ON THE MAXIMUM MODULUS AND THE COEFFICIENTS OF AN ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES

Qazi Ibadur Rahman

(Received July 20, 1955 and in revised form Jan. 27, 1956)

I. Consider the Dirichlet series

$$f(s) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{i} e^{s_{n}},$$
$$\lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_{n}, \ \lambda_{1} \ge 0, \ \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_{n} = \infty, \ s = \sigma + it,$$

where

(1.1)
$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log n}{\lambda_n}}=D<\infty.$$

It defines in its half-plane of convergence a holomorphic function. Let σ_c and σ_a be the abscissa of convergence and the abscissa of absolute convergence respectively of f(s).

Let $\mu(\sigma)$ be the maximum of $|a_n|e^{\sigma\lambda_n}$ (n = 1, 2, ...), and $M(\sigma)$ the l.u.b. of $|f(\sigma + it)|$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, where σ is a constant smaller than σ_a . If $\sigma_c = \infty$, $\sigma_a = \infty$, f(s) defines an *entire function*. Let $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$ be the λ_n corresponding to the maximum term of the series for $re(s) = \sigma$. Then $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$ is evidently a non-decreasing function of σ .

Since for $\sigma < \sigma_a$,

$$a_n e^{\sigma \lambda_n} = \lim_{T o \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_0}^T e^{-tt\lambda_n} f(\sigma + it) dt,$$

one has

$$|a_n|e^{\sigma\lambda_n} \leq M(\sigma)$$

 $(n=1,2,\ldots),$

and consequently

[A] $\mu(\sigma) \leq M(\sigma), \quad \sigma < \sigma_a.$

On the other hand, for every positive \mathcal{E} one can choose a positive integer $N(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\log n < \lambda_n(D + \mathcal{E}/2)$ for $n \ge N(\mathcal{E})$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} M(\sigma) &\leq \sum |a_n| e^{\tau \lambda_n} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \ldots), \\ &= \sum_{1}^{N(\epsilon)-1} |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n} + \sum_{N(\epsilon)}^{\infty} |a_n| e^{(\sigma + D + \epsilon)\lambda_n} e^{-(D + \epsilon)\lambda_n}, \\ &= N(\varepsilon) \mu(\sigma) + \mu(\sigma + D + \varepsilon) \sum_{N(\epsilon)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{(D + \epsilon)/(D + \epsilon/2)}} \\ &< K' \mu(\sigma + D + \varepsilon), \end{split}$$

K' being a constant depending on f(s) and \mathcal{E} .

If $\sigma_a = \infty$, log $\mu(\sigma)$ being convex and indefinitely increasing, one has

$$\log \mu(\sigma + \eta) = \log \mu(\sigma) + \eta p(\sigma), \qquad \eta > 0, \quad p(\sigma) \to \infty,$$

and it follows that

[B]

 $M(\sigma) < \mu(\sigma + D + \varepsilon), \qquad \varepsilon > 0, \quad \sigma > \sigma(\varepsilon).$

In this paper we prove several relations between these auxiliary functions $M(\sigma)$ etc., which are true whether f(s) be of finite or infinite order. We shall use the following notations.

$$\begin{array}{l} T_{k} &= \displaystyle \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \displaystyle \sup_{inf} \displaystyle \frac{l_{k}M(\sigma)}{l_{k-1}\sigma} \;; \quad \begin{array}{l} P_{k} &= \displaystyle \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \displaystyle \sup_{inf} \displaystyle \frac{l_{k}M(\sigma)}{l_{k-2}\sigma} \;; \\ \Delta_{k} &= \displaystyle \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \displaystyle \sup_{inf} \displaystyle \frac{l_{k-1}\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}}{l_{k-1}\sigma} \;; \quad \begin{array}{l} N_{k} &= \displaystyle \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \displaystyle \sup_{inf} \displaystyle \frac{l_{k-1}\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}}{l_{k-2}\sigma} \;; \\ S_{k} &= \displaystyle \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \displaystyle \sup_{inf} \displaystyle \frac{l_{k}\mu(\sigma)}{l_{k-1}\sigma} \;; \quad \begin{array}{l} F_{k} &= \displaystyle \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \displaystyle \sup_{inf} \displaystyle \frac{l_{k}\mu(\sigma)}{l_{k-2}\sigma} \;; \\ S_{k-2} &= \displaystyle \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \displaystyle \sup_{inf} \displaystyle \frac{l_{k}\mu(\sigma)}{l_{k-1}\sigma} \;; \quad \begin{array}{l} F_{k} &= \displaystyle \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \displaystyle \sup_{inf} \displaystyle \frac{l_{k}\mu(\sigma)}{l_{k-2}\sigma} \;, \end{array} \end{array}$$

where k is any fixed integer ≥ 2 and $l_k x$ denotes the k-th interate of log x [1, p. 16].

2.

THEOREM.	We have	
(2.1)	$t_k = s_k$	$(k=2,3,\ldots)$
(2.2)	${T}_k=S_k$	(")
(2.3)	$t_2 = 1 + \delta_2$	
(2.4)	${T}_2=1+\Delta_2$	
(2.5)	$t_k = \max\left(1, \delta_k\right)$	$(k = 3, 4, \ldots)$
(2.6)	$T_k = \max\left(1, \Delta_k ight)$	(")
(2.7)	$\rho_k=\phi_k=\nu_k$	$(k = 2, 3, \ldots)$
(2.8)	$P_k = F_k = N_k$	(")
3.		

Lemma.

(i) $\psi(x)$ be a positive increasing function; (ii) $\liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{\log \psi(x)}{x} = \alpha$ $(0 \le \alpha < \infty).$

Then corresponding to each pair of positive numbers β , γ satisfying the inequalities

(iii)
$$\alpha < \beta$$
, $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} < \gamma < 1$,

Let

there is a sequence x_1, x_2, \ldots tending to infinity such that

(iv) $\psi(x) < e^{\beta x}$ $(\gamma x_n \leq x \leq x_n).$

For let x_1, x_2, \ldots be a sequence such that

$$\frac{\log \psi(x_n)}{x_n} < \beta \gamma.$$

Then if $\gamma x_n \leq x \leq x_n$,

$$\log \psi(x) \leq \log \psi(x_n) < \beta \gamma x_n \leq \beta x$$
, or $\psi(x) < e^{\beta x}$.

4.

(i) Proof of $t_k = s_k$. Since $M(\sigma) \ge \mu(\sigma)$ we have

 $t_k \geq s_k$.

Moreover, log $\mu(\sigma)$ is a convex function of σ and therefore for any (arbitrarily large) positive constant H,

 $\log \mu(\sigma) > H\sigma \qquad \qquad \sigma > \sigma(H).$

We get

$$s_k \ge 1$$
 $(k \ge 2),$

 $(k \ge 2).$

and so

 $(4.1) t_k \ge s_k \ge 1$

To prove $t_k \leq s_k$, we may suppose $s_k < \infty$. We have

 $l_{k-1}M(\sigma) < l_{k-1}\mu(\sigma + D + \varepsilon) < e^{(s_k + \epsilon')l_{k-1}(\sigma + D + \varepsilon)}$

for a sequence of values of $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

 $t_k \leq s_k$

which holds when $s_k = \infty$.

(ii) Proof of $T_k = S_k$ is similar and is omitted.

(iii) Proof of $t_2 = 1 + \delta_2$,

We may suppose $\delta_2 < \infty$. We have [B; 2, p.67]

 $\log M(\sigma) < \log \mu(\sigma + D + \varepsilon)$

$$= \log \mu(D + \varepsilon) + \int_{D+\epsilon}^{\sigma+D+\epsilon} \lambda_{\nu(x)} dx$$

$$< \log \mu(D + \varepsilon) + \sigma \lambda_{\nu(\sigma+D+\epsilon)}$$

$$< 2 \sigma \lambda_{\nu(\sigma+D+\epsilon)}$$

so that

$$\log \log M(\sigma) < \log 2 + \log \sigma + \log \lambda_{\nu(\sigma+D+\epsilon)}$$

and we get

$$t_2 \leq 1 + \delta_2$$

which holds when $\delta_2 = \infty$.

To prove $t_2 \ge 1 + \delta_2$, we may suppose $0 < \delta_2 < \infty$. We have

$$\log M(\sigma) \ge \log \mu(\sigma) > \int_{\sigma/2}^{\sigma} \lambda_{\nu(x)} dx > \frac{\sigma}{2} \lambda_{\nu(\sigma/2)}$$

Hence

$$t_2 \ge 1 + \delta_2$$

110

which obviously holds when $\delta_2 = 0$. If $\delta_2 = \infty$, the above argument shows that $t_2 = \infty$.

- (iv) Proof of $T_2 = 1 + \Delta_2$ is similar and is omitted.
- (v) Proof of $s_k = \max(1, \delta_k)$, $(k \ge 3)$.

Since

$$\int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+2} \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} dx < \log \mu(\sigma+2) \text{ or } 2\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} < \log \mu(\sigma+2)$$

it follows that $\delta_k \leq s_k$ and hence from (4.1), max $(1, \delta_k) \leq s_k$. If $\delta_k < 1$, let $\delta_k < \theta < 1$: then

$$(4.2) l_{k-1}\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} < \theta l_{k-1}\sigma,$$

for a sequence of values of $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Further

$$\log \mu(\sigma) = \log \mu(a) + \int_{a}^{\sigma} \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} dx$$

$$< \log \mu(a) + \sigma \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$$

$$< 2 \sigma \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$$
(4.3) or $\log \log \mu(\sigma) < \log 2 + \log \sigma + \log \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$

$$< \log 2 + \log \sigma + \theta \log \sigma, \qquad by (4.2)$$

$$< 2 \log \sigma + O(1)$$

for a sequence of values of $\sigma \to \infty$. Hence $s_k \leq 1$ and so $s_k = 1$. If $1 \leq \delta_k < \infty$, let $\theta > \delta_k$. From (4.3)

$$\log\log\mu(\sigma) < 3e_{k-2}(\theta e_{k-1}\sigma)$$

for a sequence of values of $\sigma \to \infty$. Hence $s_k \leq \delta_k$ which holds if $\delta_k = \infty$. Hence the result follows.

(vi) Proof of $S_k = \max(1, \Delta_k)$ is similar and is omitted.

(vii) Proof of $\rho_2 = \nu_2$.

We have

(4.4)
$$\log \mu(\sigma) = \log \mu(\sigma_0) + \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \lambda_{\nu(x)} dx.$$

[A] and (4.4) give

$$2\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} \leq \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+2} \lambda_{\nu(x)} \, dx < \log \mu(\sigma+2) \leq \log M(\sigma+2),$$

whence

(4.5)
$$\frac{\log 2}{\sigma} + \frac{\log \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}}{\sigma} < \frac{\log \log M(\sigma+2)}{\sigma}$$
$$\therefore \quad \nu_2 \leq \rho_2 \qquad (0 \leq \rho_2 \leq \infty).$$

Now suppose that $\nu_2 < \infty$. $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$ is a non-decreasing function and so by the lemma, if $\nu_2 < \beta$, $\nu_2/\beta < \gamma < 1$, there is a sequence $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots$ for which

(4.6)
$$\frac{\log \lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}}{\sigma} < \beta \qquad (\gamma \sigma_n \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_n).$$

Take positive numbers δ , ε' such that $\gamma < \delta < 1$, $\gamma/\delta < \varepsilon' < 1$, and write $\xi_n = \delta \sigma_n$, so that

$$\gamma \sigma_n = rac{\gamma}{\delta} \xi_n < \varepsilon' \xi_n < \xi_n.$$

By (4.4)

$$\log \mu(\xi_n) = \log \mu(\varepsilon'\xi_n) + \int_{\varepsilon'\xi_n}^{\xi_n} \lambda_{\nu(x)} dx.$$

Since f(s) defines an entire function

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log|a_n|}{\lambda_n}=-\infty$$

and therefore for a sufficiently large ξ_n

$$\log \mu(\mathcal{E}'\xi_n) = \log |a_{\nu(\epsilon'\xi_n)}| + \mathcal{E}'\xi_n\lambda_{\nu(\epsilon'\xi_n)} < \mathcal{E}'\xi_n\lambda_{\nu(\epsilon'\xi_n)}$$

so that

$$\log \mu(\xi_n) \ge \log \mu(\mathcal{E}'\xi_n) + \lambda_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'\xi_n)} \int_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'\xi_n}^{\xi_n} dx$$

= $\log \mu(\mathcal{E}'\xi_n) + (1 - \mathcal{E}')\xi_n\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'\xi_n)}$
> $\log \mu(\mathcal{E}'\xi_n) + \frac{1 - \mathcal{E}'}{\mathcal{E}'}\log \mu(\mathcal{E}'\xi_n)$
= $\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}'}\log \mu(\mathcal{E}'\xi_n)$
- $\mathcal{E}'\log \mu(\xi_n) < -\log \mu(\mathcal{E}'\xi_n)$
 $\log \mu(\xi_n) - \mathcal{E}'\log \mu(\xi_n) < \log \mu(\xi_n) - \log \mu(\mathcal{E}'\xi_n)$

$$=\int_{e'\xi_n}^{\xi_n}\lambda_{\nu(x)}\,dx.$$

Thus using (4.6),

(4.7)
$$(1-\varepsilon')\log\mu(\xi_n) < \int_{\epsilon'\xi_n}^{\xi_n} e^{3\sigma} d\sigma$$
$$= \frac{e^{\beta\xi_n} - e^{3\epsilon'\xi_n}}{\beta} = \frac{e^{\beta\xi_n\{1-e^{-(1-\epsilon')\beta\xi_n\}}}}{\beta}$$

From [B]

$$\log M(\xi_n - D - \varepsilon) < \log \mu(\xi_n) < e^{\beta \xi_n} \frac{1 - e^{-(1 - \varepsilon')\beta \xi_n}}{(1 - \varepsilon')\beta}$$

whence

$$(4.8) \qquad \qquad \rho_2 \leq \nu_2$$

112

(4.5) and (4.8) are equivalent to $\rho_2 = \nu_2$.

Proof of $\rho_k = \nu_k$, for $k \ge 3$, is similar to that for k = 2 and is omitted. Proof of $\rho_k = \phi_k$ is also omitted.

(viii) Proof of (2.8) is simple and is omitted.

References

- [1] G. H. HARDY, Orders of infinity, Cambridge, 1924.
- [2] Y. C. Yung, Sur les droites de Borel de certaines fonctions entières, Ann. Ecole Normale, 68 (1951), 65-104.

MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH (INDIA).