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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the existence, multiplicity and nonexistence of positive solutions for $p$-Laplacian problems with $L^{1}$-indefinite weight. As an application, we give some existence and multiplicity results for Emden-Fowler type $p$-Laplacian radial problems defined on an exterior domain depending on the boundary value which plays the role of a parameter.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence, multiplicity, and nonexistence of positive solutions for the following $p$-Laplacian problems.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda h(t) f(u(t))=0, t \in(0,1) \\
u(0)=a>0, u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1, \lambda$ is a nonnegative real parameter, $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ and $h \in C\left((0,1), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$may be singular at $t=0$ and/or 1 with $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^{+}=$ $(0, \infty)$. Throughout this paper, we assume $f(u)>0$ for $u>0$.

By a positive solution to this problem we understand a function $u \in C^{1}[0,1]$ with $\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ satisfying $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ and $u \geq 0$ on $[0,1]$.

Recently, Kong-Wang [5] and Agarwal-Lu-O'Regan [1] proved that if $f$ satisfies assumptions $f_{0} \triangleq \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}=0$ and $f_{\infty} \triangleq \lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}=0$, then the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda h(t) f(u(t))=0, t \in(0,1) \\
u(0)=0, u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$
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has at least two positive solutions for sufficiently large $\lambda$. Sánchez [7] proved a similar result for the case $f_{0}=\infty$ and $f_{\infty}=\infty$. Wang [8] proved that if $f$ satisfies assumptions $f_{0}=0$ and $f_{\infty}=\infty$, then problem $\left(D_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least one positive solution for all $\lambda$.

By the effect of boundary condition we concern in $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$, do not giving any growth restriction on $f$ near 0 , we obtain the following main results. For this, we give the list of assumptions first.
$\left(F_{1}\right) h \in L^{1}(0,1)$,
$\left(F_{2}\right) f_{\infty}=\infty$,
$\left(F_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{\infty}=0$,
$\left(F_{3}\right) f$ is nondecreasing.

Result 1. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(F_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least one positive solution for all $\lambda>0$.

Result 2. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(F_{3}\right)$. Then, there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, at least one positive solution for $\lambda=\lambda^{*}$ and no solution for $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$.

As an application, let us consider the following $p$-Laplacian radial problems depending on the boundary value $\mu$ as a parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+K(|x|) u^{q}=0 \text { in } \Omega \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 \text { and } u \rightarrow \mu>0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\mu \text { and } u \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|>r_{0}\right\}, r_{0}>0, N>p>1, \mu$ a positive real parameter, $K \in C(\Omega,(0, \infty))$.

Deng and Li ([3]) considered a semilinear problem of the form
( $D L$ )

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u+K(x) u^{q}=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
u>0 \text { in } \Omega, u \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}), \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, u \rightarrow \mu>0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \omega$ is an exterior domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, \omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $N>2, q>1$. Consider the following hypotheses;
$\left(K_{1}\right) K \in C_{\text {loc }}^{\alpha}(\Omega), K \geq 0, K \not \equiv 0$ and there exist $C, \epsilon, M>0$ such that $|K(x)| \leq C|x|^{-l}$ for $|x| \geq M$ with $l \geq 2+\epsilon$.
$\left(K_{2}\right) K(x)>0$ in a neighborhood $V$ of some point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
K\left(x_{0}\right)=\sup _{x \in \Omega} K(x) \text { and } K(x)=K\left(x_{0}\right)+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \text { near } x_{0} .
$$

Assuming $\left(K_{1}\right)$, they proved that there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that $(D L)$ has at least one solution for $\mu \in\left(0, \mu^{*}\right)$ and no solution for $\mu \in\left(\mu^{*}, \infty\right)$. Furthermore, if $K \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, then the solution at $\mu=\mu^{*}$ exists and is unique. On the other hand, when $q=\frac{N+2}{N-2}$, assuming $\left(K_{1}\right),\left(K_{2}\right)$ and $0 \leq K(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, they also proved that there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that $(D L)$ has at least two solutions for $\mu \in\left(0, \mu^{*}\right)$, (unique) solution for $\mu=\mu^{*}$ and no solution for $\mu \in\left(\mu^{*}, \infty\right)$.

As a corollary of Result 2 , we see that for radial problem $(P)$, the second result is true without the restriction on the exponent $q$. More precisely, assume
( $K$ ) $K \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ with $K>0$ in $\Omega$,
and $q>p-1$. Then there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that $(P)+\left(D_{i}\right), i=1,2$ has at least two positive radial solutions for $\mu \in\left(0, \mu^{*}\right)$, at least one positive radial solution for $\mu=\mu^{*}$ and no positive radial solution for $\mu>\mu^{*}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce well-known theorems such as Global Continuation Theorem, the generalized Picone identity and a fixed point index Theorem for the index computation. In Section 3, we state and prove the main results. In section 4, introducing several transformations to obtain equivalent one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian problems, we give the existence, multiplicity or nonexistence of positive radial solutions for problems $(P)+\left(D_{i}\right), i=$ 1,2 .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, We introduce some known theorems which will be used in the following sections.

Theorem 2.1. ([9], Global Continuation Theorem). Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{K}$ an order cone in $X$. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=H(\mu, x), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $x \in \mathcal{K}$. If $H: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is completely continuous and $H(0, x)=0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{+}(\mathcal{K})$, the component of the solution set of (2.1) containing ( 0,0 ) is unbounded.

Theorem 2.2. ([6], Generalized Picone Identity). Let us define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{p}[y]=\left(\varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+b_{1}(t) \varphi_{p}(y), \\
& L_{p}[z]=\left(\varphi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+b_{2}(t) \varphi_{p}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $y$ and $z$ are any functions such that $y, z, b_{1} \varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime}\right), b_{2} \varphi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ are differentiable on $I$ and $z(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in I$, then the generalized Picone identity can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\frac{|y|^{p} \varphi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\varphi_{p}(z)}-y \varphi_{p}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)|y|^{p}  \tag{2.3}\\
& -\left[\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{p}+(p-1)\left|\frac{y z^{\prime}}{z}\right|^{p}-p \varphi_{p}(y) y^{\prime} \varphi_{p}\left(\frac{z^{\prime}}{z}\right)\right]  \tag{2.4}\\
& -y l_{p}(y)+\frac{|y|^{p}}{\varphi_{p}(z)} L_{p}(z) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.3. By Young's inequality, we get

$$
\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{p}+(p-1)\left|\frac{y z^{\prime}}{z}\right|^{p}-p \varphi_{p}(y) \varphi_{p}\left(\frac{z^{\prime}}{z}\right) \geq 0
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\operatorname{sgn} y^{\prime}=\operatorname{sgn} z^{\prime}$ and $\left|\frac{y^{\prime}}{y}\right|^{p}=\left|\frac{z^{\prime}}{z}\right|^{P}$.
Theorem 2.4. ([4]). Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\mathcal{K}$ a cone in $X$ and $\mathcal{O}$ bounded open in $X$. Let $0 \in \mathcal{O}$ and $A: \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be condensing. Suppose that $A x \neq \nu x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \mathcal{O}$ and all $\nu \geq 1$. Then $i(A, \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K})=1$.

## 3. Main Result

In this section, we state and prove the main results for problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$.
Theorem 3.1. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(F_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least one positive solution for all $\lambda>0$.

Theorem 3.2. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(F_{3}\right)$. Then, there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, at least one positive solution for $\lambda=\lambda^{*}$ and no solution for $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$.

To fulfil conditions in Global Continuation Theorem, we need to consider problems with Dirichlet boundary condition. For this, we substitute $v(t)=u(t)-a(1-t)$ in problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ to get the following equivalent problem;
$\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\varphi_{p}\left(v^{\prime}(t)-a\right)^{\prime}+\lambda h(t) f(v(t)+a(1-t))=0, t \in(0,1), \\ v(0)=0=v(1) .\end{array}\right.$

Denote $\mathcal{K}=\left\{w \in C_{0}^{1}[0,1]: w\right.$ is concave $\}$. Then, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{K}$ is an ordered cone. For $u \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\lambda>0$, define $x_{\lambda, u}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\lambda, u}(t)= & \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{t} \lambda h(\tau) f(u(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s+a t \\
& -\left[\int_{t}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{t}^{s} \lambda h(\tau) f(u(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau+\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s-a(1-t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0<t<1$. Clearly, $x_{\lambda, u}$ is continuous. For $0<s<t$, we have

$$
\int_{s}^{t} \lambda h(\tau) f(u(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)>-\varphi_{p}(a)
$$

Since $\varphi_{p}^{-1}$ is increasing, we get

$$
\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{t} \lambda h(\tau) f(u(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)\right)>-\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{p}(a)\right)=-a
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{t} \lambda h(\tau) f(u(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)\right)+a>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for $t<s<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{t}^{s} \lambda h(\tau) f(u(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau+\varphi_{p}(a)\right)-a>0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that $x_{\lambda, u}$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$ and $x_{\lambda, u}\left(0^{+}\right)<$ $0<x_{\lambda, u}\left(1^{-}\right)$. Thus $x_{\lambda, u}$ has a unique zero in $(0,1)$ so let $A_{\lambda, u}$ be the zero of $x_{\lambda, u}$ in $(0,1)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{A_{\lambda, u}} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{A_{\lambda, u}} \lambda h(\tau) f(u(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s+a A_{\lambda, u} \\
& =\int_{A_{\lambda, u}}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{A_{\lambda, u}}^{s} \lambda h(\tau) f(u(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau+\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s-a\left(1-A_{\lambda, u}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define operator $H: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ as follows.
For $\lambda>0$,

$$
H(\lambda, v)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{A_{\lambda, v}} \lambda h(\tau) f(v(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s+a t \\
\quad \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq A_{\lambda, v} \\
\int_{t}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{A_{\lambda, v}}^{s} \lambda h(\tau) f(v(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau+\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s-a(1-t) \\
\quad \text { if } A_{\lambda, v} \leq t \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{A_{\lambda, v}} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{A_{\lambda, v}} \lambda h(\tau) f(v(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s+a A_{\lambda, v} \\
= & \int_{A_{\lambda, v}}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{A_{\lambda, v}}^{s} \lambda h(\tau) f(v(\tau)+a(1-\tau)) d \tau+\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s-a\left(1-A_{\lambda, v}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $\lambda=0, H(\lambda, v)=0$. Then by the definition of $A_{\lambda, v}$, we can easily see that $H$ is well-defined and $H\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{K}\right) \subset \mathcal{K}$. Furthermore, $u$ is a positive solution of $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ if and only if $u=H(\lambda, u)$ on $\mathcal{K}$.

To apply Global Continuation Theorem, we need to guarantee the compactness of $H$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{K}$. The proof basically follows on the lines of Lemmas 2 and 3 in [1] or in [7].

Lemma 3.3. $H:[0, \infty) \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is completely continuous.
Since $H(0, u)=0$, for all $u \in K$, by Lemma 3.3 and Global Continuation Theorem (Theorem 2.1), we know that there exists an unbounded continuum $\mathcal{C}$ of positive solutions of $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ emanating from $(0,0)$. Equivalently, there exists an unbounded continuum $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of positive solutions of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ emanating from $(0, a(1-t))$. We now give a priori estimate for problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$.

Lemma 3.4. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and let $J=[0, l]$ with $l>0$. Then there exists $M_{J}>0$ such that for all possible positive solution $u$ of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ with $\lambda \in J$, we have

$$
\|u\| \leq M_{J}
$$

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of positive solutions of $\left(P_{\lambda_{n}}\right)$ with $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset J \triangleq[0, l]$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we can easily see that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{l \varphi_{p}\left(4 \gamma_{p} Q\right)}\right)$, where $\gamma_{p}=\max \left\{1,2^{\frac{-p+2}{p-1}}\right\}, Q=\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(s) d s\right)$. Then by $\left(F_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, there exists $u_{\alpha}>0$ such that $u>u_{\alpha}$ implies $f(u)<\alpha u^{p-1}$. Let $m_{\alpha} \triangleq \max _{u \in\left[0, u_{\alpha}\right]} f(u)$ and let $A_{n} \triangleq\left\{t \in[0,1]: u_{n}(t) \leq u_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $B_{n} \triangleq\left\{t \in[0,1]: u_{n}(t)>u_{\alpha}\right\}$. Put $u_{n}\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$. By the facts $u_{n}(0)=a$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we may assume $\delta_{n}>0$ and $u_{n}\left(\delta_{n}\right)>2 a$ for all $n$. By simple calculation, we know that

$$
u_{n}\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{\delta_{n}} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{n} \int_{s}^{\delta_{n}} h(\tau) f\left(u_{n}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s+a
$$

Then we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} u_{n}\left(\delta_{n}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{0}^{\delta_{n}} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{n} \int_{0}^{\delta_{n}} h(\tau) f\left(u_{n}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s \\
& \leq \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{\delta_{n}} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{A_{n}} h(\tau) f\left(u_{n}(\tau)\right) d \tau+\int_{B_{n}} h(\tau) f\left(u_{n}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s \\
& \leq \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{\delta_{n}} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(m_{\alpha} \int_{A_{n}} h(\tau) d \tau+\int_{B_{n}} h(\tau) f\left(u_{n}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s \\
& \leq \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{\delta_{n}} \gamma_{p}\left[\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(m_{\alpha} \int_{A_{n}} h(\tau) d \tau\right)+\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{B_{n}} h(\tau) f\left(u_{n}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right)\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{1}{2 \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)} \leq \gamma_{p} \int_{0}^{\delta_{n}}\left[\frac{\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(m_{\alpha}\right) Q}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}}+\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{B_{n}} \frac{h(\tau) f\left(u_{n}(\tau)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{p-1}} d \tau\right)\right] d s
$$

On $B_{n}, u_{n}(s)>u_{\alpha}$ implies $\frac{f\left(u_{n}(s)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}} \leq \frac{f\left(u_{n}(s)\right)}{u_{n}^{p-1}(s)} \leq \alpha$. Thus

$$
\frac{1}{2 \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)} \leq \gamma_{p}\left[\frac{\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(m_{\alpha}\right) Q}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}}+\varphi_{p}^{-1}(\alpha) Q\right] .
$$

Since $\lambda_{n} \leq l$ for all $n$, we have $\frac{1}{\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{\varphi_{p}^{-1}(l)}$ for all $n$ and thus

$$
\frac{1}{2 \varphi_{p}^{-1}(l)} \leq \gamma_{p}\left[\frac{\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(m_{\alpha}\right) Q}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}}+\varphi_{p}^{-1}(\alpha) Q\right]
$$

By the fact $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2 \varphi_{p}^{-1}(l)} \leq \gamma_{p} \varphi_{p}^{-1}(\alpha) Q \leq \gamma_{p} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{l \varphi_{p}\left(4 \gamma_{p} Q\right)}\right) Q=\frac{1}{4 \varphi_{p}^{-1}(l)} .
$$

This contradiction completes the proof.
The proof of Theorem 3.1 is straightforward from Lemma 3.4 and the existence of unbounded continuum $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. We now prove the second main theorem. Using the generalized Picone identity and the properties of the $p$-sine function ([2], [10]), we obtain the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$. Then there exists $\bar{\lambda}>0$ such that if $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has a positive solution $u_{\lambda}$, then $\lambda \leq \bar{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ have a positive solution $u_{\lambda}$, then $u_{\lambda}$ is concave and $u_{\lambda}(0)=a, u_{\lambda}(t) \geq \frac{1}{4} a$ for all $t \in\left(0, \frac{3}{4}\right)$. It follows from $\left(F_{2}\right)$ that there exists $A>0$ such that $f(u)>A u^{p-1}$ for $u \geq \frac{1}{4} a$. This implies

$$
\varphi_{p}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda A h(t) \varphi_{p}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)<\varphi_{p}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda h(t) f\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)=0, t \in\left(0, \frac{3}{4}\right)
$$

Putting $m:=\min _{t \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]} h(t)>0$, we have

$$
\varphi_{p}\left(u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda A m \varphi_{p}\left(u_{\lambda}(t)\right)<0, t \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)
$$

It is easy to check that $w(t)=S_{q}\left(2 \pi_{p}\left(t-\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)$ is a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{p}\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\left(2 \pi_{p}\right)^{p} \varphi_{p}(w(t))=0, t \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \\
w\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=0=w\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $S_{q}$ is the $q$-sine function with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ and $\pi_{p}=\frac{2 \pi(p-1)^{1 / p}}{p \sin (\pi / p)}$. Taking $y=w$ and $z=u_{\lambda}$ in (2.2)-(2.5) and integrating from $1 / 4$ to $3 / 4$, we have

$$
\int_{1 / 4}^{3 / 4}\left(\left(2 \pi_{p}\right)^{p}-\lambda A m\right)|w|^{p} d t \geq 0
$$

This implies

$$
\lambda \leq \frac{\left(2 \pi_{p}\right)^{p}}{A m} \triangleq \bar{\lambda}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Lemma 3.6. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$. Let $I$ be a compact interval in $(0, \infty)$. Then there exists $b_{I}>0$ such that for all possible positive solution $u$ of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ with $\lambda \in I$, we have

$$
\|u\| \leq b_{I}
$$

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ of positive solutions of $\left(P_{\lambda_{n}}\right)$ with $\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \subset J=[\alpha, \beta]$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we can easily see that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from the concavity of $u_{n}$,

$$
u_{n}(t) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

for all $n$ and $t \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$. Take $M=2 \frac{\left(2 \pi_{p}\right)^{p}}{\alpha m}$, where $m:=\min _{t \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right]} h(t)>0$. By $\left(F_{2}\right)$, there exists $K>0$ such that $f(u)>M \varphi_{p}(u)$, for all $u>K$. From the assumption, we get $\left\|u_{N}\right\|_{\infty}>4 K$, for sufficiently large $N$. Therefore, we have

$$
f\left(u_{N}(t)\right)>M \varphi_{p}\left(u_{N}(t)\right), t \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)
$$

This implies

$$
\varphi_{p}\left(u_{N}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\alpha M m \varphi_{p}\left(u_{N}(t)\right)<0, t \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right) .
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.5, if we take $w(t)=S_{q}\left(2 \pi_{p}\left(t-\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)$, we obtain

$$
M \leq \frac{\left(2 \pi_{p}\right)^{p}}{\alpha m} .
$$

This is a contradiction.
Let us assume that problem $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ has a positive solution say, $u_{*}$ at $\lambda_{*}>0$ i.e., $u_{*}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u_{*}^{\prime}(t)-a\right)^{\prime}+\lambda_{*} h(t) f\left(u_{*}(t)+a(1-t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a fixed parameter $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$. For $N>0$, put

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Sigma_{N}=\left\{u \in C_{0}^{1}[0,1] \mid 0<u(t)<u_{*}(t), t \in(0,1), 0<u^{\prime}(0)<u_{*}^{\prime}(0),\right. \\
\left.u_{*}^{\prime}(1)<u^{\prime}(1)<0 \text { and }\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<N\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Then, $\Sigma_{N}$ is bounded and open in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$. Consider the following modified problem
$\left(M_{\lambda}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)-a\right)^{\prime}+\lambda h(t) f(\gamma(t, u(t))+a(1-t))=0, t \in(0,1) \\ u(0)=0=u(1),\end{array}\right.$
where $\gamma:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$by $\gamma(t, u)= \begin{cases}u_{*}(t) & \text { if } u>u_{*}(t) \\ u & \text { if } 0 \leq u \leq u_{*}(t) \\ 0 & \text { if } u<0 .\end{cases}$
Lemma 3.7. Assume $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right),\left(F_{3}\right)$ and let $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$. Then, there exists $N>0$ such that $u \in \Sigma_{N} \cap \mathcal{K}$, for all positive solution $u$ of $\left(M_{\lambda}\right)$.

Proof. Let $u$ be a positive solution of $\left(M_{\lambda}\right)$. Clearly, $u(t)>0, t \in(0,1)$. First, we claim $u(t) \leq u_{*}(t), t \in(0,1)$. If not, there exists $t_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that $u\left(t_{1}\right)>u_{*}\left(t_{1}\right)$. Since $u-u_{*} \in C_{0}[0,1]$, there exists $A \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(A)=u_{*}^{\prime}(A) \text { and } u(A)>u_{*}(A) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda<\lambda_{*}$ and $f$ is nondecreasing,

$$
\lambda_{*} f\left(u_{*}(t)+a(1-t)\right)>\lambda f(\gamma(t, u(t))+a(1-t)), t \in(0,1) .
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)-a\right)^{\prime}+\lambda_{*} h(t) f\left(u_{*}(t)+a(1-t)\right)>0, t \in(0,1) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.4) and (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}\left(u_{*}^{\prime}(t)-a\right)^{\prime}-\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)-a\right)^{\prime}<0, t \in(0,1) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t \in(A, 1)$, integrating (3.7) from $A$ to $t$, we have $u_{*}^{\prime}(t) \leq u^{\prime}(t)$. Again, integrating this from $A$ to 1 , we get

$$
u_{*}(A) \geq u(A)
$$

This contradicts (3.5).
Second, we claim $u(t)<u_{*}(t), t \in(0,1)$. If not, by (3.7), we have only one case; there exist $t_{2} \in(0,1)$ and $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)<u_{*}(t), t \in\left(t_{2}-\delta_{1}, t_{2}+\delta_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{t_{2}\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=u_{*}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t \in\left(t_{2}-\delta_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, integrating (3.7) from $t$ to $t_{2}$, by (3.10) we have

$$
u_{*}^{\prime}(t) \geq u^{\prime}(t), t \in\left(t_{2}-\delta_{1}, t_{2}\right)
$$

Again, integrating this from $t_{2}-\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}$ to $t_{2}$, by (3.8) we get

$$
u_{*}\left(t_{2}-\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}\right) \leq u\left(t_{2}-\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}\right)
$$

This contradicts (3.9).
Third, we claim $0>u^{\prime}(1)>u_{*}^{\prime}(1)$. We first show that there exists $c \in(0,1)$ such that $u^{\prime}(c)>u_{*}^{\prime}(c)$. If not, for all $t \in(0,1), u^{\prime}(t) \leq u_{*}^{\prime}(t)$. Integrating this from $t$ to 1 , we have $u(t) \geq u_{*}(t), t \in(0,1)$ and this is a contradiction. Integrating (3.7) from $c$ to 1 , we get

$$
u_{*}^{\prime}(1)<u^{\prime}(1)
$$

Since $u$ is a positive solution of $\left(M_{\lambda}\right)$, clearly, $u^{\prime}(1)<0$ and the claim is valid. Similarly, we can prove $0<u^{\prime}(0)<u_{*}^{\prime}(0)$.

Finally, we claim $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<N$ for some $N>0$. Since $0 \leq u(t) \leq u_{*}(t), t \in[0,1]$, we can easily obtain

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f_{*} h(\tau) d \tau+\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s+a \triangleq N
$$

where $f_{*}=: \lambda \sup _{v \in\left[0,\left\|u_{*}\right\|+a\right]} f(v)$ and this completes the proof.
Now, we give the proof of the second main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $\lambda^{*}=\sup \left\{\hat{\lambda} \mid\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)\right.$ has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in(0, \hat{\lambda})\}$. Then, by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, $\lambda^{*}$ is well defined in $(0, \bar{\lambda}]$. By the choice of $\lambda^{*},\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, at least one positive solution for $\lambda=\lambda^{*}$. We will show that $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ has no positive solution for all $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$. On the contrary, suppose that there exists $\lambda_{*}>\lambda^{*}$ such that $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda_{*}}\right)$ has a positive solution. If we show that $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left[\lambda^{*}, \lambda_{*}\right)$, then the contradiction to the choice of $\lambda^{*}$ completes the proof. Define $M: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ by
$M u(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{A_{u}} \lambda h(\tau) f(\gamma(\tau, u(\tau))+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s+a t, \\ 0 \leq t \leq A_{u}, \\ \int_{t}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{A_{u}}^{s} \lambda h(\tau) f(\gamma(\tau, u(\tau))+a(1-\tau)) d \tau+\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s-a(1-t), \\ A_{u} \leq t \leq 1,\end{array}\right.$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{A_{u}} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{A_{u}} \lambda h(\tau) f(\gamma(u(\tau))+a(1-\tau)) d \tau-\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s+a A_{u} \\
= & \int_{A_{u}}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{A_{u}}^{s} \lambda h(\tau) f(\gamma(u(\tau))+a(1-\tau)) d \tau+\varphi_{p}(a)\right) d s-a\left(1-A_{u}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $M: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is completely continuous and $u$ is a solution of $\left(M_{\lambda}\right)$ if and only if $u=M u$ on $\mathcal{K}$. By simple calculations, we see that there exists $R_{1}>0$ such that $\|M u\|<R_{1}$, for all $u \in \mathcal{K}$. Since a completely continuous operator is condensing, applying Theorem 2.4 with $O=B_{R_{1}}$, we get

$$
i\left(M, B_{R_{1}} \cap \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}\right)=1
$$

By Lemma 3.7 and excision property, we get

$$
i\left(M, \Sigma_{N} \cap \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}\right)=i\left(M, B_{R_{1}} \cap \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}\right)=1
$$

Since problem $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ is equivalent to the problem $\left(M_{\lambda}\right)$ on $\Sigma_{N} \cap \mathcal{K}$, we conclude that $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ has a positive solution in $\Sigma_{N} \cap \mathcal{K}$. Assume $H(\lambda, \cdot)$ has no fixed point in $\partial \Sigma_{N} \cap \mathcal{K}$ (otherwise, the proof is done!). Then by Lemma 3.5, $\left(P_{\lambda_{N_{0}}}\right)$ has no solution in $\mathcal{K}$ for $\lambda_{N_{0}}>\bar{\lambda}$. By a priori estimate (Lemma 3.6) with $I=\left[\lambda, \lambda_{N_{0}}\right]$, there exists $R_{2}\left(>R_{1}\right)>0$ such that for all possible positive solution $u$ of $\left(\hat{P}_{\mu}\right)$ with $\mu \in\left[\lambda, \lambda_{N_{0}}\right]$, we have

$$
\|u\|<R_{2}
$$

Define $h:[0,1] \times\left(\bar{B}_{R_{2}} \cap \mathcal{K}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ by

$$
h(\tau, u)=H\left(\tau \lambda_{N_{0}}+(1-\tau) \lambda, u\right)
$$

Then, $h$ is completely continuous on $[0,1] \times \mathcal{K}, h(\tau, u) \neq u$, for all $(\tau, u) \in$ $[0,1] \times\left(\partial B_{R_{2}} \cap \mathcal{K}\right)$. By the property of homotopy invariance,

$$
i\left(H(\lambda, \cdot), B_{R_{2}} \cap \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}\right)=i\left(H\left(\lambda_{N_{0}}, \cdot\right), B_{R_{2}} \cap \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}\right)=0
$$

By additivity property,

$$
i\left(H(\lambda, \cdot),\left(B_{R_{2}} \backslash \bar{\Sigma}_{N}\right) \cap \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}\right)=-1
$$

Therefore, $\left(\hat{P}_{\lambda}\right)$ has another positive solution in $\left(B_{R_{2}} \backslash \bar{\Sigma}_{N}\right) \cap \mathcal{K}$ and the proof is complete.

## 4. An Application

In this section, we introduce several transformations to obtain equivalent onedimensional $p$-Laplacian problems which we mainly analyzed in the previous section and give the existence, multiplicity or nonexistence of positive radial solutions for problems $(P)+\left(D_{i}\right), i=1,2$. Let us consider problems $(P)+\left(D_{i}\right), i=1,2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+K(|x|) u^{q}=0 \text { in } \Omega \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(D_{1}\right)$

$$
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 \text { and } u \rightarrow \mu>0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\mu \text { and } u \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ a positive real parameter, $N>p$ and $K \in C(\Omega,(0, \infty))$.
By applying consecutive changes of variables, $r=|x|, u(r)=u(|x|)$ and $t=\left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{\frac{-N+p}{p-1}}, z(t)=u(r)$, problem $(P)+\left(D_{1}\right)$ is equivalently written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+h(t) z(t)^{q}=0, t \in(0,1)  \tag{4.1}\\
z(0)=\mu>0, z(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h$ is given by

$$
h(t)=\left(\frac{p-1}{N-p}\right)^{p} r_{0}^{p} t^{\frac{-p(N-1)}{N-p}} K\left(r_{0} t^{\frac{-(p-1)}{N-p}}\right)
$$

We notice that $h$ is singular at $t=0$ and $h \in L^{1}(0,1]$ by the fact $K \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. Introducing $u(t)=\frac{z(t)}{\mu}$, we can rewrite problem (4.1) as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda h(t) u(t)^{q}=0, t \in(0,1)  \tag{4.2}\\
u(0)=1, u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda=\mu^{q-p+1}$. Problems (4.1) and (4.2) share the same bifurcation phenomena with respect to $\mu$ and $\lambda$ respectively. Similarly, if we use transformation $t=$ $1-\left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{\frac{-(N-p)}{p-1}}$, then $h$ in (4.1) is given by

$$
h(t)=\left(\frac{p-1}{N-p}\right)^{p} r_{0}^{p}(1-t)^{\frac{-p(N-1)}{N-p}} K\left(r_{0}(1-t)^{\frac{-(p-1)}{N-p}}\right) .
$$

Notice that $h$ is singular at $t=1$ and $h \in L^{1}[0,1)$. Consequently, for radial problems $(P)+\left(D_{i}\right), i=1,2$ it is enough to consider problem (4.2) with $h \in L^{1}(0,1)$.

Direct applications of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 lead to the following corollaries for problems $(P)+\left(D_{i}\right), i=1,2$.

Corollary 4.1. Assume $0<q<p-1$ and $K \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ with $K>0$ in $\Omega$. Then $(P)+\left(D_{i}\right), i=1,2$ has at least one positive radial solutions for all $\mu>0$.

Corollary 4.2. Assume $q>p-1$ and $K \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ with $K>0$ in $\Omega$. Then there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that $(P)+\left(D_{i}\right), i=1,2$ has at least two positive radial solutions for $\mu \in\left(0, \mu^{*}\right)$, at least one positive radial solution for $\mu=\mu^{*}$ and no positive radial solution for $\mu>\mu^{*}$.
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