TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 215-229, March 2007 This paper is available online at http://www.math.nthu.edu.tw/tjm/

ON THE SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORMS OF THE INTERSECTION OF SUBMANIFOLDS

Jiazu Zhou

Abstract. Let G be a Lie group and H its subgroup, and let M^p , N^q be two submanifolds of dimensions p, q, respectively, in the Riemannian homogeneous space G/H. We study the relationships between the second fundamental forms of $M^p \cap gN^q$ and the second fundamental forms of M^p , N^q for $g \in G$. We find that the second fundamental form of $M^p \cap gN^q$ can be expressed by the curvature functions of M^p , N^q and the "angle" between M^p and N^q . All results achieved are the generalizations of known results of the classical differential geometry in \mathbb{R}^3 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a Lie group (that is, a manifold equipped with group structure), which is assumed to have a left and also right invariant Riemannian metric. Let H be a closed subgroup of G. Then G/H is a Riemannian homogeneous space. Denote by dg the kinematic density of G (the Haar measure in geometric measure theory). Let M^p , N^q be two submanifolds of dimensions p, q, respectively, in G/H. We assume that M^p is fixed and N^q is moving under the action $g \in G$. It is always assumed that M^p and N^q are in general positions, that is, for almost all $g \in G$, the dimension of $M^p \cap qN^q$ is $p + q - \dim(G/H) \ge 0$.

Let $I(M^p \cap gN^q)$ be an *integral invariant* of the submanifold $M^p \cap gN^q$ of dimension p + q - n. Evaluating the integral of type

(1.1)
$$\int_G I(M^p \cap gN^q) \, dg$$

Received January 16, 2005, revised August 22, 2005.

Communicated by Shu-Cheng Chang.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 52A22, 53C65; Secondary 51C16.

Key words and phrases: Second fundamental form, Mean curvature, Normal curvature, Euler-Meusnier formula.

Supported in part by the Chinese NSF (grant No. 10671159), Hong Kong Qiu Shi Foundation and Southwest University.

and expressing by the integral invariants of submanifolds M^p and N^q is called the kinematic formula for $I(M^p \cap qN^q)$ in integral geometry. For example, in the case that G is the group of isometry of \mathbf{R}^n , M^p and N^q are submanifolds of \mathbf{R}^n , and $I(M^p \cap gN^q) = \operatorname{vol}(M^p \cap gN^q)$, the volume of $M^p \cap gN^q$, the evaluation of $\int_{C} I(M^p \cap qN^q) dq$ leads to formulas due to Poincaré, Blaschké, Santaló, Howard and others (see [9, 11, 12] for references). If G is the unitary group U(n+1) acting on complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^n , M^p and N^q are complex analytic submanifolds of \mathbb{CP}^n , and $I(M^p \cap qN^q)$ is the integral of a Chern class leads to the kinematic formula of Shifrin [14]. If M, N are two domains of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and $I(M \cap gN) = \chi(M \cap gN)$ is the Euler characteristic of the intersection of two domains M and N for rigid motion $g \in G$ of \mathbb{R}^n , then $\int_G \chi(M \cap gN) dg$ can be expressed explicitly by the integrals of elementary symmetric functions of principal curvatures over the boundaries and the Euler characteristics of the two domains Mand N. This well-known fundamental kinematic formula in integral geometry is due to S. S. Chern [5, 6]. Refer to [1-3, 7, 10, 13, 18, 19, 23] for literatures of kinematic formulas.

An important unsolved problem is that can an invariant $I(M^p \cap gN^q)$ (either intrinsic or extrinsic) be expressed by invariants of submanifolds M^p and N^q . At least we are not aware of letting $I(M \cap gN) = \operatorname{diam}(M \cap gN)$, the diameter of intersection $M \cap gN$ of two domains M and N in \mathbb{R}^n . The classical Euler formula says that the curvature κ of intersection curve $M \cap gN$ of two surfaces M and N in \mathbb{R}^3 can be expressed by their normal curvatures of surfaces and the angle between M and N.

Proposition 1. Let M and N be two surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 with the normal curvatures κ_n^M and κ_n^N . Let κ be the curvature of the intersection curve $M \cap gN$ and ϕ be the angle between M and gN. Then we have the following Euler formula ([4, 15])

(1.2)
$$\kappa^2 \sin^2 \phi = \left(\kappa_n^M\right)^2 + \left(\kappa_n^N\right)^2 - 2\cos\phi \left(\kappa_n^M\right) \left(\kappa_n^N\right).$$

We used this formula to prove the C-S. Chen's kinematic formula ([3, 23]). Let H_M , H_N be, respectively, mean curvatures of M, N, and let

(1.3)
$$\tilde{H}_M = \int_M H_M^2 \, d\sigma, \quad \tilde{H}_N = \int_N H_N^2 \, d\sigma.$$

Then we have the the following kinematic formula

(1.4)
$$\int_{G} \left(\int_{M \cap gN} \kappa^{2} ds \right) dg$$
$$= 2\pi^{2} \left\{ \left(3\tilde{H}_{M} - 2\pi\chi(M) \right) F_{N} + \left(3\tilde{H}_{N} - 2\pi\chi(N) \right) F_{M} \right\}$$

where F_M , F_N are areas of M, N, respectively, and $\chi(.)$ is the Euler characteristic.

Our main task of this paper is to find the Euler formula (1.2) in higher dimensions. We obtain a fundamental formula over the second fundamental form of $M^p \cap gN^q$, that is, the second fundamental form of the intersection $M^p \cap gN^q$ can be written as the linear combination of the second forms of M^p and N^q . Since all curvature functions are determined by the second fundamental forms, our formula contains a great deal of curvature information in geometry.

The formulas we are pursuing can be applied to achieve more kinematic formulas in general homogeneous space G/H. In [18], we obtained a generalized Euler formula for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n and as its applications we achieved the kinematic formulas for mean curvature powers of hypersurface. Moreover, we obtained an extension of Hadwiger's containment problem, i.e., a sufficient condition for one domain to contain another in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{2n} . The significance of kinematic formulas are not just interested in their own light but also can be applied to other geometry branches. In their papers ([8, 11, 17, 18, 20-24]), Grinberg, Ren, Zhang, and Zhou obtained the sufficient conditions for Hadwiger's containment problem in high dimensions and the Willmore functional deficit estimate for convex surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 . As one see, our motivation of writing this paper clearly comes from the integral geometry.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a p-dimensional submanifold immersed in an n-dimensional Riemannian space N. We choose a local field of orthonormal frames e_1, \dots, e_n in N such that, restricted to X, the vector e_1, \dots, e_p are tangent to X. We make use of the following convention on the ranges of indices:

(2.1)
$$1 \leq A, B, C, \dots \leq n,$$
$$p+1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq n,$$
$$1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots \leq p.$$

With respect to the frame field of N chosen above, let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be the field of dual frames. Then the structure equations of N are given by

(2.2)
$$dx = \sum_{A} \omega_A e_A,$$

(2.3)
$$d\omega_A = -\sum_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0$$

(2.4)
$$d\omega_{AB} = -\sum_{C} \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} + \Phi_{AB}, \quad \Phi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} K_{ABCD} \omega_{C} \wedge \omega_{D}$$

(2.5)
$$K_{ABCD} = K_{CDAB}, \ K_{ABCD} = -K_{ABDC} = -K_{BACD}, \\ K_{ABCD} + K_{ADBC} + K_{ACDB} = 0.$$

If these are restricted to X, then

$$(2.6) \qquad \qquad \omega_i = 0.$$

Since $0 = d\omega_i = -\sum_{\alpha} \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\alpha}$, by Cartan's lemma we can write

(2.7)
$$\omega_{i\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} h^i_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\beta}, \ h^i_{\alpha\beta} = h^i_{\beta\alpha}.$$

From these formulas, we obtain

(2.8)
$$d\omega_{\alpha} = -\sum_{\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta}, \ \omega_{\alpha\beta} + \omega_{\beta\alpha} = 0,$$

(2.9)
$$d\omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\sum_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} + \Omega_{\alpha\beta}, \ \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma,\sigma} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma} \omega_{\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\sigma},$$

(2.10)
$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma} = R_{\gamma\sigma\alpha\beta}, \ R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma} = -R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\gamma} = -R_{\beta\alpha\gamma\sigma}, R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\sigma} + R_{\alpha\sigma\beta\gamma} + R_{\alpha\gamma\sigma\beta} = 0.$$

(2.11)
$$d\omega_{ij} = -\sum_{k} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \Omega_{ij}, \ \Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} R_{ij\alpha\beta} \, \omega_{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\beta}.$$

$$(2.12) \quad R_{ij\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta ij}, \ R_{ij\alpha\beta} = -R_{ij\beta\alpha} = -R_{ji\alpha\beta}, \ R_{i\alpha\beta\gamma} + R_{i\gamma\alpha\beta} + R_{i\beta\gamma\alpha} = 0.$$

The Riemannian connection of X is defined by $(\omega_{\alpha\beta})$. The form (ω_{ij}) defines a connection in the normal bundle of X. We call

(2.13)
$$II = \sum_{i} II_{i} e_{i} = \sum_{i} \langle d^{2}x, e_{i} \rangle e_{i} = \sum_{i,\alpha,\beta} h^{i}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} e_{i}$$

the second fundamental form of the immersed submanifold X. Sometimes we shall denote the second fundamental form by

(2.14)
$$II_i = \langle d^2x, e_i \rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} h^i_{\alpha\beta} \omega_\alpha \, \omega_\beta = \langle II, e_i \rangle$$

218

or simply its components $h^i_{\alpha\beta}.$ The length of the second fundamental form II of X is defined by

(2.15)
$$|II|^2 = \sum_i |II_i|^2 = \sum_i \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (h^i_{\alpha\beta})^2.$$

The mean curvature vector \overrightarrow{H} is defined by

(2.16)
$$\vec{H} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \left(\operatorname{trace}(II_{i}) \right) e_{i} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\alpha}^{i} \right) e_{i},$$

and its length H, that is,

(2.17)
$$H = \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \sum_{i} \left(\mathbf{trace}(II_{i}) \right)^{2} \right\}^{1/2} = \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\alpha}^{i} \right)^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$$

is called the *mean curvature* of X.

Let $X^p \subset Y^q \subset \mathbf{N} \ (p \leq q < n)$ be two submanifolds. If we choose the frame

(2.18)
$$(e_1, \cdots, e_p, e_{p+1}, \cdots, e_q, e_{q+1}, \cdots, e_n)$$

such that $e_1, \dots, e_p \in T(X^p)$ and $e_1, \dots, e_q \in T(Y^q)$, then we have the mean curvature vector \overrightarrow{H}_X of X^p , the mean curvature vector \overrightarrow{H}_Y of Y^q , respectively, are

(2.19)
$$\vec{H}_X = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=p+1}^q \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^p h_{\alpha\alpha}^i \right) e_i + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=q+1}^n \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^p h_{\alpha\alpha}^j \right) e_j \\ = \vec{H}_{\mathbf{Geo}(X)} + \vec{H}_{\mathbf{Nor}(Y)},$$

(2.20)

$$\vec{H}_{Y} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=q+1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\rho=1}^{q} h_{\rho\rho}^{j} \right) e_{j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=q+1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\rho=1}^{p} h_{\rho\rho}^{j} \right) e_{j} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=q+1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\rho=p+1}^{q} h_{\rho\rho}^{j} \right) e_{j}$$

$$= \frac{p}{q} \vec{H}_{Nor(Y)} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=q+1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\rho=p+1}^{q} h_{\rho\rho}^{j} \right) e_{j}.$$

Therefore

(2.21)
$$\overrightarrow{H}_{\mathbf{Nor}(Y)} = \frac{1}{p} \left\{ q \overrightarrow{H}_Y - \sum_{j=q+1}^n \left(\sum_{\rho=p+1}^q h_{\rho\rho}^j \right) e_j \right\}.$$

If p = n - 2, q = n - 1 then we have

(2.22)
$$\overrightarrow{H}_X = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-2} h_{\alpha\alpha}^{n-1} e_{n-1} + \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-2} h_{\alpha\alpha}^n e_n.$$

It follows that $\overrightarrow{H}_{Nor(Y)}$ only depends on Y (normal bundle of X). Where $\overrightarrow{H}_{Geo(X)}$ is defined as the *geodesic curvature vector* at $x \in Y$ (related to X) and $\overrightarrow{H}_{Nor(Y)}$ the normal curvature vector at $x \in Y$ (relative to X). Their lengths, i.e., $|\overrightarrow{H}_{Geo(X)}| = \kappa_g(X), |\overrightarrow{H}_{Nor(Y)}| = \kappa_n(Y)$ are called, respectively, the *geodesic curvature* of X at $x \in X$ (relative to Y), normal curvature of Y at $x \in X$. It is obviously (by (2.21)) that the normal curvature is determined by the mean curvature H_Y and the trace of the second fundamental forms $(h_{\alpha\beta}^j)$ of X $(\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, p; j = q+1, \dots, n)$ and it is an (extrinsic) invariant. Therefore the geodesic curvature is also an (extrinsic) invariant . These $h_{\alpha\beta}^j$ $(j = p + 1, \dots, q)$ are called the geodesic curvature components at $x \in Y$ (relative to X) and those $h_{\alpha\beta}^j$ $(j = q + 1, \dots, n)$ are called the normal curvature components at $x \in Y$ (relative to X). It is obvious that two submanifolds Y and Y' of the same dimension which are tangent at submanifold X have the same normal curvature (relative to X.)

The above result actually is the classic Meusnier's theorem when $X \equiv \Gamma$ is a smooth curve containing in a surface $Y \equiv \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. That is, let κ be the curvature at $x \in \Gamma$, T and N be, respectively, the tangent and the normal of Γ , and κ_g and κ_n be, respectively, the geodesic curvature and the normal curvature of Σ at x along T. Let n be the normal of Σ and $\mu = n \wedge T$, then we have the following Meusnier's formula

(2.23)
$$\kappa N = \kappa_g \mu + \kappa_n n.$$

Let V and W be vector subspaces of dimensional p and q, respectively. Let v_{p+1}, \ldots, v_n be an orthonormal basis of N(V) and w_{q+1}, \ldots, w_n an orthonormal basis of N(W), that is,

(2.24)
$$N(V) = \operatorname{span}\{v_{p+1}, \cdots, v_n\};$$
$$N(W) = \operatorname{span}\{w_{q+1}, \cdots, w_n\},$$

the normal spaces to V, W, respectively. The angle between subspaces V and W is defined by

(2.25)
$$\Delta(V, W) = \parallel v_{p+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_n \wedge w_{q+1} \wedge \dots \wedge w_n \parallel,$$

220

where

(2.26)
$$||x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k||^2 = |\det(\langle x_i, x_s \rangle)|.$$

If V, W are both (n-1)-dimensional then $\Delta(V, W) = |\sin \theta|$, where θ is the angle between normals of V and W. It is obvious that

$$0 \le \Delta(V, W) \le 1,$$

with

(2.27)
$$\Delta(V, W) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad V \cap W \neq \{0\},$$
$$\Delta(V, W) = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad V \perp W.$$

Also if g is an isometry of E^n , then $\Delta(gV, gW) = \Delta(V, W)$.

Let G be a Lie group (a smooth submanifold which is also a group in such a way that the group operations are smooth) acting on a left coset space G/H by left multiplication, where H is a closed subgroup of G. We assume that G/H has an invariant Riemannian metric. Let M^p , N^q be submanifolds in G/H, of dimensions p, q, respectively.

Let us list indices that we will use very often through the rest of this paper in the following table:

$$1 \le A, B, C \le n; \quad 1 \le \alpha, \beta \le p + q - n; \quad p + q - n + 1 \le i, j \le n;$$

$$(2.28) \qquad p + q - n + 1 \le a, b \le p; \quad 1 \le e, f \le p; \quad p + 1 \le \lambda, \mu \le n;$$

$$p + q - n + 1 \le h, l \le q; \quad 1 \le u, v \le q; \quad q + 1 \le \rho, \sigma \le n.$$

Let xe_A be orthonormal frames, so that $x \in M^p$ and e_1, \dots, e_p are tangent to M^p at x. Similarly, let $x'e'_A$ be frames, such that $x' \in gN^q$ and e'_1, \dots, e'_q are tangent to gN^q at x'. Suppose g be generic, so that $M^p \cap gN^q$ is of dimension p + q - n. We restrict the above families of frames by the condition

$$(2.29) x = x', e_{\alpha} = e'_{\alpha}.$$

Geometrically the latter means that $x \in M^p \cap gN^q$ and e_α are tangent to $M_p \cap gN^q$ at x. The two submanifolds M^p and N^q at x have a scalar invariant, which is also called the "angle" between M^p and N^q , i.e.,

(2.30)
$$\Delta^2 = |\mathbf{det}(e_\lambda, e'_\rho)| = |\mathbf{det}(e_a, e'_h)|.$$

In the case of that M^p and N^q are both hypersurfaces (p = q = n - 1) it is the absolute value of the cosine of the angle between their normal vectors.

The second fundamental forms are all symmetric bilinear functions on $T_xM \times T_xM$ for all x in M. That is, the second fundamental form of M at $x \in M$ is a symmetric bilinear mapping

$$(2.31) h_x^M: M_x \times M_x \longrightarrow M_x^{\perp}.$$

where M_x is the tangent bundle of M and M_x^{\perp} is the normal bundle of M at x. If e_1, \dots, e_n is orthonormal basis of \mathbf{N} such that e_1, \dots, e_p is a basis of M_x and e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n is a basis of M_x^{\perp} , then the components of h_x^M in this basis are the numbers $(h_x^M)_{\alpha\beta}^i = \langle h_x^M(e_\alpha, e_\beta), e_i \rangle, 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq p, p+1 \leq i \leq n$.

3. THE EULER-MEUSNIER FORMULAS

Let G be the isometry group acting on the *n*-dimensional Riemannian space **N**. Let M^p , N^q be a pair of submanifolds **N**, where $p + q - n \ge 0$ so that generically $M^p \cap gN^q$ is always a submanifold of dimension p + q - n for almost all $g \in G$. Our goal is to express the second fundamental forms of the intersection of p+q-n dimensional manifold $M_g^{p+q-n} = M^p \cap gN^q$ in terms of those of M^p and gN^q and the "angle" between M^p and gN^q .

We choose orthonormal frames $\{e_A\}$ and $\{e'_B\}$ such that:

- (1) $e_{\alpha} = e'_{\alpha};$
- (2) $e_1, \cdots, e_{p+q-n} \in T(M^p \cap gN^q);$
- (3) $e_1, \cdots, e_p \in T(M^p);$
- (4) $e_1, \cdots, e_{p+q-n}, e'_{p+q-n+1}, \cdots, e'_q \in T(gN^q);$
- (5) $e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n \in N(M^p)$, the normal bundle of M^p ;
- (6) $e'_{q+1}, \cdots, e'_n \in N(gN^q)$, the normal bundle of gN^q ;
- (7) span{ $e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n, e'_{q+1}, \dots, e'_n$ } = span{ $e_{p+q-n+1}, \dots, e_p, e'_{p+q-n+1}, \dots, e'_q$ } = $N(M^p \cap gN^q)$, the normal bundle of $M^p \cap gN^q$.

For the families of frames xe_A and xe'_A , let

(3.1)
$$\omega_A = (dx, e_A), \quad \omega'_A = (dx', e'_A),$$

(3.2)
$$\omega_{AB} = (de_A, e_B), \quad \omega'_{AB} = (de'_A, e'_B)$$

so that

(3.3)
$$\omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0, \quad \omega'_{AB} + \omega'_{BA} = 0.$$

When restricted to M^p , N^q we have, respectively,

(3.4)
$$\omega_{\lambda} = 0, \quad \omega'_{\rho} = 0.$$

And restricted to M_q^{p+q-n} , we have

(3.5)
$$\omega_{\alpha\lambda} = \sum_{\beta} h^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\beta}, \quad \omega'_{\alpha\rho} = \sum_{\beta} h^{'\rho}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\beta},$$

where

(3.6)
$$h_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} = h_{\beta\alpha}^{\lambda}, \quad h_{\alpha\beta}^{\prime\rho} = h_{\beta\alpha}^{\prime\rho},$$

The second fundamental forms II^g of $M_g^{p+q-n} = M^p \cap gN^q$

(3.7)
$$II^g = \sum_i II^g_i e_i = \sum_i \langle d^2x, e_i \rangle e_i = \sum_{i,\alpha,\beta} h^i_{\alpha\beta} \omega_\alpha \omega_\beta e_i,$$

related to frames $\{e_A\}, \{e'_A\}$ are, respectively

(3.8)
$$II^{g} = \sum_{a} II_{a} e_{a} + \sum_{\lambda} II_{\lambda} e_{\lambda};$$
$$II^{g} = \sum_{h} II'_{h} e'_{h} + \sum_{\rho} II'_{\rho} e'_{\rho},$$

where

(3.9)

$$II_{a} = (d^{2}x, e_{a}) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} h^{a}_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta}; \quad II_{\lambda} = (d^{2}x, e_{\lambda}) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} h^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta};$$

$$II'_{h} = (d^{2}x, e'_{h}) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} h^{\prime h}_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta}; \quad II'_{\rho} = (d^{2}x, e'_{\rho}) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} h^{\prime \rho}_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta}.$$

The submanifolds M^p and gN^q have a scalar invariant, which is the "angle" between M^p and gN^q ,

(3.10)
$$\Delta^2 = |\mathbf{det}(e_a, e'_{\rho})| = |\mathbf{det}(a_{\rho a})| = |\mathbf{det}(e_{\lambda}, e'_{h})| = |\mathbf{det}(b_{\lambda h})|$$

 $a_{\rho a}$ and $b_{\lambda h}$ are the angle elements between M^p and N^q .

For a pair of hypersurfaces (p = q = n - 1) it is clearly the absolute value of the sine of the angle between their normal vectors.

We are now in the position to prove our theorems.

Theorem 1. Let M^p , N^q be, respectively, a pair of submanifolds of dimensions p, q in an n-dimensional Riemannian space \mathbf{N} with $p + q - n \ge 0$. Let $h^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}$, $h^{'\rho}_{\alpha\beta}$ be the second fundamental forms of M^p , N^q , respectively. Let Δ be the angle between M^p and gN^q , for $g \in G$, the group of isometry of \mathbf{N} . Let II^g be the

second fundamental form of the intersection submanifold $M_g^{p+q-n} = M^p \cap gN^q$. Then we have

(3.11)
$$\Delta^{2} II^{g} = \sum_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta} \left(h^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{\sigma} a_{\lambda\sigma} h^{\prime\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} e_{\lambda} + ds \sum_{\rho,\alpha,\beta} \left(h^{\prime\rho}_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{\mu} b_{\rho\mu} h^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} e^{\prime}_{\rho},$$

where $a_{\lambda\sigma}$ and $b_{\rho\mu}$ are angle elements between M^p and N^q .

Proof. We wish to express (d^2x, e_a) as a linear combination of II_{λ} and II'_{ρ} . Therefore we set

(3.12)
$$e'_{\rho} = \sum_{a} a_{\rho a} e_{a} + \sum_{\lambda} a_{\rho \lambda} e_{\lambda}$$

so that

(3.13)
$$a_{\rho a} = (e'_{\rho}, e_{a}), \ a_{\rho \lambda} = (e'_{\rho}, e_{\lambda}).$$

Under our hypothesis $\Delta = |\mathbf{det}(a_{\rho a})| \neq 0$. let $(b_{b\sigma})$ be the inverse matrix of $(a_{\rho a})$, so that

(3.14)
$$\sum_{\sigma} b_{b\sigma} a_{\sigma a} = \delta_{ba}, \quad \sum_{a} a_{\rho a} b_{a\sigma} = \delta_{\rho\sigma}$$

Then we have

(3.15)
$$e_a = \sum_{\rho} b_{a\rho} e'_{\rho} + \sum_{\lambda} b_{a\lambda} e_{\lambda},$$

where

$$b_{a\lambda} = -\sum_{\rho} b_{a\rho} a_{\rho\lambda}.$$

The condition $(e'_{
ho},e'_{\sigma})=\delta_{
ho\sigma}$ is expressed by

(3.17)
$$\sum_{a} a_{\rho a} a_{\sigma a} + \sum_{\lambda} a_{\rho \lambda} a_{\sigma \lambda} = \delta_{\rho \sigma}.$$

Therefore we have

(3.18)
$$II_a = (d^2x, e_a) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} h^a_{\alpha\beta} \omega_\alpha \omega_\beta = \sum_{\rho} b_{a\rho} II'_{\rho} + \sum_{\lambda} b_{a\lambda} II_{\lambda}.$$

By the same way, We wish to express (d^2x, e'_h) as a linear combination of II_{λ} and II'_{ρ} . Therefore we set

(3.19)
$$e_{\lambda} = \sum_{h} b_{\lambda h} e'_{h} + \sum_{\sigma} b_{\lambda \sigma} e'_{\sigma},$$

so that

(3.20)
$$b_{\lambda h} = (e_{\lambda}, e'_{h}), \quad b_{\lambda \sigma} = (e_{\lambda}, e'_{\sigma}).$$

Under our hypothesis $\Delta = |\mathbf{det}(b_{\lambda h})| \neq 0$. let $(a_{l\mu})$ be the inverse matrix of $(b_{\lambda h})$, so that

(3.21)
$$\sum_{\lambda} a_{h\lambda} b_{\lambda l} = \delta_{hl}, \quad \sum_{h} b_{\lambda h} a_{h\mu} = \delta_{\lambda \mu}.$$

Then we have

(3.22)
$$e'_{h} = \sum_{\lambda} a_{h\lambda} e_{\lambda} + \sum_{\sigma} a_{h\sigma} e'_{\sigma},$$

where

(3.23)
$$a_{h\sigma} = -\sum_{\lambda} a_{h\lambda} b_{\lambda\sigma}.$$

The condition $(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ is expressed by

(3.24)
$$\sum_{l} b_{\lambda l} b_{\mu l} + \sum_{\sigma} b_{\lambda \sigma} b_{\mu \sigma} = \delta_{\lambda \mu}.$$

Therefore we have

(3.25)
$$II'_{h} = (d^{2}x, e'_{h}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} h'^{h}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} = \sum_{\sigma} a_{h\sigma} II'_{\sigma} + \sum_{\lambda} a_{h\lambda} II_{\lambda}.$$

To express the second fundamental forms of M_g^{p+q-n} as a linear combination of II_{λ} and II'_{ρ} , we set

(3.26)
$$II^{g} = \sum_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta} X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta} \,e_{\lambda} + \sum_{\rho,\alpha,\beta} Y^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta} \,e'_{\rho},$$

where $X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $Y^{'\rho}_{\alpha\beta}$ are to be determined.

Therefore, by (3.12) we have

$$(3.27) II^{g} = \sum_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta} X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} e_{\lambda} + \sum_{\rho,\alpha,\beta} Y^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} \left(\sum_{a} a_{\rho a} e_{a} + \sum_{\lambda} a_{\rho \lambda} e_{\lambda} \right) \\ = \sum_{a,\alpha,\beta} \left(\sum_{\rho} a_{\rho a} Y^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} e_{a} + \sum_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta} \left(X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\rho} a_{\rho \lambda} Y^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \omega_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} e_{\lambda}.$$

From (3.9), and (3.27) we have

(3.27)
$$\begin{cases} h_{\alpha\beta}^{a} = \sum_{\rho} a_{\rho a} Y_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho}; \\ h_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} = X_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} + \sum_{\rho} a_{\rho\lambda} Y_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, by (3.9), (3.26) and (3.27) we have

$$(3.29) \qquad II^{g} = \sum_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta} X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta} \left(\sum_{h} b_{\lambda h} \,e'_{h} + \sum_{\sigma} b_{\lambda\sigma} e_{\sigma} \right) + \sum_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta} Y^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta} \,e'_{\sigma}$$
$$= \sum_{h,\alpha,\beta} \left(\sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda h} \,X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta} \,e'_{h} + \sum_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta} \left(Y^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda\sigma} \,X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \,\omega_{\alpha} \,\omega_{\beta} \,e'_{\sigma},$$

and

(3.30)
$$\begin{cases} h'^{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda h} X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}; \\ h'^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = Y^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda\sigma} X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}. \end{cases}$$

Combining (3.28) and (3.30) together gives

(3.31)
$$\begin{cases} h_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} = X_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} + \sum_{\rho} a_{\lambda\rho} Y_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho}; \\ h_{\alpha\beta}^{\prime\rho} = Y_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} + \sum_{\lambda} b_{\rho\lambda} X_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda}; \end{cases}$$

or

(3.32)
$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} \\ h_{\alpha\beta}^{\prime\rho} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I_{\lambda\lambda}) & (a_{\lambda\rho}) \\ (b_{\rho\lambda}) & (I_{\rho\rho}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} \\ Y_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, the equations (3.32) lead to

(3.33)
$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} \\ Y_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I_{\lambda\lambda}) & (a_{\lambda\rho}) \\ (b_{\rho\lambda}) & (I_{\rho\rho}) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} \\ h_{\alpha\beta}^{\prime\rho} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (I_{\lambda\lambda}) & (-a_{\lambda\rho}) \\ (-b_{\rho\lambda}) & (I_{\rho\rho}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} \\ h_{\alpha\beta}^{\prime\rho} \end{pmatrix},$$

where
$$\Delta^2 = \mathbf{det} \begin{pmatrix} (I_{\lambda\lambda}) & (a_{\lambda\rho}) \\ (b_{\rho\lambda}) & (I_{\rho\rho}) \end{pmatrix}$$

That is

$$\Delta^2 X^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} = h^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{\sigma} a_{\lambda\sigma} h^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$\Delta^2 Y^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta} = h^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{\mu} b_{\rho\mu} h^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Inserting (3.34) into (3.26) we complete the proof of our Theorem 1.

Let M, N be two hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . We choose the frames $\{e_A\}$ and $\{e'_A\}$ such that $e_1 = e_1, \dots, e_{n-2} = e'_{n-2}$ are tangent to $\Sigma_g = M \cap gN$ and e_n, e'_n are, respectively, the normal vector of M, N. The angle between M and N is $\Delta = |\sin \phi|$ and $a_{\lambda\sigma} = b_{\rho\mu} = \cos \phi$. Then we have the following

Theorem 2. Let M, N be two hypersurfaces of class C^2 in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and let h_{ij}^n , $h_{ij}^{\prime n}$ be the normal curvatures of M, N, respectively. Then we have

$$(3.35) \sin^2 \phi II_{\Sigma_g} = \left(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^n - \cos \phi \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}'^n \right) e_n + \left(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}'^n - \cos \phi \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^n \right) e'_n,$$

where $\cos \phi = (e_n, e'_n)$.

By taking the normal of (3.35) we have the following generalized Euler formula

Theorem 3. Let M, N be two hypersurfaces of class C^2 in \mathbb{R}^n and let h_{ij}^n , $h_{ij}^{\prime n}$ be the normal curvatures of M, N, respectively. Then we have

(3.36)
$$\sin^2 \phi |II_{\Sigma_g}|^2 = \left(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^n\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{'n}\right)^2 - 2\cos \phi \left(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^n\right) \left(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{'n}\right),$$

where $\cos \phi = (e_n, e'_n)$.

If $M, N \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ are two smooth surfaces, we choose the frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and $\{e'_1, e'_2, e'_3\}$ such that $e_1 = e'_1$, the tangent of the curve $\Gamma_g = M \cap gN$, for rigid motion $g \in G$, and e_3, e'_3 are, respectively the normal of M, N. Let κ_n^M and κ_n^N be, respectively the normal curvatures of M and N. Then we immediately obtain (also see [19])

Theorem 4. Let M, N be two smooth surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 and let κ_n^M , κ_n^N be the normal curvatures of M, N, respectively. Then we have

(3.37)
$$\sin^2 \phi II_{\Gamma_g} = \left(\kappa_n^M - \kappa_n^N \cos \phi\right) e_3 + \left(\kappa_n^N - \kappa_n^M \cos \phi\right) e'_3,$$

where $\cos \phi = (e_3, e'_3)$.

Note $|II_{\Gamma_g}| = \kappa$, the curvature of Γ_g . Then by taking the norm of (3.37) we immediately obtain the known classical Euler formula (1.2).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work was partially supported by the Guizhou Province Sciences and Technologies Foundation. We would like to thank Professor Pengcheng Wu and Professor Chuanhan Lu for their supports. We would also like to thank Professor Hua Chen for his hospitalities and supports during our visiting to Wuhan University. Finally, we would like to thank anonymous referees for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. E. Brothers, Integral geometry in homogeneous space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **124** (1966), 480-517.
- 2. B-Y Chen, Geometry of Submanifolds, Marcel Dekker. Inc., New York, 1973.
- 3. C-S. Chen, On the kinematic formula of square of mean curvature, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **22** (1972-73), 1163-1169.
- 4. F. Chen, X. Zhao & J. Zhou, An anlogue of the Euler formula, J. Math., 25 (2005) 1-4.
- 5. S. S. Chern, On the kinematic formula in the euclidean space of n dimensions, *Amer. J. Math.*, **74** (1952), 227-236.
- 6. S. S. Chern, On the kinematic formula in integral geometry, J. Math. Mech., 16 (1966), 101-118.
- 7. H. Federer, Curvature measure, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 69 (1959), 418-491.
- 8. E. Grinberg, D. Ren & J. Zhou, The Symmetric Isoperimetric Deficit and the Containment Problem in a Plan of Constant Curvature, preprint.
- 9. R. Howard, The kinematic formula in riemannian geometry, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, **509**, 1993.
- 10. D. Klain and G-C. Rota, *Introduction to Geometric Probability*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- 11. D. Ren, *Topics in Integral Geometry, Series in Pure Mathematics*, World Scientific (Singapore), 19 1994.
- L. A. Santaló, *Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1976.
- 13. R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1993.

228

On the Second Fundamental Forms of the Intersection of Submanifolds

- 14. T. Shifrin, The kinematic formula in complex integral geometry, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **264(2)** (1981), 255-293.
- 15. M. Spivak, A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Publish or Perish Inc., 1979.
- 16. H. Weyl, On the volume of tubes, Amer. J. Math., 61(1939), 461-472.
- 17. G. Zhang, A Sufficient Condition for one Convex Body Containing Another, *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **9B-4** (1988), 447-451.
- 18. J. Zhou, Kinematic formulas for mean curvature powers of hypersurfaces and analogous of Hadwiger's theorem in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **345** (1994), 243-262.
- 19. J. Zhou, Kinematic formula for square mean curvature of hypersurfaces, *Bull. of the Institute of Math. (Academia Sinica)*, **22** (1994), 31-47.
- 20. J. Zhou, On the Willmore deficit of convex surfaces, *Lectures in Applied Mathematics of Amer. Math. Soc.*, **30** (1994), 279-287.
- 21. J. Zhou, When can one domain enclose another in R³? J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A), **59** (1995), 266-272.
- 22. J. Zhou, The sufficient condition for a convex domain to contain another in R⁴, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **121** (1994), 907-913.
- 23. J. Zhou, A kinematic formula and analogous of Hadwiger's theorem in space, *Contemporary Mathematics*, **140** (1992), 159-167.
- 24. J. Zhou, Sufficient conditions for one domain to contain another in a space of constant curvature, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126** (1998), 2797-2803.

Jiazu Zhou School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, and Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430072, People's Republic of China E-mail: zhoujz@swu.edu.cn E-mail: zhou550001@sina.com