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Abstract. Let $M$ be a connected compact $C^{\infty}$ manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ without boundary. Let $\mathcal{M}^{k}$ be the set of all $C^{k}$ Riemannian metrics on $M$. Any $g \in \mathcal{M}^{k}$ determines the Weyl tensor

$$
\mathcal{W}^{g}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4 n}, \quad \mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi):=\left(W_{i j k l}^{g}(\xi)\right)_{i, j, k, l=1, \ldots, n}
$$

We prove that the set

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\left\{g \in \mathcal{M}^{k}:\left|\mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)\right|+\left|D \mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)\right|+\left|D^{2} \mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)\right|>0 \text { for any } \xi \in M\right\}
$$

is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{M}^{k}$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a connected compact $C^{\infty}$ manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ without boundary. Let $\mathcal{M}^{k}$ be the set of all $C^{k}$ Riemannian metrics on $M$. Any $g \in \mathcal{M}^{k}$ determines the Weyl tensor

$$
\mathcal{W}^{g}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4 n}, \quad \mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi):=\left(W_{i j k l}^{g}(\xi)\right)_{i, j, k, l=1, \ldots, n}
$$

Our goal is to prove that, for a generic Riemannian metric $g$, it holds true that if Weyl tensor and its first derivative vanish at a point $\xi \in M$ then the second derivative at $\xi$ is not zero. More precisely, we prove that

Theorem 1.1. The set

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\left\{g \in \mathcal{M}^{k}: \min _{\xi \in M}\left(\left|\mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)\right|+\left|D \mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)\right|+\left|D^{2} \mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)\right|\right)>0\right\}
$$
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is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{M}^{k}$.
Our result is motivated by the study of the compactness of the set of solutions of the Yamabe equation. Yamabe asked the question if there exists a metric $\widetilde{g}$ conformal to $g$ with constant scalar curvature. If $\widetilde{g}=u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$, the problem is equivalent to finding a positive solution $u$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{g} u+\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g} u=\kappa u^{(n+2) /(n-2)} \quad \text { in } M \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\kappa$. $R_{g}$ is the scalar curvature of $g$ and $4(n-1) /(n-2) \kappa$ is nothing but the scalar curvature of $\widetilde{g}$. Yamabe problem has been completely solved in the works of Yamabe [19], Aubin [1], Schoen [12] and Trudinger [17].

In particular, the solution is unique in the case of negative scalar curvature and (up to a constant factor) in the case of zero scalar curvature. The uniqueness fails in general in the case of positive scalar curvature. Indeed, Schoen in [13], [15] and Pollack in [9] proved the existence of a large number of high energy solutions of (1.1) with high Morse index for some suitable manifolds. Therefore, the structure of the set of solutions to (1.1) becomes an interesting and intriguing problem. Schoen in $[14],[15]$ asks the question about the compactness of the full set of positive solutions to (1.1).

Compactness of solutions is equivalent for finding an upper bound for the $C^{2, \alpha}$-norm of solutions to (1.1). The compactness does not hold in the case of the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ as Obata shows in [8]. Brendle in [2] and Brendle and Marques in [3] build examples of manifolds with dimension $n \geq 25$ for which compactness is not true.

On the other hand, the compactness issue is proved by Khuri, Marques and Schoen [4] for manifolds of dimension $n \leq 24$ which satisfy the Positive Mass Theorem. For a long time, the Positive Mass Theorem have been established for spaces of dimension $n \leq 7$ (Schoen and Yau [16]) and for spin manifolds (Witten [20]). Very recently, Lohkamp in [5] seems to have proved that it holds in general manifolds.

The study of compactness is strictly related to the blow-up analysis of solutions to (1.1). In particular, Schoen conjectured that the possible blow-up points must be points where Weyl's tensor and its derivatives up to order $[(n-6) / 2]$ vanishes. We refer to the survey [6] by Marques for a complete list of contributions to these problems. In particular, Khuri, Marques and Schoen proved that compactness does hold, without assuming the Positive Mass Theorem, provided $6 \leq n \leq 24$ and

$$
\min _{\xi \in M} \sum_{k=0}^{[(n-6) / 2]}\left|D^{k} \mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)\right|^{2}>0
$$

Combining this result with Theorem 1.1 we get

Corollary 1.2. Let $10 \leq n \leq 24$. The set

$$
\mathcal{C}:=\left\{g \in \mathcal{M}^{k}: \text { Yamabe problem (1.1) is compact }\right\}
$$

is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{M}^{k}$.
The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the transversality argument described in Section 2. The key transversality condition (namely (b) in Theorem 2.1) is proved in Section 3.

## 2. Formulation of the problem and proof of the main result

We denote by $\mathcal{S}^{k}$ the space of all $C^{k}$ symmetric covariant 2 -tensors on $M$. $\mathcal{S}^{k}$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ defined in the following way. We fix a finite covering $\left\{V_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in L}$ of $M$ such that the closure of $V_{\alpha}$ is contained $U_{\alpha}$, where $\left\{U_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha}\right\}$ is the open coordinate neighbourhood. If $h \in \mathcal{S}^{k}$ we denote by $h_{i j}$ the components of $h$ with respect to the coordinates $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ on $V_{\alpha}$. We define

$$
\|h\|_{k}:=\sum_{\alpha \in L} \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \sup _{\psi_{\alpha}\left(V_{\alpha}\right)} \frac{\partial^{\beta} h_{i j}}{\partial x_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{n}^{\beta_{n}}} .
$$

The set $\mathcal{M}^{k}$ of all $C^{k}$ Riemannian metrics on $M$ is an open set of $\mathcal{S}^{k}$.
In the following we will assume $k \geq 4$.
Given $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{M}^{k}$, it is possible to define an atlas on $M$ whose charts are $\left(B_{\widehat{g}}(\xi, R), \varphi^{-1}\right)$ where $\varphi: B(0, R) \rightarrow B_{\widehat{g}}(\xi, R)$. Here $B_{\widehat{g}}(\xi, R) \subset M$ is the ball centered at $\xi$ with radius $R$ given by the metric $\widehat{g}$ and $B(0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the ball centered at 0 with radius $R$ in the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}:=$ $\left\{h \in \mathcal{S}^{k}:\|h\|_{k}<\rho\right\}$ the ball centered at 0 with radius $\rho$ in $\mathcal{S}^{k}$.

For any $\xi \in M$ and $h \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$, with $\rho$ small enough so that $\widehat{g}+h \in \mathcal{M}^{k}$, we consider Weyl's curvature tensor $\mathcal{W}^{\widehat{g}+h}(\xi)$ of $(M, \widehat{g}+h)$ at the point $\xi \in M$ whose components are $W_{a b c d}^{\widehat{g}+h}(\xi)$.

Here and in the following we use the Einstein summation convention, i.e. when an index variable appears twice in a single term, once in an upper (superscript) and/or in a lower (subscript) position, it implies that we are summing over all of its possible values.

Given $\xi_{0} \in M$ and the chart $\left(B_{\widehat{g}}\left(\xi_{0}, R\right), \varphi^{-1}\right)$ we set

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\widehat{g}+h}(x):=\mathcal{W}^{\widehat{g}+h}(\varphi(x)) \quad \text { if } x \in B(0, R) \text { and } h \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho} .
$$

Now, for any choice of indices $i, j, k, l$ with $i \neq j$ and $k \neq l$, we introduce the $C^{1}-\operatorname{map} F: \mathcal{B}_{\rho} \times B(0, R) \subset \mathcal{S}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(h, x)=F_{i j k l}(h, x):=\nabla_{x} \widetilde{W}_{i j k l}^{\hat{g}+h}(x) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $W_{i i k l}^{\widehat{g}+h} \equiv W_{i j k k}^{\widehat{g}+h} \equiv 0$ in $M$. We shall apply to the map $F$ an abstract transversality theorem (see [10], [11], [18]). We recall it (see Theorem 1.1 in [11]) in the following.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X, Y, Z$ be three Banach spaces and $U \subset X, V \subset Y$ open subsets. Let $F: U \times V \rightarrow Z$ be a $C^{\alpha}-$ map with $\alpha \geq 1$. Assume that
(a) for any $y \in V, F(\cdot, y): U \rightarrow Z$ is a Fredholm map of index $l$ with $l \leq \alpha$;
(b) 0 is a regular value of $F$, i.e. the operator $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right): X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is onto at any point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ such that $F\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0$;
(c) the map $\pi \circ i: F^{-1}(0) \rightarrow Y$ is $\sigma$-proper, i.e. $F^{-1}(0)=\bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} C_{s}$, where $C_{s}$ is a closed set and the restriction $\pi \circ i_{\left.\right|_{C_{s}}}$ is proper for any s. Here $i: F^{-1}(0) \rightarrow X \times Y$ is the embedding and $\pi: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the projection.

Then the set $\Theta:=\{y \in V: 0$ is a regular value of $F(\cdot, y)\}$ is a residual subset of $V$, i.e. $V \backslash \Theta$ is a countable union of close subsets without interior points. In particular, $\Theta$ is a dense subset of $V$.

By Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following result, which is crucial to deduce Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{D}:=\left\{g \in \mathcal{M}^{k}: \mathcal{W}^{g} \not \equiv 0\right.$ on $\left.M\right\}$.

Theorem 2.2. For any $\widehat{g} \in D$ there exist indices $i, j, k$, $l$ such that $W_{i j k l}$ does not vanish identically on $M$. Then the set

$$
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}:=\left\{h \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}: \text { all the critical points } \xi \text { of } W_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+h} \text { are nondegenerate }\right\}
$$

is a residual (hence dense) subset of the ball $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ in $\mathcal{S}^{k}$.
Proof. We are going to apply Theorem 2.1 to the map $F$ defined in (2.1). In this case we have $X=\mathbb{R}^{n}, Z=\mathbb{R}^{4 n^{2}}$ and $Y=\mathcal{S}^{k}$. We choose $z_{0}=0$. Since $X$ is a finite dimensional space, it is easy to check that for any $h \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ the map $x \rightarrow F(h, x)$ is Fredholm of index 0 and so assumption(a) holds.

Assumption (b) is verified in Lemma 3.1.
In order to prove (c) we set

$$
F^{-1}(0)=\bigcup_{s=1}^{+\infty} C_{s} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{s}=\left(\overline{B(0, R-1 / s)} \times \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\rho-1 / s}}\right) \cap F^{-1}(0)
$$

The map $\pi \circ i: C_{s} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{k}$ is proper because the set $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\rho-1 / s}} \subset \mathcal{S}^{k}$ is closed and the set $\overline{B(0, R-1 / s)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is compact.

Finally, we are in position to apply Theorem 2.1 and we get that the set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}\left(\xi_{0}\right):= & \left\{h \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}: F_{x}^{\prime}(h, x): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}\right.  \tag{2.2}\\
& \text { is invertible at any point }(h, x) \text { such that } F(h, x)=0\} \\
=\{ & \left\{h \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}: \text { all the critical points of } W_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+h} \text { in } B_{\widehat{g}}\left(\xi_{0}, R\right)\right. \\
& \text { are non degenerate }\}
\end{align*}
$$

is a residual subset of $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}$.

Now, since $M$ is compact, there exists a finite covering $\left\{B_{\widehat{g}}\left(\xi_{t}, R\right)\right\}_{t=1, \ldots, \nu}$ of $M$, where $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{\nu} \in M$. For any index $t$ there exists a residual subset $\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}\left(\xi_{t}\right)$ (see (2.2)). Let

$$
\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}:=\bigcap_{t=1, \ldots, \nu} \mathcal{D}_{i j k l}\left(\xi_{t}\right)
$$

It is immediate that $\mathcal{D}_{i j k l}$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ such that the critical points of $W_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+h}$ in $M$ are non degenerate for any $h \in \mathcal{D}_{i j k l}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is clear that $\mathcal{A}$ is an open set. The density follows by Theorem 2.2. If $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{D}$ there exist indices $i, j, k, l$ with $i \neq j$ and $l \neq k$ sucht that $W_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}}$ is not identically equal to zero on $M$. By Theorem 2.2 , for any $h \in \mathcal{D}_{i j k l}$, we have

$$
\left|\nabla W_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+h}(\xi)\right|+\left|\nabla^{2} W_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+h}(\xi)\right|>0 \quad \text { for any } \xi \in M
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, the set $\mathcal{M}^{k} \backslash D$ is a closed subset without interior points.

Lemma 2.3. The set $\mathcal{M}^{k} \backslash D=\left\{g \in \mathcal{M}^{k}:\left|\mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)\right| \neq 0\right.$ for any $\left.\xi \in M\right\}$ is a closed subset without interior points.

Proof. If $W_{i j k l}^{g}(\xi)=0$ with $i \neq j$ and $k \neq l$ then $D_{h} W_{i j k l}^{g}(\xi)[h] \neq 0$ if we choose $h \in \mathcal{S}^{k}$ such that the map $z \rightarrow h_{a b}\left(\exp _{\xi}(z)\right)$, with its first derivative, is vanishing at the point 0 , for any indices $a$ and $b$ ' i.e. $h_{a b}(0)=0$ and $\partial_{c} h_{a b}(0)=0$ for any $a, b, c$. Indeed, by (3.11), together with (3.1), (3.7) and the derivative of Christoffel symbols, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{h} W_{i j k l}^{g}(\xi)[h] & =D_{h} R_{i j k l}(\xi)[h]=D_{h} R_{i k l}^{s}(\xi)[h] g_{j s}+R_{i k l}^{s}(\xi) h_{s j} \\
& =D_{h} \partial_{k} \Gamma_{l i}^{j}(\xi)[h]-D_{h} \partial_{l} \Gamma_{k i}^{j}(\xi)[h] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \partial_{k} G_{l i j}(h, \xi)-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{l} G_{k i j}(h, \xi) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{k i}^{2} h_{l j}-\partial_{k j}^{2} h_{l i}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{l i}^{2} h_{k j}-\partial_{l j}^{2} h_{k i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, if we choose $h_{a b} \equiv 0$ if $(a, b) \neq(l, j)$ and $h_{l j}(x)=x_{k} x_{i}$, we get

$$
D_{h} W_{i j k l}^{g}(\xi)[h]=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{k i}^{2} h_{l j} \neq 0
$$

## 3. The transversality condition

3.1. Notation. Let us recall the definition of the Weyl tensor $\mathcal{W}^{g}(\xi)$ of the metric $g$ at the point $\xi$ in local chart. We denote by $g^{i j}$ the inverse matrix of $g_{i j}$ and by $\delta_{i j}$ the Kronecker symbol.

Let $\xi_{0} \in M$ be fixed. Given a coordinate system, the Weyl tensor in a point $\xi(x)$ belonging to $B_{g}\left(\xi_{0}, R\right)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{i j k l}^{g}=R_{i j k l}-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{i k} g_{j l}-R_{i l} g_{j k}+\right. & \left.R_{j l} g_{i k}-R_{j k} g_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(g_{j l} g_{i k}-g_{j k} g_{i l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{i j k l}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor, $R_{i j}$ is the Ricci tensor and $R$ is the scal curvature. We agree that all the previous functions are evaluated at the point $x$. Namely, the Riemann curvature tensor reads as

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j k l} & =R_{i j k l}(g, x)=R_{i k l}^{h} g_{h j} \\
R_{k i j}^{l} & =\partial_{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{l}-\partial_{j} \Gamma_{i k}^{l}+\Gamma_{i s}^{l} \Gamma^{s} j k,-\Gamma_{j s}^{l} \Gamma_{i k}^{s}, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

the Ricci tensor reads as $R_{i j}=R_{i j}(g, x)=g^{k l} R_{i k j l}$ and the scalar curvature reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R(g, x)=g^{i j} R_{i j} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Gamma_{i j}^{l}$ are the Christoffel symbols

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i j}^{l}=\Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)=\frac{1}{2} g^{l m} G_{i j k} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{i j k}=G_{i j k}(g, x):=\left(\partial_{j} g_{k i}+\partial_{i} g_{k j}-\partial_{k} g_{i j}\right)$.
Given the metric $g=\widehat{g}+h$ with $h \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho}$ and a point $\xi \in B_{g}\left(\xi_{0}, R\right)$, let us consider the local normal coordinates on the Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ given by the $\operatorname{exponential} \operatorname{map} \exp _{\xi}(z)$. Therefore, the metric $g$ in normal coordinates satisfies

$$
g^{i j}(0)=g_{i j}(0)=\delta_{i j} \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{k} g^{i j}(0)=\partial_{k} g_{i j}(0)=0
$$

which implies $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(g, 0)=0$ for any indexes $i, j$ and $k$.
In particular, the functions $G_{i j k}$ defined in (3.3) have the following property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} G_{i j k}(h, 0)=\partial_{\alpha \beta i}^{3} h_{k j}(0)+\partial_{\alpha \beta j}^{3} h_{k i}(0)-\partial_{\alpha \beta k}^{3} h_{i j}(0) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we always choose $h \in \mathcal{S}^{k}$ such that the map $z \rightarrow h_{i j}\left(\exp _{\xi}(z)\right)$, with its first and second derivatives, is vanishing at the point 0 , for any indexes $i$ and $j$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}(0)=0, \quad \partial_{k} h_{i j}(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{k l}^{2} h_{i j}(0)=0 \quad \text { for any } i, j, k, l . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2. Calculus. All the derivatives have been already computed in [7]. For sake of completeness, we recall their expressions.
3.2.1. The derivative of Christoffel symbols. By (3.3) a straightforward computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)= & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} g^{l k} G_{i j k}(g, x)+\frac{1}{2} g^{l k} \partial_{\alpha} G_{i j k}(g, x), \\
\partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)= & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} g^{l k} G_{i j k}(g, x)+\frac{1}{2} g^{l k} \partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} G_{i j k}(g, x) \\
& +\partial_{\alpha} g^{l k} \partial_{\beta} G_{i j k}(g, x)+\partial_{\beta} g^{l k} \partial_{\alpha} G_{i j k}(g, x), \\
D_{g} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)[h]= & \frac{1}{2} g^{l k} G_{i j k}(h, x)-\frac{1}{2} g^{l s} h_{s t} g^{t k} G_{i j k}(g, x), \\
\partial_{\alpha} D_{g} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)[h]= & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} g^{l k} G_{i j k}(h, x)+\frac{1}{2} g^{l k} \partial_{\alpha} G_{i j k}(h, x) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha}\left(g^{l s} h_{s t} g^{t k}\right) G_{i j k}(g, x)-\frac{1}{2} g^{l s} h_{s t} g^{t k} \partial_{\alpha} G_{i j k}(g, x) \\
\partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} D_{g} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)[h]= & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} g^{l k} G_{i j k}(h, x)+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} g^{l k} \partial_{\beta} G_{i j k}(h, x) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta} g^{l k} \partial_{\alpha} G_{i j k}(h, x)+\frac{1}{2} g^{l k} \partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} G_{i j k}(h, x) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2}\left(g^{l s} h_{s t} g^{t k}\right) G_{i j k}(g, x)-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha}\left(g^{l s} h_{s t} g^{t k}\right) \partial_{\beta} G_{i j k}(g, x) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} g^{l s} h_{s t} g^{t k} \partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} G_{i j k}(g, x)-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\beta}\left(g^{l s} h_{s t} g^{t k}\right) \partial_{\alpha} G_{i j k}(g, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if we assume (3.5) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{h} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)[h]_{\left.\right|_{x=0}}=0, \quad \partial_{\alpha} D_{h} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)[h]_{\left.\right|_{x=0}}=0, \\
\partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}(g, x)[h]_{\mid x=0}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} G_{i j l}(h, 0) . \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

3.2.2. The derivative of the Riemann tensor. By (3.1) a straightforward computation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{h} R_{j k l}^{i}(g, x)[h]= & D_{h} \partial_{k} \Gamma_{l j}^{i}(g, x)[h]-D_{h} \partial_{l} \Gamma_{k j}^{i}(g, x)[h]  \tag{3.7}\\
& +D_{h} \Gamma_{k s}^{i}(g, x)[h] \Gamma_{l j}^{s}+\Gamma_{k s}^{i} D_{h} \Gamma_{l j}^{s}(g, x)[h] \\
& -D_{h} \Gamma_{l s}^{i}(g, x)[h] \Gamma_{k j}^{s}-\Gamma_{l s}^{i} D_{h} \Gamma_{k j}^{s}(g, x)[h]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R_{j k l}^{i}(g, x)[h]= & D_{h} \partial_{\alpha k}^{2} \Gamma_{l j}^{i}(g, x)[h]-D_{h} \partial_{\alpha l}^{2} \Gamma_{k j}^{i}(g, x)[h] \\
& +D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{k s}^{i}(g, x)[h] \Gamma_{l j}^{s}+D_{h} \Gamma_{k s}^{i}(g, x)[h] \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{l j}^{s} \\
& +\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{k s}^{i} D_{h} \Gamma_{l j}^{s}(g, x)[h]+\Gamma_{k s}^{i} D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{l j}^{s}(g, x)[h] \\
& -D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{l s}^{i}(g, x)[h] \Gamma_{k j}^{s}-D_{h} \Gamma_{l s}^{i}(g, x)[h] \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{k j}^{s} \\
& -\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{l s}^{i} D_{h} \Gamma_{k j}^{s}(g, x)[h]-\Gamma_{l s}^{i} D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{k j}^{s}(g, x)[h] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we assume (3.5), by (3.6) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{h} R_{j k l}^{i}(g, x)[h]_{\left.\right|_{x=0}} & =0 \\
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R_{j k l}^{i}(g, x)[h]_{\left.\right|_{x=0}} & =\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha k}^{2} G_{l j i}(h, 0)-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha l}^{2} G_{k j i}(h, 0) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, by (3.1) $R_{i j k l}=g_{j s} R_{i k l}^{s}$, a straightforward computation leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{h} R_{i j k l}(g, x)[h]= & h_{j s} R_{i k l}^{s}+g_{j s} D_{h} R_{i k l}^{s}(g, x)[h] \\
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R_{i j k l}(g, x)[h]= & \partial_{\alpha} h_{i j} R_{i k l}^{s}+h_{j s} \partial_{\alpha} R_{i k l}^{s} \\
& +\partial_{\alpha} g_{j s} D_{h} R_{i k l}^{s}(g, x)[h]+g_{j s} D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} R_{i k l}^{s}(g, x)[h]
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if we assume (3.5), by (3.8) we get

$$
D_{h} R_{i j k l}(g, x)[h]_{\mid x=0}=0,
$$

and

$$
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R_{i j k l}(g, x)[h]_{\left.\right|_{x=0}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\alpha k}^{2} G_{i l j}(h, 0)-\partial_{\alpha l}^{2} G_{i k j}(h, 0)\right)
$$

3.2.3. The derivative of the Ricci tensor. By (3.1) $R_{i j}=g^{k l} R_{i k j l}$. A straightforward computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{h} R_{i j}(g, x)[h]= & h^{k l} R_{i k j l}+g^{k l} D_{h} R_{i k j l}(g, x)[h], \\
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R_{i j}(g, x)[h]= & \partial_{\alpha} h^{k l} R_{i k j l}+h^{k l} \partial_{\alpha} R_{i k j l} \\
& +\partial_{\alpha} g^{k l} D_{h} R_{i k j l}(g, x)[h]+g^{k l} D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} R_{i k j l}(g, x)[h] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if we assume (3.5), by (3.9) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{h} R_{i j}(g, x)[h]_{\left.\right|_{x=0}} & =0, \\
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R_{i j}(g, x)[h]_{\left.\right|_{x=0}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\alpha j}^{2} G_{i l l}(h, 0)-\partial_{\alpha l}^{2} G_{i j l}(h, 0)\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

3.2.4. The derivative of the scalar curvature. By (3.2) $R=g^{i j} R_{i j}$ and a straightforward computation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{h} R(g, x)[h]= & h^{i j} R_{i j}+g^{i j} D_{h} R_{i j}(g, x)[h], \\
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R(g, x)[h]= & \partial_{\alpha} h^{i j} R_{i j}+h^{i j} \partial_{\alpha} R_{i j} \\
& +\partial_{\alpha} g^{i j} D_{h} R_{i j}(g, x)[h]+g^{i j} D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} R_{i j}(g, x)[h] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if we assume (3.5), by (3.9) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{h} R(g, x)[h]_{\left.\right|_{x=0}} & =0 \\
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R(g, x)[h]_{\mid x=0} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\alpha i}^{2} G_{i l l}(h, 0)-\partial_{\alpha l}^{2} G_{i i l}(h, 0)\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

3.3. The derivative of the Weyl's tensor. Let us recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{i j k l}^{g}=R_{i j k l}-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{i k} g_{j l}-R_{i l} g_{j k}+\right. & \left.R_{j l} g_{i k}-R_{j k} g_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(g_{j l} g_{i k}-g_{j k} g_{i l}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{h} W_{i j k l}^{g}(g, x)[h]= & D_{h} R_{i j k l}(g, x)[h]  \tag{3.11}\\
& -\frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{i k} h_{j l}-R_{i l} h_{j k}+R_{j l} h_{i k}-R_{j k} h_{i l}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{n-2}\left(D_{h} R_{i k}(g, x)[h] g_{j l}-D_{h} R_{i l}(g, x)[h] g_{j k}\right. \\
& \left.+D_{h} R_{j l}(g, x)[h] g_{i k}-D_{h} R_{j k}(g, x)[h] g_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(h_{j l} g_{i k}+g_{j l} h_{i k}-h_{j k} g_{i l}-g_{j k} h_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} D_{h} R(g, x)[h]\left(g_{j l} g_{i k}-g_{j k} g_{i l}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} & W_{i j k l}^{g}(g, x)[h]=\partial_{\alpha} D_{h} R_{i j k l}(g, x)[h] \\
& -\frac{1}{n-2}\left(\partial_{\alpha} R_{i k} h_{j l}-\partial_{\alpha} R_{i l} h_{j k}+\partial_{\alpha} R_{j l} h_{i k}-\partial_{\alpha} R_{j k} h_{i l}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{i k} \partial_{\alpha} h_{j l}-R_{i l} \partial_{\alpha} h_{j k}+R_{j l} \partial_{\alpha} h_{i k}-R_{j k} \partial_{\alpha} h_{i l}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{n-2}\left(D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} R_{i k}(g, x)[h] g_{j l}-D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} R_{i l}(g, x)[h] g_{j k}\right. \\
& \left.+D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} R_{j l}(g, x)[h] g_{i k}-D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} R_{j k}(g, x)[h] g_{i l}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(D_{h} R_{i k}(g, x)[h] \partial_{\alpha} g_{j l}-D_{h} R_{i l}(g, x)[h] \partial_{\alpha} g_{j k}\right. \\
& \left.+D_{h} R_{j l}(g, x)[h] \partial_{\alpha} g_{i k}-D_{h} R_{j k}(g, x)[h] \partial_{\alpha} g_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} \partial_{\alpha}\left(h_{j l} g_{i k}+g_{j l} h_{i k}-h_{j k} g_{i l}-g_{j k} h_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \partial_{\alpha} R\left(h_{j l} g_{i k}+g_{j l} h_{i k}-h_{j k} g_{i l}-g_{j k} h_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} D_{h} R(g, x)[h] \partial_{\alpha}\left(g_{j l} g_{i k}-g_{j k} g_{i l}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} R(g, x)[h]\left(g_{j l} g_{i k}-g_{j k} g_{i l}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if we assume (3.5), by (3.9) and (3.10) we get

$$
D_{h} W_{i j k l}^{g}(g, x)[h]_{\mid x=0}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{\alpha} D_{h} W_{i j k l}^{g}(g, x)[h]_{\mid x=0}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\alpha k i}^{3} h_{l j}(0)-\partial_{\alpha j k}^{3} h_{i l}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l i}^{3} h_{k j}(0)+\partial_{\alpha l j}^{3} h_{i k}(0)\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2(n-2)}\left\{\left[\partial_{\alpha k i}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha k s}^{3} h_{i s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s i}^{3} h_{s k}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{i k}(0)\right] \delta_{j l}\right. \\
& \quad-\left[\partial_{\alpha l i}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l s}^{3} h_{i s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s i s}^{3} h_{s l}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{i l}(0)\right] \delta_{j k} \\
& \quad+\left[\partial_{\alpha l j}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l s}^{3} h_{j s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s j}^{3} h_{l s}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{j l}(0)\right] \delta_{i k} \\
& \left.\quad-\left[\partial_{\alpha k j}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha k s}^{3} h_{j s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s j}^{3} h_{k s}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s s}^{3} h_{j k}(0)\right] \delta_{i l}\right\} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left[\partial_{\alpha t t}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s t}^{3} h_{s t}(0)\right]\left(\delta_{j l} \delta_{i k}-\delta_{j k} \delta_{i l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (3.4), i.e.

$$
\partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} G_{i j k}(h, 0)=\partial_{\alpha \beta i}^{3} h_{k j}(0)+\partial_{\alpha \beta j}^{3} h_{k i}(0)-\partial_{\alpha \beta k}^{3} h_{i j}(0) .
$$

### 3.4. The transversality condition: proof.

Lemma 3.1. The $\operatorname{map}(h, x) \rightarrow F_{h}^{\prime}(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x})[h]+F_{x}^{\prime}(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x}) x$ is onto on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for any $(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x})$ such that $F(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x})=0$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{g}+h$ with $h \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{S}^{k}$ with $k \geq 4$. The function $F(h, x)=$ $\nabla_{x} \widetilde{W}_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+h}(x)$ defined in $(2.1)$ is of class $C^{2}$. Let $(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x})$ such that $F(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x})=0$.

We shall prove that the map $F_{h}^{\prime}(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x}): \mathcal{S}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined by

$$
F_{h}^{\prime}(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x})[h]=\left(D_{h} \partial_{1} \widetilde{W}_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+\widetilde{h}}(\widetilde{x})[h], \ldots, D_{h} \partial_{n} \widetilde{W}_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+\widetilde{h}}(\widetilde{x})[h]\right)
$$

is onto.
We point out that the ontoness of the map $h \rightarrow F_{h}^{\prime}(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{x})[h]$ is invariant with respect to a change of variable $x=\psi(z)$, where $\psi$ is a diffeomorphism. Therefore, we compute $D_{h} \partial_{\alpha} \widetilde{W}_{i j k l}^{\widehat{g}+\widetilde{h}}(\widetilde{x})[h]$ by choosing the normal coordinates on the Riemannian manifold $(M, \widehat{g}+\widetilde{h})$ given by the exponential map $\exp _{\widetilde{\xi}}(z)$, where $\widetilde{\xi}$ corresponds to $\widetilde{x}$.

We choose $h \in \mathcal{S}^{k}$ such that the map $z \rightarrow h_{i j}\left(\exp _{\tilde{\xi}}(z)\right)$, with its first and second derivatives, is vanishing at the point 0 , for any indexes $i$ and $j$, so that condition (3.5) holds. Therefore, we are lead to prove that the map $T: \mathcal{S}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ whose components $T_{\alpha}, \alpha=1, \ldots, n$, are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\alpha}(h):= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\alpha k i}^{3} h_{l j}(0)-\partial_{\alpha j k}^{3} h_{i l}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l i}^{3} h_{k j}(0)+\partial_{\alpha l j}^{3} h_{i k}(0)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2(n-2)}\left\{\left[\partial_{\alpha k i}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha k s}^{3} h_{i s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s i}^{3} h_{s k}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{i k}(0)\right] \delta_{j l}\right. \\
& -\left[\partial_{\alpha l i}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l s}^{3} h_{i s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s i}^{3} h_{s l}(0)+\partial_{\alpha \beta s}^{3} h_{i l}(0)\right] \delta_{j k} \\
& +\left[\partial_{\alpha l j}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l s}^{3} h_{j s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s j}^{3} h_{l s}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{j l}(0)\right] \delta_{i k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\left[\partial_{\alpha k j}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha k s}^{3} h_{j s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s j}^{3} h_{k s}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{j k}(0)\right] \delta_{i l}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left[\partial_{\alpha t t}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s t}^{3} h_{s t}(0)\right]\left(\delta_{j l} \delta_{i k}-\delta_{j k} \delta_{i l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is onto.

- All the indices $i, j, k, l$ are different.

The operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ reduces to

$$
T_{\alpha}(h)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\alpha k i}^{3} h_{l j}(0)-\partial_{\alpha j k}^{3} h_{i l}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l i}^{3} h_{k j}(0)+\partial_{\alpha l j}^{3} h_{i k}(0)\right], \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, n .
$$

For any $\ell=1, \ldots, n$ we choose $h^{(\ell)} \in \mathcal{S}^{k}$ defined by

$$
h_{l j}^{(\ell)}(x)=x_{\ell} x_{i} x_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{a b}^{(\ell)}(x)=0 \quad \text { if }(a, b) \neq(l, j) .
$$

Therefore

$$
T_{\alpha}\left(h^{(\ell)}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha k i}^{3} h_{l j}^{(\ell)}(0) \quad \text { and } \quad T\left(h^{(\ell)}\right)=c(0, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\ell-\text { th }}}{1}, \ldots, 0)
$$

for some positive constant $c$. That proves that $T$ is onto.

- $i=k$ and the three indices $i, j, l$ are different, i.e. $i \neq j, i \neq l$ and $j \neq l$.

The operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\alpha}(h):= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\alpha i i}^{3} h_{l j}(0)-\partial_{\alpha j i}^{3} h_{i l}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l i}^{3} h_{i j}(0)+\partial_{\alpha l j}^{3} h_{i i}(0)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2(n-2)}\left[\partial_{\alpha l j}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha l s}^{3} h_{j s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s j}^{3} h_{l s}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{j l}(0)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\ell=1, \ldots, n$ we choose $h^{(\ell)} \in \mathcal{S}^{k}$ defined by

$$
h_{i i}^{(\ell)}(x)=x_{\ell} x_{j} x_{l} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{a b}^{(\ell)}(x)=0 \quad \text { if }(a, b) \neq(i, i)
$$

Therefore

$$
T_{\alpha}\left(h^{(\ell)}\right)=\frac{n-3}{2(n-2)} \partial_{\alpha l j}^{3} h_{i i}^{(\ell)}(0) \quad \text { and } \quad T\left(h^{(\ell)}\right)=c(0 \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \ell-\text { th }}}{1}, \ldots, 0)
$$

for some positive constant $c$. That proves that $T$ is onto.

- $\quad i=k, j=l$ and $i \neq j$.

The operator $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\alpha}(h):= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\alpha i i}^{3} h_{j j}(0)-2 \partial_{\alpha j i}^{3} h_{i j}(0)+\partial_{\alpha j j}^{3} h_{i i}(0)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2(n-2)}\left[\partial_{\alpha i i}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-2 \partial_{\alpha i s}^{3} h_{i s}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{i i}(0)\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{\alpha j j}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-2 \partial_{\alpha j s}^{3} h_{j s}(0)+\partial_{\alpha s s}^{3} h_{j j}(0)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left[\partial_{\alpha t t}^{3} h_{s s}(0)-\partial_{\alpha s t}^{3} h_{s t}(0)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\ell=1, \ldots, n, \ell \neq i$ and $\ell \neq j$ we choose $h^{(\ell)} \in \mathcal{S}^{k}$ defined by

$$
h_{i j}^{(\ell)}(x)=x_{\ell} x_{i} x_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{a b}^{(\ell)}(x)=0 \quad \text { if }(a, b) \neq(i, j),
$$

if $\ell=i$ we choose

$$
h_{i j}^{(i)}(x)=x_{i}^{2} x_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{a b}^{(i)}(x)=0 \quad \text { if }(a, b) \neq(i, j)
$$

and if $\ell=j$ we choose

$$
h_{i j}^{(j)}(x)=x_{i} x_{j}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{a b}^{(j)}(x)=0 \quad \text { if }(a, b) \neq(i, j) .
$$

Therefore

$$
T_{\alpha}\left(h^{(\ell)}\right)=-\frac{n^{2}-5 n+5}{(n-1)(n-2)} \partial_{\alpha i j}^{3} h_{i j}^{(\ell)}(0) \quad \text { and } \quad T\left(h^{(\ell)}\right)=c(0, \ldots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \ell-\text { th }}}{1}, \ldots, 0)
$$

for some negative constant $c$. That proves that $T$ is onto.
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