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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of graph $G$ and also let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix with degrees of the vertices on the main diagonal. The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$. Among all eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix $L(G)$ of a graph $G$, the most studied is the second smallest, called the algebraic connectivity $(a(G))$ of a graph $G$ [9]. Let $\alpha(G)$ be the independence number of graph $G$. Recently, it was conjectured that (see, [1]):


$$
a(G)+\alpha(G)
$$

is minimum for $\overline{K_{p, q} \backslash\{e\}}$, where $e$ is any edge in $K_{p, q}$ and $p=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, q=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ ( $K_{p, q}$ is a complete bipartite graph). The aim of this paper is to show that this conjecture is true.

## 1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and simple. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph on vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E=E(G)$, where $|V(G)|=n$ and $|E(G)|=m$. Also let $d_{i}$ be the degree of vertex $v_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. The maximum vertex degree is denoted by $\Delta=\Delta(G)$. The diameter of a graph is the maximum distance between any two vertices of $G$. Let $d(G)$ be the diameter of graph $G$. Also let $N_{i}$ be the neighbor set of the vertex $v_{i} \in V(G)$. Denote by $\bar{G}$ the complement graph of $G$. If vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent, we denote that by $v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)$. Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of graph $G$ and also let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix with degrees of the vertices on the main diagonal. Then the Laplacian matrix of $G$ is $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$. Let $\mu_{1}(G) \geq \mu_{2}(G) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n-1}(G) \geq \mu_{n}(G)=0$ denote the eigenvalues of $L(G)$. They are usually called the Laplacian eigenvalues of

[^0]$G$. Among all eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a graph, the most studied is the second smallest, called the algebraic connectivity of a graph [9]. It is well known that a graph is connected if and only if $a(G)=\mu_{n-1}(G)>0$. Besides the algebraic connectivity, $\mu_{1}(G)$ is the invariant that interested the graph theorists.

Given a graph $G$, a subset $S$ of $V(G)$ is called an independent set of $G$ if $G[S]$, an induced subgraph by $S$, is a graph with $|S|$ isolated vertices. The independence number of $G$ is denoted by $\alpha(G)$ and is defined to be the number of vertices in the largest independent set of $G$. The characteristic polynomial of a square matrix $B$ is denoted by $\Phi(B, \mu)=\operatorname{det}(\mu I-B)$. In particular, if $B=L(G)$, we write $\Phi(L(G), \mu)$ by $\Phi(G, \mu)$ (the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of $G$ ) for convenience. As usual, we denote by $K_{p, q}$ the complete bipartite of order $n(q \geq p, p+q=n), K_{1, n-1}$ the star of order $n$ and $K_{n}$ the complete graph of order $n$. A tree is called a double star $D S_{p, q}$ if it is obtained from $K_{1, p-1}$ and $K_{1, q-1}$ by connecting the center of $K_{1, p-1}$ with that of $K_{1, q-1}$ via an edge.

Recently there has been vast research regarding conjectures, and a series of papers written on various graph invariants: average distance, independence number, largest eigenvalue of Laplacian and signless Laplacian matrix, Randić index and energy, etc. We continue this work and resolve some conjectures (see, [3-8, 11]). The following conjectures were proposed by Aouchiche and Hansen (see, [1]).

Conjecture 1. [1] Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ with independence number $\alpha(G)$ and algebraic connectivity $a(G)$. Then $a(G)+\alpha(G)$ is minimum for $\overline{K_{p, q} \backslash\{e\}}$, where $e$ is any edge in $K_{p, q}$ and $p=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, q=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$.

The aim of this paper is to confirm the validity of the above conjecture.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall list some previously known results that will be needed in the next section.

Lemma 2.1. [12] Let $G$ be a simple graph on $n$ vertices which has at least one edge. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}(G) \geq \Delta+1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the maximum degree in $G$. Moreover, if $G$ is connected, then the equality holds in (1) if and only if $\Delta=n-1$.

Lemma 2.2. [2] Let $G$ be a connected graph with at least one edge. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}(G) \leq \max _{v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)}\left|N_{i} \cup N_{j}\right|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{i}$ is the neighbor set of vertex $v_{i} \in V(G)$. This upper bound for $\mu_{1}(G)$ does not exceed $n$.

The following result is related between the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$ and $\bar{G}$.
Lemma 2.3. [12] Let $G$ be a graph with Laplacian spectrum $\left\{0=\mu_{n}, \mu_{n-1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\mu_{2}, \mu_{1}\right\}$. Then the Laplacian spectrum of $\bar{G}$ is $\left\{0, n-\mu_{1}, n-\mu_{2}, \ldots, n-\mu_{n-2}, n-\right.$ $\left.\mu_{n-1}\right\}$, where $\bar{G}$ is the complement of the graph $G$.

Lemma 2.4. [10] Let $G$ be a graph of $n$ vertices and let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ obtained by deleting an edge in $G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}(G) \geq \mu_{1}(H) \geq \mu_{2}(G) \geq \mu_{2}(H) \geq \mu_{3}(G) \geq \cdots \\
\geq & \mu_{n-1}(G) \geq \mu_{n-1}(H) \geq \mu_{n}(G)=\mu_{n}(H)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{i}(G)$ is the $i$-th largest Laplacian eigenvalue of $G$ and $\mu_{i}(H)$ is the $i$-th largest Laplacian eigenvalue of $H$.

The following fact can be found in [13].
Lemma 2.5. [13] Let $G$ be a connected graph with a connected complement. If $d(G)=3$, then $\bar{G}$ has a spanning subgraph which is a double star.

The following result has been proved in Theorem 3.5 [8].
Lemma 2.6. [8] Let $G$ be a connected graph of diameter 2 with algebraic connectivity $a(G)$. Then $a(G) \geq 1$.

## 3. Proof of Conjecture 1

In this section we prove Conjecture 1. For this we need the following result:
Lemma 3.1. Let $G=K_{p, q} \backslash\{e\}$, where $e$ is any edge in $K_{p, q}(q \geq p, p+q=n)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}(G) \leq \mu_{1}\left(K\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \backslash\{e\}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality holding if and only if

$$
G \cong K\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \backslash\{e\} .
$$

Proof. If $p=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $q=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then the equality holds in (3). Otherwise, $p<\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $q>\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$. Thus we have $q \geq p+2$. The characteristic polynomial of $L(G)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(G, \mu)= & \mu(\mu-p)^{q-2}(\mu-q)^{p-2}\left[\mu^{3}-(2 p+2 q-2) \mu^{2}+\left(3 p q+p^{2}+q^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-3 p-3 q+2) \mu+2 p q+p^{2}+q^{2}-p q^{2}-p^{2} q-p-q\right], \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
G=K_{p, q} \backslash\{e\}
$$

Similarly, the characteristic polynomial of $L\left(G^{*}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(G^{*}, \mu\right)= & \mu(\mu-p)^{q-3}(\mu-q)^{p-1}\left[\mu^{3}-(2 p+2 q-2) \mu^{2}\right.  \tag{5}\\
& \left.+\left(3 p q+p^{2}+q^{2}-4 p-2 q+1\right) \mu+2 p q+2 p^{2}-p q^{2}-p^{2} q\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
G^{*}=K_{p+1, q-1} \backslash\{e\}
$$

Now,

$$
\phi(G, p+q-1)=-(p+q-1)(p-1)^{p-2}(q-1)^{q-2}
$$

and

$$
\phi(G, p+q)=q^{q-2} p^{p-2}(p+q)(q-p)
$$

If $p=1$, then $\mu_{1}(G)=p+q-1=n-1$. Otherwise, $q>p \geq 2$ and from the above, we get $n=p+q>\mu_{1}(G)>p+q-1=n-1$. Since $\mu_{1}(G)$ is the largest Laplacian eigenvalue of $L(G)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{1}^{3}(G) & -(2 p+2 q-2) \mu_{1}^{2}(G)+\left(3 p q+p^{2}+q^{2}-3 p-3 q+2\right) \mu_{1}(G) \\
& +2 p q+p^{2}+q^{2}-p q^{2}-p^{2} q-p-q=0, \quad q>p \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above result in (5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(G^{*}, \mu_{1}(G)\right)= & \mu_{1}(G)\left(\mu_{1}(G)-p\right)^{q-3}\left(\mu_{1}(G)-q\right)^{p-1}\left[\mu_{1}^{3}(G)-(2 p+2 q-2) \mu_{1}^{2}(G)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(3 p q+p^{2}+q^{2}-4 p-2 q+1\right) \mu_{1}(G)+2 p q+2 p^{2}-p q^{2}-p^{2} q\right] \\
= & \mu_{1}(G)\left(\mu_{1}(G)-p\right)^{q-3}\left(\mu_{1}(G)-q\right)^{p-1}(q-p-1)\left(\mu_{1}(G)-p-q\right) \\
< & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $q \geq p+2$ and $\mu_{1}(G)<p+q$.
Since $\phi\left(G^{*}, \mu\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$. Using the above result, we get $\mu_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)>$ $\mu_{1}(G)$, that is, $\mu_{1}\left(K_{p, q} \backslash\{e\}\right)<\mu_{1}\left(K_{p+1, q-1} \backslash\{e\}\right)$. Repeating the procedure sufficient number times and we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mu_{1}\left(K_{p, q} \backslash\{e\}\right)<\mu_{1}\left(K_{p+1, q-1} \backslash\{e\}\right)<\cdots<\mu_{1}\left(K^{\mid} \left\lvert\, \frac{n}{2}\right.\right\rfloor-1,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1 \backslash\{e\}\right) \\
< & \mu_{1}\left(K^{\lfloor }\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \backslash\{e\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Let $H$ be a graph of order $n(n \geq 4)$ such that $\bar{H}=K\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\{e\}$, where $e$ is any edge in $K\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$ (see, Fig. 1). Then $\alpha(H)=2$.


$$
H\left(\text { Here } p=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, q=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right)
$$

Fig. 1. Graph $H$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $H$ be the graph of order $n(\geq 4)$ defined above. Then $a(H)<1$.
Proof. From Lemma 2.1, we get $\mu_{1}(H)>\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1$. Let $H^{*}$ be a disconnected graph of order $n$ such that

$$
H^{*}=K\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \cup K\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil
$$

Thus we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\mu_{i}(H)-\mu_{i}\left(H^{*}\right)\right)=2
$$

Since

$$
\mu_{1}\left(H^{*}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \quad \text { and } a\left(H^{*}\right)=0,
$$

using the above results with Lemma 2.4, we must have $a(H)<1$.
Now we are ready to give a proof of Conjecture 1.
Theorem 3.3. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n(\geq 4)$ with independence number $\alpha(G)$ and algebraic connectivity $a(G)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(G)+\alpha(G) \geq a(H)+\alpha(H) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong H$.

Proof. Since $G$ is connected graph, $a(G)>0$. We have $\alpha(H)=2$ and by Lemma 3.2, $a(H)<1$. Thus we have $a(H)+\alpha(H)<3$. For $\alpha(G)=1, G \cong K_{n}$ and hence $a(G)+\alpha(G)=n+1 \geq 3>a(H)+\alpha(H)$. For $\alpha(G) \geq 3$, one can see easily that $a(G)+\alpha(G)>3>a(H)+\alpha(H)$ as $a(G)>0$. Otherwise, $\alpha(G)=2$.

Let $d(G)$ be the diameter of $G$. Since $\alpha(G)=2$, we have $d(G) \geq 2$. For $d(G)=2$, by Lemma 2.6, $a(G) \geq 1$ and hence $a(G)+\alpha(G) \geq 3>a(H)+\alpha(H)$. For $d(G) \geq 4$, we must have a path $P_{5}: v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}$, a subgraph of the diametral path $P_{d(G)+1}$ in $G$. Hence, we have $\alpha(G) \geq 3$, a contradiction. Otherwise, we have to prove the result (6) for $d(G)=3$ and $\alpha(G)=2$. If $\bar{G}$ is a disconnected graph, then by Lemma 2.2, $\mu_{1}(\bar{G}) \leq n-1$ and hence $a(G) \geq 1$, by Lemma 2.3 . As above we have $a(G)+\alpha(G) \geq 3>a(H)+\alpha(H)$. Otherwise, $\bar{G}$ is a connected graph. By Lemma $2.5, \bar{G}$ has a spanning subgraph which is a double star. Hence $\bar{G}$ has diameter at most 3 .

First we assume that $\bar{G}$ is bipartite graph. Since $G$ is connected, $\bar{G} \not \not K_{p, q}, n=$ $p+q$. Since $\bar{G}$ is connected bipartite graph, by Lemma 2.4 , we get

$$
\mu_{1}(\bar{G}) \leq \mu_{1}\left(K_{p, q} \backslash\{e\}\right),
$$

where $e$ is any edge in $K_{p, q}$ and $p+q=n$. Using the above result with Lemma 3.1, we get

$$
\mu_{1}(\bar{G}) \leq \mu_{1}\left(K\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \backslash\{e\}\right)=\mu_{1}(\bar{H})
$$

with equality holding if and only if $\bar{G} \cong K\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \backslash\{e\}$, that is, $G \cong H$. By
Lemma 2.3, from the above, we get

$$
a(G) \geq a(H)
$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong H$, that is,

$$
a(G)+\alpha(G) \geq a(H)+\alpha(H) \quad(\text { as } \quad \alpha(G)=\alpha(H)=2)
$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong H$.
Next we assume that $\bar{G}$ is non-bipartite graph. Then there is at least one odd cycle in $\bar{G}$. Since $d(\bar{G}) \leq 3$, graph $\bar{G}$ contains induced subgraph, cycle $C_{3}$ or $C_{5}$ or $C_{7}$. Since $\alpha(G)=2$, cycle of length three $\left(C_{3}\right)$ is not in $\bar{G}$. If $\bar{G}$ contains any cycles of length five or seven ( $C_{5}$ or $C_{7}$ ), then by Lemma 2.2 , one can see easily that

$$
\mu_{1}(\bar{G}) \leq n-1 .
$$

From the above, again we have $a(G) \geq 1$ and hence $a(G)+\alpha(G) \geq 3>a(H)+$ $\alpha(H)$ as $\alpha(G)=2$. This completes the proof.
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