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## A NOTE ON THE NORMALIZED LAPLACIAN SPECTRA
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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a connected graph and $\mathcal{L}$ be its normalized Laplacian matrix. Let $\lambda_{1}$ be the second smallest eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}$. In this paper we studied the effect on the second smallest normalized Laplacian eigenvalue by grafting some pendant paths.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper it is assumed that all graphs are simple and all matrices are real. We shall use the standard terminology of graph theory and matrix theory, as introduced in most textbooks. The transpose of $A$ is denoted by $A^{T}$, and the identity matrix is denoted by $I$. The vector $e$ will always mean the vector $(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$, with $n$ ones.

Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E$. Let $d_{v}$ denote the degree of the vertex $v$. We first define the Laplacian matrix of $G$, denoted by $L(G)$, as

$$
L_{u v}= \begin{cases}d_{u} & \text { if } u=v \\ -1 & \text { if } u v \in E \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $D$ denote the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees with the $(u, u)$-entries $d_{u}$ corresponding to the vertex $u$. The normalized Laplacian matrix of $G$ is the matrix

[^0]$\mathcal{L}(G)$ given by
\[

\mathcal{L}_{u v}= $$
\begin{cases}1 & \text { if } u=v \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{u} d_{v}}} & \text { if } u v \in E \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$
\]

We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} & =D^{-1 / 2} L D^{-1 / 2} \\
& =I-D^{-1 / 2} A D^{-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the convention that $D^{-1}(u, u)=0$ if $d_{u}=0$, where $A$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}$ is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix, so its eigenvalues are all real and nonnegative, and we can use the variational description of the eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix. Let $g$ denote an arbitrary real-valued function on the vertex set of G. We can view $g$ as a column vector. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\langle g, \mathcal{L} g\rangle}{\langle g, g\rangle} & =\frac{\left\langle g, D^{-1 / 2} L D^{-1 / 2} g\right\rangle}{\langle g, g\rangle}=\frac{\langle f, L f\rangle}{\left\langle D^{1 / 2} f, D^{1 / 2} f\right\rangle} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{u \sim v}(f(u)-f(v))^{2}}{\sum_{v} f(v)^{2} d_{v}} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=D^{-1 / 2} g$ and $\sum_{u \sim v}$ denotes the sum over all unordered pairs $\{u, v\}$ for which $u$ and $v$ are adjacent. Here $\langle f, g\rangle=\sum_{x} f(x) g(x)$ denotes the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

From the definition $\mathcal{L}:=D^{-1 / 2} L D^{-1 / 2}$ we readily check that $D^{1 / 2} e$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to 0 . We denote the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$ by $0=$ $\lambda_{0} \leq \lambda_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{n-1}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{f \perp D e} \frac{\sum_{u \sim v}(f(u)-f(v))^{2}}{\sum_{v} f(v)^{2} d_{v}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call the nonzero function $f$ achieving (1.2) a harmonic eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. The corresponding eigenfunction is $g=D^{1 / 2} f$ as in (1.1).

The normalized Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph were introduced by Fan Chung. These eigenvalues relate well to many graph invariants for general graphs in a way that other definitions (such as the eigenvalues of adjacency matrices) often fail to
do. The advantages of this definition are perhaps due to the fact that it is consistent with the eigenvalues in spectral geometry and in stochastic processes. We refer the reader to [4] for the detail. More recent work on the normalized Laplacian can be found in $[1,2,3,7,10]$.

We will need the following two results. The first result is simply the eigenvector equation of $g$, but expressed in terms of $f$.

Lemma 1.1. [4]. Let $f$ be a harmonic eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$. Then for any $v \in V$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{d_{v}} \sum_{u \sim v}(f(v)-f(u))=\lambda_{1} f(v)
$$

Lemma 1.2. [3]. Let $G$ be a simple graph and let $H=G-e$ be the graph obtained from $G$ with edge e removed. If $\lambda_{0} \leq \lambda_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{n-1}$ and $\theta_{0} \leq \theta_{1} \leq$ $\cdots \leq \theta_{n-1}$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}(H)$ respectively, then

$$
\lambda_{i-1} \leq \theta_{i} \leq \lambda_{i+1}, i=0,1, \ldots, n-1
$$

where $\lambda_{-1}=0$ and $\lambda_{n}=2$.
The Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph play an important role in spectral graph theory, especially the second smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix, which Fiedler referred to as the algebraic connectivity. The eigenvector associated with the algebraic connectivity has often been called the Fiedler vector. Guo[8] in his PhD thesis investigated how the algebraic connectivity behaves when the graph is altered by grafting pendant paths. The definition of grafting is as follows: Let $u$ and $v$ be two different vertices of the connected graph $G$ and let $H_{k, l}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by appending two disjoint paths $P: v v_{k} v_{k-1} \cdots v_{1}$ and $Q: u u_{l} u_{l-1} \cdots u_{1}$ at $v$ and $u$,respectively. The graph $H_{k+l}^{\prime}=H_{k, l}-v v_{k}+u_{1} v_{k}$ or $H_{k+l}^{\prime \prime}=H_{k, l}-u u_{l}+v_{1} u_{l}$ is said to be obtained from $H_{k, l}$ by grafting pendant paths. He obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.3. Let $u$ and $v$ be two different vertices of the connected graph $G$ and $H_{k, l}$ the graph obtained from $G$ by appending two paths $P: v v_{k} v_{k-1} \cdots v_{1}$ and $Q: u u_{l} u_{l-1} \cdots u_{1}(k \geq l \geq 1)$ at $u$ and v,respectively. Let $X$ be a Fiedler vector associated with algebraic connectivity $\alpha\left(H_{k, l}\right)$ of $H_{k, l}$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{k+l}^{\prime}=H_{k, l}-v v_{k}+u_{1} v_{k} \\
& H_{k+l}^{\prime \prime}=H_{k, l}-u u_{l}+v_{1} u_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $X\left(v_{1}\right) X\left(u_{1}\right) \geq 0$, we have

$$
\alpha\left(H_{k, l}\right) \geq \alpha\left(H_{k+l}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \alpha\left(H_{k, l}\right) \geq \alpha\left(H_{k+l}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

We are interested in a similar problem for the case of the normalized Laplacian matrix. In [11], we studied the effect on the second smallest eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian of a graph by grafting pendant paths, in which the two paths are appended to the same vertex. In this paper we treat the problem for the case when the two paths are appended to different vertices.

## 2. Main ReSULTS

First we give some preliminary results which can be found in [11].
Lemma 2.1. [11]. Let $G$ be a non-complete connected graph and $f$ be the harmonic eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to the second smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$. Then for any $\alpha \geq 0$, the subgraph of $G$ induced by $M(\alpha)$ is connected, where $M(\alpha)=\{v \in V \mid f(v) \leq \alpha\}$.

In particular, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.2. Let $G$ be a non-complete connected graph, $f$ a harmonic eigenfunction. Then the subgraph induced by the vertex set consisting of all vertices $v$ that satisfy $f(v) \leq 0$ is connected. Moreover, the subgraph induced by the vertex set consisting of all vertices $v$ that satisfy $f(v) \geq 0$ is also connected.

Since $-f$ is a harmonic eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$ whenever $f$ is, the second half of the preceding corollary clearly follows from its first half.

Theorem 2.3. [11]. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph and $\mathcal{L}$ be its normalized Laplacian matrix. Let $f$ be a harmonic eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}$. Let $v$ be a cut vertex of $G$ such that $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}$ are all the connected components of the graph $G-v$. Then:
(1) If $f(v)>0$, then exactly one of the components $G_{i}$ contains a vertex negatively valuated by $f$. For all vertices $u$ in the remaining components $f(u)>f(v)$.
(2) If $f(v)=0$ and there exists a component $G_{i}$ containing both positively and negatively valuated vertices, then there is exactly one such component, all remaining components being zero valuated.
(3) If $f(v)=0$ and no component contains both positively and negatively valuated vertices, then each component contains either only positively valuated, or negatively valuated, or zero valuated vertices.

In the following we use the notation $\operatorname{vol} G$ to denote the sum of degrees of all vertices in $G$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be a connected graph, $u, v$ be two different vertices in $G$, and $H_{k, l}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by appending two paths $P: v v_{k} v_{k-1} \cdots v_{1}$ and $Q: u u_{l} u_{l-1} \cdots u_{1}$ of length $k$ and $l$ at $u$ and $v$ respectively, where $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{l}$ and $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ are distinct new vertices. Let $f$ be a harmonic eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}\left(H_{k, l}\right)$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{k, l}^{\prime}=H_{k, l}-v v_{k}+u_{1} v_{k}, \\
& H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}=H_{k, l}-u u_{l}+v_{1} u_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f\left(v_{1}\right) f\left(u_{1}\right) \geq 0$, then we have

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

Note that $f\left(v_{1}\right) f\left(u_{1}\right) \geq 0$ is equivalent to $g\left(v_{1}\right) g\left(u_{1}\right) \geq 0$.
Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{u, v, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{h}\right\}$. Then the order of $H_{k, l}$ is $n=k+l+h+2$. Let $f^{\prime}$ be the vector given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)=f\left(v_{i}\right)+f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v), i=1, \ldots, k \\
f^{\prime}(w)=f(w), w \neq v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k
\end{array}\right.
$$

By a straightforward calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle f^{\prime}, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) f^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{x y \in E\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)}\left(f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)\right)^{2} \\
= & \sum_{x y \in E\left(H_{k, l}\right)}(f(x)-f(y))^{2}=\left\langle f, L\left(H_{k, l}\right) f\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we use $d_{x}$ (respectively, $d_{x}^{\prime}$ ) to denote the degree of $x$ in $H_{k, l}$ (respectively, $H_{k, l}^{\prime}$ ), unless specified otherwise. Let $D^{\prime}$ denote the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of $H_{k, l}^{\prime}$. Then $d_{v}^{\prime}=d_{v}-1, d_{u_{1}}^{\prime}=d_{u_{1}}+1$ and $d_{w}^{\prime}=d_{w}$ for $w \neq v, u_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle f^{\prime}, D^{\prime} e\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{x} f^{\prime}(x) d_{x}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} f^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right) d_{v_{i}}^{\prime}+f^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right) d_{u_{1}}^{\prime}+f^{\prime}(v) d_{v}^{\prime}+\sum_{w \neq u_{1}, v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}} f^{\prime}(w) d_{w}^{\prime} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(f\left(v_{i}\right)+f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right) d_{v_{i}}+f\left(u_{1}\right)\left(d_{u_{1}}+1\right)+f(v)\left(d_{v}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{w \neq u_{1}, v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}} f(w) d_{w} \\
= & \sum_{x} f(x) d_{x}+\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)(1+2(k-1))+\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right) \\
= & \langle f, D e\rangle+2 k\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)=2 k\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $h=f^{\prime}-a e$, where $a=\frac{2 k\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)}{v o l H_{k, l}}$. Then

$$
\left\langle h, D^{\prime} e\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, D^{\prime} e\right\rangle-\left\langle a e, D^{\prime} e\right\rangle=2 k\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)-a v o l H_{k, l}=0,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f, L\left(H_{k, l}\right) f\right\rangle & =\left\langle f^{\prime}, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) f^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle h+a e, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)(h+a e)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle h, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)(h+a e)\right\rangle+\left\langle a e, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) f^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle h, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) h\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality holds as $L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) e=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle h, D^{\prime} h\right\rangle= & \left\langle h, D^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\rangle-a\left\langle h, D^{\prime} e\right\rangle=\left\langle h, D^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, D^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\rangle-a\left\langle e, D^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle f^{\prime}, D^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\rangle-a\left\langle f^{\prime}, D^{\prime} e\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{x \in V\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)} d_{x}^{\prime} f^{\prime 2}(x)-\frac{4 k^{2}\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)^{2}}{v o l H_{k, l}} \\
= & \sum_{x \in V\left(H_{k, l}\right)} d_{x} f^{2}(x)+f^{2}\left(u_{1}\right)-f^{2}(v)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{v_{i}}\left(\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 f\left(v_{i}\right)\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)\right)-\frac{4 k^{2}\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)^{2}}{v o l H_{k, l}} \\
= & \langle f, D f\rangle+\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)+f(v)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left(v_{i}\right) d_{v_{i}}\right) \\
& +\left(2 k-\frac{4 k^{2}}{v o l H_{k, l}}-1\right)\left(f\left(u_{1}\right)-f(v)\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{volH}_{k, l}>2 k+4$, in the last line we always have $2 k-\frac{4 k^{2}}{\text { volHk,l }}-1>$ $2 k-1-\frac{2 k^{2}}{k+2}>0$.

Now in the same way we can construct a vector $g$ corresponding to $H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfying

$$
\left\langle g, D^{\prime \prime} e\right\rangle=0,\left\langle f, L\left(H_{k, l}\right) f\right\rangle=\left\langle g, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}\right) g\right\rangle
$$

where $D^{\prime \prime}$ denotes the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of $H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle g, D^{\prime \prime} g\right\rangle= & \langle f, D f\rangle+\left(f\left(v_{1}\right)-f(u)\right)\left(f\left(v_{1}\right)+f(u)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} f\left(u_{i}\right) d_{u_{i}}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& +\left(2 l-\frac{4 l^{2}}{v o l H_{k, l}}-1\right)\left(f\left(v_{1}\right)-f(u)\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We may assume that $f\left(u_{1}\right) \geq 0$ and $f\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 0$, replacing $f$ by $-f$, if necessary. Note that the graph $H_{k, l}$ is non-complete. By Corollary 2.2 the subgraph $H$ induced by subset of vertices $w$ such that $f(w) \geq 0$ is connected. But $P$ and $Q$ are pendant paths of $G$ at $u$ and $v$ respectively, so $u, u_{1} \ldots, u_{l}, v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ are vertices of $H$. Hence we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f\left(u_{i}\right) \geq 0(i=2, \ldots, l), f(u) \geq 0  \tag{2.3}\\
f\left(v_{i}\right) \geq 0(i=2, \ldots, k), f(v) \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $f(u)>0$ then by Theorem 2.3(1)(with the cut vertex taken to be $u$ ), $f\left(u_{j}\right)>$ $f(u)$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, l$.

If $f(u)=0$ then applying Theorem 2.3, again with $u$ as the cut vertex, we can conclude that either $f\left(u_{j}\right)=0$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, l$ or they are all positive.

Similar remarks can be said for what happens when $f(v)>0$ or $f(v)=0$. Then we shall show that $f\left(u_{1}\right)>f(v)$ or $f\left(v_{1}\right)>f(u)$ if one of the following happens:
(i) $f(u)>0$ and $f(v)>0$;
(ii) $f(v)=0$ and $f\left(u_{j}\right)>0$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, l$;
(iii) $f(u)=0$ and $f\left(v_{j}\right)>0$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$.

In case (i), apply Theorem 2.3 to $H_{k, l}$ with $u$ as the cut vertex. Since $f(u)>0$, $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}$ are the vertices of the same component of $H_{k, l}-u$ and we have already shown that $f\left(u_{i}\right) \geq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$, by Theorem 2.3(1) we have $f\left(u_{1}\right)>f(u)$. Similarly, we also obtain $f\left(v_{1}\right)>f(v)$. Hence, we have either $f\left(u_{1}\right)>f(v)$ or $f\left(v_{1}\right)>f(u)$. In case (ii), obviously we have $f\left(u_{1}\right)>f(v)$. In case (iii), $f\left(v_{1}\right)>f(u)$.

If $f\left(u_{1}\right)>f(v)$, applying (2.1), we obtain $\left\langle h, D^{\prime} h\right\rangle>\langle f, D f\rangle$ and consequently

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right)=\frac{\left\langle f, L\left(H_{k, l}\right) f\right\rangle}{\langle f, D f\rangle}>\frac{\left\langle h, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) h\right\rangle}{\left\langle h, D^{\prime} h\right\rangle} \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)
$$

in view of the fact $\left\langle h, D^{\prime} e\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle f, L\left(H_{k, l}\right) f\right\rangle=\left\langle h, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) h\right\rangle$.
Similarly, in the case $f\left(v_{1}\right)>f(u)$, using (2.2) we get $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right)>\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
What remains to consider is the case:
(iv) $f(u)=f(v)=f\left(u_{1}\right)=\ldots=f\left(u_{l}\right)=f\left(v_{1}\right)=\ldots=f\left(v_{k}\right)=0$.

In this case, in view of (2.1), $\langle f, D f\rangle=\left\langle h, D^{\prime} h\right\rangle$. So we have

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right)=\frac{\left\langle f, L\left(H_{k, l}\right) f\right\rangle}{\langle f, D f\rangle}=\frac{\left\langle h, L\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) h\right\rangle}{\left\langle h, D^{\prime} h\right\rangle} \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

By symmetry, we also obtain $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
Based on newGRAPH and Matlab, we generate some graphs which are given in Fig. 1. The real numbers attached to vertices in each graphs are the valuations by the harmonic eigenfuction of the graph. In graph $G_{1}, g$ denotes the harmonic eigenfunction of the graph $G=G_{1}-\left\{v_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$.

From the proof of Theorem 2.4, one can find that if $f\left(v_{1}\right) f\left(u_{1}\right)>0$ then $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right)$ is strictly greater than $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)$ or $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The graph $G_{1}$ serves to illustrate that in Theorem 2.4 if $f\left(v_{1}\right) f\left(u_{1}\right)=0$, it is possible that both inequalities become equalities. Here we take $H_{k, l}$ to be $G_{1}$ (with $\left.G=G_{1}-\left\{v_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}\right)$, $\lambda_{1}\left(G_{1}-\left\{v_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)=\lambda_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(G_{1}-v v_{1}+u_{1} v_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(G_{1}-\right.$ $\left.u u_{3}+v_{1} u_{3}\right)$. More information can be found in our next proposition.

The graph $G_{2}$ (also $G_{3}$ ) serves to illustrate that when the condition $f\left(v_{1}\right) f\left(u_{1}\right) \geq$ 0 is not met it is still possible that we have $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right)>\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right)>$ $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. This leads us to suspect that the conclusion of Theorem 2.4 always holds and the "or" in the conclusion may be replaced by "and".

Proposition 2.5. Let $G$ be a graph and $v$ be a vertex of $G$. Let $H$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by adding a pendant edge $e=v u$ at $v$ and let $f$ be $a$ harmonic eigenfunction of $H$. If $f(v)=f(u)=0$, then the restriction of $f$ to $G$ is a harmonic eigenfuction of $G$ and so $\lambda_{1}(H)=\lambda_{1}(G)$.

Proof. Set $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(H)$. Define a function $g$ on $V(G)$ as follows: $g(w)=$ $f(w)$, for any $w \in V(G)$. Then for any $w \in V(G)-v$,

$$
\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) d_{w}^{\prime} g(w)=\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) d_{w} f(w)=\sum_{x \sim w} f(x)=\sum_{x \sim w} g(x) ;
$$

(Here and in the following $d_{x}^{\prime}$ stands for the degree of the vertex $x$ in $G$ ) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) d_{v}^{\prime} g(v) & =0=\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) d_{v} f(v)=\sum_{v_{j} \sim v} f\left(v_{j}\right)=\sum_{v_{j} \sim v, v_{j} \neq u} f\left(v_{j}\right)+f(u) \\
& =\sum_{v_{j} \sim v, v_{j} \neq u} f\left(v_{j}\right)=\sum_{v_{j} \sim v, v_{j} \in V(G)} g\left(v_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 1.

So we conclude that for any $w \in V(G),\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) d_{w}^{\prime} g(w)=\sum_{v_{i} \sim w} g\left(v_{i}\right)$. That is, $D^{-1} L g=\lambda_{1} g$, where $D$ and $L$ denote the diagonal matrix and Laplacian matrix of $G$, respectively. Thus $\lambda_{1}$ is an eigenvalue of $D^{-1} L$ and so an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. By Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$
\lambda_{1}(H) \leq \lambda_{2}(H-e)=\lambda_{1}(G)
$$

So $\lambda_{1}(H)=\lambda_{1}(G)$ and $g$ is a harmonic eigenfunction of $G$ with $g(v)=0$ as desired.

If case (iv) happens, i.e., if $f(u)=f(v)=f\left(u_{1}\right)=\ldots=f\left(u_{l}\right)=f\left(v_{1}\right)=\ldots$ $=f\left(v_{k}\right)=0$, then by applying Proposition 2.5 repeatedly we can conclude that $\lambda_{1}\left(H_{k, l}\right)=\lambda_{1}(G)$ and the harmonic eigenfunction $g$ of $G$ satisfies $g(u)=g(v)=0$.
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