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#### Abstract

The Banach-Stone problem for $L^{p}$-spaces is to assert when a linear isometry between $L^{p}$-spaces is a weighted composition operator. We shall show that every $\sigma$-finite measure space with Sikorski's property solves the Banach-Stone probelm. In addition, we show that if $X$ is a totally ordered and Dedekind complete, then every $\sigma$-finite $\mu$-separable measure space ( $X, \mathcal{B}, \mu$ ) has Sikorski's property.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ and $Y$ be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. A classical Banach-Stone Theorem (see, e.g., Behrends [2, p. 138]) states that every isometry $T$ from the Banach space $C_{0}(X)$ of continuous functions vanishing at infinity onto another $C_{0}(Y)$ is a weighted composition operator $T f=h \cdot(f \circ \varphi)$, for all $f$ in $C_{0}(X)$. In this paper, we call such a map $T$ a $B S$ map. We ask similar questions for operators between $L^{p}$-spaces. Given two measure spaces $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$, is every linear isometry $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{q}(Y)$ a BS map?

In [1] (see also [13, p. 415]), an affirmative answer is given for linear isometries $T: L^{p}[0,1] \rightarrow L^{p}[0,1](1 \leq p<\infty, p \neq 2)$. In [10], Lamperti showed that, for $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ a $\sigma$-finite measure space, every linear isometry $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{p}(X)$ $(1 \leq p<\infty, p \neq 2)$ is given by a still simple form $T \chi_{B}=h \cdot \chi_{\Phi(B)}$ for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$. We call such a map $T$ a Lamperti map. Note that, in [10], it is not said if $T$ is a BS map or not, and this is still unknown for the time being.

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ be measure spaces and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. A map $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{q}(Y)$ is called disjointness preserving if $f \cdot g=0$ a.e. $[\mu]$ implies $T f \cdot T g=0$ a.e. $[\nu]$ for all $f, g$ in $L^{p}(X)$. We shall see that every (surjective when $p=q=\infty$ ) linear isometry $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{q}(Y)$ (either $1 \leq p, q<\infty, p \neq$
$2, q \neq 2$ or $p=q=\infty$, we will call such an pair $(p, q)$ accessible) is disjointness preserving. Therefore, it suffices to study merely bounded disjointness preserving operators.

We shall prove that (i) every $\sigma$-finite measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ solves the Lamperti problem for $L^{p}$-spaces, that is, for an arbitrary measure space $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ and an accessible $(p, q)$, every (surjective when $p=q=\infty$ ) bounded disjointness preserving operator $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{q}(Y)$ is a Lamperti map; (ii) every $\sigma$-finite measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ with Sikorski's property solves the Banach-Stone problem for $L^{p}$-spaces, that is, for an arbitrary measure space $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ and an accessible $(p, q)$, every (surjective when $p=q=\infty$ ) bounded disjointness preserving operator $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{q}(Y)$ is a BS map. Note that we have included the case $p=q=\infty$ here.

In [11], Lessard used a topological approach with some technical lifting theorems to give the result: Every Lamperti map $T: L^{p}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow L^{p}(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ is a BS map, if $\mu$ is tight. A finite Baire measure $\mu$ on a topological space $X$ is said to be tight if for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists a compact set $K$ in $X$ such that $\mu^{*}(K)>\mu(X)-\epsilon$, where $\mu^{*}$ denotes the outer measure determined by $\mu$.

We shall use an order theoretical approach to give a different sufficient condition (see Proposition 8) for a measure space ( $X, \mathcal{B}, \mu$ ) solving the Banach-Stone problem for $L^{p}$-spaces. We note that $\left(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu\right)$ is, in general, not tight and thus Lessard's theorem does not apply. However, Proposition 8 below does help. And as wellknown examples, $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with Borel measure and Hilbert cube with an appropriate measure, satisfy our conditions and solve the Banach-Stone problem.

## 2. The Lamperti Problem

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ be arbitrary measure spaces. We first show that every isometry $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{q}(Y)$ is disjointness preserving for $1 \leq p, q<\infty$, $p, q \neq 2$. Indeed, it is easy to see that $\|f+g\|^{p}+\|f-g\|^{p}=2\left(\|f\|^{p}+\|g\|^{p}\right)$ if and only if $f \cdot g=0$ a.e. $[\mu]$ (ref. [13, p. 416]). Since $T$ is an isometry, for all $f, g$ in $L^{p}(X)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \cdot g=0 \text { a.e. }[\mu] & \Leftrightarrow\|f+g\|^{p}+\|f-g\|^{p}=2\left(\|f\|^{p}+\|g\|^{p}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\|T f+T g\|^{q}+\|T f-T g\|^{q}=2\left(\|T f\|^{q}+\|T g\|^{q}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow T f \cdot T g=0 \text { a.e. }[\nu] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T$ is disjointness preserving.
Recall that the function space $L^{\infty}(X)$ is a commutative $C^{*}$-algebra with identity, equipped with the natural algebraic structure and the natural involution. By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem (see, e.g., [4, p. 236], $L^{\infty}(X)$ is isometrically *isomorphic to $C(\Sigma)$, where $\Sigma$ is the maximal ideal space of $L^{\infty}(X)$. Note that $\Sigma$ is compact.

Let $\Lambda$ be the Gelfand transform from $L^{\infty}(X)$ onto $C(\Sigma)$. We write $\widehat{f}$ for $\Lambda(f)$ for simplicity of notations. For $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$, since $\chi_{B}^{2}=\chi_{B}$, we have ${\widehat{\chi_{B}}}^{2}=\widehat{\chi_{B}}$. Then $\widehat{\chi_{B}}$ is the characteristic function of a closed and open subset $U_{B}$ of $\Sigma$. Conversely, if $U$ is a closed and open subset of $\Sigma$, then $\chi_{U} \in C(\Sigma)$ and $\chi_{U}=\widehat{f}$ for some $f$ in $L^{\infty}(X)$. Moreover, $\widehat{f^{2}}=\widehat{f}$ and $f^{2}=f$ in $L^{\infty}(X)$. It follows that $f=\chi_{B}$ for some $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$. Consequently, we have

Lemma 1. Every closed and open subset of $\Sigma$ is of the form $U_{B}$ for some $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$.

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ be measure space, and $\Sigma_{1}$ (resp. $\Sigma_{2}$ ) the maximal ideal space of $L^{\infty}(X)$ (resp. $L^{\infty}(Y)$ ). For any given map $T: L^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(Y)$, define $\widehat{T}: C\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ by $\widehat{T} \widehat{f}=\widehat{T f}$ for all $f$ in $L^{\infty}(X)$. It is clear to get the following proposition.

Proposition 1. $T$ is a bounded linear operator if and only if $\widehat{T}$ is (and $\|T\|=\|\widehat{T}\|) ; T$ is a linear isometry if and only if $\widehat{T}$ is; $T$ is disjointness preserving if and only if $\widehat{T}$ is; and $T$ is invertible if and only if $\widehat{T}$ is (in this case, $\widehat{T}^{-1}=\widehat{T^{-1}}$ ).

Lemma 2. Every surjective linear isometry $T: L^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(Y)$ is disjointness preserving.

Proof. It follows Banach-Stone Theorem and the proposition above.
It is plain that there exists a linear isometry $T: L^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(Y)$ such that $T$ is not disjointness preserving. (Consider, e.g., $T\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots,\right)=\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ from $\ell^{\infty}$ into $\ell^{\infty}$.)

For the Lamperti problem, Lamperti's proof [10, p. 461] can be modified to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. If $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a $\sigma$-finite measure space, $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ an arbitrary measure space and $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{q}(Y)(1 \leq p, q<\infty$ and $p, q \neq 2)$ a bounded disjointness preserving linear operator, then $T$ is a Lamperti map.

It remains to prove the case $p=q=\infty$ for Lamperti problem. We need the following theorem.

Theorem 3. ([8]) If $X$ and $Y$ are compact Hausdorff space and $T: C(X) \rightarrow$ $C(Y)$ is a surjective disjointness preserving linear operator, then there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ and a function $h$ in $C(Y)$ with $h(y) \neq 0$ for all $y$ in $Y$ such that $T f=h \cdot(f \circ \varphi)$ for all $f$ in $C(X)$.

Theorem 4. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ be measure spaces. If $T: L^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow$ $L^{\infty}(Y)$ is a bounded surjective disjointness preserving linear operator, then there
exist a proper regular set homomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $\Phi(X)=Y$ and $a$ function $h$ in $L^{\infty}(Y)$ with $h \neq 0$ a.e. $[\nu]$ such that $T \xi_{B}=h \cdot \xi_{\Phi(B)}$ for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$. In other words, $T$ is a Lamperti map.

Proof. Let $\Sigma_{1}$ (resp. $\Sigma_{2}$ ) be the maximal ideal space of $L^{\infty}(X)\left(\right.$ resp. $L^{\infty}(Y)$ ). Let $\widehat{T}: C\left(\Sigma_{1}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)$ be defined by $\widehat{T} \widehat{f}=\widehat{T f}$ for all $f$ in $L^{\infty}(X)$ (via the Gelfand transform $\Lambda$ ). By Proposition $1, \widehat{T}$ is a bounded surjective disjointness preserving linear operator. By Theorem 3, there exist a homeomorphism $\varphi: \Sigma_{2} \rightarrow$ $\Sigma_{1}$ and a function $h$ in $L^{\infty}(X)$ with $h \neq 0$ a.e. $[\nu]$ such that $\widehat{T} \widehat{f}=\widehat{h} \cdot(\widehat{f} \circ \varphi)$ for all $f$ in $L^{\infty}(X)$. Let $\Phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be defined, modulo null sets, by $\Phi(B)=A$ if $U_{A}=$ $\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B}\right)$ in the notations of Lemma 1. $\Phi$ is a proper regular set homomorphism.

It is easy to see that $\Phi$ preserves differences and finite unions, and $\nu(\Phi(B))=0$ if and only if $\mu(B)=0$. By the homeomorphism of $\varphi, \Phi$ is surjective and $\Phi(X)=$ $Y$.

It remains to show that $\Phi$ preserves countable union. Suppose that $\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is a sequence of measurable sets in $\mathcal{B}$, we need to show that $\Phi\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}\right)=$ $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi\left(B_{n}\right)$, or equivalently, $\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{\cup B_{n}}\right)=\bigcup \varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B_{n}}\right)\left(=\sup \varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B_{n}}\right)\right)$. Clearly, $\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{\cup B_{n}}\right)$ is an upper bounded of $\left\{\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B_{n}}\right)\right\}_{n}$. Suppose that $U_{A}$ is anothre upper bound of $\left\{\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B_{n}}\right)\right\}_{n}$. Since $\Phi$ is surjective, there is a $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\Phi(B)=A$. By assumption, $\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B_{n}}\right) \subset U_{A}=\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B}\right)$, then $U_{B_{n}} \subset U_{B}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $U_{\cup B_{n}}=\sup U_{B_{n}}$, we have $\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{\cup B_{n}}\right) \subset \varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B}\right)=U_{A}$. Therefore, $\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{\cup B_{n}}\right)=\sup \varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B_{n}}\right)$. This establishes the claim.

Finally, observe that, for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{T \chi_{B}} & =\widehat{T} \widehat{\chi_{B}}=\widehat{h} \cdot\left(\widehat{\chi_{B}} \circ \varphi\right)=\widehat{h} \cdot\left(\chi_{U_{B}} \circ \varphi\right)=\widehat{h} \cdot \chi_{\varphi^{-1}\left(U_{B}\right)} \\
& =\widehat{h} \cdot \chi_{U_{\Phi(B)}}=\widehat{h} \cdot \widehat{\chi_{\Phi(B)}}=h \cdot \widehat{\chi_{\Phi(B)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $T \chi_{B}=h \cdot \chi_{\Phi(B)}$ for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$.
As an immediate consequence of Theorems 2 and 4 , we have the following
Theorem 5. Every $\sigma$-finite measure space solves the Lamperti problem.

## 3. The Banach-stone Problem

In this section, we devote to the Banach-Stone problem. First, let us to see a special case.

Proposition 6. If $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, and $T: \ell^{p} \rightarrow \ell^{q}$ is a bounded disjointness preserving operator, then there exist a map $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and a function $h$ in $\ell^{\infty}$ such that,

$$
T x=h \cdot(x \circ \varphi) \text { for all } x \in \ell^{p} .
$$

Proof. For each $m$ in $\mathbb{N}$, define $e_{m}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $e_{m}(n)=1$ if $m=n$, and $e_{m}(n)=0$ otherwise. For each $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$, define $\delta_{n}$ to be the linear functional on $\ell^{q}$ sending $e_{n}$ to 1 , and $e_{m}$ to 0 if $m \neq n$. For each $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$, define $\Phi(n)=\{m$ : $\left.T e_{m}(n) \neq 0\right\}$. Since $T$ is disjoint preserving, $\Phi(n)$ contains at most one element.

Let $\mathbf{N}_{0}=\{n: \Phi(n)=\emptyset\}$. It is easy to see that $\left\{n: \delta_{n} \circ T=0\right\} \subset \mathbf{N}_{0}$. On the other hand, let $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$. Since the linear span of $\{e m: m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is weak ${ }^{a} s t$-dense in $\ell^{p}, \delta_{n} \circ T=0$. Hence, $\mathbf{N}_{0}=\left\{n: \delta_{n} \circ T=0\right\}$.

Let $n_{0}$ be a fixed natural number. Define $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $\varphi(n)=m$ where $m$ is the unique element in $\Phi(n)$ if $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \mathbf{N}_{0}$, and $\varphi(n)=n_{0}$ if $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$.

If $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \mathbf{N}_{0}$, then there is a scalar $\alpha_{n} \neq 0$ such that $\delta_{n} \circ T=\alpha_{n} \cdot \delta_{\varphi(n)}$. To see this, if $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \mathbf{N}_{0}$, then it is easy to see that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{n} \circ T\right)$ is a nontrivial subspace of $\ell^{p}$. Since $\delta_{n} \circ T$ and $\delta_{\varphi(n)}$ are linear functionals defined on $\ell^{p}$, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{n} \circ T\right)=\operatorname{ker} \delta_{\varphi(n)}$. Let $x \in \operatorname{ker} \delta_{\varphi(n)}$, that is, $x(\varphi(n))=0$. Since $T e_{\varphi(n)}(n) \neq 0$ and $T$ is disjointness-preserving, we have $T x(n)=0$, that is, $x \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{n} \circ T\right)$. That is, $\emptyset \neq \operatorname{ker} \delta_{\varphi(n)} \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{n} \circ T\right)$. Notice that $\operatorname{ker} \delta_{\varphi(n)}$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{n} \circ T\right)$ have same codimension. Therefore, $\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{n} \circ T\right)=\operatorname{ker} \delta_{\varphi(n)}$. This establishes the claim.

Now, let $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be defined by $h(n)=\alpha_{n}$ if $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \mathbf{N}_{0}$, and $h(n)=0$ otherwise. Then $\delta_{n} \circ T=h(n) \cdot \delta_{\varphi(n)}$ and thus $(T x)(n)=h(n) \cdot x(\varphi(n))$ for all $x$ in $\ell^{p}$ and all natural numbers $n$. Also, $|h(n)|=\left|h(n) \cdot e_{\varphi(n)}(\varphi(n))\right|=\left|T e_{\varphi(n)}(n)\right| \leq$ $\left\|T e_{\varphi(n)}\right\|_{q} \leq\|T\|$ for all natural numbers $n$. Thus $\|h\|_{\infty}=\|T\|$ and this completes the proof.

Now, we consider the Banach-Stone problem in the general case. Observe that the gap between Lamperti map and BS map is the extent to which whethera regular set homomorphism can be induced by a point map. To be more precise, we introduce the following notion.

For a measure space ( $X, \mathcal{B}, \mu$ ) a member $B$ of $\mathcal{B}$ is an atom of $\mu$ if $\mu(C)=\mu(B)$ or $\mu(C)=0$ for all $C$ in $\mathcal{B}$ with $C \subset B$. We call $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ an atom-free measure space if $\mu$ posseses no atom. We call a measure subspace $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ a maximal atom free subspace if $X^{\prime}$ is atom-free and $X \backslash X^{\prime}$ is a disjoint union of atoms of $\mu$.

We say that an atom-free measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ has Sikorski's property if, for an arbitrary measure space $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$, every regular set homomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $\Phi(X)=Y$ is induced by a measurable point map $\varphi$, that is, $\Phi(B)=\varphi^{-1}(B)$ for any $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$.

Remark. It is known (cf., [13, p. 397]) that ( $\left.[0,1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \mu\right)$ has Sikorski's property, where $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra and $\mu$ is any $\sigma$-finite regular measure on $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$.

Theorem 7. Every $\sigma$-finite measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ which has Sikorski's
property solves the Banach-Stone problem.
Proof. Let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be an arbitrary maximal set of atoms of $\mu$. Since $\mu$ is $\sigma$ finite, $I$ is at most countable. Let $X_{1}=\bigcup_{i \in I} a_{i}$, then $X_{1}$ works exactly as a subset of $\mathbb{N}$. (For the Banach-Stone problem on $\mathbb{N}$, see Proposition 6.) Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume $\mu$ is atom-free. For an arbitrary measure space $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$, let $T: L^{p}(X) \rightarrow L^{q}(Y)$ be a (surjective when $p=q=\infty$ ) bounded disjointness preserving operator. It demands to show that $T$ is a BS map. By Theorem 5, there exist a regular set homomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a $h \in L^{q}(Y)$ such that $T \chi_{B}=h \cdot \chi_{\Phi(B)}$ for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(B)<\infty$.

There exists a measurable mapping $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\Phi(B)=\varphi^{-1}(B)$ for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(B)<\infty$. Consequently, $T \chi_{B}=h \cdot\left(\chi_{B} \circ \varphi\right)$.

In case of $p=q=\infty$, it is known that $\Phi(X)=Y$ by Theorem 4. By the Sikorski's property, the claim is done.

For the case, $1 \leq p, q<\infty$ and $p, q \neq 2$, it is not necessarily true that $\Phi(X)=Y$. Let $Y_{0}=\Phi(X)$ be a measurable set. Let $\mathcal{A}_{0}=\left\{Y_{0} \cap A: A \in \mathcal{A}\right\}$ and $\nu_{0}=\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{A}_{0}}$. Define $\Phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{0}$ by $\Phi_{0}(B)=Y_{0} \cap \Phi(B)$ for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$. It is easy to see that $\Phi_{0}$ is a regualr set homomorphism satisfying $\Phi_{0}(X)=Y_{0}$. By assumption, there exists a measurable mapping $\varphi_{0}: Y_{0} \rightarrow X$ such that $\Phi(B)=\varphi_{0}^{-1}(B)$ for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$. It follows that, for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(B)<\infty,\left.\left(T \chi_{B}\right)\right|_{Y_{0}}=\left.\left(h \cdot \chi_{\Phi(B)}\right)\right|_{Y_{0}}=$ $\left.h\right|_{Y_{0}} \cdot \chi_{\Phi_{0}(B)}=h_{0} \cdot \chi_{\varphi^{-1}(B)}=h_{0} \cdot\left(\chi_{B} \circ \varphi_{0}\right)$ where $h_{0}=\left.h\right|_{Y_{0}}: Y_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Since the support of $\left(T \chi_{X}\right)$ is contained in $\Phi(X)=Y_{0}$, we can redefine $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $h(y)=h_{0}(y)$ on $Y_{0}$ and $h(y)=0$ otherwise. We can also extend $\varphi_{0}$ to $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi(y)=\varphi_{0}(y)$ on $Y_{0}$ and $\varphi(y)=x_{0}$ otherwise for some fixed $x_{0}$ in $X$. Then both $h$ and $\varphi$ are measurable and $T \chi_{B}=h \cdot\left(\chi_{B} \circ \varphi\right)$. This establishes the claim.

Now, if $s$ is any simple function which vanishes outside a set of finite measure, we have $T s=h \cdot(s \circ \varphi)$ by the linearity of $T$. Let $f$ be in $L^{p}(X)$. By passing to a sequence of simple functions which approximate $f$, we have $T f=h \cdot(f \circ \varphi)$. Hence, $T$ is a BS map.

In the following, we shall give a $\sigma$-finite measure space which has Sikorski's property.

Definition 3.1. A totally ordered space $(X, \leq)$ is said to be Dedekind complete if every bounded below nonempty subset has an infimum in $X$. A $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is called the order $\sigma$-algebra of $X$ if it is generated by all order intervals $(a, b)=$ $\{x \in X: a<x<b\}$. A totally ordered measure space is a totally ordered space with the order $\sigma$-algebra. A measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is said to be $\mu$-separable if $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is totally ordered and contains a countable subset $D$ of $X$ such that $(a, b) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for all $a, b$ in $X$ with $\mu((a, b)) \neq 0$. In this case, $D$ is called an order- $\mu$-dense subset of $X$.

Proposition 8. Let $(X, \leq)$ be totally ordered and Dedekind complete. If
$(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a $\sigma$-finite $\mu$-separable measure space, then ( $X, \mathcal{B}, \mu$ ) has Sikorski's property.

Proof. Let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be an arbitrary maximal set of atoms of $\mu$. Since $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite, $I$ is at most countable. Let $X^{\prime}=X \backslash \bigcup_{i \in I} a_{i} . X^{\prime}$ is a maximal atom-free measure subspace of $X$. It suffices to show that $X^{\prime}$ has Sikorski's property. Without loss of generality, we may assume $X$ is atom-free.

Given a measure space $(Y, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ and a regular set homomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $\Phi(X)=Y$. Let $D$ be a countable order $-\mu$-dense subset of $X$. Since $X$ is an atom-free, $\bigcap_{\alpha \in D}(-\infty, \alpha)$ is a null set and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in D}(-\infty, \alpha)=X$. For each $\alpha$ in $D$, define $B_{\alpha}=\Phi(-\infty, \alpha)$. Then $B_{\alpha} \subset B_{\beta}, \alpha \leq \beta$, and $\bigcap_{\alpha \in D} B_{\alpha}=\emptyset$, $\bigcup_{\alpha \in D} B_{\alpha}=Y$. Let $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ be defined by $\varphi(y)=\inf \left\{\alpha \in D: y \in B_{\alpha}\right\}$ for all $y$ in $Y$. Since $X$ is (Dedekind) complete, $\varphi$ is well-defined.

For all $x$ in $X$ (we may assume $(-\infty, x) \neq \emptyset)$, it is easy to see that $\{y \in Y$ : $\varphi(y)<x\}=\bigcup_{\gamma<x, \gamma \in D} B_{\gamma}$. Since $D$ is order- $\mu$-dense in $X$, the set $(-\infty, x) \backslash$ $\bigcup_{\gamma<x, \gamma \in D}(-\infty, \gamma)$ is at most a null set in $X$.

By the facts $\bigcup_{\gamma<x, \gamma \in D}(-\infty, \gamma) \subset(-\infty, x)$ and $\Phi$ is regular set homomorphism, we have that $\bigcup_{\gamma<x, \gamma \in D} B_{\gamma}=\Phi\left(\bigcup_{\gamma<x, \gamma \in D}(-\infty, \gamma)\right)=\Phi(-\infty, x)$. Then $\Phi(-\infty, x)=\{y \in Y: \varphi(y)<x\}=\varphi^{-1}(-\infty, x)$. Therefore, the family $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{B \subset X: \Phi(B)=\varphi^{-1}(B)\right\}$ contains all order intervals in $X$. However $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra. It follows that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, i.e., $\Phi(B)=\varphi^{-1}(B)$ for all $B$ in $\mathcal{B}$. And then $\varphi$ is measurable. This complete the proof.

By Theorem 7 and Proposition 8, we get a generalization of Banach's result.
Theorem 9. Every $\sigma$-finite $\mu$-separable measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, that $(X, \leq)$ is totally ordered and Dedeking complete, solves the Banach-Stone problem.

To end this paper, we give some examples.
Example 10. Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be equipped with usual norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\mu$ which is Lebesgue measure restricted to the Borel $\sigma$-algebra. Define " $<$ " such that $\mathbf{a}=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)<\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)=\mathbf{b}$ if and only if $\|\mathbf{a}\|<\|\mathbf{b}\|$ or, otherwise, there exists an $i$ such that $a_{j}=b_{j}$ for all $j<i$ and $a_{i}<b_{i}$. Then $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ becomes to be totally ordered and Dedekind complete. Moreover, the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ generated by all the order intervals induced by $<$ is exactly the usual Borel $\sigma$-algebra for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $D$ be the set $\left\{\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \mid d_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}\right.$ for all $\left.i\right\}$. Then $D$ is countable and order-$\mu$-dense in $X$. Thus $X$ is $\mu$-separable. Hence $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ solves the Banach-Stone problem.

Example 11. For the Hilbert cube (that is, $\left\{x \in l^{2}:\left|x_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}\right\}$ in norm topology) with usual norm $\|\cdot\|$, define "<" s.t. $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)<\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)=\mathbf{b}$ if and only if $\|\mathbf{a}\|<\|\mathbf{b}\|$ or, otherwise, there exists an $i$ such that $a_{j}=b_{j}$ for all
$j<i$ and $a_{i}<b_{i}$. Then the Hilbert cube becomes a Dedekind complete totally ordered space and the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ generated by all the order intervals induced by $<$ is exactly the usual Borel $\sigma$-algebra for Hilbert cube. Let $\mu$ be any $\sigma$-finite measure such that $\mu\left(S_{r}\right)=0$ when $S_{r}$ is a maximal atom-free part of the intersection of $\left\{x \in l^{2}:\|x\|_{2}=r\right\}$ and the Hilber cube. Let $D$ be the set $\left\{\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots\right) \mid d_{i} \in\right.$ $\mathbb{Q}$ for all $i\}$ together with all atoms. Then $D$ is countable and order- $\mu$-dense in $X$. Thus $X$ is $\mu$-separable. Hence solves the Banach-Stone problem.

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a measure space. In case $p=2$, even though $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ has Sikorski's property, not every (surjective) linear isometry $T: L^{2}(X) \rightarrow L^{2}(X)$ is a BS map. It may also happen that $T$ is not disjointness preserving and not even a Lamperti map.

Example 12. Consider $X=[-\pi, \pi]$. Let $e_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}$ and $e_{2 n}(x)=\frac{\cos n x}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, $e_{2 n+1}(x)=\frac{\sin n x}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$ Let $\left\{p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x), p_{3}(x), \ldots\right\}$ be the collection of Legendre polynomials (they can be easily computed by the Gram-Schmidt precess that, for example, $p_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}, p_{2}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2 \pi^{3}}} x$ and $p_{3}(x)=\frac{1}{\ell}\left(x^{2}-\frac{2 \pi^{3}}{3}\right)$, where $\ell=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi^{5}}{5}-\frac{8 \pi^{6}}{9}+\frac{4 \pi^{7}}{9}}$. Then the two families of functions $\left\{e_{1}(x), e_{2}(x), e_{3}(x)\right.$, $\ldots\}$ and $\left\{p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x), p_{3}(x), \ldots\right\}$ are both orthonormal bases of $L^{2}[-\pi, \pi]$. Let $T: L^{2}[-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow L^{2}[-\pi, \pi]$ be the surjective linear isometry such that $T p_{n}=e_{n}$ for all $n=1,2, \ldots$.
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