## ON THE ORDER OF THE DERIVATIVE OF A MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION

## MASATSUGU TSUJI

(Received May 15, 1951)

1. Whittaker<sup>1</sup>) proved the theorem.

THEOREM. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function for  $|z| < \infty$ , which is of order  $\rho$  ( $\leq \infty$ ), then f'(z) is of order  $\rho$ .

Whittaker remarked in the addendum inserted in the end of the same journal that the theorem was proved previously by Valiron<sup>2</sup>), but in Valiron's paper cited, we find no detail proof, so that we will give a simple proof of it in the following lines.

If f(z) is an integral function, then the theorem follows from relation:

$$\frac{1}{r}(M(r)-|f(0)|) \leq M_1(r) \leq \frac{1}{r} M(2r),$$

where  $M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|, \quad M_1(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f'(z)|.$ 

For the proof, of the case, when f(z) has poles, we use the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let F(z) be an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  and P(z) be a canonical product formed with  $\{a_n\}$  and of order  $\rho' < \rho$ . Then

$$F'(z)P(z) - F(z)P'(z) = G(z)$$
(1)

is of order  $\rho$ .

**PROOF.** Since F'(z) is of order  $\rho$  and P(z) of order  $\rho' < \rho$ , G(z) is of order  $\leq \rho$ . Hence it suffices to prove that G(z) is of order  $\geq \rho$ .

We consider (1) as a differential equation for F(z) and solving it, we have

$$F(z) = \text{const. } P(z) + P(z) \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{G(z)}{(P(z))^2} dz.$$
 (2)

Suppose that G(z) is of order  $< \rho$ , then

$$|G(z)| < e^{r^{\prime 1}} (|z| = r \ge r_1), \ (\rho_1 < \rho).$$
(3)

Since for the canonical product, its order coincides with the convergence exponent of  $\{a_n\}$ ,

$$\sum_{n} \frac{1}{|a_{n}|^{\rho'+\epsilon}} < \infty \quad (\rho' + \varepsilon < \rho).$$
(4)

We draw circles  $C_n: |z - a_n| = 1/|a_n|^{\rho'+\epsilon}$ , then outside  $C_n$   $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ ,

<sup>1)</sup> J. M. WHITTAKER: The order of the derivative of a meromorphic function. Journ. London Math. Soc. 11 (1936).

G. VALIRON: Sur la distribution des valeurs des fonctions méromorphes. Acta Math. 47 (1926).

$$|P(z)| > e^{-r^{\rho_2}} \ (r \ge r_2), \ \rho' < \rho_2 < \rho.$$
(5)

Let *E* be the set of intervals  $I: |x - |a_n|| \leq 1/a_n |e^{i+\epsilon}$  on the positive real axis, then by (5), if *R* lies outside *E*,

$$|P(z)| > e^{-R^{\rho_2}}$$
 on  $|z| = R \ (R \ge r_2).$  (6)

Since the sum of radii of  $C_n$  is convergent, there exists  $\theta_0$ , such that the half-line  $L: z = re^{i\theta} (\max(r_1, r_2) \leq r_0 < r < \infty)$  lies outside  $C_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ , so that

$$|P(re^{i\theta_0})| > e^{-r\rho^2}(r_0 \leq r < \infty).$$

$$(7)$$

Let *R* lie outside *E* and  $z = Re^{i\theta}$  be any point on |z| = R ( $R \ge r_0$ ). In (2), we first integrate on the segment  $z = re^{i\theta_0}$  ( $r_0 \le r \le R$ ) and then on the circular arc on |z| = R, which is bounded by  $Re^{i\theta_0}$  and  $Re^{i\theta}$ , then by (3), (6), (7), we have

$$\left| \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{G(z)}{(P(z))^2} dz \right| \leq \int_{r_0}^{R} \frac{|G(re^{i\theta_0})|}{|P(re^{i\theta_0})|^2} dr + \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \frac{|G(Re^{i\varphi})|}{|P(Re^{i\varphi})|^2} Rd\varphi < e^{R^{\rho_3}} (R \geq r_3), (\rho_3 < \rho),$$

where  $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta_0}$ . Hence from (2),

$$|F(z)| < e^{R^{\mu^*}} \text{ on } |z| = R \ (R \ge r_4), \ (\rho_4 < \rho).$$
(8)

If R lies in E, then since the sum of radii of  $C_n$  is convergent, we can choose  $R_1$  outside E, such that  $R \leq R_1 \leq R + 1$ , then

$$|F(z)| \leq \max_{|z|=R_1} |F(z)| < e^{R_1^{\rho_4}} < e^{R^{\rho_5}} \text{ on } |z| = R \ (R \geq r_5), \ (\rho_5 < \rho).$$
(9)

Hence from (8), (9), we see that F(z) is of order  $< \rho$ , which contradicts the hypothesis, so that G(z) is of order  $\ge \rho$ . q. e. d.

2. Now we will prove the theorem, when f(z) has poles  $\{a_n\}$  and first we suppose that  $\rho < \infty$ .

Let P(z) be the canonical product formed with  $\{a_n\}$ , then since the convergence exponent of  $a_n$  is  $\leq \rho$ , P(z) is of order  $\leq \rho$  and

$$f(z) = \frac{F(z)}{P(z)}, \qquad (10)$$

$$f'(z) = \frac{F'(z)P(z) - F(z)P'(z)}{(P(z))^2} = \frac{G(z)}{(P(z))^2},$$
(11)

where F(z) is an integral function of order  $\leq \rho$ .

Let  $\rho'$  be the order of f'(z), then since G(z),  $(P(z))^2$  are of order  $\leq \rho$ , we have  $\rho' \leq \rho$ . Hence it suffices to prove that  $\rho' \geq \rho$ .

Let  $\rho_1 \ (\leq \rho)$  be the convergence exponent of  $\{a_n\}$ , then since  $a_n$  are poles of f'(z), we have from Nevanlinna's relation  $T(r, f') = m(r, \infty, f') + N(r, \infty, f')$ ,

$$\rho' \geqq \rho_1. \tag{12}$$

Hence if  $\rho_1 = \rho$ , then  $\rho' \ge \rho$ .

If  $\rho_1 < \rho$ , then P(z) is of order  $\rho_1 < \rho$ , so that F(z) is of order  $\rho$ , hence

by the lemma, G(z) is of order  $\rho$ , so that from  $G(z) = (P(z))^2 f'(z)$ , we have  $\rho' \ge \rho$ . Hence

$$\rho' = \rho, \quad \text{if } \rho < \infty. \tag{13}$$

Next we suppose that  $\rho = \infty$  and we will prove  $\rho' = \infty$ . Suppose that  $\rho' < \infty$ . Let  $a_n$  be the poles of f(z), then since  $a_n$  are poles of f'(z), in the Nevanlinna's relation,

$$T(\mathbf{r}, f) = m(\mathbf{r}, \infty, f) + N(\mathbf{r}, \infty, f), \qquad (14)$$

we have

$$N(r, \ \infty, \ f) = O(r^{\rho_1}) \ \ (\rho' < \rho_1 < \infty).$$
 (15)

Let P(z) be the canonical product formed with poles an of f'(z), then

$$f'(z)=\frac{G(z)}{P(z)},$$

where G(z), P(z) are integral functions of order  $\leq \rho'$  and

$$f(z) = \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{G(z)}{P(z)} dz + \text{const.}$$
(16)

Let E be the set defined in the proof of the lemma, then if R lies outside E, we can prove similarly as before,

$$|f(Re^{i\theta})| = O(e^{R^{\rho_1}}), \quad \text{on } |z| = R \ (\rho_1 < \infty)$$

so that  $m(R, \infty, f) = O(R^{\rho_1})$ . Hence by (14), (15),

$$T(R, f) = O(R^{\rho_1}).$$

If R lies in E, then we choose  $R_1$  outside E, such that  $R \leq R_1 \leq R+1$ , then  $T(R, f) \leq T(R_1, f) = O(R_1^{\rho_1}) = O(R^{\rho_1}).$ 

Hence for any R,  $T(R, f) = O(R^{\rho_1})$ , which contradicts the hypothesis,  $\rho = \infty$ , so that  $\rho' = \infty$ .

Hence our theorem is proved.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOKYO UNIVERSITY.

284