

## MAXIMIZATION AND MINIMIZATION PROBLEMS RELATED TO A $p$ -LAPLACIAN EQUATION ON A MULTIPLY CONNECTED DOMAIN

N. Amiri and M. Zivari-Rezapour\*

**Abstract.** In this paper we investigate maximization and minimization problems related to a  $p$ -Laplacian equation on a multiply connected domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , where the admissible set is a rearrangement class of a fixed function. We prove existence and representation of the maximizers and existence, uniqueness and representation of the minimizer.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty, bounded, connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose boundary is a disjoint union of simple closed curves  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$  of class  $C^2$ , and suppose  $\Gamma_0$  encloses  $\Omega$ . Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , we denote the conjugate of  $p$  by  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . We consider the following boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_0, \\ u = \text{constant} & \text{on } \Gamma_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ -\int_{\Gamma_i} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = \gamma_i & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

where  $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit outer normal to  $\partial\Omega$ , boundary of  $\Omega$ , and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  are real numbers. When  $p = 2$ , G. R. Burton in [4, Appendix] has proved that the problem (1) has exactly one solution. By similar method, we show that (1) still has a unique solution when  $1 < p < \infty$ . For each  $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$  we denote the unique solution of (1) by  $u_f$ . For application of (1), when  $p = 2$ , to fluids dynamics (vorticity) see [4]. Our interest in this paper are in the maximization and minimization of the quantity  $\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_f|^p \, dx$ , the kinetic energy, as  $f$  varies in a rearrangement class of a fixed function in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ ; see the next section for precise definition of rearrangement of

---

Received October 6, 2013, accepted June 4, 2014.

Communicated by Franco Giannessi.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35J20, 49J20.

*Key words and phrases*: Rearrangement, Maximization, Minimization, Existence, Uniqueness.

\*Corresponding author.

functions. Rearrangement optimization problems have been investigated in recent years by many authors, see [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. However, the boundary value problem in the one which is discussed here is interesting and very different from others.

## 2. REARRANGEMENT

Let  $E$  be a (Lebesgue) measurable set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Real measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $E$  are *rearrangements* of each other whenever

$$\mathcal{L}_N(\{x \in \Omega : f(x) \geq \alpha\}) = \mathcal{L}_N(\{x \in \Omega : g(x) \geq \alpha\}), \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_N$  denotes the  $N$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. It is well known that if  $f \in L^r(E)$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ , and  $g$  be a rearrangement of  $f$ , then  $g \in L^r(E)$  and in fact  $\|f\|_r = \|g\|_r$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_r$  denotes the standard norm on  $L^r(E)$ . We denote the rearrangement class of  $f$  by  $\mathcal{R}(f)$  which comprises all functions which are rearrangements of  $f$ . The readers can see [2, 3] for more results about rearrangements of functions.

We now collect some useful lemmas to be applied later.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([3]). *Let  $p > 1$  and  $f_0 \in L^p(E)$ . Then*

- (i)  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(f_0)}$ , the weak closure of  $\mathcal{R}(f_0)$  in  $L^p(E)$ , is compact with respect to  $L^{p'}$ -topology, weak topology, on  $L^p(E)$ .
- (ii)  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(f_0)}$  is convex.

**Lemma 2.2.** ([3]). *Let  $f_0 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two measurable functions. If every level set of  $g$  has measure zero then there exists an increasing function  $\xi$  such that  $\xi(g) \in \mathcal{R}(f_0)$ . Furthermore there exists a decreasing function  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(g) \in \mathcal{R}(f_0)$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** ([3]). *Let  $p > 1$ ,  $f_0 \in L^p(E)$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(E)$ .*

- (i) *If there is an increasing function  $\xi$  such that  $\xi(g) \in \mathcal{R}(f_0)$  then*

$$\int_E fg \, dx \leq \int_E \xi(g)g \, dx, \quad \text{for all } f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(f_0)},$$

*and  $\xi(g)$  is the unique maximizer relative to  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(f_0)}$ .*

- (ii) *If there is a decreasing function  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(g) \in \mathcal{R}(f_0)$  then*

$$\int_E fg \, dx \geq \int_E \eta(g)g \, dx, \quad \text{for all } f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(f_0)},$$

*and  $\eta(g)$  is the unique minimizer relative to  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(f_0)}$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** ([2]). *Let  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  and  $s$  be the conjugate exponent of  $r$ . Let  $g \in L^r(E)$  and  $\Psi : L^r(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex.*

- (i) *Suppose that  $\Psi$  is sequentially continuous in the  $L^s$ -topology on  $L^r(E)$ . Then  $\Psi$  attains a maximum value relative to  $\mathcal{R}(g)$ .*
- (ii) *Suppose that  $\Psi$  is strictly convex, that  $g^*$  is a maximizer for  $\Psi$  relative to  $\mathcal{R}(g)$  and that  $w$  is a member of sub-gradient of  $\Psi$  at  $g^*$ . Then  $g^* = \xi(w)$  almost everywhere in  $E$  for some increasing function  $\xi$ .*

### 3. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS

In this section we prove the existence and uniqueness for the boundary value problem (1).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ . Then the boundary value problem (1) has a unique solution.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n$  be the regions enclosed by  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ . Let

$$W = \{w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \mid w = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \text{ and } w = \text{constant on } \Gamma_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

If  $w \in W$ , then we denote the value of  $w$  on  $\Gamma_i$  by  $(w)_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Define

$$J(w) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f w \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i (w)_i, \quad w \in W.$$

By the trace embedding  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\partial\Omega)$  we infer that  $W$  is a closed linear subspace of  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , and  $W$  comprises the restrictions to  $\Omega$  of elements of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$  that are constant on  $\Omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We consider the equivalent norm for  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$  that is defined as follows

$$\|w\| = \left( \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla w|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It is well known that the function  $x \rightarrow |x|^p$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , is strictly convex. From this, it is easy to deduce that  $J$  is strictly convex. We know that  $J$  is differentiable on  $W$  with

$$J'(w)v = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i (v)_i.$$

Moreover,

$$J(w) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|w\|^p - \|f\|_{p'} \|w\|_p + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i (w)_i \geq \frac{1}{p} \|w\|^p - C \|w\| + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i (w)_i,$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Thus  $J$  is coercive because  $p > 1$ . Therefore  $J$  has a unique global minimizer. We know that  $u \in W$  is a critical point of  $J$  whenever  $J'(u)v = 0$ , for all  $v \in W$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(v)_i = 0, \quad \text{for all } v \in W.$$

Let Lipschitz functions  $g^1, \dots, g^n \in W$  be chosen to satisfy the boundary conditions  $(g^j)_i = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then (2) is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla g^j \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f g^j \, dx + \gamma_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus (3) is a variational formulation of  $-\Delta_p u = f$ , in  $\Omega$ . Now from (4) and Divergence theorem we infer that

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta_p u - f) g^j \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Gamma_i} g^j |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds + \gamma_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

which reduces to

$$\int_{\Gamma_j} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds + \gamma_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

It follows that (1) holds if and only if  $u$  is a critical point of  $J$ , and therefore (1) has a unique solution.  $\blacksquare$

#### 4. OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  are fixed real numbers and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Also, let  $f_0$  is a fixed function in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}(f_0)$ . It is well known that the solution  $u_f$  of problem (1) satisfies the following variational problem

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_f|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f u_f \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(u_f)_i = \min_{w \in W} J_f(w),$$

where

$$J_f(w) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f w \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(w)_i.$$

By (2), when  $v = u = u_f$ , and (5) we deduce that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} (p-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_f|^p \, dx &= \max_{w \in W} (-pJ_f(w)) \\ &= \max_{w \in W} \left( p \int_{\Omega} f w \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p \, dx - p \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(w)_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

We define the functional  $\varphi : L^{p'}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\varphi(f) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_f|^p \, dx.$$

Our interest is in the following optimization problems

$$(7) \quad \max_{f \in \mathcal{R}} \varphi(f),$$

and

$$(8) \quad \min_{f \in \mathcal{R}} \varphi(f).$$

We now prove some useful lemmas to be applied later.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The functional  $\varphi$  is continuous with respect to weak topology in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Let a sequence  $\{f_j\}$  and  $f$  be all in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$  such that  $f_j \rightharpoonup f$  in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ . To simplify notation we write  $u_j$  in place of  $u_{f_j}$ . From (6) we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} &(p-1)\varphi(f) + p \int_{\Omega} (f_j - f)u_f \, dx \\ &= p \int_{\Omega} f_j u_f \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_f|^p \, dx - p \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(u_f)_i \\ &\leq (p-1)\varphi(f_j) \\ &= p \int_{\Omega} f u_j \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^p \, dx - p \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(u_j)_i + p \int_{\Omega} (f_j - f)u_j \, dx \\ &\leq (p-1)\varphi(f) + p \int_{\Omega} (f_j - f)u_j \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_j \rightharpoonup f$  we deduce that

$$(10) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (f_j - f)u_f \, dx = 0.$$

Now, we prove that

$$(11) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (f_j - f) u_j \, dx = 0.$$

From (2), when  $v = u = u_j$ ,  $f_j \rightharpoonup f$ , Poincaré's inequality for  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$  and Hölder's inequality we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_j\|^p &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} f_j u_j \, dx \right| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i(u_j)_i| \\ &\leq \|f_j\|_{p'} \|u_j\|_p + C \|u_j\| \\ &\leq C \|u_j\|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  denotes a positive constant that can change from line to line. Note that in the above inequalities, the second inequality, we used this fact that if  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  then

$$|(u_j)_i|^p \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega_i) = \int_{\Omega_i} |u_j|^p \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega_0} |u_j|^p \, dx \leq C \|u_j\|^p.$$

Hence  $\{u_j\} \subset W$  is a bounded sequence in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$ , thus there exists a subsequence, still denoted  $\{u_j\}$ , that converges weakly to  $\hat{u} \in W$ , because  $W$  is closed. The compact imbedding of  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  into  $L^p(\Omega)$  implies that  $\{u_j\}$  converges strongly to  $\hat{u}$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . Thus, we derive (11). Therefore, (9), (10) and (11) complete the proof of the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 4.1.** According to the proof of the Lemma 4.1, we claim that  $\hat{u}$  is equal to  $u_f$  almost every where in  $\Omega$ . We know that

$$(p-1)\varphi(f_j) = p \int_{\Omega} f_j u_j \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^p \, dx - p \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(u_j)_i.$$

Now by the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and (6), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)\varphi(f) &\leq p \int_{\Omega} f \hat{u} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}|^p \, dx - p \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(\hat{u})_i \\ &\leq (p-1)\varphi(f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the uniqueness of the maximizer of the functional  $-pJ_f(\cdot)$  implies that  $\hat{u} = u_f$  almost every where in  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *The functional  $\varphi$  is strictly convex in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $f, g \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ . For each  $w \in W$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} -pJ_{tf+(1-t)g}(w) &= p \int_{\Omega} (tf + (1-t)g)w \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p \, dx - p \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(w)_i \\ &= t(-pJ_f(w)) + (1-t)(-pJ_g(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (6) we infer that

$$\varphi(tf + (1-t)g) \leq t\varphi(f) + (1-t)\varphi(g);$$

thus  $\varphi$  is convex. Now, we show that  $\varphi$  is strictly convex. Suppose for some  $0 < t < 1$ , we have

$$\varphi(h) = t\varphi(f) + (1-t)\varphi(g),$$

where  $h := tf + (1-t)g$ . Thus,

$$J_h(u_h) = tJ_f(u_f) + (1-t)J_g(u_g).$$

Hence,

$$tJ_f(u_h) + (1-t)J_g(u_h) = tJ_f(u_f) + (1-t)J_g(u_g).$$

Since  $0 < t < 1$ , we derive  $J_f(u_h) = J_f(u_f)$  and  $J_g(u_h) = J_g(u_g)$ . By the uniqueness of the minimizer of the functionals  $J_f(\cdot)$  and  $J_g(\cdot)$  on  $W$ , we deduce that

$$u_h = u_f = u_g, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus,  $-\Delta_p u_f = -\Delta_p u_g$  almost every where in  $\Omega$ , so  $f = g$  almost every where in  $\Omega$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  is strictly convex.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ . The functional  $\varphi$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $f$  with derivative

$$\varphi'(f)g = \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} g u_f \, dx,$$

for all  $g \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{t_j\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers that tends to zero. Let  $f, g \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$  and  $h_j := f + t_j(g - f)$ ,  $j \geq 1$ . So,  $h_j \rightarrow f$  in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . From (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)\varphi(f) + p \int_{\Omega} (h_j - f)u_f \, dx &\leq (p-1)\varphi(h_j) \\ &\leq (p-1)\varphi(f) + p \int_{\Omega} (h_j - f)u_j \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_j := u_{h_j}$ . Thus,

$$(12) \quad \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} (g-f)u_f \, dx \leq \frac{\varphi(f+t_j(g-f)) - \varphi(f)}{t_j} \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} (g-f)u_j \, dx.$$

As a consequence of *Remark 4.1*,  $u_j \rightarrow u_f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . This coupled with (12), implies that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(f+t_j(g-f)) - \varphi(f)}{t_j} = \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} (g-f)u_f \, dx.$$

Therefore, the proof of the lemma follows.  $\blacksquare$

Now, we are ready to prove the main results of this section.

**Theorem 4.4.** *The maximization problem (7) is solvable; that is, there exists  $f^* \in \mathcal{R}$  such that*

$$\varphi(f^*) = \max_{f \in \mathcal{R}} \varphi(f).$$

*Moreover, there exists an increasing function  $\xi$  such that  $f^* = \xi(u_{f^*})$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 2.4(i) we infer that there exists  $f^* \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(f^*)$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ . From Lemma 4.3,  $\varphi(f)$  is Gâteaux differentiable with derivative  $\frac{p}{p-1}u_f$ . Since  $\varphi$  is strictly convex, by Lemma 2.4(ii), there is an increasing function  $\xi$  such that  $f^* = \xi(u_{f^*})$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 4.5.** *If  $f_0 > 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then the minimization problem (8) has a unique solution. Moreover, if  $f_*$  be the minimizer, then  $f_* = \eta(u_{f_*})$  for some decreasing function  $\eta$ .*

*Proof.* We know  $\varphi$  is weakly continuous in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ , Lemma 4.1, and  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$  is weakly compact, Lemma 2.1. Thus, there exists  $f_* \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}$  such that

$$\varphi(f_*) = \min_{f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}} \varphi(f).$$

Since  $\varphi$  is strictly convex, Lemma 4.2, we infer that  $f_*$  is unique. Now, we prove that  $f_* \in \mathcal{R}$ . From Lemma 2.14 of [3] we have

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\{x \in \Omega : f_*(x) > 0\}) \geq \mathcal{L}_2(\{x \in \Omega : f_0(x) > 0\}) = \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega),$$

so,  $f_* > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . This coupled with  $-\Delta_p u_{f_*} = f_*$  in  $\Omega$ , implies that every level set of  $u_{f_*}$  in  $\Omega$  has measure zero. By applying Lemma 2.2 we derive that there exists a decreasing function  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(u_{f_*}) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now, from Lemma 2.3(ii) we have

$$(13) \quad \int_{\Omega} f u_{f_*} \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \eta(u_{f_*}) u_{f_*} \, dx, \quad \text{for all } f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}.$$



Let  $0 < t < 1$  and  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}$ . We define  $f_t := tf + (1-t)f_*$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$  is convex, Lemma 2.1(i),  $f_t \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}$  for all  $0 < t < 1$ . From Lemma 4.3, for sufficiently small  $t$  we have

$$\varphi(f_*) \leq \varphi(f_t) = \varphi(f_*) + \frac{tp}{p-1} \int_{\Omega} (f - f_*) u_{f_*} \, dx + o(t).$$

Thus, when  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  we deduce

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Omega} f u_{f_*} \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f_* u_{f_*} \, dx, \quad \text{for all } f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Therefore, by (13), (14) and Lemma 2.3(ii) we derive  $f_* = \eta(u_{f_*})$ . ■

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
2. G. R. Burton, Rearrangements of functions, maximization of convex functionals, and vortex rings, *Math. Ann.*, **276** (1987), 225-253.
3. G. R. Burton, Variational problems on classes of rearrangements and multiple configurations for steady vortices, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, **6** (1989), 295-319.
4. G. R. Burton, Rearrangements of functions, saddle points and uncountable families of steady configurations for a vortex, *Acta Math.*, **163** (1989), 291-309.
5. F. Cuccu, B. Emamizadeh and G. Porru, Optimization of the first eigenvalue in problems involving the  $p$ -Laplacian, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137**(5) (2009), 1677-1687.
6. F. Cuccu, B. Emamizadeh and G. Porru, Optimization problems for an elastic plate, *J. Math. Phys.*, **47**(8) (2006), 082901, 12 pp.
7. F. Cuccu, B. Emamizadeh and G. Porru, Nonlinear elastic membranes involving the  $p$ -Laplacian operator, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **49** (2006), 10 pp.
8. F. Cuccu, G. Porru and S. Sakaguchi, Optimization problems on general classes of rearrangements, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **74** (2011), 5554-5565.
9. F. Cuccu, G. Porru and A. Vitolo, Optimization of the energy integral in two classes of rearrangements, *Nonlinear Stud.*, **17**(1) (2010), 23-35.
10. L. M. Del Pezzo and J. Fernández Bonder, Some optimization problems for  $p$ -Laplacian type equations, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **59**(3) (2009), 365-381.
11. L. M. Del Pezzo and J. Fernández Bonder, Remarks on an optimization problem for the  $p$ -Laplacian, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **23**(2) (2010), 188-192.
12. B. Emamizadeh and M. Zivari-Rezapour, Optimization of the principal eigenvalue of the pseudo  $p$ -Laplacian operator with Robin boundary conditions, *International Journal of Mathematics*, **23**(12) (2012), 1250127, 17 pp.

13. B. Emamizadeh and M. Zivari-Rezapour, Rearrangements and minimization of the principal eigenvalue of a nonlinear Steklov problem, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **74(16)** (2011), 5697-5704.
14. B. Emamizadeh and M. Zivari-Rezapour, Rearrangement optimization for some elliptic equations, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **135(3)** (2007), 367-379.
15. B. Emamizadeh and J. V. Prajapat, Maximax and minimax rearrangement optimization problems, *Optim. Lett.*, **5(4)** (2011), 647-664.
16. M. Zivari-Rezapour, Maximax rearrangement optimization related to a homogeneous Dirichlet problem, *Arab. J. Math.*, **2(4)** (2013), 427-433, DOI 10.1007/s40065-013-0083-0.

N. Amiri and M. Zivari-Rezapour  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences & Computer  
Shahid Chamran University  
Golestan Blvd. Ahvaz  
Iran  
E-mail: n-amiri@phdstu.scu.ac.ir  
mzivari@scu.ac.ir