

## THE INTERSECTION OF TWO REAL FORMS IN THE COMPLEX HYPERQUADRIC

HIROYUKI TASAKI

(Received November 12, 2009, revised March 1, 2010)

**Abstract.** We show that, in the complex hyperquadric, the intersection of two real forms, which are certain totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifolds, is an antipodal set whose cardinality attains the smaller 2-number of the two real forms. As a corollary of the result, we know that any real form in the complex hyperquadric is a globally tight Lagrangian submanifold.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\bar{M}$  be a Hermitian symmetric space. A submanifold  $M$  is called a *real form* of  $\bar{M}$ , if there exists an involutive anti-holomorphic isometry  $\sigma$  of  $\bar{M}$  satisfying

$$M = \{x \in \bar{M} ; \sigma(x) = x\}.$$

Any real form  $M$  is a totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ , which follows from Leung [8] or Takeuchi [12, Lemma 1.1].

The complex hyperquadric  $Q_n(\mathbf{C})$  is defined by

$$Q_n(\mathbf{C}) = \{[z_1, \dots, z_{n+2}] \in \mathbf{C}P^{n+1} ; z_1^2 + \dots + z_{n+2}^2 = 0\},$$

and  $Q_n(\mathbf{C})$  has the Kähler structure induced from the standard Kähler structure of the complex projective space  $\mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$ . It is known that  $Q_n(\mathbf{C})$  is holomorphically isometric to the Hermitian symmetric space  $SO(n+2)/SO(2) \times SO(n)$ , which is the Grassmann manifold  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  consisting of all oriented linear subspaces of dimension 2 in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+2}$ . We also regard  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  as a submanifold in the exterior product  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{n+2}$  in a natural way, because it is convenient to represent points of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  by elements in  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{R}^{n+2}$ . We take an orthonormal basis  $u_1, u_2, e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+2}$ . For  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , we define a submanifold  $S^{k,n-k}$  of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  by

$$S^{k,n-k} = S^k(\mathbf{R}u_1 + \mathbf{R}e_1 + \dots + \mathbf{R}e_k) \wedge S^{n-k}(\mathbf{R}u_2 + \mathbf{R}e_{k+1} + \dots + \mathbf{R}e_n),$$

where  $S^m(V)$  is the unit hypersphere of dimension  $m$  in a real Euclidean space  $V$  of dimension  $m+1$ . This expression implies that  $S^{k,n-k}$  is isometric to  $(S^k \times S^{n-k})/\mathbf{Z}_2$ . Leung [8] and Takeuchi [12] classified real forms of Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type. We say that two submanifolds in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  are congruent, if one is transformed to the other by the action of  $SO(n+2)$ . By the classification of Leung and Takeuchi, we can see that any real

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C40; Secondary 53D12.

*Key words and phrases.* Real form, Lagrangian submanifold, complex hyperquadric, antipodal set, 2-number, globally tight

Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 2009 (No. 21540063), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

form in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  is congruent to  $S^{k,n-k}$  for a  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq [n/2]$ . This also follows from the classification of totally geodesic submanifolds of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  obtained by Chen and Nagano [1].

A subset  $S$  in a Riemannian symmetric space  $M$  is called an *antipodal set*, if the geodesic symmetry  $s_x$  fixes every point of  $S$  for every point  $x$  of  $S$ . The *2-number*  $\#_2 M$  of  $M$  is the supremum of the cardinalities of antipodal sets of  $M$ , which was introduced by Chen and Nagano [2] and is known to be finite. We call an antipodal set in  $M$  *great* if its cardinality attains  $\#_2 M$ . Takeuchi [13] proved that if  $M$  is a symmetric  $R$ -space, then

$$(1) \quad \#_2 M = \dim H_*(M, \mathbf{Z}_2),$$

where  $H_*(M, \mathbf{Z}_2)$  denotes the homology group of  $M$  with coefficient  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ . We note that any real form of Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type is a symmetric  $R$ -space, which is shown in [12].

We explicitly describe the intersection of two real forms of the complex hyperquadric in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $k$  and  $l$  be integers satisfying  $0 \leq k \leq l \leq [n/2]$ . Let  $L_1$  be a real form of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  congruent to  $S^{k,n-k}$  and  $L_2$  a real form of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  congruent to  $S^{l,n-l}$ . If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  intersect transversally, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is congruent to*

$$\{\pm u_1 \wedge u_2, \pm e_1 \wedge e_2, \dots, \pm e_{2k-1} \wedge e_{2k}\},$$

*which is an antipodal set of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . In particular,  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a great antipodal set of  $L_1$ . Moreover, if  $k = l = [n/2]$ ,  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a great antipodal set of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$ .*

**REMARK 1.2.** In the complex projective space  $\mathbf{C}P^n$ , any real form is congruent to the real projective space  $\mathbf{R}P^n$  naturally embedded in  $\mathbf{C}P^n$ . Howard essentially showed the following fact in [4, pp. 26–27]. If two real forms  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of  $\mathbf{C}P^n$  intersect transversally, then there exists a unitary basis  $u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  satisfying

$$L_1 \cap L_2 = \{\mathbf{C}u_1, \dots, \mathbf{C}u_{n+1}\}.$$

In particular  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a great antipodal set of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , because  $\#_2 \mathbf{R}P^n = n + 1$ . Thus Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of this fact. In this case,  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is also a great antipodal set of  $\mathbf{C}P^n$ , because  $\#_2 \mathbf{C}P^n = n + 1$ .

In the proof, Howard showed that the intersection of two real forms in  $\mathbf{C}P^n$  is not empty by a result of Frankel [3] and the positivity of the sectional curvature of  $\mathbf{C}P^n$ . Although the sectional curvature in our case is nonnegative, the argument of Frankel is still useful. See Lemma 3.1.

Oh [9] introduced the notion of global tightness of Lagrangian submanifolds in a Hermitian symmetric space. We call a Lagrangian submanifold  $L$  of a Hermitian symmetric space  $M$  *globally tight*, if  $L$  satisfies

$$\#(L \cap g \cdot L) = \dim H_*(L, \mathbf{Z}_2)$$

for any isometry  $g$  of  $M$  with property that  $L$  intersects  $g \cdot L$  transversally. Considering the case where  $k = l$  in Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following corollary from (1).

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Any real form of the complex hyperquadric is a globally tight Lagrangian submanifold.*

**REMARK 1.4.**  $Q_1(C) = CP^1 = S^2$  and its real form is the great circle, so its global tightness is well known.  $Q_2(C) = CP^1 \times CP^1 = S^2 \times S^2$  and its real forms  $S^{0,2}$  and  $S^{1,1}$  are globally tight, which Iriyeh and Sakai [5] proved in a different way. Recently, they also proved that  $S^{0,n}$  and  $S^{1,n-1}$  are globally tight in  $Q_n(C)$ .

**REMARK 1.5.** Makiko Sumi Tanaka and the author recently generalized Theorem 1.1 and obtained the following results in [14]. Let  $M$  be a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type. If two real forms  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of  $M$  intersect transversally, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is an antipodal set of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Moreover, if  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are congruent, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a great antipodal set of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . As a corollary of this result, we know that any real form in the Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type is a globally tight Lagrangian submanifold. The cardinalities  $\#(L_1 \cap L_2)$  of any two real forms  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  in the irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type are determined.

The author would like to thank Professors Hiroshi Iriyeh and Takashi Sakai for useful conversations. The author is also grateful to the referee, whose useful comments improved the manuscript.

**2. The cut locus and the fixed point set of the geodesic symmetry.** In this section, we review the results of Sakai [11] on the cut loci of compact symmetric spaces and of Chen and Nagano [1] on the fixed point set of the geodesic symmetry of the complex hyperquadric.

For a compact Riemannian manifold  $X$  and a point  $p \in X$ , we denote by  $C_p(X)$  and  $\tilde{C}_p(X)$  the cut locus and the tangent cut locus of  $X$  with respect to  $p$ .

**THEOREM 2.1** (Sakai [11]). *Let  $M = G/K$  be a compact Riemannian symmetric space with Riemannian symmetric pair  $(G, K)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$  be the canonical decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . We take a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and denote by  $A$  the maximal torus of  $M$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The following equalities hold.*

$$\tilde{C}_o(A) = \mathfrak{a} \cap \tilde{C}_o(M), \quad \tilde{C}_o(M) = \bigcup_{k \in K} \text{Ad}(k)\tilde{C}_o(A).$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $M_1 = G_1/K_1$ ,  $M_2 = G_2/K_2$  be compact Riemannian symmetric spaces with symmetric pairs  $(G_1, K_1)$ ,  $(G_2, K_2)$ . We assume that  $M_1$  is a totally geodesic submanifold in  $M_2$  and that  $G_1 \subset G_2$ ,  $K_1 \subset K_2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{k}_i + \mathfrak{m}_i$  be the canonical decompositions of the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  of  $G_i$ . We take maximal abelian subspaces  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  of  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  satisfying  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subset \mathfrak{a}_2$  and denote by  $A_i$  the maximal torus of  $M_i$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{a}_i$ . If*

$$(2) \quad \tilde{C}_o(A_1) = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \tilde{C}_o(A_2)$$

holds, then

$$(3) \quad \tilde{C}_o(M_1) = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \tilde{C}_o(M_2)$$

holds and any shortest geodesic in  $M_1$  is also shortest in  $M_2$ . In particular, if  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have a same rank, then  $A_1 = A_2$  and (2) hold, thus (3) holds.

PROOF. Theorem 2.1 and the assumption (2) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_o(M_1) &= \bigcup_{k \in K_1} \text{Ad}(k)\tilde{C}_o(A_1) = \bigcup_{k \in K_1} \text{Ad}(k)(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \tilde{C}_o(A_2)) \\ &\subset \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \bigcup_{k \in K_1} \text{Ad}(k)\tilde{C}_o(A_2) \subset \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \tilde{C}_o(M_2). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the other inclusion, we take  $X \in \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \tilde{C}_o(M_2)$ . There exists  $k \in K_1$  satisfying  $\text{Ad}(k)X \in \mathfrak{a}_1$ . Hence we have  $\text{Ad}(k)X \in \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \text{Ad}(k)\tilde{C}_o(M_2)$  and

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \text{Ad}(k)\tilde{C}_o(M_2) = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \tilde{C}_o(M_2) = \tilde{C}_o(A_1)$$

by Theorem 2.1 and the assumption (2). Thus we obtain  $X \in \text{Ad}(k)^{-1}\tilde{C}_o(A_1)$  and

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \tilde{C}_o(M_2) \subset \bigcup_{k \in K_1} \text{Ad}(k)\tilde{C}_o(A_1) = \tilde{C}_o(M_1).$$

Therefore (3) holds. (3) implies that any shortest geodesic in  $M_1$  is also shortest in  $M_2$ .

If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have a same rank, then  $\dim A_1 = \dim A_2$  and  $A_1 \subset A_2$ . Thus  $A_1 = A_2$ , which implies (2).  $\square$

Using the results mentioned above, we can express the cut locus of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$ . In this case we regard  $u_1 \wedge u_2$  as the origin  $o$  of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} S^{1,1} &= S^1(\mathbf{R}u_1 + \mathbf{R}e_1) \wedge S^1(\mathbf{R}u_2 + \mathbf{R}e_2) \\ &= \{(\cos \theta_1 u_1 + \sin \theta_1 e_1) \wedge (\cos \theta_2 u_2 + \sin \theta_2 e_2); \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbf{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a maximal torus of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$ . We can see

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2; (\cos \theta_1 u_1 + \sin \theta_1 e_1) \wedge (\cos \theta_2 u_2 + \sin \theta_2 e_2) = u_1 \wedge u_2\} \\ &= \{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (\pi\mathbf{Z})^2; \theta_1 + \theta_2 \in 2\pi\mathbf{Z}\} = \mathbf{Z}(\pi, \pi) + \mathbf{Z}(\pi, -\pi). \end{aligned}$$

We identify the tangent space of  $S^{1,1}$  at the origin with the coordinate plane consisting of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ . The tangent cut locus  $\tilde{C}_o(S^{1,1})$  is the square of apexes  $(\pi, 0)$ ,  $(0, \pi)$ ,  $(-\pi, 0)$  and  $(0, -\pi)$ . The region defined by  $0 < \theta_2 < \theta_1$  is a Weyl chamber in the case where  $n \geq 3$ , while the region defined by  $0 < \theta_1$  and  $-\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_1$  is a Weyl chamber in the case where  $n = 2$ . We set  $P_1 = (\pi, 0)$ ,  $P_2 = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and  $P_3 = (\pi/2, -\pi/2)$ . We denote by  $\overline{XY}$  the segment joining  $X$  and  $Y$ . Considering the action of the Weyl group, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_o(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})) &= \bigcup_{k \in SO(2) \times SO(n)} \text{Ad}(k)(\overline{P_1 P_2}) \quad (n \geq 3), \\ \tilde{C}_o(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^4)) &= \bigcup_{k \in SO(2) \times SO(2)} \text{Ad}(k)(\overline{P_1 P_2} \cup \overline{P_1 P_3}). \end{aligned}$$

Next we express the fixed point set  $F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o)$  of the geodesic symmetry  $s_o$ . The reflection  $1_{\mathbf{R}u_1 + \mathbf{R}u_2} - 1_{\mathbf{R}e_1 + \dots + \mathbf{R}e_n}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{R}u_1 + \mathbf{R}u_2$  induces  $s_o$ . We can get

$$F(S^{1,1}, s_o) = \{\pm u_1 \wedge u_2, \pm e_1 \wedge e_2\}.$$

For  $z = x_1 \wedge x_2 \in \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$ , we denote  $\bar{z} = -x_1 \wedge x_2$ . We set  $p_i = \text{Exp}_o(P_i)$ . The above fixed point set is expressed as follows:

$$F(S^{1,1}, s_o) = \{o, \bar{o}, p_2, \bar{p}_2\}$$

and  $\bar{o} = p_1, \bar{p}_2 = p_3$  hold. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o) &= \bigcup_{k \in SO(2) \times SO(n)} kF(S^{1,1}, s_o) \\ &= \{\pm u_1 \wedge u_2\} \cup \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}e_1 + \dots + \mathbf{R}e_n) \\ &= \{o, \bar{o}\} \cup \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $SO(2) \times SO(n)$  is also the isotropy subgroup at  $\bar{o}$ . From this we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\bar{o}}(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})) &= \bigcup_{k \in SO(2) \times SO(n)} k\text{Exp}_o(\overline{0P_2}) \quad (n \geq 3), \\ C_{\bar{o}}(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^4)) &= \bigcup_{k \in SO(2) \times SO(2)} k\text{Exp}_o(\overline{0P_2} \cup \overline{0P_3}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s_o = s_{\bar{o}}$ , we have  $F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_{\bar{o}}) = F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o)$ .

**3. Proof of the main theorem.** First we prove the existence of the intersection of two Lagrangian submanifolds under a condition weaker than that of Theorem 1.1.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact Kähler manifold with positive holomorphic sectional curvature. If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are totally geodesic compact Lagrangian submanifolds in  $M$ , then  $L_1 \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$ .*

**PROOF.** We suppose  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ . We join  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  by a shortest geodesic  $c(s)$  ( $0 \leq s \leq d(L_1, L_2)$ ). Since  $M$  is Kähler, the complex structure  $J$  of  $M$  is parallel. The velocity  $c'(s)$  is parallel along  $c(s)$ , so  $Jc'(s)$  is a parallel normal vector field along  $c(s)$ . The shortest property of  $c(s)$  implies that  $Jc'(s)$  are tangent to  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  at the end points, because  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are Lagrangian. The parallel normal vector field  $Jc'(s)$  generates a variation  $c_t(s) = \text{Exp}_{c(s)}(tJc'(s))$  of  $c(s)$ , each curve  $c_t$  of which joins  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , because  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are totally geodesic. Its first variation of the length functional  $\mathcal{L}$  vanishes, and by the second variation formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left. \frac{d^2 \mathcal{L}(c_t)}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_0^{d(L_1, L_2)} \{ \langle \nabla_{\partial/\partial s} Jc'(s), \nabla_{\partial/\partial s} Jc'(s) \rangle - \langle R(Jc'(s), c'(s))c'(s), Jc'(s) \rangle \} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_0^{d(L_1, L_2)} \langle R(Jc'(s), c'(s))c'(s), Jc'(s) \rangle ds \\
&< 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\nabla_{\partial/\partial s} Jc'(s) \equiv 0$  and  $\langle R(Jc'(s), c'(s))c'(s), Jc'(s) \rangle$  is the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $c'(s)$ , which is positive by the assumption. This contradicts the shortest property of  $c(s)$ . Therefore  $L_1 \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.2. The method used in the above proof is due to Frankel [3]. Sakai [10] and Itoh [6] used this method to prove the existence of the fixed point of a certain transformation of Kähler manifolds with positive holomorphic sectional curvature. Kenmotsu and Xia [7] also used it to prove the existence of the intersection of two submanifolds in certain situations different from ours.

We prepare the following lemmas in order to prove Theorem 1.1.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $L$  be a real form through  $o$  in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$ . If  $L$  is congruent to  $S^{0,n}$ , then*

$$L \cap F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o) = \{o, \bar{o}\}.$$

*If  $L$  is congruent to  $S^{k,n-k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$ ), then*

$$L \cap F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o) = \{o, \bar{o}\} \cup L',$$

*where  $L'$  is a real form congruent to  $S^{k-1,n-k-1}$  in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .*

PROOF. Even if the isotropy subgroup at  $o$  acts on  $L$ , the conclusions of the lemma do not change. So we can suppose that  $L = S^{k,n-k}$ .

According to the description of  $F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o)$  obtained in the previous section, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
&S^{0,n} \cap F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o) = \{o, \bar{o}\}, \\
&S^{k,n-k} \cap F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o) \\
&= \{o, \bar{o}\} \cup S^{k-1}(\mathbf{R}e_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{R}e_k) \wedge S^{n-k-1}(\mathbf{R}e_{k+1} + \cdots + \mathbf{R}e_n) \\
&= \{o, \bar{o}\} \cup S^{k-1,n-k-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.4. *If  $L$  is a real form through  $o$  in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$ , then we have*

$$\tilde{C}_o(L) = T_o L \cap \tilde{C}_o(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})).$$

*In particular, any shortest geodesic in  $L$  is also a shortest geodesic in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$ .*

PROOF. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.3, we can suppose that  $L = S^{k,n-k}$ . In the case where  $L = S^{0,n}$ , the closed geodesic  $u_1 \wedge S^1(\mathbf{R}u_2 + \mathbf{R}e_2)$  is a maximal torus of  $S^{0,n}$  and its tangent space  $\{(0, \theta_2); \theta_2 \in \mathbf{R}\}$  satisfies the condition (2) of Lemma 2.2 by the description of  $\tilde{C}_o(S^{1,1})$  obtained in the previous section. Hence the assertions of Lemma 3.4 hold in this

case. In the case where  $L = S^{k,n-k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$ ), the ranks of  $S^{k,n-k}$  and  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  are equal to two, hence the assertions hold by Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.5. *If two real forms  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  through  $o$  in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  intersect transversally, then*

$$L_1 \cap L_2 \subset F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o).$$

PROOF. We first prove

$$(4) \quad L_1 \cap L_2 - \{o\} \subset C_o(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})).$$

We suppose there exists  $x \in L_1 \cap L_2 - \{o\}$  satisfying  $x \notin C_o(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}))$ . Lemma 3.4 implies  $x \notin C_o(L_i)$ , so there exists a unique shortest geodesic  $c_i$  joining  $o$  and  $x$  in each  $L_i$ . These  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are also the shortest geodesics in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})$  because of Lemma 3.4. Therefore, we have  $c_1 = c_2$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  intersect transversally. Hence we have proved (4).

Lemma 3.3 implies  $\{o, \bar{o}\} \subset L_1 \cap L_2$ . We can apply (4) to  $\bar{o}$  and obtain

$$L_1 \cap L_2 - \{\bar{o}\} \subset C_{\bar{o}}(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})).$$

In the case where  $n \geq 3$ , the inside of  $\tilde{C}_o(S^{1,1})$  includes  $\overline{0P_2} - \{P_2\}$ . Hence the orbit of  $\overline{0P_2} - \{P_2\}$  under the action of  $SO(2) \times SO(n)$  does not intersect  $\tilde{C}_o(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 \cap L_2 - \{o, \bar{o}\} &\subset C_o(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})) \cap C_{\bar{o}}(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2})) \\ &= \bigcup_{k \in SO(2) \times SO(n)} k \text{Exp}_o(P_2) = \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

In the case where  $n = 2$ , similarly

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 \cap L_2 - \{o, \bar{o}\} &\subset C_o(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^4)) \cap C_{\bar{o}}(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^4)) \\ &= \bigcup_{k \in SO(2) \times SO(2)} k \text{Exp}_o(\{P_2, P_3\}) = \{p_2, \bar{p}_2\} \\ &= \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $L_1 \cap L_2 \subset F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o)$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Since the holomorphic sectional curvatures of  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R})$  are positive,  $L_1 \cap L_2 \neq \emptyset$  by Lemma 3.1. Moreover, we can suppose that  $o \in L_1 \cap L_2$ . We prove the first assertion of the theorem by induction on  $k$ . If  $L_1$  is congruent to  $S^{0,n}$ , Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 imply  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{o, \bar{o}\}$ , which is an antipodal set in  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . This equality holds even if  $n = 1$ . Thus we have the first assertion of the theorem in the case where  $k = 0$ . If  $L_1$  is congruent to  $S^{k,n-k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$ ), Lemma 3.3 implies

$$L_1 \cap F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o) = \{o, \bar{o}\} \cup L'_1,$$

where  $L'_1$  is a real form congruent to  $S^{k-1,n-k-1}$  in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and

$$L_2 \cap F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o) = \{o, \bar{o}\} \cup L'_2,$$

where  $L'_2$  is a real form congruent to  $S^{l-1, n-l-1}$  in  $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . By the assumption of the induction,  $L'_1 \cap L'_2$  is congruent to

$$\{\pm e_1 \wedge e_2, \dots, \pm e_{2k-1} \wedge e_{2k}\},$$

which is an antipodal set in  $L'_1$  and  $L'_2$ . Since  $L_1 \cap L_2 \subset F(\tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}), s_o)$  by Lemma 3.5,  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is congruent to

$$\{\pm u_1 \wedge u_2, \pm e_1 \wedge e_2, \dots, \pm e_{2k-1} \wedge e_{2k}\},$$

which is an antipodal set in  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . [2, Proposition 3.12] and [2, Theorem 4.3] imply  $\#_2 S^{k, n-k} = 2k + 2$  and  $\#_2 \tilde{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^{n+2}) = 2[n/2] + 2$ , which complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] B.-Y. CHEN AND T. NAGANO, Totally geodesic submanifolds of symmetric spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 44 (1977), 745–755.
- [2] B.-Y. CHEN AND T. NAGANO, A Riemannian geometric invariant and its applications to a problem of Borel and Serre, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 308 (1988), 273–297.
- [3] T. FRANKEL, Manifolds with positive curvature, *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961), 165–174.
- [4] R. HOWARD, The kinematic formula in Riemannian homogeneous spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 106 (1993), No.509.
- [5] H. IRIYEH AND T. SAKAI, Tight Lagrangian surfaces in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , *Geom. Dedicata* 145 (2010), 1–17.
- [6] M. ITOH, A fixed point theorem for Kähler manifolds with positive holomorphic sectional curvature, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A* 13 (1977), 313–317.
- [7] K. KENMOTSU AND C. XIA, Hadamard-Frankel type theorems for manifolds with partially positive curvature, *Pacific J. Math.* 176 (1996), 129–139.
- [8] D. P. S. LEUNG, Reflective submanifolds. IV, Classification of real forms of Hermitian symmetric spaces, *J. Differential Geom.* 14 (1979), 179–185.
- [9] Y.-G. OH, Tight Lagrangian submanifolds in  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ , *Math. Z.* 207 (1991), 409–416.
- [10] T. SAKAI, Three remarks on fundamental groups of some Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* 22 (1970), 249–253.
- [11] T. SAKAI, On cut loci of compact symmetric spaces, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 6 (1977), 136–161.
- [12] M. TAKEUCHI, Stability of certain minimal submanifolds of compact Hermitian symmetric spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* 36 (1984), 293–314.
- [13] M. TAKEUCHI, Two-number of symmetric  $R$ -spaces, *Nagoya Math. J.* 115 (1989), 43–46.
- [14] M. S. TANAKA AND H. TASAKI, The intersection of two real forms in Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type, preprint.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE  
 UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA  
 TSUKUBA, IBARAKI, 305–8571  
 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* tasaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp