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Abstract. Let $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ be the space of diffeomorphisms of a closed $C^{\infty}$ manifold $M$ ( $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$ ) endowed with the $C^{1}$ topology. In this paper we show that for $C^{1}$ generic $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$, any shadowable chain recurrence class $C_{f}$ is hyperbolic if it contains a hyperbolic periodic point.

## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a closed $C^{\infty}$ manifold with $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$, and let $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ be the space of diffeomorphisms of $M$ endowed with the $C^{1}$ topology. Denote by $d$ the distance on $M$ induced from a Riemannian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on the tangent bundle $T M$. Let $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$. For $\delta>0$, a sequence of points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=a}^{b}(-\infty \leq a<b \leq \infty)$ in $M$ is called a $\delta$-pseudo orbit of $f$ if $d\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), x_{i+1}\right)<\delta$ for all $a \leq i \leq b-1$. Let $\Lambda$ be a closed $f$ invariant set. We say that $f$ has the shadowing property on $\Lambda$ (or, $\Lambda$ is shadowable for $f$ ) if for every $\epsilon>0$ there is $\delta>0$ such that for any $\delta$-pseudo orbit $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=a}^{b} \subset \Lambda$ of $f(-\infty \leq a<b \leq \infty)$, there is a point $y \in M$ (not necessary in $\Lambda$ ) such that $d\left(f^{i}(y), x_{i}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $a \leq i \leq b-1$.

We say that $\Lambda$ is hyperbolic if the tangent bundle $T_{\Lambda} M$ has a $D f$-invariant splitting $E^{s} \oplus E^{u}$ and there exist constants $C>0$ and $0<\lambda<1$ such that

$$
\left\|\left.D_{x} f^{n}\right|_{E_{x}^{s}}\right\| \leq C \lambda^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\left.D_{x} f^{-n}\right|_{E_{x}^{u}}\right\| \leq C \lambda^{n}
$$

for all $x \in \Lambda$ and $n \geq 0$. If $\Lambda=M$ then $f$ is said to be Anosov.
Robinson [12] and Sakai [13] proved that a diffeomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ belongs to the $C^{1}$ interior of the set of diffeomorphisms with the shadowing property if and only if $f$ is structurally stable.

We say that $\Lambda$ is transitive if there is a point $x \in \Lambda$ such that $\omega(x)=\Lambda . \quad \Lambda$ is locally maximal if there is a neighborhood $U$ of $\Lambda$ such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{n}(U)=\Lambda$. A subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ is called residual if it contains a countable intersection of open and dense subsets of $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$. A dynamic property is called $C^{1}$ generic if it holds in a residual subset of $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$.

[^0]Abdenur and Díaz [1] proved that if $\Lambda$ is a locally maximal transitive set of a $C^{1}$ generic diffeomorphism, then either $\Lambda$ is hyperbolic, or there are a $C^{1}$ neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of $f$ and a neighborhood $U$ of $\Lambda$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}(f), g$ is does not have the shadowing property on $\Lambda_{g}(U)=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g^{n}(U)$. Moreover they posed an open problem:
> " $C^{1}$ generically, a diffeomorphism has the shadowing property if and only if it is hyperbolic?"

The above probelm is still open, but Lee and Wen (10 showed that $C^{1}$ generically, if a locally maximal chain transitive set is shadowing then it is hyperbolic. We say that a closed invariant set $\Lambda \subset M$ is robustly transitive if there are a $C^{1}$ neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of $f$ and a neighborhood $U$ of $\Lambda$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}(f), \Lambda_{g}(U)=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g^{n}(U)$ is transitive for $g$. Here $\Lambda_{g}(U)$ is called the continuation of $\Lambda$ for $g$. Tian and Sun [15] showed that if a diffeomorphism $f$ has the $C^{1}$ stable shadowing property in a robustly transitive set $\Lambda$ (in the case, the shadowing points are in $\Lambda$ ) then it is a hyperbolic basic set. Moreover, they claimed that if $f$ has the $C^{1}$ generic stable shadowing property in a robustly transitive set $\Lambda$ then it is a hyperbolic basic set. Here, we say that $f$ has the $C^{1}$ stable shadowing property in $\Lambda$ if there exist a $C^{1}$ neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of $f$ and a neighborhood $U$ of $\Lambda$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}(f), g$ has the shadowing property in $\Lambda_{g}(U)$. We say that $f$ has the $C^{1}$ generic stable shadowing property in $\Lambda$ if there exist a $C^{1}$ neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of $f$ and a residual set $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{U}(f)$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{R}, g$ has the shadowing property in $\Lambda_{g}(U)$.

Using the results, we prove that $C^{1}$ generically, any shadowable chain recurrent class is hyperbolic if it contains a hyperbolic periodic point.

## 2. Homoclinic classses for generic diffeomorphisms

For given $x, y \in M$, we write $x \rightsquigarrow y$ if for any $\delta>0$, there is a $\delta$-pseudo orbit $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}(n \geq$ 1) of $f$ such that $x_{0}=x$ and $x_{n}=y$. The set $\{x \in M: x \rightsquigarrow x\}$ is called the chain recurrent set of $f$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{C R}(f)$. It is easy to see that the set is closed and $f(\mathcal{C R}(f))=\mathcal{C} \mathcal{R}(f)$. The relation $\longleftrightarrow \rightsquigarrow$ induces an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{R}(f)$ whose classes are called chain recurrence classes of $f$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{f}$. In general, the chain recurrent class is a closed and invariant set. For any $x \in M$, the orbit of $x$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Orb}(x)=\left\{f^{n}(x): n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. It is well known that if $p$ is a hyperbolic periodic point of $f$ with period $\pi(p)$ then the sets

$$
W^{s}(p)=\left\{x \in M: f^{\pi(p) n}(x) \rightarrow p \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
W^{u}(p)=\left\{x \in M: f^{-\pi(p) n}(x) \rightarrow p \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty\right\}
$$

are $C^{1}$ injectively immersed submanifolds of $M$. A point $x \in W^{s}(p) \cap W^{u}(p)$ is called a homoclinic point of $f$ associated to $p$, and it is said to be a transversal homoclinic point of $f$ if the above intersection is transversal at $x$; i.e., $x \in W^{s}(p) \pitchfork W^{u}(p)$. The closure of the transversal homoclinic points of $f$ associated to $p$ is called the homoclinic class of $f$ associated to $p$, and it is denoted by $H_{f}(p)$. It is clear that $H_{f}(p)$ is a compact, invariant and transitive set. Note that any chain recurrence class contains a homoclinic class. Bonatti and Crovisier [2] proved that every chain recurrence class containing a hyperbolic periodic point $p$ is the homoclinic class $H_{f}(p)$ in the $C^{1}$ generic sense. For any hyperbolic periodic point $p$, we say that $f$ has a homoclinic tangency if $W^{s}(p)$ and $W^{u}(p)$ intersect nontransversaly. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}}$ the closure of the set of diffeomorphisms exhibiting a homoclinic tangency. We say that a compact invariant set $\Lambda$ admits a dominated splitting for $f$ if the tangent bundle $T_{\Lambda} M$ has a continuous $D f$ invariant splitting $E \oplus F$ and there exist $C>0,0<\lambda<1$ such that for all $x \in \Lambda$ and $n \geq 0$, we have

$$
\left\|\left.D f^{n}\right|_{E(x)}\right\| \cdot\left\|\left.D f^{-n}\right|_{F\left(f^{n}(x)\right)}\right\| \leq C \lambda^{n} .
$$

If $p$ is a hyperbolic periodic point then there are a $C^{1}$-neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ and a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$, there is a hyperbolic periodic point $p_{g} \in P(g)$, where $p_{g}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g^{n}(U)$ is called the continuation of $p$. The following was proved by Gourmelon in [8, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 2.1. For generic $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$, if $f$ has a homoclinic class $H_{f}(p)$ which contains hyperbolic saddles of indices $i$ and $j(i \leq j)$, then either
(a) $H_{f}(p)$ admits a dominated splitting of the form

$$
T_{H_{f}(p)} M=E \oplus E_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{j-i} \oplus F
$$

with $\operatorname{dim} E=i, \operatorname{dim} F=\operatorname{dim} M-j$ and $\operatorname{dim} E_{i}=1$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, j-i$. Moreover, all subbundles $E_{i}$ are non-hyperbolic, or
(b) for any $C^{1}$-neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of $f$ there is a $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$ having a homoclinic tangency associated with a saddle of the homoclinic class $H_{g}\left(p_{g}\right)$, where $p_{g}$ is the continuation of $p$.

For any two hyperbolic periodic points $p$ and $q$, we say that $f$ has a heterodimensional cycles if
(i) $\operatorname{dim} W^{s}(p) \neq \operatorname{dim} W^{s}(q)$,
(ii) $W^{s}(p) \cap W^{u}(q) \neq \emptyset$ and $W^{u}(p) \cap W^{s}(q) \neq \emptyset$.

Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H C}}$ the closure of the set of diffeomorphisms exhibiting a heterodimensional cycle. In [4], Crovisier proved the following.

Theorem 2.2. For generic $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M) \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{H C}}$, any homoclinic class $H_{f}(p)$ admits a partially hyperbolic spitting: $T_{H_{f}(p)} M=E^{s} \oplus E_{1}^{c} \oplus E_{2}^{c} \oplus E^{u}$, where $\operatorname{dim} E_{i}^{c}=0$ or 1 , for $i=1,2$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(E^{s} \oplus E_{1}^{c}\right)$ coincides with the stable dimension of $p$.

Let $p$ and $q$ be hyperbolic periodic points. We say that $q$ is homoclinically related to $p$ (denoted by $p \sim q$ ) if

$$
W^{s}(p) \pitchfork W^{u}(q) \neq \emptyset \quad \text { and } \quad W^{u}(p) \pitchfork W^{s}(q) \neq \emptyset
$$

Let $\mu$ be an invariant measure of $f$. Then for $\mu$-almost every $x \in M$, there exist real numbers

$$
\xi_{f}^{1}(\mu, x) \leq \cdots \leq \xi_{f}^{n}(\mu, x)
$$

with $n=\operatorname{dim} M$ such that for every non-zero vector $v \in T_{x} M$, one has

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\|D f^{n}(x) v\right\|=\xi_{f}^{i}(\mu, x)
$$

for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. The number $\xi_{f}^{i}(\mu, x)$ is called the $i$-th Lyapunov exponent of the invariant measure $\mu$ at $x$. Moreover, if $\mu$ is taken to be ergodic, $\xi_{f}^{i}(\mu, x)$ is independent of $x$ in a $\mu$-full measure set. An ergodic measure $\mu$ is hyperbolic if all of its Lyapunov exponents are non-zero, or else, it is said to be non-hyperbolic.

For a homoclinic class $H_{f}(p)$ if for any $\delta>0$, there is a hyperbolic periodic point $q$ such that (1) $q$ is homoclinically related with $p$, and (2) $q$ has one Lyapunov exponent in $(-\delta, \delta)$, then we say that $H_{f}(p)$ contains weak periodic orbits related to $p$. In [5], Corivisier et. al proved the following.

Theorem 2.3. For generic $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M) \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}}$, any homoclinic class $H_{f}(p)$ satisfy: either
(a) $H_{f}(p)$ is hyperbolic, or
(b) $H_{f}(p)$ contains weak periodic orbits related to $p$.

Let $\Lambda$ be a closed $f$ invariant set. We say that $\Lambda$ is expansive if there is $e>0$ such that for any $x, y \in \Lambda$ if $d\left(f^{i}(x), f^{i}(y)\right)<e$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $x=y$. It is well known that if $\Lambda$ is hyperbolic then $\Lambda$ is expansive. Yang and Gan 17 proved that $C^{1}$ generically, every expansive homoclinic class $H_{f}(p)$ is hyperbolic.

For the result, we study that for a $C^{1}$ generic diffeomorphism $f$, if any chain recurrence class $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ which contains a hyperbolic periodic point $p\left(\mathcal{C}_{f}(p)\right)$ is shadowable then it is hyperbolic. The following is our main result.
Main Theorem. For generic $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$, any shadowable chain recurrence class $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ of $f$ is hyperbolic if it contains a hyperbolic periodic point.

## 3. Proof of main theorem

Let $\Lambda$ be a closed $f$ invariant set. For $x \in \Lambda$ and any $\epsilon>0$, let

$$
W_{\epsilon}^{s}(x)=\left\{y \in M: d\left(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y)\right) \leq \epsilon, \text { for all } n \geq 0\right\}
$$

and

$$
W_{\epsilon}^{u}(x)=\left\{y \in M: d\left(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)\right) \leq \epsilon, \text { for all } n \geq 0\right\}
$$

be the local stable set and the local unstable set of $x$, respectively. If $\Lambda$ is hyperbolic then there is $\eta>0$ such that for any $0<\epsilon \leq \eta$, and $x \in \Lambda$, the set $W_{\epsilon}^{s}(x)$ and $W_{\epsilon}^{u}(x)$ are embedded manifolds.

Lemma 3.1. If $f$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$ then for any hyperbolic saddle $q \in H_{f}(p)$ we have $W^{s}(p) \cap W^{u}(q) \neq \emptyset$ and $W^{u}(p) \cap W^{s}(q) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $q$ be a hyperbolic saddle. Since $H_{f}(p)$ is transitive there is a point $x \in H_{f}(p)$ such that $\omega(x)=H_{f}(p)$. Thus for any $\eta>0$ there are $j_{1}>0$ and $j_{2}>0$ such that $d\left(f^{j_{1}}(x), p\right)<\eta$ and $d\left(f^{j_{2}}(x), q\right)<\eta$. For simplicity, we assume that $f(p)=p, f(q)=$ $q$. Since $p, q$ are hyperbolic there exist $\epsilon(p)>0$ and $\epsilon(q)>0$ such that $W_{\epsilon(p)}^{\sigma}(p)$ and $W_{\epsilon(q)}^{\sigma}(q)$ are submanifolds of $M$, as above, where $\sigma=s, u$. Take $\epsilon=\min \{\epsilon(p), \epsilon(q)\}$. Let $0<\delta(\epsilon)=\delta \leq \eta / 4$ be the number obtained by the shadowing property. Without loss of generality, $j_{2}=j_{1}+k$ for some $k>0$. Then we construct a $\delta$-pseudo orbit $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as follows:
(i) $x_{-i}=f^{-i}(p)$ for $i \geq 0$,
(ii) $f^{j_{1}+i}(x)=x_{1+i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k-1$, and
(iii) $x_{i}=f^{i}(q)$ for $i \geq k+1$.

By (i), (ii) and (iii), $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is the $\delta$-pseudo orbit

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} & =\left\{\ldots, p, f^{j_{1}}(x), f^{j_{1}+1}(x), \ldots, f^{j_{1}+k-1}(x), q, \ldots\right\} \\
& =\left\{\ldots, x_{0}=p, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x_{k}, x_{k+1}=q, q, \ldots\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it is clear $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset H_{f}(p)$. By the shadowing property, there is $y \in M$ such that $d\left(f^{i}(y), x_{i}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $y \in W^{u}(p)$ and $f^{k}(y) \in W^{s}(q)$. Since $y \in$ $f^{-k}\left(W^{s}(q)\right) \subset W^{s}(q)$, we have $W^{u}(p) \cap W^{s}(q) \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, we have $W^{s}(p) \cap W^{u}(q) \neq$ $\emptyset$.

Let $P(f)$ be the set of all periodic points of $f$. We say that $f$ is Kupka-Smale if every periodic point is hyperbolic, and all their invariant manifolds are transverse. Denote by $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S}(M)$ the set of Kupka-Smale diffeomorphisms. It is well-known that $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S}(M)$ is a residual set in $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$.

Proposition 3.2. There is a residual set $\mathcal{G}_{1} \subset \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$, if $f$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$ then for any hyperbolic periodic point $q \in H_{f}(p)$,

$$
W^{s}(p) \pitchfork W^{u}(q) \neq \emptyset \quad \text { and } \quad W^{u}(p) \pitchfork W^{s}(q) \neq \emptyset
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S}(M)$ and let $f \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ have the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$. By Lemma 3.1, for any $q \in H_{f}(p) \cap P_{h}(f)$, we have

$$
W^{s}(p) \cap W^{u}(q) \neq \emptyset \quad \text { and } \quad W^{u}(p) \cap W^{s}(q) \neq \emptyset
$$

where $P_{h}(f)$ is the set of all hyperbolic periodic points of $f$. Since $f \in \mathcal{K} \mathcal{S}(M)$, we know

$$
W^{s}(p) \pitchfork W^{u}(q) \neq \emptyset \quad \text { and } \quad W^{u}(p) \pitchfork W^{s}(q) \neq \emptyset
$$

Lemma 3.3. 9, Lemma 2.2] There is a residual set $\mathcal{G}_{2} \subset \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$, if for any $C^{1}$ neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of $f$ there is $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$ such that $g$ has two distinct hyperbolic periodic points $p_{g}$ and $q_{g}$ with $\operatorname{index}\left(p_{g}\right) \neq \operatorname{index}\left(q_{g}\right)$, then $f$ has two distinct hyperbolic periodic points $p$ and $q$ with $\operatorname{index}(p) \neq \operatorname{index}(q)$, where $\operatorname{index}(p)=\operatorname{dim} W^{s}(p)$.

For $\eta>0$, a $C^{1}$-curve $\mathcal{J} \subset M$ is called $\eta$ simply periodic curve (see 17) of $f$ if
(a) $\mathcal{J}$ is diffeomorphic to $[0,1]$, and its end points are hyperbolic periodic points of $f$;
(b) $\mathcal{J}$ is periodic with period $\pi(\mathcal{J})$, that is, $f^{\pi(\mathcal{J})}(\mathcal{J})=\mathcal{J}$, and $L\left(f^{i}(\mathcal{J})\right)<\eta$ for all $i=0,1, \ldots, \pi(\mathcal{J})-1$, where $L(\mathcal{J})$ denotes the length of $\mathcal{J}$;
(c) $\mathcal{J}$ is normally hyperbolic.

Lemma 3.4. 17, Lemma 2.1] There is a residual set $\mathcal{G}_{3} \subset \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$, and $p \in P(f)$ if for any $\eta>0$ and a $C^{1}$ neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of $f$ there is $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$ such that $g$ has an $\eta$ simply periodic curve $\mathcal{J}$ which two endpoints are homoclinically related with $p_{g}$, then $f$ has an $\eta$-simply periodic curve $\mathcal{I}$ such that the two endpoints of $\mathcal{I}$ are homoclinically related to $p$.

For $p, q \in P_{h}(f)$, if $p \sim q$ then it is clear that $\operatorname{dim} W^{s}(p)=\operatorname{dim} W^{s}(q)$, that is, $\operatorname{index}(p)=\operatorname{index}(q)$. For $p \in P(f)$, the set of normalized eigenvalues of $D_{p} f^{\pi(p)}$ is the set $\left\{\lambda^{1 / \pi(p)}: \lambda\right.$ eigenvalues of $\left.D_{p} f^{\pi(p)}\right\}$. The following Franks' lemma 7 will play essential roles in our proofs.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathcal{U}(f)$ be any given $C^{1}$ neighborhood of $f$. Then there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a $C^{1}$ neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{0}(f) \subset \mathcal{U}(f)$ of $f$ such that for given $g \in \mathcal{U}_{0}(f)$, a finite set $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$, a neighborhood $U$ of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$ and linear maps $L_{i}: T_{x_{i}} M \rightarrow T_{g\left(x_{i}\right)} M$ satisfying $\left\|L_{i}-D_{x_{i}} g\right\| \leq \epsilon$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, there exists $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{U}(f)$ such that $\widehat{g}(x)=g(x)$ if $x \in\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \cup(M \backslash U)$ and $D_{x_{i}} \widehat{g}=L_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Lemma 3.6. There is a residual set $\mathcal{G}_{4} \subset \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_{4}$, if $f$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$, then for any $q \in H_{f}(p) \cap P_{h}(f)$ with $q \sim p$, the moduli of the normalized eigenvalues of $q$ are uniformly bounded away from 1.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}_{4}=\mathcal{G}_{1} \cap \mathcal{G}_{2} \cap \mathcal{G}_{3}$. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{G}_{4}$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$. Assume that there is $q \in H_{f}(p) \cap P_{h}(f)$ with $q \sim p$ such that the normalized eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $D_{q} f^{\pi(q)}$ is close to 1 .

Note that if $D_{q} f^{\pi(q)}$ has the normalized eigenvalue $\lambda$ which is closed to 1 then by Lemma 3.5, there is $g C^{1}$ close to $f$ such that $D_{q_{g}} g^{\pi(q)}$ has an eigenvalue $\mu$ with $\mu=1$. Then clearly we have index $\left(q_{g}\right)<\operatorname{index}(q)$ (for more detail see [14, Proposition 3]).

By Lemma 3.5, there is $g C^{1}$ close to $f$ such that $g$ has an $\eta$ simply periodic curve $\mathcal{J}_{q_{g}}$ which two endpoints are homoclinically related with $p_{g}$, and $\operatorname{index}\left(q_{g}\right) \neq \operatorname{index}\left(p_{g}\right)$. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, $f$ has an $\eta$ simply periodic curve $\mathcal{I}_{q}$ which two endpoints are homoclinically related with $p$, and $\operatorname{index}(q) \neq \operatorname{index}(p)$. This is a contradiction since $q \sim p$.

The family of periodic sequences of linear isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{\operatorname{dim} M}$ generated by $D f$ along the hyperbolic periodic points $q \in H_{f}(p)$ with $q \sim p$ is uniformly hyperbolic means that there is $\epsilon>0$ such that for any $g\left(C^{1}\right.$ close to $\left.f\right)$, the hyperbolic periodic point $q_{g} \in P(g)$ with $q_{g} \sim p_{g}$ (see [14, p. 475]).

Proposition 3.7. If $f \in \mathcal{G}_{4}$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$, then there is $\lambda \in(0,1)$ such that for any $q \in H_{f}(p) \cap P_{h}(f)$ and $x \in \operatorname{Orb}(q)$, we have

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{\pi(q)-1}\left\|\left.D f^{i}\right|_{E^{s}\left(f^{i}(x)\right)}\right\| \leq \lambda^{\pi(q)} \quad \text { and } \prod_{i=0}^{\pi(q)-1}\left\|\left.D f^{-i}\right|_{E^{u}\left(f^{-i}(x)\right)}\right\| \leq \lambda^{\pi(q)}
$$

where $\pi(q)$ is the period of $q$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_{4}$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$. For any $q \in H_{f}(p) \cap P_{h}(f)$, we have $q \sim p$ by Proposition 3.2 . Since $f$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$, the moduli of the normalized eigenvalues of $D_{q} f^{\pi(q)}$ are uniformly bounded away from 1 . Thus by [11, Lemma II.3], we obtain that for any $x \in \operatorname{Orb}(q)$, we have

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{\pi(q)-1}\left\|\left.D f^{i}\right|_{E^{s}\left(f^{i}(x)\right)}\right\| \leq \lambda^{\pi(q)} \quad \text { and } \prod_{i=0}^{\pi(q)-1}\left\|\left.D f^{-i}\right|_{E^{u}\left(f^{-i}(x)\right)}\right\| \leq \lambda^{\pi(q)}
$$

where $\pi(q)$ is the period of $q$.
For any $p \in P(f)$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$, we say $p$ has a $\delta$ weak eigenvalue of $f$ if $D_{p} f^{\pi(p)}$ has an eigenvalue $\lambda$ such that $(1-\delta)^{\pi(p)}<|\lambda|<(1+\delta)^{\pi(p)}$.

Lemma 3.8. For any $f \in \mathcal{G}_{4}$, if $f$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$ then all the Lyapunov exponents of all periodic points homoclinically related to $p$ are uniformly away from 0 .

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_{4}$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$, and let $q \in H_{f}(p) \cap P_{h}(f)$. Note that if there is a periodic point $q$ of $f$ that is homoclinically related to $p$ and has a Lyapunov exponent arbitrarily close to 0 then there is $g C^{1}$ close to $f$ such that

$$
\chi\left(q_{g}, v^{c}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\|D_{q_{g}} g^{n}\left(v^{c}\right)\right\|=0
$$

for $v^{c} \in E^{c}$, where $E^{c}$ is associated to an eigenvalue $\lambda(|\lambda|=1)$ of $D_{q_{g}} g^{\pi\left(q_{g}\right)}$. Here, the periodic point $q$ has a Lyapunov exponent arbitrarily close to 0 means that for any $\delta>0$, $q$ has a $\delta$ weak eigenvalue. Now, we know that for any periodic point $q \in H_{f}(p), q$ is homoclinically related to $p$ by applying Proposition 3.2. To prove the lemma, we may assume that for any $\delta>0, q$ has a $\delta$ weak eigenvalue. Since $f$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$ and $q \in H_{f}(p)$, we know $q \sim p$. By Proposition 3.7, $q$ has no $\delta$-weak eigenvalue. This is a contradiction and so completes the proof.

Note that if Lemma 3.8 holds, then $H_{f}(p)$ admits a dominated splitting $T_{H_{f}(p)} M=$ $E \oplus F$ with $\operatorname{dim} E=\operatorname{index}(p)$.

Theorem 3.9. [16] There is a residual set $\mathcal{G}_{5} \subset \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{G}_{5}$, a homoclinic class $H_{f}(p)$ either is hyperbolic, or contains periodic orbits with arbitrarily long periods that are homoclinically related to $p$ and has a Lyapunov exponent arbitrarily close to 0 .

Proof of main theorem. Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_{4} \cap \mathcal{G}_{5}$, and assume that $f \in \mathcal{G}$ has the shadowing property on $H_{f}(p)$. By Proposition 3.2 , for any hyperbolic periodic point $q \in H_{f}(p)$, we know $q \sim p$, and by Lemma 3.8, all Lyapunov exponents of $q$ are uniformly away from 0 . Consequently, by Theorem 3.9, $H_{f}(p)$ is hyperbolic.

A sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be a limit pseudo orbit of $f$ if $d\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), x_{i+1}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \pm \infty$. We say that $f$ has the limit shadowing property in $\Lambda$ (or $\Lambda$ is limit shadowable for $f$ ) if for any $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \Lambda$ there is $y \in \Lambda$ such that $d\left(f^{i}(y), x_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism which has the limit shadowing property. Then by [3, Theorem A], $f$ has the shadowing property. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. For generic $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$, any limit shadowable chain recurrence class $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ of $f$ is hyperbolic if it contains a hyperbolic periodic point.

For any $\delta>0$, a sequence $\xi=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be a $\delta$-ergodic pseudo orbit of $f$ if for

$$
N p_{n}^{+}(\xi, f, \delta)=\left\{i: d\left(f\left(x_{i}\right), x_{i+1}\right) \geq \delta\right\} \cap\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}
$$

and

$$
N p_{n}^{-}(\xi, f, \delta)=\left\{-i: d\left(f^{-1}\left(x_{-i}\right), x_{-i-1}\right) \geq \delta\right\} \cap\{-n+1, \ldots,-1,0\}
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# N p_{n}^{+}(\xi, f, \delta)}{n}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{\# N p_{n}^{-}(\xi, f, \delta)}{n}=0
$$

We say that $f$ has the ergodic shadowing property in $\Lambda$ (or $\Lambda$ is ergodic shadwoable for $f$ ) if for any $\epsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that every $\delta$-ergodic pseudo orbit $\xi=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \Lambda$ of $f$ there is a point $z \in \Lambda$ such that for

$$
N s_{n}^{+}(\xi, f, z, \epsilon)=\left\{i: d\left(f^{i}(z), x_{i}\right) \geq \epsilon\right\} \cap\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}
$$

and

$$
N s_{n}^{-}(\xi, f, z, \epsilon)=\left\{-i: d\left(f^{-i}(z), x_{-i}\right) \geq \epsilon\right\} \cap\{-n+1, \ldots,-1,0\}
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# N s_{n}^{+}(\xi, f, z, \epsilon)}{n}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{\# N s_{n}^{-}(\xi, f, z, \epsilon)}{n}=0 .
$$

Corollary 3.11. For generic $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$, any ergodic shadwoable chain recurrence class $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ of $f$ is hyperbolic if it contains a hyperbolic periodic point.
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