

ON THE REDUCIBILITY OF AN AFFINELY CONNECTED MANIFOLD

SHÖBIN KASHIWABARA

(Received December 15, 1955)

Introduction

G. de Rham [3] proved an interesting theorem concerning structures of simply-connected, complete and reducible Riemannian manifolds. In this paper I shall first attempt to extend his theorem to affinely connected manifolds. For this purpose I shall define R -reducible manifolds which are regarded as an extension of the notion of reducible Riemannian manifolds. For this manifold, I shall prove that the p -dimensional homotopy group of any of its maximal integral manifolds is isomorphic into the p -dimensional homotopy group of the given manifold under the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map. By virtue of this, it will be shown that a simply-connected R -reducible manifold is equivalent to an affine product. This is nothing but an extension of de Rham's theorem, as mentioned above. Secondly I shall determine structures of R -reducible manifolds whose fundamental groups are cyclic of order two, by the above theorem.

Throughout the whole discussion, I shall adopt the following conventions: I use the word "nbh" for neighborhood. I describe as a path (or a curve) what is usually called a segment of a path (or a curve), including the endpoints, and parameters of paths mean always affine parameters. If X is an affinely connected manifold, I describe as the covering space of X the universal covering space of X with the affine connection induced naturally from X by the covering. Let us suppose that the indices run as follows:

$$a, b, c, d = 1, 2, \dots, r; \quad i, j, k, l = r + 1, r + 2, \dots, n; \\ \alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

I wish to note that integral manifolds R and S in this paper can not be intrinsically distinguished and lemmas etc. hold good though we exchange the roles of R and S there.

Furthermore, I wish to note that a part of the idea of this paper owes to A. G. Walker's paper [6] and to express my thanks to Professor S. Sasaki of Tôhoku Univ. for his kind assistance during the preparation of the manuscript.

1. R -reducible manifolds

Let M be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold (of class C^3) with an affine connection without torsion of class C^1 and we assume that M is affinely

complete. For the definition of differentiable manifolds, see [5], p. 21, and note that M is connected, separable, and metric. The word "affinely complete" means that any straight line lying on the tangent space at any point $x \in M$ and passing through x can wholly be developed into M .

When the homogeneous holonomy group h at a point o of M fixes an r -dimensional plane T_o and an $(n-r)$ -dimensional plane T'_o complementary to T_o , then M is called a *completely reducible* or briefly, *C-reducible manifold*.

In a *C-reducible manifold* M , transplant the two planes T_o and T'_o at 0 to every point $x \in M$ by parallel displacement along a curve \widehat{ox} of class D^1 and denote the two planes thus obtained by T_x and T'_x respectively, then we get two parallel plane fields T_x , T'_x over M . When we attach at every point x of a coordinate nbh U a *suitable* frame (e_1, \dots, e_n) whose first r vectors (e_1, \dots, e_r) span T_x and the remaining $n-r$ vectors (e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n) span T'_x , we may find Pfaffian forms ω^α (class C^1), ω_b^i and ω_j^i such that the connection of M is expressed by

$$dx = \omega^\alpha e_\alpha, \\ de_\alpha = \omega_b^i e_b, \quad de_i = \omega_j^i e_j.$$

As the connection is without torsion, we have

$$(\omega^\alpha)' = [\omega^b \omega_b^\alpha], \quad (\omega^i)' = [\omega^j \omega_j^i]. \quad (1)$$

The plane field T'_x in U is defined by the system $\omega^\alpha = 0$, and the field T_x by the system $\omega^i = 0$. Since these systems are completely integrable by (1), we may find their first integrals

$$x^{\alpha'} = f^{\alpha'}(x^\alpha), \quad x^{i'} = f^{i'}(x^i), \quad (2)$$

where we have denoted the coordinates in U by (x^α) . As the Jacobian of (2) is not zero, we transform the coordinates (x^α) by (2). Then, for any point $x \in U$ we may find a suitable coordinate nbh V of x covered by the new coordinates $(x^{\alpha'})$. Such new coordinates are called *canonical coordinates* and a nbh covered by canonical coordinates is called a *canonical coordinate nbh*.

In every canonical coordinate nbh V with coordinates (x^α) (we omit "dashes")

$$x^{i'} = \text{const. and } x^{\alpha'} = \text{const.}$$

define the r - and s -dimensional integral manifolds of the two fields T_x and T'_x respectively, where $s = n - r$. We can express the connection of M in terms of natural frames (e^α) in V by

$$dx = dx^\alpha e_\alpha, \quad de_\alpha = \omega_\alpha^\beta e_\beta.$$

As the planes T_x and T'_x are parallel fields, we see $\omega_i^i = \omega_i^\alpha = 0$, hence we get $\Gamma_{\alpha\alpha}^i = \Gamma_{\alpha\alpha}^i = \Gamma_{i\alpha}^i = \Gamma_{\alpha i}^i = 0$, where we have put $\omega_\alpha^\beta \equiv \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta dx^\gamma$. Accordingly, among many components of $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$, only Γ_{bc}^i and Γ_{ij}^k are non-trivial in general and usually they consist of functions of coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^n) (cf. [1]). If Γ_{bc}^i are functions of coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^r) only and Γ_{jk}^i are functions of coordinates (x^{r+1}, \dots, x^n) only, then M is called an *R-reducible manifold*.

Now, concerning integral manifolds in a C -reducible manifold M we have the following well-known properties (we do not give their proofs here):

a) *Through every point $x \in M$ there pass a pair of two r - and s -dimensional maximal integral manifolds* (cf. [2], p.94). We shall consider each of them as a differentiable manifold with the system of coordinates and the affine connection induced naturally from a system of canonical coordinates and the affine connection in M . We denote the r - and s -dimensional manifolds by $R(x)$ and $S(x)$ respectively and sometimes we shall use abbreviated notations R and S for them.

b) *The intersection $R(x) \cap S(x)$ is at most countable* (cf. [2], p.96).

c) *Any path of a maximal integral manifold, say $R(x)$, is a path of M too, and a path of M through x , whose tangent vector at x is contained in the tangent space of $R(x)$ at x , is contained in $R(x)$ and is a path of $R(x)$. Hence $R(x)$ is affinely complete.*

Under these premises we shall discuss structures of R -reducible manifolds.

2. Homotopy groups

DEFINITION 2.1. Let M be a C -reducible manifold. In a maximal integral manifold, say R , of M , a nbh of a point $x \in R$ is called an *intrinsic nbh* in R . In M , a canonical coordinate nbh whose coordinates consist only of all (x^a) satisfying the inequalities $a^a < x^a < b^a$ (a^a, b^a are all const.) is called a *canonical cubic coordinate nbh* or briefly, a *C-nbh*.

DEFINITION 2.2. In an R -reducible manifold M , let U and V be intrinsic coordinate nbhs with coordinates (x^i) and (x^j) in two integral manifolds R and S of M respectively. Let $\Gamma_{bc}^i(x^i)$ and $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x^j)$ be the connection coefficients of R and S in U and V respectively. Now consider the product $U \times V$ with coordinates (x^i, x^j) . We endow the product $U \times V$ with the connection coefficients $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x^i, x^j)$ which satisfy the following relations: $\Gamma_{bc}^i(x^i, x^j) \equiv \Gamma_{bc}^i(x^i)$, $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x^i, x^j) \equiv \Gamma_{jk}^i(x^j)$ and the remaining $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(x^i, x^j)$ are all zero. Then the product $U \times V$ is called the *affine product* of U and V . Moreover, when we cover the product $R \times S$ by a set of affine products $U \times V$, we get a differentiable manifold $R \times S$ with an affine connection. This is also called the *affine product* of R and S .

Let $C(x)$ be a C -nbh of a point x in an R -reducible manifold M . In integral manifolds $R(x)$ and $S(x)$, the connected components containing x of $C(x) \cap R(x)$ and $C(x) \cap S(x)$ are intrinsic nbhs and we denote them together with the coordinates induced naturally from those of $C(x)$ by $C(x)|R$ and $C(x)|S$ respectively. Then, $C(x)|R$ and $C(x)|S$ are intrinsic coordinate nbhs and the following lemma is evident:

LEMMA 2.1. *$C(x)$ is represented by the affine product of $C(x)|R$ and $C(x)|S$.*

Now, under the same notations it follows by applying Whitehead's theorem [7] to M that there exist simple convex intrinsic nbhs $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ of x such that $U(x) \subset C(x)|R$ and $V(x) \subset C(x)|S$, in the geometries of $R(x)$ and $S(x)$

respectively. The word "a simple convex nbh N " means a nbh such that any two points in N are joined by one and only one path which is wholly contained in N . Now, we consider $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ as intrinsic coordinate nbhs in $C(x)|R$ and $C(x)|S$ covering them respectively. Then, we have:

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $W(x)$ be the affine product $U(x) \times V(x)$, then $W(x) \subset C(x)$ and $W(x)$ is a simple convex nbh of M .*

PROOF. It is evident that $W(x) \subset C(x)$ from Lemma 2.1. We shall denote the coordinates of any two points $x_1, x_2 \in W(x)$ by $(x_1^a), (x_2^a)$ and express the unique path in $U(x)$ joining the point $(x_1^a, 0)$ to the point $(x_2^a, 0)$ by $x^a = x^a(t)$, $x^t = 0$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) and similarly the unique in $V(x)$ joining the point $(0, x_1^t)$ to the point $(0, x_2^t)$ by $x^a = 0$, $x^t = x^t(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$). Then it is easily seen that a curve

$$x^a = x^a(t), \quad x^t = x^t(t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

is the unique path in $W(x)$ joining x_1 to x_2 .

DEFINITION 2.3. A nbh $W(x)$ such that we defined in Lemma 2.2 is called a W -nbh of x .

When a vector v at a point x of M is given, we shall denote by (x, v, c) , where c is a constant, the terminal point y of the path obtained by developing the vector cv into M .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let v_0 be a vector tangent to $R(x_0)$ at a point x_0 of an R -reducible manifold M and $v(\tau)$ the vector field parallel to v_0 along a curve $x = x(\tau)$ ($0 \leq \tau \leq 1$) of class C^1 in $S(x_0)$, where $x_0 \equiv x(0)$. Let $u(\tau)$ be a vector at $\sigma = c$ (constant), obtained by parallel displacement of $v(\tau)$ along a path $x(\tau)$, $v(\tau)$, σ ($0 \leq \sigma \leq c$). Put $y(\tau) \equiv (x(\tau), v(\tau), c)$ and $y_0 \equiv y(0)$. Then, for $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, the following properties are fulfilled:*

a) $y(\tau) \subset S(y_0)$ and $y(\tau)$ is of class C^1 . b) $u(\tau)$ is a parallel vector field along the curve $y(\tau)$. c) If $x(\tau)$ is a path, so is $y(\tau)$.

PROOF. A) We shall first prove the lemma in a C -nbh. Let the components of v_0 be $(v_0^a, 0)$ and the coordinates of x_0 be (x_0^a, x_0^t) . Express the curve $x = x(\tau)$ by $x^a = x_0^a$, $x^t = x^t(\tau)$, where $x_0^t = x^t(0)$. Now consider a differential equations of parallel displacement

$$\frac{dv^a}{d\tau} + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^a v^\beta \frac{dx^\gamma}{d\tau} = 0$$

along the curve $x = x(\tau)$. It turns into

$$\frac{dv^a}{d\tau} = 0, \quad \frac{dv^t}{d\tau} + \Gamma_{jk}^t v^j \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} = 0.$$

Solve them under the initial conditions $v^a = v_0^a$, $v^t = 0$ when $\tau = 0$, and we get $v^a = v_0^a$, $v^t = 0$. This is the parallel vector field $v(\tau)$. Again solve the differential equations

$$\frac{dx^a}{d\sigma} = v^a, \quad \frac{dv^a}{d\sigma} = -\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^a v^\beta v^\gamma,$$

$$\text{i. e.,} \quad \frac{dx^a}{d\sigma} = v^a, \quad \frac{dv^a}{d\sigma} = -\Gamma_{bc}^a v^b v^c; \quad \frac{dx^t}{d\sigma} = v^t, \quad \frac{dv^t}{d\sigma} = -\Gamma_{jk}^t v^j v^k,$$

under the initial conditions $x^\alpha = x_0^\alpha$, $v^\alpha = v_0^\alpha$; $x^t = x^t(\tau)$, $v^t = 0$ when $\sigma = 0$, and we get $x^\alpha = x^\alpha(\sigma, x_0^\alpha, v_0^\alpha)$, $v^\alpha = v^\alpha(\sigma, x_0^\alpha, v_0^\alpha)$, $x^t = x^t(\tau)$, $v^t = 0$. If we put $\sigma = c$ in this solution, we get $y(\tau)$, i. e., $x^\alpha = \text{const.}$, $x^t = x^t(\tau)$ and $u(\tau)$, i. e., $v^\alpha = \text{const.}$, $v^t = 0$. From these forms, the lemma is easily seen.

B) Next we shall prove our lemma in the large. Let $\widehat{x(\tau)y(\tau)}$ be the path $(x(\tau), v(\tau), \sigma)$ from $\sigma = 0$ to $\sigma = c$. When we cover the path $\widehat{x_0 y_0}$ by a finite number of C -nbhs, it follows from A) that there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that this lemma holds good for the arc $x = x(\tau)$ ($0 \leq \tau \leq \delta_0$). Now we suppose that the lemma holds good for the arc $x = x(\tau)$ ($0 \leq \tau < \tau_0$). Similarly by covering the path $\widehat{x(\tau_0)y(\tau_0)}$ by a finite number of C -nbhs, we see that there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that, for $\tau_0 - \delta_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_0$, $y(\tau) \subset S(y(\tau_0 - \delta_1))$, $y(\tau)$ is of class C^1 and b) and c) of the lemma hold good. Hence we may see that the lemma holds good for a curve $x = x(\tau)$ ($0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_0$) too. Summing up these fact, Lemma 2.3 is easily shown.

When X is an affinely connected manifold, we shall denote by $T_X(x)$ the affine space tangent to X at a point $x \in X$. Next, when the terminal point of a curve l_1 coincides with the initial point of another curve l_2 , we shall denote by $l_1 l_2$ the curve l_1 followed by l_2 .

LEMMA 2.4. *Let C be a C -nbh of an R -reducible manifold M and $l: x^\alpha = x^\alpha(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) be a curve of class C^1 in C . Consider two curves $l_1: x^\alpha = x^\alpha(t)$, $x^t = x^t(0)$ and $l_2: x^\alpha = x^\alpha(1)$, $x^t = x^t(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$), then the closed curve $l_1 l_2 l^{-1}$ gives rise to the unit element of the holonomy group H at $(x^\alpha(0))$.*

PROOF. Consider the differential equations of developement

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{dx^\alpha}{dt} e_\alpha, \quad \frac{de_\alpha}{dt} = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^c \frac{dx^\beta}{dt} e_c, \quad \frac{de_i}{dt} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{dx^j}{dt} e_k \quad (3)$$

and put $x_0 \equiv (x^\alpha(0))$. Solve (3) in $T_M(x_0)$ along l under the initial conditions that x for $t = 0$ takes x_0 and e_α for $t = 0$ coincides with the natural frame $(e_{0\alpha})$ at x_0 . We denote the solutions by $x(t)$ and $e_\alpha(t)$ and put $y \equiv x(1)$, $e_{1\alpha} \equiv e_\alpha(1)$.

Again solve (3) in $T_M(x_0)$ along l_1 under the same initial conditions for $t = 0$. We denote the solutions by $x'(t)$ and $e'_\alpha(t)$, then we get $(e'_\alpha(1)) = (e_{1\alpha}, e_{0i})$ and put $y_1 \equiv x'(1)$. Under the above values y_1 and $(e_{1\alpha}, e_{0i})$ as initial conditions for $t = 0$, solve (3) in $T_M(x_0)$ along l_2 . We denote the solutions by $x''(t)$ and $e''_\alpha(t)$, then we get $e''_\alpha(1) = (e_{1\alpha}, e_{1i})$ and put $y_2 \equiv x''(1)$. From this it follows directly that the closed curve $l_1 l_2 l^{-1}$ gives rise to the unit element of the homogeneous holonomy group h at x_0 . On the other hand, we may find that y coincides with y_2 . Hence Lemma 2.4 is proved.

We shall here give the following remarks: If l is a path, so are l_1 and l_2 . The curve obtained by developing $l_1 l_2 l^{-1}$ is a triangle $\overrightarrow{x_0 y_1 y_2}$. Vectors $\overrightarrow{x_0 y_1}$ and $\overrightarrow{y_1 y_2}$ are equal to the natural projections of a vector $\overrightarrow{x_0 y_2}$ into $T_R(x_0)$ and $T_S(x_0)$ respectively.

DEFINITION 2.4. Suppose that through a point x_0 a path l_0 and a curve $\widehat{x_0 x_1}$ of class D^1 are given in M . Let v_0 be the vector obtained by developing

l_0 into $T_M(x_0)$ and let v_1 be the vector at x_1 , obtained by parallel displacement of v_0 along $\widehat{x_0x_1}$. Again let l_1 be the path obtained by developing v_1 into M . Then l_0 and l_1 are said to be *parallel* along the curve $\widehat{x_0x_1}$.

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that a map (not necessarily continuous) f of the square $\{(\sigma, \tau): 0 \leq \sigma, \tau \leq 1\}$ into an R -reducible manifold M satisfies the following conditions:*

- 1) $f(\sigma, 0)$ ($0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$) is of class C^1 and $f(\sigma, 0) \subset R(o)$, where $o \equiv f(0, 0)$.
- 2) $f(0, \tau)$ ($0 \leq \tau \leq 1$) is a path and $f(0, \tau) \subset S(o)$.
- 3) $f(c, \tau)$ ($0 \leq \tau \leq 1$) and the path $f(0, \tau)$ are parallel along $f(\sigma, 0)$, where c is an arbitrary constant.

Then the following properties are fulfilled:

- a) The closed curve $l_1: f(\sigma, 0)f(1, \tau)f(\sigma, 1)^{-1}f(0, \tau)^{-1}$ ($0 \leq \sigma, \tau \leq 1$) gives rise to the unit element of the holonomy group H at o .
- b) If $f(\sigma, 0)$ is a path, $f(\sigma, 0)$ and $f(\sigma, 1)$ are parallel along $f(0, \tau)$.

Note that from Lemma 2.3, $f(\sigma, 1)$ ($0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$) is of class C^1 .

PROOF. Consider a closed curve

$$l_c: f(\sigma, 0)f(c, \tau)f(\sigma, 1)^{-1}f(0, \tau)^{-1} \quad (0 \leq \sigma \leq c, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1).$$

Cover the path $f(0, \tau)$ by a finite number of C -nbhs. By making use of Lemma 2.3 and 2.4 for every C -nbh in turn, we understand easily that there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that a closed curve l_δ for any δ in $0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$ gives rise to the unit element of H . Now suppose that a closed curve $l_{c'}$ for any c' in $0 \leq c' < c$ gives rise to the unit element of H . Similarly, cover the path $f(c, \tau)$ by a finite number of C -nbhs, then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that a closed curve

$$l: f(\sigma_1, 0)f(c, \tau)f(\sigma_2, 1)^{-1}f(c - \delta_1, \tau)^{-1}f(\sigma_3, 0)^{-1} \\ (0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq c, \quad c - \delta_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq c, \quad 0 \leq \sigma_3 \leq c - \delta_1, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1)$$

gives rise to the unit element of H . Hence it follows that the closed curve $l_{c-\delta_1}$ i.e., l_c , gives rise to the unit element. Summing up these facts a) is easily proved. If $f(\sigma, 0)$ is a path, we get a parallelogram by developing l_1 into $T_M(o)$. Hence b) is also shown easily.

DEFINITION 2.5. When $x(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) is a curve in M on which points $x(t_\lambda)$ ($\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, m; 0 \equiv t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m \equiv 1$) are specified and curves $x(t)$ ($t_{\nu-1} \leq t \leq t_\nu$) ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are all paths, then the curve $x(t)$ is called a *broken-path* and the points $x(t_\lambda)$ are called its *vertices*.

In an R -reducible manifold M , let $x'(t)$ be the broken-line obtained by developing a broken-path $x(t)$ of M into $T_M(x_0)$, where $x_0 \equiv x(0)$. Again let $y(t)$ be the broken-path obtained by developing into M the natural projection $y'(t)$ of $x'(t)$ into $T_S(x_0)$ (relative to $T_R(x_0)$), then $y(t) \subset S(x_0)$. In such a case, the broken-path $y(t)$ is called the *natural projection* of $x(t)$ into $S(x_0)$. Then we have:

LEMMA 2.6. *The point $y(t)$ lies on the integral manifold $R(x(t))$.*

PROOF. For $0 \equiv t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k \equiv 1$ we may suppose that every curve $x(t)$ which corresponds to $t_{\nu-1} \leq t \leq t_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, k$) is a path contained in a W -nbh W_ν . Put $x_\nu \equiv x(t_\nu)$, $x'_\nu \equiv x'(t_\nu)$ and so on. Denote the path $x(t)$

$(t_{v-1} \leq t \leq t_v)$ by $\widehat{x_{v-1}x_v}$, the vector $x'(t)$ $(t_{v-1} \leq t \leq t_v)$ by $\overrightarrow{x'_{v-1}x'_v}$ and so on, where $x_0 = x'_0 = y'_0 = y_0$. In order to prove the lemma we shall make use of Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 repeatedly.

From $\widehat{x_0x_1} \subset W_1$, it follows that the natural projection $\widehat{y_0y_1}$ of $\widehat{x_0x_1}$ is also contained in W_1 . Hence the lemma holds good for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$. Consider the path $\widehat{y_1x_1}$ in W_1 . Take a point x_{12} such that $\widehat{x_1x_{12}}$ is parallel to $\widehat{y_1y_2}$ along $\widehat{y_1x_1}$. Develop the broken-path $\widehat{y_0y_1y_1x_1x_{12}}$ into $T_M(x_0)$ and we denote the terminal point by x'_{12} . $\overrightarrow{x'_1x'_{12}}$ is equal to $\overrightarrow{y'_1y'_2}$, i.e., the natural projection of $\overrightarrow{x'_1x'_{12}}$ into $T_S(x_0)$. Hence $\widehat{x_1x_{12}}$ is the natural projection of $\widehat{x_1x_2}$ into $S(x_1)$, and $\widehat{x_1x_{12}} \subset W_2$. Consequently we may show that the lemma holds good for $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$. Next let $\widehat{y_2x_{12}}$ be the path parallel to $\widehat{y_1x_1}$ along $\widehat{y_1y_2}$, and $\widehat{x_{12}x_2}$ be the path in W_2 . Then the closed broken-path $\widehat{x_0x_1x_1x_2x_{12}y_2y_1y_1y_0}$ gives rise to the unit element of the holonomy group H at x_0 . Take a point x_{23} such that $\widehat{x_2x_{23}}$ is parallel to $\widehat{y_2y_3}$ along the broken-path $\widehat{y_2x_{12}x_{12}x_2}$. In the same manner as above, $\widehat{x_2x_{23}}$ is the natural projection of $\widehat{x_2x_3}$ into $S(x_2)$ and $\widehat{x_2x_{23}} \subset W_3$. Hence the lemma holds good for $t_2 \leq t \leq t_3$. If we continue this manner, it is evident that Lemma 2.6 is proved.

Let E be the p -cube consisting of points (t_1, \dots, t_p) in the p -dimensional Euclidean space E^p such that $0 \leq t_v \leq 1$ ($v = 1, \dots, p$). In particular, the $(p-1)$ -faces defined by $t_p = 0$ and $t_p = 1$ in E are denoted by E_0 and E_1 respectively.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let U be a simple convex nbh of M . Suppose that a map ϕ of E into U satisfies the following conditions:*

1) ϕ is continuous in $E_0 \cup E_1$. 2) When t_1, \dots, t_{p-1} are regarded as constants, $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_p)$ ($0 \leq t_p \leq 1$) defines a path. Then, ϕ is a continuous map.

Since this follows from the theory of differential equations, we do not give its proof here.

LEMMA 2.8. *Suppose that a continuous map ϕ of E into an R -reducible manifold M satisfies the following conditions: 1) $\phi(E_0) = x_0$, where x_0 is a fixed point. 2) When t_1, \dots, t_{p-1} are regarded as constants, $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_p)$ ($0 \leq t_p \leq 1$) is a broken-path which we denote by $\phi_{t_1 \dots t_{p-1}}(t_p)$. 3) Vertices of $\phi_{t_1 \dots t_{p-1}}(t_p)$ consist only of points corresponding to $t_p = 0, 1/m, \dots, (m-1)/m, 1$.*

Then there exists a continuous map $\psi: E \rightarrow S(x_0)$ for which the following properties are fulfilled:

a) $\psi(E_0) = \phi(E_0) = x_0$. b) Two points, $\psi(t_1, \dots, t_p)$ and $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_p)$ for the same value (t_1, \dots, t_p) lie always on the same integral manifold R . c) For (t_1, \dots, t_p) such that $\phi_{t_1 \dots t_{p-1}}(t_p)$ ($0 \leq t_p \leq 1$) is contained in $S(x_0)$, $\psi(t_1, \dots, t_p) = \phi(t_1, \dots, t_p)$.

PROOF. Let $\phi'_{t_1 \dots t_{p-1}}(t_p)$ be the development of a broken-path $\phi_{t_1 \dots t_{p-1}}(t_p)$ into $T_M(x_0)$. Now consider the map

$$\phi': E \rightarrow T_M(x_0) \quad ((t_1, \dots, t_p) \rightarrow \phi'_{t_1 \dots t_{p-1}}(t_p)),$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'(t_1, \dots, t_p) &= (mt_p - \lambda)\phi'(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, (\lambda + 1)/m) \\ &\quad + (\lambda + 1 - mt_p)\phi'(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, \lambda/m)\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

for $\lambda/m \leq t_p \leq (\lambda + 1)/m$ ($\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$). From the continuity of ϕ we have

$$\phi_{t_1 + \Delta t_1, \dots, t_{p-1} + \Delta t_{p-1}}(t_p) \rightarrow \phi_{t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}}(t_p) \quad (\Delta t_\nu \rightarrow 0; \nu = 1, \dots, p-1).$$

Hence, $\phi'_{t_1 + \Delta t_1, \dots, t_{p-1} + \Delta t_{p-1}}(\lambda/m) \rightarrow \phi'_{t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}}(\lambda/m)$ ($\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, m$),

i. e., $\phi'(t_1 + \Delta t_1, \dots, t_{p-1} + \Delta t_{p-1}, \lambda/m) \rightarrow \phi'(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, \lambda/m)$.

Consequently $\phi'(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, \lambda/m)$ is continuous. From this and (4), $\phi'(t_1, \dots, t_p)$ is also continuous.

Next, let $\psi'_{t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}}(t_p)$ be the natural projection of a broken-line $\phi'_{t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}}(t_p)$ into $T_S(x_0)$. Then it follows directly that the map

$$\psi': E \rightarrow T_S(x_0) \quad ((t_1, \dots, t_p) \rightarrow \psi'_{t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}}(t_p))$$

is continuous. Again let $\psi_{t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}}(t_p)$ be the development of a broken-line $\psi'_{t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}}(t_p)$ into $S(x_0)$. Consider the map

$$\psi: E \rightarrow S(x_0) \quad ((t_1, \dots, t_p) \rightarrow \psi_{t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}}(t_p)).$$

By the similar manner, it is possible to deduce that the map ψ is continuous. It follows directly that ψ satisfies a) and c), and b) holds good by virtue of Lemma 2.6.

THEOREM 1. *Let f be a continuous map of the boundary ∂E of E into a maximal integral manifold, say S , of an R -reducible manifold M . If f is homotopic in M to a constant map, then it is homotopic in S to a constant map.*

PROOF. We shall suppose $f(E_0) = x_0$ and $f(E_1) = x_1$, where $x_0, x_1 \in S$. This assumption does not lose its generality of our theorem. Since M has a metric independent of the connection, we denote the distance between x and y by $d(x, y)$. From the given conditions, we may extend the map f to a continuous map $E \rightarrow M$ and denote such a map again by f . Put $D \equiv f(E)$, then D is a compact subset of M . Next, in a nbh $W(x)$ at a point x there exists always the greatest positive number (or infinity) δ such that $W(x) \supset \{y: d(x, y) < \delta\}$. δ is called the *radius* of $W(x)$.

Choose at every point x of D a W -nbh of x such that the greatest lower bound of these radii is a positive number. This is possible because D is compact. We denote the W -nbh by $W(x)$ and the greatest lower bound by δ_0 . Once more, choose at every point x of D a W -nbh of x , contained in a nbh $\{y: d(x, y) < \delta_0/2\}$, such that the greatest lower bound of these radii takes a positive number. This is also possible and we denote the W -nbh by $w(x)$ and the greatest lower bound by δ_1 . Next, at a point t of E , when there exists the greatest p -cube with the center t , whose $(p-1)$ -faces are respectively parallel to those of E and its interior is wholly contained in $f^{-1}(w(f(t)) \cap D) \cup (E^p - E)$, we denote the length of the side by $\rho(t)$. If the p -cube does not exist, put $\rho(t) \equiv 2$. Then it follows easily that the greatest lower bound ρ_0 of $\rho(t)$ for all $t \in E$ is a positive number.

Moreover, take a positive integer m such that $1/m < \rho_0$ and divide E into m^p p -cubes, whose sides are of the same length $1/m$ and their faces

are respectively parallel to those of E . We call every one of the p -cubes a *small p -cube* and its $(p-1)$ -faces *small $(p-1)$ -faces*. We denote by $A_{q_1 \dots q_p}$ a small p -cube i. e., the set of points (t_1, \dots, t_p) satisfying $q_\nu/m \leq t_\nu \leq (q_\nu + 1)/m$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, p$; $q_\nu = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$), and by $o_{q_1 \dots q_p}$ its center. Put $x_{q_1 \dots q_p} \equiv f(o_{q_1 \dots q_p})$, then we have

$$f(A_{q_1 \dots q_p}) \subset w(x_{q_1 \dots q_p}).$$

In two small $(p-1)$ -faces $t_p = q_p/m$ and $t_p = (q_p + 1)/m$ of $A_{q_1 \dots q_p}$, take points $(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, q_p/m)$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, (q_p + 1)/m)$ respectively and consider in $w(x_{q_1 \dots q_p})$ only one path $l(t_1, \dots, t_p)$ with the parameter t_p ($q_p/m \leq t_p \leq (q_p + 1)/m$), joining a point $f(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, q_p/m)$ to a point $f(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, (q_p + 1)/m)$. Then from Lemma 2.7 we get a continuous map

$$\phi_{q_1 \dots q_p}: A_{q_1 \dots q_p} \rightarrow w(x_{q_1 \dots q_p}) \quad ((t_1, \dots, t_p) \rightarrow l(t_1, \dots, t_p)). \quad (5)$$

Choose another small p -cube A' whose t_p -coordinates satisfy $q_p/m \leq t_p \leq (q_p + 1)/m$ and suppose $N \equiv A' \cap A_{q_1 \dots q_p} \neq \emptyset$. We denote any point of N by $(t'_1, \dots, t'_{p-1}, t_p)$ and put $w' \equiv w(f(o'))$, where o' is the center A' . Let l and l' be two paths joining a point $f(t'_1, \dots, t'_{p-1}, q_p/m)$ to another point $f(t'_1, \dots, t'_{p-1}, (q_p + 1)/m)$ in $w(x_{q_1 \dots q_p})$ and w' respectively. Let y_0 be a point of $f(N)$ and y be an arbitrary point of $w(x_{q_1 \dots q_p})$, then

$$d(y, y_0) \leq d(y, x_{q_1 \dots q_p}) + d(x_{q_1 \dots q_p}, y_0) < \delta_0/2 + \delta_0/2 = \delta_0.$$

Hence,

$$w(x_{q_1 \dots q_p}) \subset W(y_0).$$

Similarly,

$$w' \subset W(y_0).$$

However, since $W(y_0)$ is a simple convex nbh, we have $l = l'$. Consequently if $\phi': A' \rightarrow w'$ is the continuous map analogous to (5) and t is any point of N , we get

$$\phi_{q_1 \dots q_p}(t) = \phi'(t).$$

From this and (5), we get a continuous map ϕ_{q_p} of the part $\{(t_1, \dots, t_p): q_p/m \leq t_p \leq (q_p + 1)/m\}$ of E into M , regarded as the union of maps $\phi_{q_1 \dots q_p}$ with $q_p = \text{const}$. Then, we have $\phi_{q_p}(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, q_p/m) = f(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, q_p/m)$ and $\phi_{q_p}(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, (q_p + 1)/m) = f(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, (q_p + 1)/m)$ for $0 \leq t_1, \dots, t_{p-1} \leq 1$.

Again if we make the map $\phi: E \rightarrow M$ as the union of maps ϕ_{q_p} ($q_p = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$), ϕ is evidently continuous and satisfies

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, \lambda/m) = \phi(t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, \lambda/m) \quad (\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, m). \quad (6)$$

In the next place, we take a small $(p-1)$ -face contained in ∂E , such that $q_p/m \leq t_p \leq (q_p + 1)/m$, for example $B_{q_2 \dots q_p} \equiv \{(0, t_2, \dots, t_p): q_2/m \leq t_2 \leq (q_2 + 1)/m, \dots, q_p/m \leq t_p \leq (q_p + 1)/m\}$. $B_{q_2 \dots q_p}$ is a small $(p-1)$ -face of $A_{0q_2 \dots q_p}$. Now we have $f(B_{q_2 \dots q_p}) \subset S$ from the assumption of f . On the other hand both $f(B_{q_2 \dots q_p})$ and $\phi(B_{q_2 \dots q_p}) \subset w(x_{0q_2 \dots q_p})$. Consequently $f(B_{q_2 \dots q_p})$ and $\phi(B_{q_2 \dots q_p})$ are contained in a simple convex intrinsic nbh V , i. e. a connected component of $w(x_{0q_2 \dots q_p}) \cap S$ in S , by virtue of Definition 2.3 and (6). Take any point $(0, t_2, \dots, t_p) \in B_{q_2 \dots q_p}$ and make in $w(x_{0q_2 \dots q_p})$ a path $l(0, t_2, \dots, t_p, \tau)$

($0 \leq \tau \leq 1$) such that $l(0, t_2, \dots, t_p, 0) = f(0, t_2, \dots, t_p)$ and $l(0, t_2, \dots, t_p, 1) = \phi(0, t_2, \dots, t_p)$. From Lemma 2.7 we get a continuous map

$$l_{q_2 \dots q_p}: B_{q_2 \dots q_p} \times I \rightarrow V \quad ((0, t_2, \dots, t_p, \tau) \rightarrow l(0, t_2, \dots, t_p, \tau)), \quad (7)$$

where $I = \{\tau: 0 \leq \tau \leq 1\}$. Again choose another small $(p-1)$ -face B , contained in ∂E , such that $q_p/m \leq t_p \leq (q_{p+1})/m$ and $B \cap B_{q_2 \dots q_p} \neq \emptyset$. Let A be the small p -cube containing B and o be the center of A . Put $w = w(f(o))$ and let $(0, t_2, \dots, t_p)$ be a point of $B_{q_2 \dots q_p} \cap B$. Let l and l' be paths joining $f(0, t_2, \dots, t_p)$ to $\phi(0, t_2, \dots, t_p)$ in $w(x_{0q_2 \dots q_p})$ and w respectively. Then we get $l = l'$, because $w(x_{0q_2 \dots q_p})$ and w are contained in a W -nbh. Here we note that, if $A = A_{0q_2 \dots q_p}$, we have $l = l'$ directly. Then, as the union of maps (7) of all small $(p-1)$ -faces is contained in the part $(\partial E)_{q_p}$ of ∂E such that $q_p/m \leq t_p \leq (q_p + 1)/m$, we have a continuous map

$$l_{q_p}: (\partial E)_{q_p} \times I \rightarrow S,$$

where l_{q_p} in $t_p = q_p/m$ and $t_p = (q_p + 1)/m$ is independent of τ from (6), $l_{q_p} = f$ in $\tau = 0$ and $l_{q_p} = \phi$ in $\tau = 1$. Consequently we have a continuous map

$$g: \partial E \times I \rightarrow S \quad (8)$$

by making the union of maps l_{q_p} ($q_p = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$). g satisfies $g(E_0 \times I) = x_0$, $g(E_1 \times I) = x_1$ and $g(t \times 0) = f(t)$, $g(t \times 1) = \phi(t)$ for $t \in \partial E$. From (8), $f|_{\partial E}$ is homotopic to $\phi|_{\partial E}$ in S , leaving x_0 and x_1 fixed.

Hence it is sufficient to show that $\phi|_{\partial E}$ is homotopic to a constant map in S . In fact the continuous map $\phi: E \rightarrow M$ satisfies wholly the conditions of Lemma 2.8. Moreover $\phi(E_1) = x_1 \in S$ and $\phi(\partial E) \subset S$. Hence we have the continuous map

$$\psi: E \rightarrow S. \quad (9)$$

For any point $t \in \partial E - E_1 + \partial E_1$, $\psi(t) = \phi(t)$, hence $\psi(\partial E_1) = x_1$. On the other hand, $\psi(E_1) \subset R(x_1)$, hence $\psi(E_1) \subset S \cap R(x_1)$. Consequently $\psi(E_1) = x_1$, from b) of §1. Since we have $\psi(t) = \phi(t)$ for $t \in \partial E$, it follows from (9) that $\phi|_{\partial E}$ is homotopic in S to a constant map.

COROLLARY. *The p -dimensional homotopy group of any maximal integral manifold of an R -reducible manifold M is isomorphic into the p -dimensional homotopy group of M under the homomorphism induced by the inclusion map.*

PROOF. We shall attempt the proof with respect to an integral manifold S . Consider the inclusion map $i: S \rightarrow M$ and we get the homomorphism $i_*: \pi_p(S) \rightarrow \pi_p(M)$ induced by i . Let N be the kernel of i_* . Since any element of N is mapped to the unit element of $\pi_p(M)$ under i_* , N is of the unit element of $\pi_p(S)$ from Theorem 1. Consequently our Corollary is proved.

3. Simply-connected R -reducible manifolds

S. Sasaki [4] proved that any two points of M cannot necessarily be joined by a path, but we have:

LEMMA 3.1. *Any two points x and y of M can be joined by a broken-path.*

PROOF. Consider a curve l joining x and y and cover l by a finite number of simple convex nbhs. Then we can make a broken-path joining x and y .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let x and y be any two points of an R -reducible manifold M . then $R(x) \cap S(y) \neq \emptyset$.*

This is evident from Lemmas 2.6 and 3.1.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $v(x)$ be a vector field over an integral manifold S of a C -reducible manifold M , where $x \in S$. If $v(x_1)$ and $v(x_2)$ at any two points x_1 and x_2 are parallel regardless of curves $\widehat{x_1 x_2}$ of class D^1 in S , $v(x)$ is called a *parallel vector field* over S .

LEMMA 3.3. *Let v_0 be a vector at x_0 , tangent to $R(x_0)$ of an R -reducible manifold M . When $S(x_0)$ is simply-connected, there exists a vector field $v(x)$ over $S(x_0)$ parallel to v_0 , where $x \in S(x_0)$.*

PROOF. Consider a closed curve l of class D^1 , with the endpoint x_0 in $S(x_0)$ and let v_1 be the vector at the terminal point x_0 , obtained by parallel displacement of v_0 along l . From the proof of Lemma 2.3, it follows that v_1 is tangent to $R(x_0)$. Suppose $v_1 \neq v_0$. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that $y_0 \neq y_1$, where $y_0 \equiv (x_0, v_0, c)$ and $y_1 \equiv (x_0, v_1, c)$. From Lemma 2.3, $y_0, y_1 \in R(x_0) \cap S(y_0)$. Contract l to x_0 and we get a curve $\widehat{y_1 y_0}$ as the locus of y_1 . Here $\widehat{y_1 y_0} \subset R(x_0) \cap S(y_0)$. This is contradictory to b) of §1. Hence $v_1 = v_0$. From this, Lemma 3.3 is easily shown.

LEMMA 3.4 *Under the same assumption and notations as Lemma 3.3. put $y \equiv (x, v(x), c)$ and $y_0 \equiv (x_0, v_0, c)$, where c is a constant. If $S(y_0)$ is simply-connected too, $S(x_0)$ is equivalent to $S(y_0)$ under the map*

$$f: S(x_0) \rightarrow S(y_0) \quad (x \rightarrow y).$$

The word "equivalent" in such a case means the equivalence as affinely connected manifolds.

PROOF. Let $u(y)$ be the vector at y , obtained by parallel displacement of $v(x)$ along a path $(x, v(x), t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq c$). For two distinct points x_1 and x_2 in $S(x_0)$, y_1 and y_2 are also distinct, where $y_1 \equiv (x_1, v(x_1), c)$ etc. In fact, if $y_1 = y_2$, we have the closed curve l in $S(y_0)$ as the image under f of a curve $\widehat{x_1 x_2}$ of class D^1 in $S(x_0)$. From Lemma 2.3 $u(y_1)$ and $u(y_2)$ are parallel along l . However since $S(y_0)$ is simply-connected, $u(y_1) = u(y_2)$ by virtue of Lemma 3.3. Hence we get $x_1 = x_2$, because x_1 and x_2 are represented as $(y_1, u(y_1), -c)$. This is contradictory to the fact that x_1 and x_2 are distinct points. Consequently, when we put $S' \equiv f(S(x_0))$, then $S(x_0)$ and S' correspond one-to-one under f to each other, where $S' \subset S(y_0)$. Moreover $S(x_0)$ and S' are equivalent under f . In fact if we cover a path $\widehat{xy} = (x, v(x), t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq c$) by a finite number of C -nbhs, we get in $S(x_0)$ and S' two intrinsic nbhs of x and y respectively, equivalent under f . From this fact the equivalence of $S(x_0)$ and S' is easily shown.

Hence it is sufficient to show $S(y_0) = S'$. Take a point $y_1 \in S(y_0)$ and make a curve $\widehat{y_0 y_1}$ of class D^1 in $S(y_0)$. We get a vector $u(y_1)$ at y_1 , by parallel displacement of $u(y_0)$ along $\widehat{y_0 y_1}$. Put $x_1 \equiv (y_1, u(y_1), -c)$. From Lemma 2.3,

$x_1 \in S(x_0)$ and $(x_1, v(x_1), c) = y_1$. Hence $S(y_0) = S'$.

DEFINITION 3.2. In an R -reducible manifold M , let l_1 be a broken-path $\widehat{x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{h-1} x_h}$ in $R(x_0)$ with the vertices x_0, x_1, \dots, x_h and let l be a curve $\widehat{x_0 y_0}$ of class D^1 in $S(x_0)$. First displace $\widehat{x_0 x_1}$ parallelly along l , and we get a path $\widehat{y_0 y_1}$ at y_0 and a curve $\widehat{x_1 y_1}$ as the locus of x_1 . Again displace $\widehat{x_1 x_2}$ parallelly along $\widehat{x_1 y_1}$, and we get a path $\widehat{y_1 y_2}$ at y_1 and a curve $\widehat{x_2 y_2}$ as the locus of x_2 . Continuing this process successively, we get a broken-path $\widehat{y_0 y_1 y_2 \dots y_{h-1} y_h}$ and a curve $\widehat{x_h y_h}$. The broken-path $\widehat{y_0 y_1 y_2 \dots y_{h-1} y_h}$ is called to be *parallel* to l_1 along l .

It follows that the broken-path $\widehat{y_0 y_1 y_2 \dots y_{h-1} y_h}$ coincides with the development of the broken-line at y_0 parallel to the development of the given broken-path l_1 and $\widehat{x_\nu y_\nu} \subset S(x_\nu)$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, h$) from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5. Moreover when l is a broken-path, the curve $\widehat{x_h y_h}$ coincides with the broken-path obtained by parallel displacement of l along l_1 .

LEMMA 3.5. *When all S of an R -reducible manifold M are simply connected and a broken-path $\widehat{x_0 y_0}$ of M is given in $R(x_0)$, we have: a) There exists over $S(x_0)$ a broken-path field parallel to $\widehat{x_0 y_0}$. b) If y is the terminal point of its broken-path at any point x of $S(x_0)$, $S(x_0)$ and $S(y_0)$ are equivalent under the map*

$$f: S(x_0) \rightarrow S(y_0) \quad (x \rightarrow y).$$

This is obvious from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.

DEFINITION 3.3. We call such a map f as is defined in Lemma 3.5 an *equivalent map with respect to a broken-path $\widehat{x_0 y_0}$* .

LEMMA 3.6. *Suppose that all R and S of an R -reducible manifold M are simply-connected and g_0 and h_0 are any two broken-paths in $R(x_0)$ joining x_0 to y_0 . Then the equivalent map with respect to g_0 coincides with the one with respect to h_0 .*

PROOF. Let $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ be two broken-path fields over $S(x_0)$ parallel to g_0 and h_0 respectively, where $x \in S(x_0)$. It is sufficient to show that the terminal point y_1 of an element $g(x_1)$ coincides with the terminal point y_2 of an element $h(x_1)$. If we consider a broken-path $\widehat{x_0 x_1}$, y_1 is also regarded as the terminal point of the broken-path at y_0 , parallel to $\widehat{x_0 x_1}$ along g_0 and so is y_2 , along h_0 . Since any R is simply-connected, y_1 coincides with y_2 from Lemma 3.5.

THEOREM 2. *When all R and S of an R -reducible manifold M are simply-connected, the affine product $\widetilde{M} \equiv R(o) \times S(o)$, $o \in M$, is equivalent to the covering space of M .*

PROOF. We put $R_0 \equiv R(o)$ and $S_0 \equiv S(o)$. A point \widetilde{x} of \widetilde{M} is always represented by (y, z) , where $y \in R_0$ and $z \in S_0$. Let \widehat{oy} be a broken path in R_0 joining o to y and \widehat{oz} a broken-path in S_0 joining o to z . Let x be the terminal point

of the broken-path at z , obtained by parallel displacement of \widehat{oy} along \widehat{oz} in M , and $x \in S(y) \cap R(z)$. From Lemma 3.6 we see that the point x does not depend on the broken-paths \widehat{oy} and \widehat{oz} , but does depend upon the points y and z . Now consider a map

$$f: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M \quad (\widetilde{x} \rightarrow x).$$

A) Let x be a point of M . We can take a point y of $R_0 \cap S(x)$, for $R_0 \cap S(x) \neq \emptyset$ by virtue of Lemma 3.2. Let z be the terminal point of the broken-path at x , obtained by parallel displacement of \widehat{oy}^{-1} along \widehat{yx} , where \widehat{oy} and \widehat{yx} are arbitrary broken-paths in R_0 and $S(x)$ respectively. Then $z \in S_0$. Now if we denote by \widetilde{x} a point (y, z) in \widetilde{M} , $f(\widetilde{x}) = x$. Consequently $f(\widetilde{M}) = M$.

B) Let y_λ , $\lambda \in J$, be all points of $R_0 \cap S(x)$ for $x \in M$, where J is the index-set. Let z_λ be a point determined from y_λ in the same manner as A), then $z_\lambda \in R(x) \cap S_0$. Make two broken-paths \widehat{oy}_λ and \widehat{oz}_λ in R_0 and S_0 respectively. On the other hand, consider a W -nbh $W(x)$. By virtue of Definition 2.3, $W(x)$ is necessarily represented by the affine product $U(x) \times V(x)$, where $U(x) \subset R(x)$ and $V(x) \subset S(x)$. Let $U(y_\lambda)$ be the image of $U(x)$, obtained by the equivalent map with respect to $\widehat{oz}_\lambda^{-1}$. Let $V(z_\lambda)$ be the analogous image of $V(x)$ with respect to $\widehat{oy}_\lambda^{-1}$. Denote by \widetilde{x}_λ a point (y_λ, z_λ) in \widetilde{M} , then the product $\widetilde{W}_\lambda \equiv U(y_\lambda) \times V(z_\lambda)$ is regarded as a nbh of \widetilde{x}_λ and is equivalent to W under f .

C) We have $f^{-1}(x) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in J} \widetilde{x}_\lambda$ from B). Now we shall verify

$$\widetilde{W}_\lambda \cap \widetilde{W}_\mu = 0, \quad \lambda, \mu \in J \quad (\lambda \neq \mu).$$

In fact, suppose that $\widetilde{W}_\lambda \cap \widetilde{W}_\mu \neq 0$, then there is a point $\widetilde{u} \in \widetilde{W}_\lambda \cap \widetilde{W}_\mu$. Put $u \equiv f(\widetilde{u})$ and $u \in W(x)$. Let $\widetilde{u} \widetilde{x}_\lambda$ be one and only one path in \widetilde{W}_λ , and $\widetilde{u} \widetilde{x}_\mu$ in \widetilde{W}_μ . Since $f(\widetilde{u} \widetilde{x}_\lambda)$ and $f(\widetilde{u} \widetilde{x}_\mu)$ are contained in $W(x)$, these are the same path \widehat{ux} . Hence the directions at \widetilde{u} of two paths $\widetilde{u} \widetilde{x}_\lambda$ and $\widetilde{u} \widetilde{x}_\mu$ can not coincide, because $\widetilde{x}_\lambda \neq \widetilde{x}_\mu$. Consequently there exist two distinct points \widetilde{u}_1 and \widetilde{u}_2 in \widetilde{W}_λ such that $\widetilde{u}_1 \in \widetilde{u} \widetilde{x}_\lambda$, $\widetilde{u}_2 \in \widetilde{u} \widetilde{x}_\mu$ and $f(\widetilde{u}_1) = f(\widetilde{u}_2) \in W(x)$. This contradicts to the equivalence of \widetilde{W}_λ and $W(x)$ under f . Hence $\widetilde{W}_\lambda \cap \widetilde{W}_\mu = 0$.

Summing up the above results, we see that the map $f: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a covering.

COROLLARY. *When an R -reducible manifold M is simply-connected, M is equivalent to the affine product $R(o) \times S(o)$, where $o \in M$.*

It follows directly from Corollary of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. This Corollary is an extension of de Rham's theorem referred in the introduction.

4. R -reducible manifolds whose fundamental groups are cyclic of order two

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $p(x)$ be the number of points contained in $R(x) \cap S(x)$

for a point x of a C -reducible manifold M . $p(x)$ is called the *multiplicity* at x of M . Especially if $p(x)$ is constant over M , the number p is called the *multiplicity* of M . It may be finite or infinite.

LEMMA 4.1. *When all R and S of an R -reducible manifold M are simply-connected, $p(x)$ is constant over M , where $x \in M$.*

PROOF. Consider the affine product $\tilde{M} \equiv R(o) \times S(o)$, where $o \in M$. From Theorem 2, \tilde{M} is equivalent to the covering space of M . Denote its covering by f , and the number p of points contained in $f^{-1}(x)$ is independent of x . From the proof of Theorem 2, p is also the number of points contained in $R(o) \times S(o)$. From this it is easily proved that $p(x)$ is constant over M .

LEMMA 4.2. *Let \tilde{M} be the covering space of an R -reducible manifold M . When f is its covering and \tilde{o} is any point of \tilde{M} , the following properties are fulfilled:*

a) \tilde{M} is an R -reducible manifold and equivalent to the affine product $\tilde{R}_0 \times \tilde{S}_0$, where \tilde{R}_0 and \tilde{S}_0 are the r - and s -dimensional maximal integral manifolds through \tilde{o} respectively. b) Any maximal integral manifold, say \tilde{R}_0 , is the covering space of $R(o)$ and f is its covering, where $o \equiv f(\tilde{o})$.

PROOF. It follows that \tilde{M} is separable (since $\pi_1(M)$ is at most countable) and metric. Thus a) is easily shown. Hence, it is sufficient to show $f(\tilde{R}_0) = R_0$, because \tilde{R}_0 is simply-connected. For a point $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{R}_0$, consider a curve $\tilde{o}\tilde{y}$ in \tilde{R}_0 , then $f(\tilde{o}\tilde{y}) \subset R(o)$. Hence $f(\tilde{R}_0) \subset R(o)$. Next, for a point $y \in R(o)$, consider a curve oy in $R(o)$. We get a curve $\tilde{o}\tilde{y}$ in \tilde{R}_0 , such that $f(\tilde{o}\tilde{y}) = oy$. Hence $f(\tilde{R}_0) \supset R_0$. Consequently $f(\tilde{R}_0) = R(o)$.

LEMMA 4.3. *When an R -reducible manifold M has multiplicity one, M is equivalent to the affine product $R(o) \times S(o)$, where $o \in M$.*

This is easily proved.

In the following we shall adopt the following convention: For any manifold X , $\pi_1(X) = 1$ means that X is simply-connected, and $\pi_1(X) = 2$ means that the fundamental group of X is cyclic of order two.

THEOREM 3. *When $\pi_1(M) = 2$ for an R -reducible manifold M , M has either one of the following structures:*

a) $\pi_1(R) = 1$, $\pi_1(S) = 1$ for any R, S of M and M has multiplicity two.
b) $\pi_1(R) = 1$, $\pi_1(S) = 2$ for any R, S of M and M is equivalent to the affine product $R(o) \times S(o)$, where $o \in M$.

c) $\pi_1(R) = 1$ for any R of M , and all S of M are divided into two non-vacuous classes, one satisfying $\pi_1(S) = 1$ and the other satisfying $\pi_1(S) = 2$. The multiplicity at a point of S is two or one according to $\pi_1(S) = 1$ or 2.

Similarly the structures obtained by exchanging R and S do also exist.

Conversely there exist R -reducible manifolds M with any one of the structures mentioned above and $\pi_1(M) = 2$.

PROOF. From Corollary of Theorem 1, $\pi_1(R)$ and $\pi_1(S)$ for any R and S

are of order one or two, because $\pi_1(M) = 2$. Consequently we have only the following cases :

- A) $\pi_1(R) = 1$ and $\pi_1(S) = 1$ for any R and S .
- B) $\pi_1(R) = 1$ for any R and $\pi_1(S) = 2$ for any S .
- C) $\pi_1(R) = 1$ for any R and there exist at least two S_1 and S_2 such that $\pi_1(S_1) = 1$ and $\pi_1(S_2) = 2$.

D) There exists at least a pair R_0 and S_0 such that $\pi_1(R_0) = 2$, and $\pi_1(S_0) = 2$. Here we do not enumerate cases obtained by exchanging R and S .

The case A). By virtue of Lemma 4.1, there exists the multiplicity p of M . Suppose $p \neq 2$. From Theorem 2, the affine product $\tilde{M} \equiv R(o) \times S(o)$ is the covering space of M and let f be its covering, where $o \in M$. For a point $x \in M$, $f^{-1}(x)$ does not consist of two points. Hence $\pi_1(M) \neq 2$, so we have arrived at a contradiction. Consequently p must be two.

We shall show the existence of the case A) by an example :

Let \tilde{R} and \tilde{S} be r - and s -dimensional spheres respectively. For a point $y \in \tilde{R}$ let $f(y)$ be its antipodal point, and similarly, for a point $z \in \tilde{S}$ let $f(z)$ be its antipodal point. Define the isometric map of the metric product $\tilde{R} \times \tilde{S}$ onto itself by

$$(y, z) \rightarrow (f(y), f(z)).$$

We denote this map by f again and put $\tilde{M} \equiv \tilde{R} \times \tilde{S}$. In \tilde{M} if we identify any point $x \in \tilde{M}$ with $f(x)$, we get a reducible Riemannian manifold M . It follows easily that M satisfies a) of Theorem 3.

The case B). Suppose that the multiplicity at a point o is not one, and $R(o) \cap S(o)$ contains at least a point x distinct from o . We shall use notations of Lemma 4.2 and consider \tilde{o} as a point of $f^{-1}(o)$. By virtue of $\pi_1(R) = 1$, $f: \tilde{R}_0 \rightarrow R(o)$ is an equivalent map. Hence there exists one and only one point $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{R}_0$ such that $f(\tilde{x}) = x$. For a curve $\tilde{x}\tilde{o}$ in $\tilde{S}(x)$ consider a curve of $f^{-1}(\tilde{x}\tilde{o})$ with the initial point \tilde{x} , then it follows that there exists a point $\tilde{o}_2 \in \tilde{S}(\tilde{x})$ such that $f(\tilde{o}_2) = o$, where $\tilde{S}(\tilde{x})$ is the s -dimensional maximal integral manifold through \tilde{x} of \tilde{M} .

On the other hand, since \tilde{S}_0 is the covering space of $S(o)$ and $\pi_1(S(o)) = 2$, there exists a point $\tilde{o}_1 \in \tilde{S}_0$ such that $f(\tilde{o}_1) = o$, distinct from \tilde{o} . Hence $f^{-1}(o)$ contains at least three point \tilde{o} , \tilde{o}_1 and \tilde{o}_2 . This is contradictory to the fact that \tilde{M} is the covering space of M . Consequently the multiplicity of M exists and it is one. From Lemma 4.3, M is equivalent to the affine product $R(o) \times S(o)$ and satisfies b) of Theorem 3.

The case C). It is shown by Lemma 4.2 that the multiplicity at a point of S is two or one according to $\pi_1(S) = 1$ or 2. By an example, we shall show the existence of the case C). Let \tilde{R} be the r -dimensional Euclidean space and o be a point of \tilde{R} . Let \tilde{S} be an s -dimensional sphere. Let $f(y)$ be

the symmetric point of $y \in \tilde{R}$ with respect to o and $f(z)$ the antipodal point of $z \in \tilde{S}$. Consider the metric product $\tilde{M} \equiv \tilde{R} \times \tilde{S}$ and denote again by f the isometric map of \tilde{M} onto itself, such that

$$(y, z) \rightarrow (f(y), f(z)).$$

In \tilde{M} if we identify any point $x \in \tilde{M}$ with $f(x)$, we get a reducible Riemannian manifold M . It follows easily that M satisfies c) of Theorem 3.

The case D). By Lemma 4.2, we can show that this case does not occur.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. ABE, Sur la réductibilité du groupe d'holonomie, I. Les espaces à connexion affine, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 20 (1944), 56-60.
- [2] C. CHEVALLEY, Theory of Lie groups I. Princeton Univ. Press, (1946).
- [3] G. DE RHAM, Sur la réductibilité d'un espace de Riemann, Comment. Math. Helv., 26(1952), 328-344.
- [4] S. SASAKI, A boundary value problem of some special ordinary differential equations of the second order, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 1(1949), 79-90.
- [5] N. STEENROD, The topology of fibre bundles. Princeton Univ. Press, (1951).
- [6] A. G. WALKER, The fibring of Riemannian manifolds, Proc. London Math. Soc., third series, 3(1953), 1-19.
- [7] J. H. C. WHITEHEAD, Convex regions in the geometry of paths, Quart. J. Math., 3 (1932), 33-42.

YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY