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# VOLTERRA TYPE OPERATORS ON $Q_{K}$ SPACES 

Songxiao Li and Hasi Wulan


#### Abstract

The boundness of Volterra type operators on $Q_{K}$ space is investigated in this paper. A new generalized Carleson measure and Logarithmic $Q_{K}$ spaces has been introduced and studied. In addition, we give a new characterization of $Q_{K}$ space and $Q_{K, 0}$ space.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ be the unit disk of complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $\partial \mathbb{D}$ be the boundary of $\mathbb{D}$. Denote by $H(\mathbb{D})$ the class of functions analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, $g(z, a)=\log \frac{1}{\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|}$ is the Green function in $\mathbb{D}$, where $\varphi_{a}(z)=(a-z) /(1-\bar{a} z)$ is the Möbius map of $\mathbb{D}$. An $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is said to belong to the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ if $B(f)=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty$. The expression $B(f)$ defines a seminorm while the natural norm is given by $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=|f(0)|+B(f)$. The norm makes $\mathcal{B}$ into a conformally invariant Banach space.

For any nonnegative, nondecreasing and Lebesgue measurable function $K$ : $(0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, we say that $f$ belongs to the space $Q_{K}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{Q_{K}}^{2}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z)<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d A$ is an area measure on $\mathbb{D}$ normalized so that $A(\mathbb{D})=1$. It is easy to see that $Q_{K}$ is Möbius invariant, that is,

$$
\left\|f \circ \varphi_{a}\right\|_{Q_{K}}=\|f\|_{Q_{K}},
$$

whenever $f \in Q_{K}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$.

The space $Q_{K, 0}$ consists of analytic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $Q_{K, 0}$ is a closed subspace in $Q_{K}$. We know that the Green function $g(a, z)$ in (1) and (2) can be replaced by the expression $1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}$ (see [8]).

For $0<p<\infty, K(t)=t^{p}$ gives the space $Q_{p} . K(t)=1$ gives the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$. For more results on $Q_{p}$ spaces and $Q_{K}$ spaces, see [5, 6, 8, 9, 19-22].

If the function $K$ is only defined on $(0,1]$, then we extend it to $(0, \infty)$ by setting $K(t)=K(1)$ for $t>1$. We define an auxiliary function (see [9] or [21]) as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{K}(s)=\sup _{0<t \leq 1} \frac{K(s t)}{K(t)}, 0<s<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $K$ is continuous and nondecreasing on $(0,1]$. This ensures that the function $\varphi_{K}$ is continuous and nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover we need the following constraints on $K$ : $\varphi_{K}(2)<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{K}(s) \frac{d s}{s}<\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f, g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. A class of integral operators introduced by Pommerenke in [16] is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{g} f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(\xi) g^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $J_{g}$ Volterra type operator(see, e.g. [17]), which can be viewed as a generalization of the Cesaro operator(see, e.g. [7]).

Similarly, another integral operator is defined by(see, e.g. [24])

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{g} f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f^{\prime}(\xi) g(\xi) d \xi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The importance of the operator $J_{g}$ and $I_{g}$ comes from the fact that

$$
J_{g} f+I_{g} f=M_{g} f-f(0) g(0),
$$

where the multiplication operator $M_{g}$ is defined by $\left(M_{g} f\right)(z)=g(z) f(z)$.
In [16] Pommerenke showed that $J_{g}$ is a bounded operator on the Hardy space $H^{2}$ if and only if $g \in$ BMOA. Aleman and Siskakis considered $J_{g}$ on the Hardy space, $1 \leq p<\infty$, and weighted Bergman space in [2, 3]. Recently, the boundedness and compactness of $J_{g}$ and $I_{g}$ between some spaces of analytic functions, as
well as their $n$-dimensional extensions, were investigated in $[1,7,10-13,17,18$, 23, 24] (see also the related references therein).

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section, we introduce the concept of the $Q_{K}$ space and the Volterra type operators $J_{g}, I_{g}$. The second section is devoted to study the boundedness of Volterra type operators $J_{g}$ and $I_{g}$ on the $Q_{K}$ space. In the third section, we introduce a new Carleson type measure, i.e. $p-\operatorname{logarithmic}$ $K$-Carleson measure and characterized it. In the fourth section, we introduce two new spaces, logarithmic $Q_{K}$ space and logarithmic $Q_{K, 0}$ space, denoted by $Q_{K}^{\log }$ and $Q_{K, 0}^{\log }$ respectively. Some characterizations of $Q_{K}^{\log }$ and $Q_{K, 0}^{\log }$ are given. In addition, a new characterization of $Q_{K}$ space is given in the last section. These results can be viewed as a development of our early study on $Q_{K}$ spaces, see [8, 9, 14, 19, 20, 21].

Throughout the paper, constants are denoted by $C$, they are positive and may differ from one occurrence to the other. $a \preceq b$ means that there is a positive constant $C$ such that $a \leq C b$. Moreover, if both $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$ hold, then one says that $a \asymp b$.

## 2. The Operators $J_{g}$ AND $I_{g}$ ON $Q_{K}$ Spaces

In this section, we give the characterization of boundedness of the operators $J_{g}$ and $I_{g}$ on the $Q_{K}$ space. We state the first result of this section as follows.

Theorem 2.1. Let $K$ satisfy (4).
(a) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{S(I)}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z)<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $J_{g}$ is bounded on $Q_{K}$.
(b) If $J_{g}$ is bounded on $Q_{K}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^{2} \int_{S(I)}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z)<\infty . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the above theorem, we need the following two results which can be found in [9].

Lemma 2.1. Let $K$ satisfy (4) and $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) $f \in Q_{K}$.
(ii) $\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty$.
(iii) $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure on $\mathbb{D}$, that is

$$
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{S(I)}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z)<\infty
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $K$ satisfy (4). Then $\log (1-z)$ belongs to $Q_{K}$.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose (7) holds and let $f \in Q_{K}$. By Lemma 2.1, we will show $F=J_{g}(f) \in Q_{K}$ by proving that $\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)$ is a $K$-Carleson measure. Let $I \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ be an arc. Using the fact that $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq\|f\|_{Q_{K}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S(I)}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z) \\
= & \int_{S(I)}|f(z)|^{2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{2} \int_{S(I)}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z)  \tag{9}\\
\leq & \|f\|_{Q_{K}}^{2} \int_{S(I)}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z)<\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 2.1, we get the desired result.
Conversely, suppose that $J_{g}: Q_{K} \rightarrow Q_{K}$ is bounded. For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, set $f_{a}(z)=$ $\log \frac{1}{1-\bar{a} z}$. Since $K$ satisfies (4), by Lemma 2.2 , we see that $f_{a} \in Q_{K}$. For an arc $I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$, let $a=(1-|I|) e^{i \theta}$ with the midpoint $e^{i \theta}$ of $I$. Then there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C} \log \frac{2}{|I|} \leq\left|f_{a}(z)\right| \leq C \log \frac{2}{|I|} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in S(I)$. Therefore we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^{2} \int_{S(I)}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \int_{S(I)}\left|f_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z)  \tag{11}\\
= & \int_{S(I)}\left|\left(J_{g} f_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \left\|J_{g}\left(f_{a}\right)\right\|_{Q_{K}}^{2} \preceq\left\|J_{g}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that (8) holds. This finishes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $K$ satisfy (4). The operator $I_{g}$ is bounded on $Q_{K}$ if and only if $g \in H^{\infty}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{g}\right\| \asymp\|g\|_{\infty} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the definition of $I_{g}$, we have that $\left(I_{g} f\right)^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(z) g(z)$ and $I_{g} f(0)=0$. Assume that $g \in H^{\infty}$. For an $f \in Q_{K}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|I_{g} f\right\|_{Q_{K}}^{2} & =\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(I_{g} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
& =\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}|g(z)|^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z)  \tag{13}\\
& \preceq\|g\|_{\infty}^{2}\|f\|_{Q_{K}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $I_{g}$ is bounded on $Q_{K}$ and $\left\|I_{g}\right\| \preceq\|g\|_{\infty}$.
Conversely, assume that the operator $I_{g}: Q_{K} \rightarrow Q_{K}$ is bounded. For any $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|a|>1 / 2$, taking $f_{a}=\log \frac{1}{1-\bar{a} z}$, then $f_{a} \in Q_{K}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g(z) \| f_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) & =\left|\left(I_{g} f_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq\left\|I_{g} f_{a}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
& \preceq\left\|I_{g} f_{a}\right\|_{Q_{K}}  \tag{14}\\
& \preceq\left\|I_{g}\right\|\left\|f_{a}\right\|_{Q_{K}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $z=a$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\bar{a}||g(a)| \preceq\left\|I_{g}\right\|\left\|f_{a}\right\|_{Q_{K}} \preceq\left\|I_{g}\right\|\left\|\log \frac{1}{1-z}\right\|_{Q_{K}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking supremum in the last inequality over the set $1 / 2 \leq|a|<1$ and noticing that by the maximum modulus principle there is a positive constant $C$ independent of $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}}|g(a)| \leq C \sup _{1 / 2 \leq|a|<1}|\bar{a}||g(a)| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (15) and (16), for any $a \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(a)| \preceq\left\|I_{g}\right\| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (17) we obtain (12). It completes the proof of this theorem.

## 3. Logarithmic $K$-Carleson Measure and Characterization

Let $\mu$ denote a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$. For a subarc $I \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, let

$$
S(I)=\{r \zeta \in \mathbb{D}: 1-|I|<r<1, \zeta \in I\} .
$$

If $|I| \geq 1$, then we set $S(I)=\mathbb{D}$. For $0<p<\infty$, we say that $\mu$ is a $p$-Carleson measure on $\mathbb{D}$ if

$$
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}} \mu(S(I)) /|I|^{p}<\infty
$$

Here and henceforth $\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}}$ indicates the supremum taken over all subarcs $I$ of $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Note that $p=1$ gives the classical Carleson measure.

From (8), if we let $d \mu=\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)$, then we obtain a natural expression

$$
\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^{2} \int_{S(I)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d \mu(z)
$$

Motivated by the above formula, we define a new measure and give some characterizations of it.

Definition 3.1. For $0 \leq p<\infty$, a positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is called a $p$-logarithmic $K$-Carleson measure if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{I \subset \partial \mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^{p} \int_{S(I)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d \mu(z)<\infty . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is called a vanishing $p$-logarithmic $K$-Carleson measure if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|I| \rightarrow 0}\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^{p} \int_{S(I)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d \mu(z)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. Note that $\mu$ is called $K$-Carleson measure if $p=0$, see [9] for more results about $K$-Carleson measures. The related $p$-logarithmic $s$-Carleson measure was studied in [15, 25].

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$ and $0 \leq p<\infty$. Let $K$ satisfy (4). Then $\mu$ is a $p$-logarithmic $K$-Carleson measure if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d \mu(z)<\infty . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume that (20) holds. For a subarc $I \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, suppose that $e^{i \theta}$ is the midpoint of $I$. Then by taking $a=e^{i \theta}(1-|I|)$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{|I|} \preceq \frac{1-|a|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2}} \preceq \frac{1}{|1-\bar{a} z|}, \quad z \in S(I) .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^{p} \int_{S(I)} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d \mu(z) & =\int_{S(I)}\left(\log \frac{2}{|I|}\right)^{p} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{|I|}\right) d \mu(z) \\
& \preceq \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d \mu(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mu$ is a $p-$ logarithmic $K$-Carleson measure.
Necessity. We suppose that $\mu$ is a $p$-logarithmic $K$-Carleson measure. Now, for $|a|>3 / 4$, let $I$ be the subarc centered at $a /|a|$ of length $\frac{(1-|a|)}{2 \pi}$. Consider

$$
S_{n}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}:\left|z-\frac{a}{|a|}\right| \leq 2^{n}(1-|a|)\right\}, \quad n=1,2, \cdots
$$

We have that

$$
\frac{1-|a|^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2}} \preceq \frac{1}{2^{2 n}|I|}, \quad z \in S_{n} \backslash S_{n-1}, \quad n=2, \cdots .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d \mu(z) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2}}\right) d \mu(z) \\
\preceq & \int_{S_{1}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2}}\right) d \mu(z) \\
& +\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{S_{n} \backslash S_{n-1}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2}}\right) d \mu(z) \\
\preceq & C+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{S_{n} \backslash S_{n-1}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{2 n}|I|}\right) d \mu(z) \\
\preceq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\log \frac{2}{2^{n}|I|}\right)^{p} \sup _{z \in S_{n}} \frac{K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{2 n}|I|}\right)}{K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{n} I I}\right)} \int_{S_{n}} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{n}|I|}\right) d \mu(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $\frac{1-|z|}{2^{n}| |}=t$, we have

$$
\sup _{z \in S_{n}} \frac{K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{2 n}|I|}\right)}{K\left(\frac{1-z \mid}{2^{n}|I|}\right)} \leq \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{K\left(2^{-n} t\right)}{K(t)}=\varphi_{K}\left(2^{-n}\right) .
$$

Since $\mu$ is a $p-$ logarithmic $K-$ Carleson measure,

$$
\left(\log \frac{2}{2^{n}|I|}\right)^{p} \int_{S_{n}} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{n}|I|}\right) d \mu(z) \preceq 1,
$$

for all $n=1,2, \cdots$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\log \frac{2}{2^{n}|I|}\right)^{p} \sup _{z \in S_{n}} \frac{K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{2 n}|I|}\right)}{K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{n}|I|}\right)} \int_{S_{n}} K\left(\frac{1-|z|}{2^{n}|I|}\right) d \mu(z) \\
\preceq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varphi_{K}\left(2^{-n}\right) \preceq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi_{K}(s)}{s} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d \mu(z) \preceq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi_{K}(s)}{s} d s<\infty .
$$

The proof is completed.
Carefully check the proof of the above theorem, we have the following result. We omit the details.

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 \leq p<\infty$ and $\mu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{D}$. Let $K$ satisfy (4). Then $\mu$ is a vanishing $p$-logarithmic $K-C a r l e s o n ~ m e a s u r e ~ i f ~ a n d ~ o n l y ~$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{p} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d \mu(z)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. The Logarithmic $Q_{K}$ Spaces

From the above section, it is natural to consider the following spaces $Q_{K}^{\log }$ and $Q_{K, 0}^{\log }$ defined as follows.

For any nonnegative, nondecreasing and Lebesgue measurable function $K$ : $(0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, we say that $f$ belongs to the logarithmic $Q_{K}$ space, denoted by $Q_{K}^{\log }$, if

$$
\|f\|_{Q_{K}^{\log }}^{2}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z)<\infty
$$

and $f$ belongs to the space $Q_{K, 0}^{\log }$ if

$$
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z)=0
$$

To study the spaces $Q_{K}^{\log }$ and $Q_{K, 0}^{\log }$, we consider the logarithmic Bloch space $\mathcal{B}^{\log }$ and the little logarithmic Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{0}^{\log }$. We say $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\log }$ if

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\log }}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^{2}}<\infty .
$$

$f$ belongs to the little logarithmic Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{0}^{\text {log }}$ if

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^{2}}=0
$$

In [4], Attete proved that if $f \in L_{a}^{1}$ then the Hankel operator $H_{\bar{f}}$ is bounded on $L_{a}^{1}$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\log }$.

The first result concering the relationship between $Q_{K}^{\log }$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\log }$, is follows.
Theorem 4.1. $Q_{K}^{\log } \subset \mathcal{B}^{\log } ; Q_{K, 0}^{\log } \subset \mathcal{B}_{0}^{\log }$.
Proof. For $0<r<1$, let $\mathbb{D}(a, r)=\left\{a \in \mathbb{D}:\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|<r\right\}$ be the pseudohyperbolic disk with center $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and radius $r$. By [27] we see that

$$
\frac{1}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2}} \asymp \frac{1}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \asymp \frac{1}{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2}} \asymp \frac{1}{|\mathbb{D}(a, r)|}, z \in \mathbb{D}(a, r) .
$$

Choose an $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $g(z, a) \geq \log \frac{1}{r_{0}}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}(a, r)$. By the subharmonicity, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\succeq & K\left(\log \frac{1}{r_{0}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{D}\left(a, r_{0}\right)}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
\succeq & K\left(\log \frac{1}{r_{0}}\right)\left(\log \frac{2}{1-|a|^{2}}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}\left(a, r_{0}\right)}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
\succeq & K\left(\log \frac{1}{r_{0}}\right)\left(\log \frac{2}{1-|a|^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $Q_{K}^{\log } \subset \mathcal{B}^{\log }$. The proof of the inclusion $Q_{K, 0}^{\log } \subset \mathcal{B}_{0}^{\log }$ is similar to the former.

Theorem 4.2. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} K(\log (1 / r))\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{-2} r d r<\infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (i) $Q_{K}^{\log }=\mathcal{B}^{\log }$; (ii) $Q_{K, 0}^{\log }=\mathcal{B}_{0}^{\log }$.
Proof.
(i) From Theorem 4.1, we know that $Q_{K}^{\log } \subset \mathcal{B}^{\log }$. Now we assume that $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\log }$ and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{1-|z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\log }}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\log }}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} K(\log (1 / r))\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{-2} r d r<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f \in Q_{K}^{\mathrm{log}}$.
(ii) From Theorem 4.1, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{B}_{0}^{\log } \subset Q_{K, 0}^{\log }$. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{0}^{\log }$. From the assumption, for given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an $r, 0<r<1$, such that

$$
\int_{r}^{1} K(\log (1 / r))\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{-2} r d r<\varepsilon
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{D}(a, r)}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{D}(a, r)}\left(\log \frac{2}{1-|z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \|f\|_{\mathcal{B} \log }^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \mathbb{D}(a, r)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z)  \tag{23}\\
\preceq & \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\log }}^{2} \int_{r}^{1} K(\log (1 / r))\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{-2} r d r \\
\preceq & \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\log }}^{2} \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{B}_{0}^{\log }$, we see that for given $\varepsilon>0$, there existing $\delta>0$, such that for $\delta<|z|<1$

$$
\log \frac{2}{1-|a|}\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

For $z \in \mathbb{D}(a, r)$, we can choose $\rho, 0<\rho<1$, such that $\rho<|a|<1$ implies $\delta<|z|<1$. Then for $\rho<|a|<1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}(a, r)}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \int_{\mathbb{D}(a, r)}\left(\log \frac{2}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z)  \tag{24}\\
\preceq & \varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}(a, r)}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{r} K(\log (1 / r))\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{-2} r d r .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (23) and (24), we get

$$
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z)=0
$$

which shows that $f \in Q_{K, 0}^{\log }$. We complete the proof.

Theorem 4.3. Let $K$ satisfy (4) and $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(a) $f \in Q_{K}^{\log }$.
(b) $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)$ is a 2-logarithmic $K$-Carleson measure.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow(b)$. Suppose that $f \in Q_{K}^{\log }$, by $1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2} \leq g(z, a)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 3.1, we see that (b) holds.
Assume that (b) holds, i.e (25) holds. From the proof of Theorem 4.1 we know that (25) implies $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\log }$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|g(z, a)|>1}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \int_{|g(z, a)|>1}\left(\log \frac{2}{1-|z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\log }} \int_{|g(z, a)|>1}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z)  \tag{26}\\
\preceq & \|f\|_{\mathcal{B} \log }^{2} \int_{|w|<1 / e}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{-2} K\left(\log \frac{1}{|w|}\right) d A(w) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|g(z, a)| \leq 1}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K(g(z, a)) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \int_{\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1}{e}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
\preceq & \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\log \frac{2}{|1-\bar{a} z|}\right)^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (26), shows that $f \in Q_{K}^{\log }$.
Similarly, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 4.4. Let $K$ satisfy (4) and $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(a) $f \in Q_{K, 0}^{\log }$;
(b) $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)$ is a vanishing 2-logarithmic K-Carleson measure.

## 5. A New Characterization of $Q_{K}$ Space

In [21], the high order derivative characterizations of $Q_{K}$ and $Q_{K, 0}$ spaces were given by the second author and Zhu which can be stated as follows.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose the function $K$ satisfies (4) or that there exists some $p<2$ such that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{K}(s)}{s^{p}} d s<\infty
$$

Then for any positive integer $n$, an $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $Q_{K}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we give another characterizations of $Q_{K}$ and $Q_{K, 0}$ as follows.
Theorem 5.2. Suppose the function $K$ satisfies (4) or that there exists some $p<2$ such that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{K}(s)}{s^{p}} d s<\infty
$$

Then for any positive integer $n$, an $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $Q_{K}$ if and only if (28) $\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(n)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty$.

To prove the Theorem 5.2, we need the following lemma (see [26]).
Lemma 5.1. Suppose $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}, a \in \mathbb{D}$, and $n$ is a positive integer. Then

$$
\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(n)}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} f^{(k)}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right) \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{k}}{(1-\bar{a} z)^{n+k}},
$$

and

$$
f^{(n)}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right) \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{n}}{(1-\bar{a} z)^{2 n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{d_{k}}{(1-\bar{a} z)^{n-k}}\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(k)}(z),
$$

where $c_{k}$ and $d_{k}$ are polynomials of $\bar{a}$.
Proof of Theorem 5.2. By a change of variables, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(n)}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{4}} d A(z) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(n)}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2 n}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{4 n}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{d_{k}}{(1-\bar{a} z)^{n-k}}\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(k)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{2}\left|d_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(k)}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2(n-k)}} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{2}\left|d_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(k)}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2(n-k)}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2(n-k)}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 k-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{2}\left|d_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(k)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 k-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for any positive integer $m \geq 2$,

$$
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(m)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 m-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty
$$

implies that

$$
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(m-1)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2(m-1)-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty
$$

Therefore (28) together with (29) imply

$$
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty .
$$

From (27), we see that $f \in Q_{K}$.
Conversely, assume that $f \in Q_{K}$. By (27), for any positive integer $k$,

$$
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 k-2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty
$$

Hence for any positive integer $n$, by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(n)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(k)}\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2 k}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2(n+k)}} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)^{2 k}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2}}{\left|1-\bar{a} \varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2(n+k)}} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A\left(\varphi_{a}(z)\right) \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2(n-k)}}{|1-\bar{a} z|^{2(n-k)}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 k-2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n} n^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 k-2} K\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
Remark 2. Since our estimates are pointwise estimates with respect to $a \in \mathbb{D}$, we have the corresponding little oh version characterizations of $Q_{K, 0}$ spaces as follows.

Theorem 5.3. Suppose the function $K$ satisfies (4) or that there exists some $p<2$ such that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{K}(s)}{s^{p}} d s<\infty
$$

Then for any positive integer $n$, an $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $Q_{K, 0}$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(n)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2} K\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)=0
$$

As a corollary, we obtain the following new characterizations of $Q_{p}$ and $Q_{p, 0}$ space.

Corollary 5.1. For any positive integer $n$ and $0<p<\infty$, an $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $Q_{p}$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(n)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2+p} d A(z)<\infty
$$

An $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $Q_{p, 0}$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(f \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{(n)}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2 n-2+p} d A(z)=0
$$
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