

## THE GROWTH OF THE SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN TYPE OF DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Xiaoguang Qi, Yong Liu and Lianzhong Yang

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigate the growth of meromorphic solutions of the equation:  $f(z+n) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \{P_j(e^{A(z)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z)})\}f(z+j) = 0$ , where  $A(z)$ ,  $P_j(z)$  and  $Q_j(z)$  are polynomials in  $z$ . This article extends earlier results by Li et al [7, 15].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will assume that the reader is familiar with the fundamental results and the standard notations of the value distribution theory of meromorphic functions (e.g. see [11, 24]). In addition, we denote by  $\sigma(f)$ ,  $\lambda(f)$  and  $\lambda(\frac{1}{f})$  the order, the exponent of convergence of zeros and poles of  $f(z)$ , respectively.

The foundation of the theory of complex difference equations was laid by Batchelder [1], Nörlund [17], and Whittaker [20] in the early twentieth century. Later on, Shimomura [19] and Yanagihara [21, 22, 23] investigated nonlinear complex difference equations from the viewpoint of Nevanlinna theory. Recently, difference counterparts of Nevanlinna theory have been established. The key result is the difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative obtained by Halburd-Korhonen [10] and Chiang-Feng [7], independently. Hence, there has been an increasing renewed interest in complex difference equations and difference analogues of Nevanlinna theory, some new results can be seen in [2, 5, 6, 12, 13, 18].

In a recent paper [15], Li et al. obtained results concerning the growth of solutions of the following difference equation.

---

Received April 28, 2014, accepted August 15, 2014.

Communicated by Alexander Vasiliev.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 39A05, 30D35.

*Key words and phrases*: Meromorphic functions, Growth, Difference equation.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11301220 and No. 11371225), the NSF of Shandong Province, China (No. ZR2012AQ020) and the Fund of Doctoral Program Research of University of Jinan (XBS1211).

**Theorem A.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a nonconstant entire solution of the difference equation*

$$(1.1) \quad f(z + \eta) - a(z) = e^{P(z)}(f(z) - a(z)),$$

where  $a(z)$  is an entire function such that  $\delta(a) < \delta(f)$ ,  $P(z)$  is a nonconstant polynomial. If  $\lambda(f - a) < \delta(f)$ , then  $\delta(f) = \deg\{P(z)\} + 1$ .

In fact, equation (1.1) can be changed into the following equation as  $a(z)$  is a periodic function with the period  $\eta$ :

$$F(z + \eta) - e^{P(z)}F(z) = 0.$$

where  $F(z) = f(z) - a(z)$ . Noting Theorem A and the above equation, a natural question is: what will happen if  $e^{P(z)}$  is replaced with a polynomial of exponential functions. Another reason that we consider this question is that we find a counterexample related to the following theorem:

**Theorem B.** [7, Theorem 9.2]. *Let  $A_0(z), \dots, A_n(z)$  be entire functions such that there exists an integer  $l$  ( $0 \leq l \leq n$ ) that satisfies*

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(A_l) > \max\{\sigma(A_j)\}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad j \neq l.$$

*If  $f(z)$  is a meromorphic solution of the difference equation*

$$A_n(z)y(z+n) + \dots + A_1(z)y(z+1) + A_0(z)y(z) = 0,$$

*then  $\sigma(f) \geq \sigma(A_l) + 1$ .*

**Example C.**  $f(z) = e^{z^2}$  is a solution of the difference equation

$$(1.3) \quad f(z+2) + (e^z + e^{-z})f(z+1) - (e^{4z+4} + e^{3z+1} + e^{z+1})f(z) = 0.$$

Denote  $P_0(\zeta) = -e^4\zeta^4 - e\zeta^3 - e\zeta$  and  $Q_0(\zeta^{-1}) = 0$ ;  $P_1(\zeta) = \zeta$  and  $Q_1(\zeta^{-1}) = \zeta^{-1}$ . Clearly, the coefficients  $P_1(e^z) + Q_1(e^{-z}) = e^z + e^{-z}$  and  $P_0(e^z) + Q_0(e^{-z}) = -(e^{4z+4} + e^{3z+1} + e^{z+1})$  of (1.3) are transcendental entire functions which do not satisfy (1.2). Furthermore, we see  $\deg P_0 > \deg P_1$ , and  $\sigma(f) = \lambda(f - a) = 2$  for every nonzero value  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Due to above considerations, we investigate the following difference equation:

$$(1.4) \quad f(z+n) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \{P_j(e^{A(z)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z)})\}f(z+j) = 0,$$

where  $P_j(z)$  and  $Q_j(z)$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) are polynomials in  $z$ ,  $A(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$ . We obtain the following results.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $P_j(z)$  and  $Q_j(z)$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) be polynomials,  $A(z) = a_k z^k + a_{k-1} z^{k-1} + \dots + a_0$ , ( $a_k \neq 0$ ) be a nonconstant polynomial. If

$$\deg(P_0) > \deg(P_j) \quad \text{or} \quad \deg(Q_0) > \deg(Q_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then, each nontrivial meromorphic solution  $f(z)$  with finite order of the equation (1.4) satisfies  $\sigma(f) = \lambda(f - a) \geq k + 1$ , and so  $f$  assumes every nonzero complex value  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  infinitely often.

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. If  $f(z)$  is a nontrivial entire solution with finite order of the equation (1.4) that satisfies  $\lambda(f) \leq k$ , then  $\sigma(f) = k + 1$ .

**Remark.** Example C shows that Theorem 1.1 is sharp. It is also shown that the conclusion both in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 may occur.

## 2. SOME LEMMAS

**Lemma 2.1.** [7, Theorem 8.1]. Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function with finite order  $\sigma$ ,  $\eta$  be a nonzero complex number, and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given real constants. Then there exists a subset  $E \subset (1, \infty)$  of finite logarithmic measure, for all  $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ , we have

$$\exp\{-r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\} \leq \left| \frac{f(z+\eta)}{f(z)} \right| \leq \exp\{r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [10, Theorem 3.2]. Let  $w(z)$  be a nonconstant finite order meromorphic solution of  $P(z, w) = 0$ , where  $P(z, w)$  is a difference polynomial in  $w(z)$ . If  $P(z, a) \not\equiv 0$  for a meromorphic function  $a(z)$  satisfying  $T(r, a) = S(r, w)$ , then

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{w-a}\right) = S(r, w).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [9, Lemma 5]. Let  $g : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be monotone increasing functions such that  $g(r) \leq h(r)$  outside of an exceptional set  $E$  of finite logarithmic measure. Then, for any  $\alpha > 1$ , there exists  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $g(r) \leq h(\alpha r)$  hold for all  $r > r_0$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [8, Theorem 2.1]. Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function with finite order  $\sigma$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a set  $E \subset (1, \infty)$  of  $|z| = r$  of finite logarithmic measure, such that

$$\frac{f(z+\eta)}{f(z)} = \exp\left\{\eta \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + O(r^{\beta+\varepsilon})\right\},$$

holds for  $r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ . If  $\lambda < 1$ ,  $\beta = \max\{\sigma - 2, 2\lambda - 2\}$ ; and if  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $\beta = \max\{\sigma - 2, \lambda - 1\}$ , where  $\lambda = \max\{\lambda(f), \lambda(\frac{1}{f})\}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** [3, Lemma 3.2]. *Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function with finite order  $\sigma$ , then for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a set  $E \subset (1, \infty)$  of finite linear measure, such that for all  $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ , and  $r$  sufficiently large,*

$$\exp\{-r^{\sigma+\varepsilon}\} \leq |f(z)| \leq \exp\{r^{\sigma+\varepsilon}\}.$$

Using the similar proof as that of Remark 1 of [4], we can obtain the following result:

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a transcendental entire function with finite order  $\sigma$ , and a set  $E \subset (1, \infty)$  has a finite logarithmic measure. Then there exists a sequence of points such that  $r_k \notin E$ , and for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , as  $r_k$  sufficiently large, we have*

$$r_k^{\sigma-\varepsilon} < v(r_k, f) < r_k^{\sigma+\varepsilon},$$

where  $v(r, f)$  is the central index of  $f(z)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** [16]. *Let*

$$Q(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0,$$

where  $n$  is a positive integer and  $a_n = \alpha_n e^{i\theta_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $\theta_n \in [0, 2\pi)$ . For any given  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{4n}$ , consider  $2n$  open angles:

$$S_j : -\frac{\theta_n}{n} + (2j-1)\frac{\pi}{2n} + \varepsilon < \theta < -\frac{\theta_n}{n} + (2j+1)\frac{\pi}{2n} - \varepsilon, \quad j = 0, \dots, 2n-1.$$

Then there exists a positive number  $R = R(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $|z| = r > R$ , when  $z \in S_j$  and  $j$  is even,

$$\operatorname{Re}\{Q(z)\} > \alpha_n(1-\varepsilon)\sin(n\varepsilon)r^n,$$

when  $z \in S_j$  and  $j$  is odd,

$$\operatorname{Re}\{Q(z)\} < -\alpha_n(1-\varepsilon)\sin(n\varepsilon)r^n.$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Suppose that  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and

$$P_j(z) = a_j p_j z^{p_j} + a_j p_{j-1} z^{p_{j-1}} + \cdots + a_j 0,$$

$$Q_j(z) = b_j q_j z^{q_j} + b_j q_{j-1} z^{q_{j-1}} + \cdots + b_j 0.$$

Assume that  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  is a solution of the equation (1.4) such that  $\sigma(f) = \sigma < \infty$ . From Lemma 2.1, we get that, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a subset  $E \subset (1, \infty)$  with finite logarithmic measure such that for all  $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \exp\{-r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\} \leq \left| \frac{f(z+i)}{f(z)} \right| \leq \exp\{r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

**Case 1.** If  $\deg(P_0) > \deg(P_j)(j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1)$ , then we take a suitable  $z$  such that  $a_k z^k = |a_k| r^k$ . Combining (1.4) and (3.1), we have that for all sufficiently large  $r$  and  $r \notin [0, 1] \cup E$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_0(e^A) + Q_0(e^{-A})| &= |a_{0p_0}| e^{p_0 r^k |a_k|} (1 + o(1)) \\ &\leq \left| \frac{f(z+n)}{f(z)} \right| + |P_{n-1}(e^A) + Q_{n-1}(e^{-A})| \left| \frac{f(z+n-1)}{f(z)} \right| + \dots \\ &\quad + |P_1(e^A) + Q_1(e^{-A})| \left| \frac{f(z+1)}{f(z)} \right| \\ &\leq \exp\{r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\} + |a_{n-1p_{n-1}}| e^{p_{n-1} r^k |a_k|} \exp\{r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\} (1 + o(1)) \\ &\quad + \dots + |a_{1p_1}| e^{p_1 r^k |a_k|} \exp\{r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\} (1 + o(1)) \\ &\leq nM \exp\{r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}\} e^{\max\{p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\} r^k |a_k|} (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned}$$

and  $M = \max\{|a_{n-1p_{n-1}}|, \dots, |a_{1p_1}|, 1\}$ . Since  $p_0 > \max\{p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\} = N$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{|a_{0p_0}|}{nM} e^{(p_0-N)|a_k|r^k} (1 + o(1)) \leq e^{r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 and (3.2), we have that  $\sigma - 1 + \varepsilon \geq k$ , which implies  $\sigma(f) \geq k + 1$ .

**Case 2.** If  $\deg Q_0 > \deg Q_j$ , then taking a suitable  $z$  such that  $a_k z^k = -|a_k| r^k$ . Using the similar arguments mentioned above, we also get  $\sigma(f) \geq k + 1$ .

In the following, we prove that  $\sigma(f) = \lambda(f - a) \geq k + 1$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let

$$P(z, f) = f(z+n) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [P_j(e^{A(z)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z)})] f(z+j).$$

Clearly,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} P(z, a) &= a[1 + P_{n-1}(e^{A(z)}) + Q_{n-1}(e^{-A(z)}) + \dots + P_0(e^{A(z)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z)})] \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3) and Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = S(r, f),$$

thus

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

and we get that  $\lambda(f - a) = \sigma(f) \geq k + 1$ , completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

By Lemma 2.4 and the condition that  $\lambda(f) \leq k$ , we know that there exists a set  $E_1 \in (1, \infty)$  of finite logarithmic measure, such that for all  $z$  satisfying  $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E_1$ , where  $r$  is sufficiently large, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{f(z+j)}{f(z)} = \exp\left\{j \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + o(r^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

for any given  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ . From Wiman-Valiron theory, there exists a set  $E_2 \subset (0, \infty)$  of finite logarithmic measure such that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{v(r, f)}{z}$$

for  $|z| = r \notin E_2$ , as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus, by (1.4), (4.1) and (4.2), we see

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{P_j(e^{A(z)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z)})}{P_0(e^{A(z)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z)})} \exp\left\{j \frac{v(r, f)}{z} (1 + o(1)) + o(r^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\} = -1,$$

where  $P_n(e^{A(z)}) + Q_n(e^{-A(z)}) = 1$ .

Let

$$H(z) = \frac{P_j(e^{A(z)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z)})}{P_0(e^{A(z)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z)})},$$

then we conclude that  $\sigma(H) = k$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that there exists a set  $E_3 \subset (1, \infty)$  of finite linear measure, such that for all  $|z| = r \notin [0, 1] \cup E_3$  and  $r$  sufficiently large

$$(4.4) \quad \exp\{-r^{k+\varepsilon}\} \leq \left| \frac{P_j(e^{A(z)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z)})}{P_0(e^{A(z)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z)})} \right| \leq \exp\{r^{k+\varepsilon}\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

In the following, we set  $E = E_1 \cup (E_2 \cup E_3)$ . By Lemma 2.6, there exists a sequence of points such that  $r_m \notin E$ , for any given  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , as  $r_m$  sufficiently large, we have

$$(4.5) \quad r_m^{\sigma-\varepsilon} < v(r_m, f) < r_m^{\sigma+\varepsilon}.$$

In addition, we obtain that

$$(4.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{v(r_m, f)}{z_m} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{v(r_m, f) \bar{z}_m}{r_m^2} \right\} = \frac{v(r_m, f) \operatorname{Re}\{z_m\}}{r_m^2}.$$

From Lemma 2.7, for  $r_m$  sufficiently large, we get

$$Re\{z_m\} < -\beta_m r_m \quad \text{or} \quad Re\{z_m\} > \beta_m r_m,$$

where  $\beta_m > 0$  is a constant. We discuss the following two cases:

**Case 1.** Suppose first that  $Re\{z_m\} < -\beta_m r_m$ , the by (4.4)–(4.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{P_j(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z_m)})}{P_0(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z_m)})} \exp\left\{j \frac{v(r_m, f)}{z_m} (1 + o(1)) + o(r_m^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\} \right| \\ & \leq \exp\{-j\beta_m r_m^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon} (1 + o(1)) + r_m^{k+\varepsilon}\} \\ & \leq \exp\{-\beta_m r_m^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon} (1 + o(1)) + r_m^{k+\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.3), yields

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{P_j(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z_m)})}{P_0(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z_m)})} \exp\left\{j \frac{v(r_m, f)}{z_m} (1 + o(1)) + o(r_m^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{P_j(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z_m)})}{P_0(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z_m)})} \exp\left\{j \frac{v(r_m, f)}{z_m} (1 + o(1)) + o(r_m^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\} \right| \\ &\leq n \exp\{-\beta_m r_m^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon} (1 + o(1)) + r_m^{k+\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have  $\sigma - 1 + \varepsilon \leq k + \varepsilon$ , that is,  $\sigma \leq k + 1$ . By Theorem 1.1, we have  $\sigma(f) = k + 1$ .

**Case 2.** Suppose that  $Re\{z_m\} > \beta_m r_m$ . In this case, we prove the theorem by contradiction. Now we assume that  $\sigma(f) > k + 1$ . Then, take  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sigma-k-1}{2}\}$ . From (4.4)–(4.6), by calculating carefully, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{P_j(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z_m)})}{P_0(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z_m)})} \exp\left\{j \frac{v(r_m, f)}{z_m} (1 + o(1)) + o(r_m^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\} \right| \\ &= o \left( \left| \frac{P_n(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_n(e^{-A(z_m)})}{P_0(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z_m)})} \exp\left\{n \frac{v(r_m, f)}{z_m} (1 + o(1)) + o(r_m^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\} \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . This, together with (4.3)–(4.6), yields that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{P_j(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_j(e^{-A(z_m)})}{P_0(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z_m)})} \exp\left\{j \frac{v(r_m, f)}{z_m} (1 + o(1)) + o(r_m^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{P_n(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_n(e^{-A(z_m)})}{P_0(e^{A(z_m)}) + Q_0(e^{-A(z_m)})} \exp\left\{n \frac{v(r_m, f)}{z_m} (1 + o(1)) + o(r_m^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon})\right\} \right| (1 + o(1)) \\ &\geq \exp\{n\beta_m r_m^{\sigma-1-\varepsilon} (1 + o(1)) - r_m^{k+\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sigma - 1 - \varepsilon \leq k + \varepsilon$ , that is,  $\sigma \leq k + 1$  which contradicts the assumption that  $\sigma(f) > k + 1$ . Thus  $\sigma(f) \leq k + 1$ , by Theorem 1.1 again, we have  $\sigma(f) = k + 1$ . This proves Theorem 1.2.

## REFERENCES

1. P. M. Batchelder, *An Introduction to Linear Difference Equations*, Dover Publications, Inc, New York, 1967.
2. W. Bergweiler and J. K. Langley, Zeros of difference of meromorphic functions, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **142** (2007), 133-147.
3. Z. X. Chen, The growth of the solutions of second order differential equations with entire coefficients, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, **20** (1999), 7-14.
4. Z. X. Chen, The growth of solutions of  $f'' + e^{-z}f' + Q(z)f = 0$  where the order  $\sigma(Q) = 1$ , *Sci. China Ser. A*, **45** (2002), 290-300.
5. Z. X. Chen and K. H. Shon, Estimates for zeros of differences of meromorphic functions, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **52** (2009), 2447-2458.
6. Z. X. Chen, Growth and zeros of meromorphic solution of some linear difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **373** (2011), 235-241.
7. Y. M. Chiang and S. J. Feng, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of  $f(z + \eta)$  and difference equations in the complex plane, *Ramanujan J.*, **16** (2008), 105-129.
8. Y. M. Chang and S. J. Feng, On the growth of logarithmic differences, difference quotients and logarithmic derivatives of meromorphic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **361** (2009), 3767-3791.
9. G. Gundersen, Finite order solutions of second order linear differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **305** (1988), 415-429.
10. R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **314** (2006), 477-487.
11. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
12. J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen, I. Laine, J. Rieppö and J. Zhang, Value sharing results for shifts of meromorphic function, and sufficient conditions for periodicity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **355** (2009), 352-363.
13. I. Laine and C. C. Yang, Value distribution of difference polynomials, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **83** (2007), 148-151.
14. I. Laine and C. C. Yang, Clunie theorems for difference and  $q$ -difference polynomials, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **76(2)** (2007), 556-566.
15. X. M. Li, X. Yang and H. X. Yi, Entire functions and their shifts sharing an entire function of smaller order, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **89** (2013), 34-39.

16. A. I. Markushevich, *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Vol. II, translated by R. A. Silverman, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1965.
17. N. E. Nörlund, *Differenzenrechnung*, Berlin (German), 1924.
18. X. G. Qi, Z. H. Wang and L. Z. Yang, Growth of solutions of some higher order linear difference equations, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, **20** (2013), 111-122.
19. S. Shimomura, Entire solutions of a polynomial difference equation, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, **28** (1981), 253-266.
20. J. M. Whittaker, *Interpolatory Function Theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, New York, 1964.
21. N. Yanagihara, Meromorphic solutions of some difference equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **23** (1980), 309-326.
22. N. Yanagihara, Meromorphic solutions of some difference equations II, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **24** (1981), 113-124.
23. N. Yanagihara, Meromorphic solutions of some difference equations of  $n$ th order, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **91** (1985), 169-192.
24. C. C. Yang and H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.

Xiaoguang Qi  
University of Jinan  
School of Mathematics  
Jinan, Shandong 250022  
P. R. China  
E-mail: xiaogqi@gmail.com  
xiaogqi@mail.sdu.edu.cn

Yong Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
Shaoxing College of Arts and Sciences  
Shaoxing, Zhejiang 312000  
P. R. China  
E-mail: liuyongsdu@aliyun.com

Lianzhong Yang  
Shandong University  
School of Mathematics  
Jinan, Shandong 250100  
P. R. China  
E-mail: lzyang@sdu.edu.cn