3. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an inclusion of factors of type $I I_{1}$ with Jones index $[\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{N}]<\infty$. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}$ has property $D_{k}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ has property $D_{3 k}$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Corollary 5.2.
Proposition 5.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type $I I_{1}$ with similarity length $\ell<\infty$ and length constant $K$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ has property $D_{\min \{K, 3\} \ell / 2}$.

Proof. Directly from Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 5.1.
Proposition 5.5. Let $G$ be a discrete group, $\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful tracial state and $\sigma: G \mapsto A u t\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ a trace preserving cocycle action of $G$ on $\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{B}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ be the corresponding crossed product von Neumann algebra with its normal faithful tracial state given by $\tau\left(\sum_{g \in G} B_{g} U_{g}\right)=$ $\tau_{0}\left(B_{e}\right)$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ co-amenable in $G$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{0} \rtimes_{\sigma} H$. If $\mathcal{N}$ is a factor and $\mathcal{B}$ has property $D_{k}$, then $\mathcal{N}$ has similarity degree of at most $D_{25 k+11}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}$ has property $D_{k}, \mathcal{B}$ has similarity degree $\lfloor 2 k\rfloor$ by Proposition 2.1. By Theorem 4.1, we have $\mathcal{M}$ has similarity degree $9\lfloor 2 k\rfloor+8$. Then by Lemma 5.1, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}$ has property $D_{25 k+11}$.

Remark. By the remark in section 4 and Lemma 5.1, we have that if the crossed product $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{B} \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ of a property $\Gamma$ factor $\mathcal{B}$ by an amenable group $G$ is a factor, then $\mathcal{M}$ has property $D_{49}$.
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