GENERATORS OF CERTAIN VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

TEISHIRÔ SAITÔ*)

(Received September 26, 1967)

- 1. Recently, a few results on generation of von Neumann algebras were obtained by some authors. C. Davis [1] proved that a factor of type I on a separable Hilbert space is generated by three projections and is also generated by two unitary operators. It is known [4] that every von Neumann algebra of type I on a separable Hilbert space possesses a single generator, and some examples of each type II₁, II_∞ and III having a single generator are given [5], [6]. The purpose of this paper is to prove some results on generation of certain von Neumann algebras, parallel to the theorems in [1]. A part of this note has been published in Japanese [Sûgaku, 19(1967), 172-173].
- 2. In this paper, an operator means a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space. A von Neumann algebra M is said to be generated by a family $\{A, B, \dots\}$ of operators, if M is the smallest von Neumann algebra containing each member of $\{A, B, \dots\}$, and it is denoted by $R(A, B, \dots)$. This terminology is used for a family of von Neumann algebras. For a von Neumann algebra M on a Hilbert space H, M_2 means the algebra consisting of all 2×2 matrices over M acting on $H \oplus H$.

The following theorem parallels [1: Theorem 1].

THEOREM 1. If a von Neumann algebra \mathbf{M} on a Hilbert space \mathbf{H} has a single generator A, then there exist three projections E_1 , E_2 and E_3 on $\mathbf{H} \oplus \mathbf{H}$ such that $R(E_1, E_2, E_3) = \mathbf{M}_2$.

PROOF. We can assume that A is invertible and ||A|| < 1. Then, let S and T be the positive square roots of I-A*A and A*A respectively. Using A, S and Y we define

^{*)} This research was partially supported by Sakkokai Fund.

102 T. SAITÔ

$$E_1 = egin{pmatrix} I & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = egin{pmatrix} AA* & AS \ SA* & I-A*A \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 = egin{pmatrix} I-A*A & ST \ ST & A*A \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we observe that S, T and A*A are mutually commuting, we can easily prove that E_1 , E_2 and E_3 are projections. Direct computation shows that

$$E_2 + E_1 E_2 E_1 - E_1 E_2 - E_2 E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I - A * A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $R(E_1, E_2)$ contains all matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}$ with $X \in R(I - A*A)$.

In particular, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ are contained in $R(E_1, E_2)$. Now,

$$egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} E_2 \left\{ E_1 + egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix}
ight\} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \ A^* & I \end{pmatrix},$$

and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A* & I \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right\}^*$$

belongs to $R(E_1, E_2)$. Since we have

$$E_{1}-E_{1} E_{3} E_{1}=egin{pmatrix} A^{*}A & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 ,

 $R \ (E_1, E_3) \ \text{contains all matrices of the form} \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ \text{with} \ X \in R(A*A). \ \text{Thus,}$ in particular, $\begin{pmatrix} T^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ \text{is in} \ R(E_1, E_3). \ \text{Hence} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} E_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^* \text{ are contained in} \ R(E_1, E_2, E_3). \ \text{As} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is in} \ R(E_1, E_2),$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is contained in $R(E_1, E_2, E_3)$. Hence $R(E_1, E_2, E_3)$ contains every matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } X \in R(A) = \textbf{\textit{M}}. \text{ Therefore it follows that } R(E_1, E_2, E_3) \text{ contains}$$
 every matrix
$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } A_{ij} \in \textbf{\textit{M}} \ (i,j=1,2), \text{ because}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

REMARK 1. The number three in Theorem 1 cannot be reduced in general. In fact, the ring of all operators on a separable Hilbert space has a single generator and in this case the number three cannot be reduced [1: Theorem 1].

According to [2], a factor M is said to be hyperfinite if (i) M is of type I_n $(n < +\infty)$, or (ii) M is of finite type and is generated by an increasing sequence of factors of type $I_1, I_2, I_4, \cdots I_{2^n}, \cdots$. It is well known that two hyperfinite continuous factors are isomorphic. In [6], N. Suzuki and the author proved that a hyperfinite factor on a separable Hilbert space is generated by a single operator. Thus we have

COROLLARY 1. There exist three projections which generate a hyperfinite factor on a separable Hilbert space.

PROOF. In the case of type I_n $(n < + \infty)$, the assertion is clear by [1]. Suppose that M is a hyperfinite continuous factor on a separable Hilbert space. Then M_2 is also a hyperfinite continuous factor, and so M_2 is isomorphic to M. Since M has a single generator as noted above, M_2 is generated by three projections and thus M is generated by three projections.

The following theorem is parallel to [1: Theorem 2].

THEOREM 2. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1, there exist two unitary operators which genetate M_2 . They may be chosen so one of them is a symmetry.

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can assume that A is invertible and is a strict contraction. Let

104 T. SAITÔ

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} A & Z \\ -S & A^* \end{pmatrix}$$

where S and Z are the positive sequare roots of I-A*A and I-AA* respectively. Then E is a projection and U is a unitary operator (cf. [3]). Since I-2E is a symmetry, it suffices to show that $R(E,U)=M_2$. From the last equality of the proof of Theorem 1, it is also sufficient to prove that the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are contained in $R(E,U)$. Since $EUE = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

belongs to R(E, U). Thus all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $X \in R(A)$ are contained

in R(E,U). In particular, $\begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to R(E,U). Now we have

$$UEU^* = \begin{pmatrix} AA^* & -AS \\ -SA^* & I - A^*A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} UEU^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I - A^*A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = U*U-E$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I-A*A \end{pmatrix}$ is contained in R(E,U), and so $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to R(E,U), for each $X \in R(I-A*A)$. Of course, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ is contained in R(E,U). Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} UEU * \left\{ E + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -A * & I \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to R(E, U), and hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -A^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right\}^*$$

is contained in R(E, U). Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is in R(E, U) and the proof is completed.

Corresponding to Corollary 1, we have

COROLLARY 2. There exist two unitary operators which generate a hyperfinite factor on a separable Hilbert space. They may be chosen so one of them is a symmetry.

REMARK 2. As proved in [5] and [6], there exists an operator which generates a von Neumann algebra of type II_{∞} (resp. III). Thus we obtain an example of von Neumann algebra of type II_{∞} (resp. III) which is generated by three projections and is also generated by two unitary operators.

ADDED IN PROOF. Recently, C. Pearcy and D. Topping obtained in the paper [Sums of small numbers of certain operators, Michigan Math. Journ., 14 (1967), 453-465] results similar to Theorem 1 above.

REFERENCES

- C. DAVIS, Generators of the ring of bounded operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 6(1955), 970-972.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, Les algèbres d'operateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.
- [3] P.R. HALMOS, Normal dilations and extensions of operators, Summa Brasil, 2(1950), 125-
- [4] C. PEARCY, W*-algebras with a single generator, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 13(1962), 831-832
- [5] C. PEARCY, On certain von Neumann algebras which are generated by partial isometries, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 15 (1964), 393-395.
- [6] N. SUZUKI AND T. SAITÔ, On the operators which generate continuous von Neumann algebras, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 15 (1963), 277-280.

THE COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY SENDAI, JAPAN