Tôhoku Math. Journ. 20(1968) 175-198.

# PROPERTIES OF KERNELS FOR A CLASS OF CONVOLUTION-TRANSFORMS

# Z.DITZIAN AND A.JAKIMOVSKI

(Received November 5, 1967; revised January 5, 1968)

1. Introduction. In this paper the kernels for the class of convolution transforms that was introduced by I.I.Hirschman and D.V.Widder (see[2, p 696]) and was treated in many papers by Y.Tanno (see [4], [5] and [6]) will be investigated.

A meromorphic function F(s) will be of class F if:

(1. 1) 
$$F(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ (1 - s/a_k) \exp(s/a_k) / (1 - s/c_k) \exp(s/c_k) \right]$$

where Re  $a_k = a_k$ , Re  $c_k = c_k$ ,  $0 \le a_k/c_k < 1$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^{-2} < \infty$  and  $c_k$  may be equal to  $\pm \infty$  in which case  $(1 - s/c_k) \exp(s/c_k) \equiv 1$ .

The kernels of our transforms will be functions H(t) satisfying for some  $F(s) \in F$ 

(1. 2) 
$$F(iy)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iyt} dH(t).$$

Asymptotic properties of H(t) and its derivatives will be found on basis of zeros and poles, of F(s) which are analogous to those achieved by I.I.Hirschman and D.V.Widder for the case where all  $c_k = \pm \infty$ . Also conditions for H(t) to have derivatives are set and in Section 6, the strict positive character of H(t) on at least half the real axis is established.

In the literature one can find treatments of  $F_1(s) = e^{bs}F(s)$  where  $F(s) \in F$  instead of F(s), this will represent only a shift of b in H(t) and we shall avoid it.

2. H(t) as a distribution function. In this section we shall relate to F(s) a function H(t) satisfying: H(t) is non-decreasing,  $H(-\infty) \equiv \lim_{t \to -\infty} H(t) = 0$  and  $H(\infty) \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} H(t) = 1$ .

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose we have a function  $F_n(s)$  such that

$$F_n(s) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - s/a_k) e^{s/a_k} / \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - s/c_k) e^{s/c_k}$$

 $0 \leq a_k/c_k < 1$ , Re  $a_k = a_k$  and Re  $c_k = c_k$ . Then there exist  $H_n(t)$  satisfying;

(1)  $H_n(t)$  is a non-decreasing normalized function,  $H_n(-\infty)=0$  and  $H_n(\infty)=1$ ,

(2) 
$$H_n(t)$$
 is continuous except at  $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1})$  where it has a jump of  $\prod_{k=1}^n a_k c_k^{-1}$ ,

(3) 
$$F_n(s)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} dH_n(t)$$

converges for  $\alpha_1 < \text{Re } s < \alpha_2$ 

and

(4) 
$$H_n(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{a_{-iT}}^{a_{+iT}} \frac{e^{st}}{sF_n(s)} ds$$
for  $0 < d < \alpha_2$ ,

where

(2. 1) 
$$\alpha_1 = \max_{a_k < 0} \{a_k, -\infty\} \text{ and } \alpha_2 = \min_{a_k > 0} \{a_k, \infty\}.$$

To prove this theorem we shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $_{s}F(s)$  be defined by

(2. 2) 
$${}_{i}F(s) = \left(1 - \frac{s}{a_{i}}\right) e^{s/a_{i}} / \left(1 - \frac{s}{c_{i}}\right) e^{s/c_{i}}$$

for  $0 < a_i/c_i < 1$  and let  $h_i(t)$  be defined by

(2.3) 
$$h_{i}(t) = \begin{cases} \exp(-1 + a_{i}c_{i}^{-1} + a_{i}t)(c_{i} - a_{i})/c_{i} & t < a_{i}^{-1} - c_{i}^{-1} \\ (2c_{i} - a_{i})/2c_{i} & t = a_{i}^{-1} - c_{i}^{-1} \\ 1 & t > a_{i}^{-1} - c_{i}^{-1} \end{cases}$$

when  $a_i > 0$  and by

(2.4) 
$$h_{i}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < a_{i}^{-1} - c_{i}^{-1} \\ a_{i}/2c_{i} & t = a_{i}^{-1} - c_{i}^{-1} \\ 1 - \exp(-1 + a_{i}c_{i}^{-1} + a_{i}t)(c_{i} - a_{i})/c_{i} & t > a_{i}^{-1} - c_{i}^{-1} \end{cases}$$

when  $a_i < 0$ . Then:

(1) 
$${}_{i}F(s)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} dh_{i}(t)$$

converges for Re  $s < a_i$  in case  $a_i > 0$  and for Re  $s > a_i$  in case  $a_i < 0$ .

(2) 
$$h_i(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{d-iT}^{d+iT} \frac{e^{st}}{s_i F(s)} ds$$

for  $0 < d < |a_i|$ .

PROOF. Substitution of (2.3) and (2.4) yields (1) for a > 0 and a < 0 respectively. Equation (2) follows from Theorem 5.6 [7, p. 242].

REMARK. When  $c = \infty$  this reduces to the classical result (see [3, p. 24]).

We shall need also the following lemma, the proof of which we omit being simple and straightforward.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $h_i(t)$  be a distribution function continuous in  $-\infty < t < \infty$  except at  $t=a_i$  where it has a jump of  $\alpha_i$ , then the function H(t) defined by

$$H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_1(t-u) dh_2(u)$$

is a distribution function which has its only jump of  $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$  at  $a_1 + a_2$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.** We define  $H_n(t)$  by induction as follows

(2.5) 
$$H_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n-1}(t-u) dh_n(u)$$

By induction one sees easily that Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 yield (1) and (2) (we

have to recall that  $h_i(t)$  has by Lemma 2.2 its only jump of  $a_i/c_i$  at  $a_i^{-1}-c_i^{-1}$ ). Now Theorem 16a of [7, p. 257] yields (3) and therefore Theorem 5.6 of [7, p. 242] yields (4). Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 2.4.  $H_n(t)$  defined by Theorem 3.1 satisfies

(2. 6) 
$$H_n(t) - H_n(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{-ir}^{ir} \frac{e^{st} - 1}{sF_n(s)} ds.$$

THEOREM 2.5. Let F(s) be defined by (1.1), then there exists a function H(t) satisfying;

(1) H(t) is a normalized non-decreasing function,  $H(-\infty)=0$  and  $H(\infty)=1$ ,

(2) for  $-\infty < y < \infty$ 

178

$$F(iy)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iyt} dH(t),$$

(3) 
$$H(t) - H(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{-i\tau}^{i\tau} \frac{e^{st} - 1}{sF(s)} ds$$

**PROOF.** For every A > 0

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n(iy)^{-1} = F(iy)^{-1}$$

uniformly in  $-A \leq y \leq A$  where  $F_n(s)$  are defined in Theorem 2.1. Therefore by Theorem 2.1 here and Corollary 2.3 of [3, p. 41] there exists a function  $H_*(t)$  satisfying: (a)  $H_*(t)$  is non-decreasing, (b)  $H_*(-\infty)=0$  and  $H_*(\infty)=1,(c)$  $\lim_{n\to\infty} H_n(t)=H_*(t)$  in all points of continuity of  $H_*(t), (d) \frac{1}{F(iy)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iyt} dH_*(t)$ . Using Theorem 4.5 of [8, vol. 2, pp. 259-260] we obtain that H(t)derived from  $H_*(t)$  by normalization satisfies assumption (1), (2) and (3) of our theorem. Q.E.D.

For the following theorems let us recall the definition of  $N=N(\{a_k\},\{c_k\})$  introduced in [1]

 $N = \liminf_{x \to \infty} \left[ N(\{a_k\}, x) - N(\{c_k\}, x) \right] + \liminf_{x \to -\infty} \left[ N(\{a_k\}, x) - N(\{c_k\}, x) \right] \equiv N_+ + N_-,$ where  $N(\{a_k\}, x)$  is the number of  $a_k$ 's between zero and x.

We shall also need the following definition.

DEFINITION. The meromorphic function F(s) satisfying (1.1) will satisfy condition A(n) if there exists a function  $\chi(\tau) > 0$  such that  $\int_0^\infty \chi(\tau) \cdot \tau^{-1} d\tau < \infty$ and

(2.7) 
$$|F(\sigma+i\tau)|^{-1} = O(|\tau|^{-n}\chi(\tau)) \qquad |\tau| \to \infty$$

uniformly in  $-R < \sigma < R$  for any R.

THEOREM 2.6. If in addition to the assumption of Theorem 2.5 we have that F(s) satisfies A(0) (as a special case we have N > 0) then we have also:

(4) 
$$F(s)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} dH(t) \qquad \qquad \alpha_1 < \operatorname{Re} \ s < \alpha_2,$$

(5) 
$$H(t) = \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} \frac{e^{st} ds}{sF(s)} \quad \text{for all } d, \quad 0 < d < \alpha_2.$$

PROOF. Since  $\frac{e^{st}-1}{sF(s)}$  is regular in  $\alpha_1 < \text{Re } s < \alpha_2$  the residue theorem yields for  $0 < d < \alpha_2$ 

$$0 = \left\{-\int_{-iT}^{iT} + \int_{d-iT}^{d+iT} + \int_{-iT}^{d-iT} - \int_{iT}^{d+iT}\right\} \frac{e^{st}-1}{sF(s)} ds \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.$$

Using Theorem 2.1 of [1] or (2.7) with n=0 we have

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} I_3 = \lim_{T\to\infty} I_4 = 0.$$

The above calculation with condition A(0) imply

$$H(t)-H(0)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty}\frac{e^{st}ds}{sF(s)}-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty}\frac{ds}{sF(s)}.$$

Letting  $t \to -\infty$  we have  $H(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} \frac{ds}{sF(s)}$  and therefore conclusion (5) is valid. A similar method will yield for  $\alpha_1 < d_1 < 0$ 

(2.8) 
$$H(t) - 1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a_1 - i\infty}^{a_1 + i\infty} \frac{e^{st} ds}{sF(s)}$$

Combining (5), (2.8) and the condition A(0) we obtain for some positive K

(2.9) 
$$H(t) \leq Ke^{dt}$$
  $0 < d < \alpha_2$  and  $1 - H(t) \leq Ke^{d_1 t}$   $\alpha_1 < d_1 < 0$   
which implies (4). Q.E.D.

# Z. DITZIAN AND A. JAKIMOVSKI

REMARK. The condition A(0) is not fully required here, it is enough for (2.7) to hold uniformly in  $\alpha_1 < \text{Re } s < \alpha_2$ .

# 3. Continuity and differentiality of H(t).

THEOREM 3.1. If F(s) satisfies condition A(n),  $H(t) \in C^n(-\infty, \infty)$ .

**PROOF.** One can easily see that for  $k \leq n$ 

(3.1) 
$$H^{(k)}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} \frac{s^k ds}{sF(s)} \qquad 0 < d < \alpha_2$$

which immediately implies  $H(t) \in C^n(-\infty, \infty)$ . Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 3.2. If  $N_+ + N_- > n$  then  $H(t) \in C^n(-\infty, \infty)$  and if  $N_+ + N_- = \infty$  then  $H(t) \in C^{\infty}(-\infty, \infty)$ .

DEFINITION. H'(t) = G(t) if it exists.

THEOREM 3.3. If  $N_+ + N_- \ge n$  then there exists a function h(t) of bounded variation such that  $h(t) = H^{(n)}(t)$  at all but a denumerable subset of  $(-\infty, \infty)$  at most.

PROOF. Rearranging the sequences  $\{a_k\}$  and  $\{c_k\}$  by a method similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [9] we obtain for  $N_+ + N_- \ge n$ 

$$F(s) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - s/a_{k(i)}) \exp(s/a_{k(i)}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [(1 - s/a_{k,j})/(1 - s/c_{k,j}^{*})] \exp(-sa_{k,j}^{-1} + sc_{k,j}^{*-1})$$
  
$$\equiv F_{1}(s) \cdot F_{2}(s),$$

where  $0 \leq a_{k_l}/c_{k_l}^* < 1$ . Define  $H_l(t)$  for l=1,2 by

$$H_{i}(t) = H_{i}(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{-iT}^{iT} \frac{e^{st} - 1}{sF_{i}(s)} ds$$

 $H_2(t)$  is a distribution function by Theorem 2.5.  $H_1(t)$  is well known by results on  $G_1(t)$  in [3, Ch. II],  $G_1(t)$  defined by

$$H_1(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t G_1(t) dt$$

where

$$\frac{1}{F_1(s)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} G_1(t) dt \, .$$

By Theorems 6.3 and 8.2 of [3, p. 25 and p. 31]  $H_1(t) \in C^{n-1}$  and moreover  $H_1^{(n)}(t)$  is continuous except at

$$t=t_n\equiv\sum_{i=1}^n a_{k(i)}^{-1}$$

where it is not defined. In fact by using Theorem 8.2 of [3, p.31] and a simple calculation  $H_1^{(n)}(t)$  is of bounded variation with a single jump at  $t_n$ .

$$H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_1(t-u) dH_2(u).$$

The integral

$$h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_1^{(n)}(t-u) dH_2(u)$$

is defined everywhere except at  $\{t_n + P_{H_1}\}$  where  $P_{H_1}$  is the set of discontinuities of  $H_2(t)$  and by Theorem 12 of [7, p. 250] h(t) is of bounded variation in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Straightforward computation shows that at points different from  $\{t_n + P_{H_1}\}$   $h(t) = H^{(n)}(t)$  and  $H^{(n)}(t)$  exist there. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 3.4. If  $N_+ + N_- \ge n \ge 1$  then there exists a normalized function G(t), G(t)=H'(t) (at all but a denumerable set at most).

THEOREM 3.5. If for F(s)  $N_+ + N_- \ge 2$  then a density function G(t) exists satisfying:

(1) 
$$\frac{1}{F(s)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} G(t) dt \qquad \alpha_1 < \operatorname{Re} s < \alpha_2.$$

(2) 
$$G(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{e^{st}}{F(s)} ds$$

(3) 
$$G^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{s^n e^{st}}{F(s)} ds \qquad n \leq N_+ + N_- - 2.$$

**PROOF.** Theorem 3.1 yields  $G(t) \in C$  and since H'(t) = G(t),  $G(t) \ge 0$ .

#### Z. DITZIAN AND A. JAKIMOVSKI

Theorem 2.6 implies (1). Formulae (2) and (3) are immediate corollaries of (1) and Theorem 2.2 of [1]. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 3.6. Suppose: (1)  $F_r(s) \in F_{\cdot}(2)$  An integer p exists such that for all  $F_r(s)$   $N_+ + N_- \ge p+2 \ge 2$ . (3)  $H_r(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{e^{st}}{sF_r(s)} ds$  satisfies  $\lim_{r\to\infty} H_r(t) = H_0(t)$  (at points of continuity of  $H_0(t)$ ). (4) There exists a B > 0 independent of r such that

$$|F_r(iy)|^{-1} \leq (1 + By^{2N_*+2N_-})^{-1/2}$$
.  $n=0, 1, 2, \cdots$ 

Then

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \|G_r^{(l)}(t) - G_0^{(l)}(t)\|_{\infty} = 0 \qquad l = 0, 1, \dots, p$$

(where  $||f(x)||_{\infty} = \sup_{x} |f(x)|$ ).

PROOF. It would be enough to prove the theorem for l=p. By Theorem 2.2 of [3, p.14] and Theorem 2.1 of [1] we have

(3.2) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} F_r(iy)^{-1} = F_0(iy)$$

uniformly for  $|y| \leq A$  for any positive A. By (3) of Theorem 3.5 we may write

$$\|G_r^{(p)}(t)-G_0^{(p)}(t)\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\frac{y^p}{F_r(iy)}-\frac{y^p}{F_0(iy)}\right| dy.$$

One can easily conclude the proof splitting the integral into the following three parts

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\cdots = \left\{\int_{-\infty}^{-A} + \int_{-A}^{A} + \int_{A}^{\infty}\right\}\cdots.$$

Estimating the first and the third by (4) and the second by (3.2). Q.E.D.

REMARK. r may be both a continuous parameter or a sequence of integers.

REMARK. In Theorem 3.5 A(1) can replace the condition  $N_+ + N_- \ge 2$  and also the conditions of Theorem 3.6 can be reduced but we can use the condition related to the zeros and the poles more readily.

4. Asymptotic behaviour. Denote by  $\mu_1+1$  the number of  $a_k$  equal to  $\alpha_1$  and by  $\mu_2+1$  the number of  $a_k$  equal to  $\alpha_2$ .

THEOREM 4.1. If  $F(s) \in F$  has infinitely many zeros and  $N_+ + N_- \ge 2$ , then for all n satisfying  $0 \le n \le N_+ + N_- -2$  or if condition A(n+1) is satisfied, one has

A. 
$$\alpha_1 > -\infty$$
 implies  
 $G^{(n)}(t) = [e^{\alpha_1 t} p(t)]^{(n)} + O(e^{kt}) \quad t \to \infty$ 

for any k satisfying max  $\{a_r, -\infty | a_r < 0, a_r \neq \alpha_1\} < k < \alpha_1$  and p(t)is a real polynomial of degree  $\mu_1$ . B.  $\alpha_1 = -\infty$  implies

$$G^{(n)}(t) = O(e^{kt}) \qquad t \to \infty$$

for every negative k.

C.  $\alpha_2 < \infty$  implies

$$G^{(n)}(t) = [e^{\alpha_2 t} q(t)]^{(n)} + O(e^{kt}) \qquad t \to -\infty$$

for any k satisfying  $\alpha_2 < k < \min \{a_k, -\infty | a_k > 0, a_k \neq \alpha_2\}$  and q(t) is a real polynomial of degree  $\mu_2$ .

D.  $\alpha_2 = \infty$  implies

$$G^{(n)}(t) = O(e^{kt}) \qquad t \to -\infty$$

for every positive k.

The proof is standard following that of I.I. Hirschman and D.V.Widder (see [3, p. 108]) and was used on many occasions. The estimations of F(s) used are mainly those of [1].

REMARK. In case there are some (or infinitely many) different negative zeros of F(s) we can define  $A_k$  by  $A_1 = \alpha_1$ ,

$$A_k = \max \{a_r, -\infty \mid a_r < 0, a_r \neq A_p \ 1 \leq p < k\}$$

and if there are at least m finite  $A_k$ 's

$$G(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_i(t) e^{A_i t} + O(e^{A_i t}) \qquad t \to \infty$$

where A satisfies max  $\{a_r, -\infty \mid a_r < 0, a_r \neq A_p, 1 \leq p \leq m\} < A < A_m$ , and a

similar result is achieved in case F(s) has a number of different positive zeros.

5. Asymptotic estimates in case F(s) has only positive zeros. The restriction is really that either all  $a_k$  are positive or all are negative and in the second case treat G(-t) (which has positive  $a_k$ 's).

For  $F(s) \in F$  we shall define;

(5.1) 
$$\lambda(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r}{a_k(a_k+r)} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r}{c_k(c_k+r)},$$

(5.2) 
$$\sigma(r) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(a_k + r)^2} - \frac{1}{(c_k + r)^2}\right)\right]^{1/2}$$

and

(5.3) 
$$\Lambda(r) = e^{-r\lambda(r)} [\sigma(r)F(-r)]^{-1}.$$

These definitions are analogous to those of I.I. Hirschman and D.V.Widder see [3, p.111].

DEFINITION. Suppose  $F(s) \in F$ ,  $a_k > 0$  and  $N_+ = \infty$  then the corresponding G(t) will belong to class B if there exists a subsequence  $\{a_{k_i}\}$  of  $\{a_k\}$  satisfying:

(1) 
$$N_{*}(x) = N(\{a_k\}, x) - N(\{a_{k_i}\}, x) - N(\{c_{k_i}\}, x) \ge 0$$
 for  $x > 0$ ,  
(2)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (r + a_{k_i})^{-2} > \alpha \sigma(r)^2$ 

for all r and for some fixed  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ ,

(3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (r+a_{k_i})^{-3} = o(\sigma(r)^3) \qquad r \to \infty.$$

To show that not all those G(t) for which  $a_k > 0$  and  $N_+ = \infty$  are of class *B* we will show that there exists a function F(s) for which  $a_k > 0$ ,  $0 \leq a_k/c_k < 1$ ,  $N_+ = \infty$  but no subsequence of  $\{a_k\}$  satisfies both (1) and (2) (each assumption alone is easily satisfied).

EXAMPLE 5.1. Let F(s) be defined by a

$$a_k = 2^n$$
 for  $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} 2^r + (n-1) \le k < \sum_{r=0}^n 2^r + n$ 

and

$$c_k = 2^{n+1} - 2^{-2n}$$
 for  $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} 2^r \le k < \sum_{r=0}^n 2^r$ 

for  $n \ge 1$ .

It is easily seen that in order that (1) be satisfied and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (r+a_{k_i})^{-2}$  be the greatest possible  $k_i = \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} 2^r + i - 1$  and  $a_{k_i} = 2^i$ .

$$\begin{split} \sigma(r)^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{(r+2^n)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \left\{ \frac{1}{(r+2^{n+1})^2} - \frac{1}{(r+2^{n+1}-2^{-2n})^2} \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r+2^n)^2} + \frac{1}{(r+2)^2} \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r+2^n)^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n}}{(r+2^{n+1})(r+2^{n+1}-2^{-2n})} \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{(r+2^n)^2} \,. \end{split}$$

It is obvious that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (r+a_{k_i})^{-2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r+2^n)^{-2} = o\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{(r+2^n)^2}\right) = o(\sigma(r)^2) \qquad r \to \infty.$$

We also can see that (3) is satisfied. One can also mention that in this example  $\sum (a_k^{-1}-c_k^{-1})=\infty$ .

REMARK 5.2. If  $F(s) \in F$ ,  $a_k > 0$  and  $N_+ = \infty$  then the existence of  $\{a_{k_i}\}$  satisfying (1) of the definition of class B and

(4) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (r+a_{k_i})^{-2} > \beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r+a_k)^{-2}$$

for all r > 0 and for some  $\beta > 0$  implies conditions (1) and (2) of class B. Condition (4) obviously implies condition (2) since by (1)

$$\sigma(r)^2 < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r+a_k)^{-2}.$$

 $N_{+} = \infty$  implies  $r\sigma(r) \to \infty$  and since  $\sigma(r)^{2} > \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (r + a_{k_{i}})^{-2}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(r)^3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r+a_k)^{-3} \leq \frac{1}{r\sigma(r)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma(r)^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r+a_k)^{-2} \right\}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{r\sigma(r)} \beta = o(1) \qquad r \to \infty.$$

REMARK. It is not hard to see that if  $a_n > 0$ ,  $F(s) \in F$ ,  $a_n \leq Kn^{\gamma} \gamma > 1/2$ and  $K_1 n^{\gamma_1} \leq c_n$  for  $n \geq n_0$  where  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma$  and when  $\gamma_1 = \gamma$ ,  $K_1 > K$  then both  $N_+ = \infty$  and G(t) belongs to B. In fact all the above mentioned transforms satisfy (4) of Remark 5.2 not only (2) and (3) of the definition of B. The same is true if we mix two or more sequences of  $a_k$ 's and  $c_k$ 's respectively of the type mentioned above. It seems to us that the transforms that were dealt with as a special case of the class F of convolution transforms are of the above mentioned type (as a matter of fact  $\gamma = \gamma_1 = 1$ ).

THEOREM 5.3. If G(t) belongs to class B then for  $n \ge 0$ 

$$G^{(n)}[\lambda(r)] \sim (2\pi)^{-1/2} (-r)^n \Lambda(r) \qquad r \to \infty.$$

**PROOF.** Since  $N_{+} = \infty$  we obtain by substitution for all r > 0

(5.4) 
$$G^{(n)}(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{s^n e^{su}}{F(s)} ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{r-i\infty}^{r+i\infty} (s-r)^n e^{(s-r)u} [F(s-r)]^{-1} ds.$$

Using the Residue theorem on the rectangle  $\pm iR$ ,  $r \pm iR$ , and since  $F(s-r)^{-1}$  is regular in this rectangle (for all R) we have

$$G^{(n)}(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} (s-r)^n e^{-(s-r)u} [F(s-r)]^{-1} ds$$
  
=  $\frac{e^{ru}(-r)^n}{\sigma(r)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s}{r\sigma(r)}\right)^n e^{su/\sigma(r)} \left[F\left(\frac{s}{\sigma(r)} - r\right)\right]^{-1} ds$ .

Define now

(5.5) 
$$A_k(r) = \sigma(r)(a_k + r) \quad \text{and} \quad C_k(r) = \sigma(r)(c_k + r).$$

Therefore by a similar method to [3, p. 112]

$$F\left(\frac{s}{\sigma(r)}-r\right)=F(-r)\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-s/A_{k}(r)\right)\exp(s/a_{k}\cdot\sigma(r))/\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-s/C_{k}(r)\right)\exp(s/c_{k}\cdot\sigma(r))$$

$$= \exp(\lambda(r)s/\sigma(r))F(-r)\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-s/A_k(r))\exp(s/A_k(r)) / \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-s/C_k(r))\exp(s/C_k(r))$$
$$\equiv \exp(\lambda(r)s/\sigma(r))F(-r)F_r(s).$$

From which follows

(5.6) 
$$G^{(n)}(u) = \frac{e^{-ru}(-r)^n}{F(-r)\sigma(r)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s}{r\sigma(r)}\right)^n [F_r(s)]^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{s}{\sigma(r)} (u - \lambda(r))\right) ds.$$

Substituting  $u = \lambda(r)$ 

$$G^{(n)}(\lambda(r)) = \Lambda(r)(-r)^n I_r \equiv \Lambda(r)(-r)^n \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} [F_r(s)]^{-1} ds.$$

By (5.2) and (5.5) one can see that

(5.7) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k(r)^{-2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k(r)^{-2} = 1.$$

Choose the sequence  $a_{k_i}$  as in the definition of class B and define

$$\sigma_1(t)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{k_i} + r)^{-2}.$$

Obviously  $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^2 \sigma_1(r)^2 = \infty$  and since  $\sigma_1(r)^2 < \sigma(r)^2$  we have  $\lim_{r\to\infty} r^2 \sigma(r)^2 = \infty$ . Denote

(5.8) 
$$A(r) \equiv \sigma(r)(r+\alpha_2).$$

Recalling the inequality

$$\left|\log\left\{(1-s)\exp\left(s+\frac{1}{2}s^2\right)\right\}\right| \leq 2|s|^3$$
 for  $|s| < \frac{1}{2}$ 

(see [3, p. 113]) we obtain for  $|s| \leq \frac{1}{2}A(r)$ 

(5.9) 
$$|\log\{F_r(s)e^{s^{3/2}}\}| \leq 2|s|^3 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k(r)^{-3} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k(r)^{-3}\right)$$
$$\leq 4|s|^3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k(r)^{-3}.$$

Writing (3) of the definition of class B we have

(5.10) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k(r)^{-3} = o(1) \qquad r \to \infty.$$

This yields

(5.11) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} F_r(s) = e^{-s^2/2}$$

uniformly in every disc  $|s| \leq K \ (K < \infty)$ .

For every N > 0 and all real y

(5.12) 
$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(iy)^2\right)^{-2} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{N!}y^{2N}\right)^{-1}.$$

Denoting  $\sigma_1(r)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{k_i}+r)^{-2}$  we shall prove that since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\sigma_1(r)(a_{k_i}+r)]^{-2}$ =1 <  $\infty$  there exists for every integer N, a constant B(N), B(N) > 0 independent of r such that

(5.13) 
$$|F_{r}(iy)|^{-2} \leq [1+B(N)y^{2N}]^{-1} \\ |F_{r}(iy)|^{-2} \leq \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{iy}{\sigma(r)(a_{k_{i}}+r)} \right) \right|^{-2} \cdot \left| \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-iy/\sigma(r)(a_{k}^{*}+r))}{(1-iy/\sigma(r)(c_{k}^{*}+r))} \right|^{-2}$$

where  $\{a_k^*\}$  and  $\{c_k^*\}$  are subsequences of  $\{a_k\}$  and  $\{c_k\}$  such that  $a_k^* \leq a_{k+1}^*$ ,  $c_k^* \leq c_{k+1}^*$ ;  $\{a_k^*\}$  is the sequence  $\{a_k\}$  from which  $\{a_{k,k}\}$  were omitted and  $\{c_k^*\}$  is the rearranged sequence  $\{c_k\}$  from which at most infinite  $+\infty$  terms were omitted. Obviously  $0 \leq a_k^*/c_k^* < 1$  and  $0 \leq (a_k^*+r)/(c_k^*+r) < 1$  and by Theorem 2.1 of [1]

$$|F_{r}(iy)|^{-2} \leq \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{i\left(y \frac{\sigma_{1}(r)}{\sigma(r)}\right)}{\sigma_{1}(r)(a_{k_{i}}+r)} \right) \right|^{-2} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{\left(y \frac{\sigma_{1}(r)}{\sigma(r)}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{1}(r)^{2}(a_{k_{i}}+r)^{2}} \right)^{-1}.$$

Now we have by the argument used in [3, pp. 64-65, pp.111-113]

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{\tau^2}{[\sigma_1(r)(a_{k_i} + r)]^2} \right) \ge 1 + B_1(N)\tau^{2N}$$

(in fact  $B_1(N)$  is as near to  $\frac{1}{N!}$  from below as we wish). Choosing  $B(N) = B_1(N)\alpha^{2N}$  we complete the proof of (5.13).

Using Theorem 3.6 where  $G_0(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$  and  $F_0(s) = e^{s^2/2}$  we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty}\frac{s^m e^{st}}{F_r(s)}\,ds=\left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^m((2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{-u^s/2})_{u=0}.$$

We have

$$I_{r} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-r\sigma(r))^{-m} \binom{n}{m} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} s^{m} F_{r}(s)^{-1} e^{st} \, ds$$

but since  $r\sigma(r) \to \infty$ 

(5.14) 
$$I_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (1 + o(1)) \quad r \to \infty.$$

Combining (5.6) and (5.14) we complete the proof.

6. The positive character of G(t). It is known that G(t) as described in the former sections satisfies  $G(t) \ge 0$ . It is interesting to know if G(t) > 0(at least on a ray) which will generalize results by Hirschman and Widder and permit us to treat more asymptotic properties in the next section.

We define three classes of kernels:

 $F(s) \in F$  belongs to class I if there exist k and j such that  $a_k \cdot a_j < 0$ .  $F(s) \in F$  belongs to class II if  $a_k > 0$  for all k and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1}) = \infty .$$

 $F(s) \in F$  belongs to class III if  $a_k > 0$  for all k and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1}) < \infty$$
.

Either F(s) or F(-s) is in one of these classes. One can assume  $a_k \leq a_{k+1}$ 

Q.E.D.

and  $c_k \leq c_{k+1}$  for convergence or divergence of  $\sum (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1})$  since F(s) as a meromorphic function for which the order of zeros and poles is not important, one can also show that changes in order of  $a_k$ 's and  $c_k$ 's that preserve  $0 \leq a_k/c_k < 1$  preserve the sum.

THEOREM 6.1. If  $F(s) \in F$  and F(s) belong to class I then H(t) is strictly monotonic.

LEMMA 6.2. Let  $-\infty < \gamma_1 < \alpha_1 < 0$  and  $0 < \alpha_2 < \gamma_2 < \infty$  and

$$F_1(s) = \frac{(1-s/\alpha_1)(1-s/\alpha_2)}{(1-s/\gamma_1)(1-s/\gamma_2)}$$

then

190

(6.1) 
$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma_2 - \alpha_2}{\gamma_2} \frac{\alpha_1}{\gamma_1} \left( \frac{\gamma_1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + 1 \right) e^{\alpha_2 t} & t < 0 \\ \frac{\alpha_1}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} \left( \frac{\gamma_1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} (\gamma_2 - \alpha_2) + \frac{2\gamma_2 - \alpha_2}{2} \right) & t = 0 \\ 1 - \frac{\gamma_1 - \alpha_1}{\gamma_1} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \frac{\gamma_2 - \alpha_2}{\gamma_2} \right) e^{\alpha_1 t} & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$F_1(s)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} dh(t)$$

**PROOF.** Since

$$h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{s} h_1(t-u) dh_2(u)$$

where

$$h_1(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & u < 0 \\ \alpha_1/2\gamma_1 & u = 0 \\ 1 - \frac{\gamma_1 - \alpha_1}{\gamma_1} e^{\alpha_1 u} & u > 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_2(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma_2 - \alpha_2}{\gamma_2} e^{\alpha_2 u} & u > 0\\ 1 - \alpha_2/2\gamma_2 & u = 0\\ 0 & u > 0 \end{cases}$$

the proof is just straight forward calculation.

LEMMA 6.3. Let  $\alpha_1 < 0, \ 0 < \alpha_2 < \gamma_2 < \infty$  and

$$F_1(s) = \frac{(1 - s/\alpha_1)(1 - s/\alpha_2)}{(1 - s/\gamma_2)}$$

then

-

(6.2) 
$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma_2 - \alpha_2}{\gamma_2} \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} e^{\alpha_1 t} & t \leq 0\\ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_2 - \alpha_2}{\gamma_2} \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}\right) e^{\alpha_1 t} & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$F_1(s)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} dh(t).$$

PROOF. Simple calculation.

PROOF OF THEOREM 6.1. Define  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  as in (2.1) and  $\gamma_i$ , i=1,2 as follows

(6.3) 
$$\gamma_1 = \max\{c_k, -\infty | c_k < 0\}, \ \gamma_2 = \min\{c_k, \infty | c_k > 0\}.$$

If  $\gamma_1 = -\infty$  and  $\gamma_2 = \infty$  our theorem is the classical result of Hirschman and Widder. Define h(t) as in Lemma 6.2 in case  $\gamma_1 > -\infty$ ,  $\gamma_2 < \infty$  and in Lemma 6.3 when  $\gamma_1 = -\infty$ ,  $\gamma_2 < \infty$ . In these cases

$$H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_2(t-u)dh(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t-u)dH_2(u)$$

where  $H_2(u)$  satisfies

191

Q.E.D.

Q.E.D.

# Z. DITZIAN AND A. JAKIMOVSKI

$$F_2(iy)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iyt} dH_2(t)$$

and

$$F(s) = F_1(s) \cdot F_2(s).$$

There exists a constant A such that

$$\int_{-A}^{A} dH_{2}(u) \ge \frac{1}{2},$$

$$H(t+h) - H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [h(t-u+h) - h(t-u)] dH_{2}(u)$$

$$\ge \int_{-A}^{A} [h(t-u+h) - h(t-u)] dH_{2}(u).$$

One can see from (6.1) and (6.2) that h(t) is strictly monotonic and therefore exists a constant m(t, A, h) > 0 such that

 $h(t - u + h) - h(t - u) \ge m(t, A, h) > 0$  for -A < u < A

and therefore

$$H(t+h) - H(t) \ge \frac{1}{2} m(t, A, h) > 0.$$

If  $\gamma_1 > -\infty$  and  $\gamma_2 = \infty$  we shall treat H(-t) instead of H(t). Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 6.4. If  $F(s) \in F$  and class I; and  $N_+ \ge 1$  then  $\frac{1}{2}(G(t+) + G(t-)) > 0$  (where  $G(t\pm h) - G(t\pm) = o(1)$   $h \downarrow 0$ ).

For the next positivity theorem we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 6.5. Let  $c_k > a_k > 0$ ,  $F(s) = \prod_{k=1}^n [(1-s/a_k)/(1-s/c_k)]$ , then the corresponding  $H_n(t)$  is strictly increasing in t < 0 and 1 for t > 0.

**PROOF.** For n=1 this is a simple corollary of 2.1. Assume it for n=l-1.

$$H_{l}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{l-1}(t-u) \, dh_{l}(u)$$

where

$$[(1 - s/c_{l})/(1 - s/a_{l})] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} dh_{l}(u) dt$$

For t < 0 choose 0 < h < -t/4

$$H_{l}(t+h) - H_{l}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [H_{l-1}(t+h-u) - H_{l-1}(t-u)] dh_{l}(u)$$
  

$$\geq \int_{t}^{0} [H_{l-1}(t+h-u) - H_{l-1}(t-u)] dh_{l}(u) \geq \int_{t}^{t/2} [H_{l-1}(t-u+h) - H_{l-1}(t-u)] dh_{l}(u)$$
  

$$\geq m[h_{l}(t/2) - h_{l}(t)]$$

where

$$m = \inf_{t \le u \le t/2} [H_{l-1}(t-u+h) - H_{l-1}(t-u)].$$

One can see m > 0; assume m = 0 then a sequence  $u_n$ ,  $u_n \to u_0 \leq t/2$  exists such that  $[H_{l-1}(t - u_n + h) - H_{l-1}(t - u_n)] < \frac{1}{n}$  from which one can see

$$H_{l-1}\left(t-u_{n}+\frac{3}{4}h\right)-H_{l-1}\left(t-u_{n}+\frac{1}{4}h\right)<\frac{1}{n}$$

and therefore

$$A = H_{l-1}\left(t - u_0 + \frac{2}{3}h\right) - H_{l-1}\left(t - u_0 + \frac{1}{3}h\right) < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } n \ge n_0$$

and this yields  $A \leq 0$  but on the other hand strict monotonicity of  $H_{l-1}(t)$  in t < 0 contradicts  $A \leq 0$ . Now we have

$$H_{l}(t+h) - H_{l}(t) \ge m(h_{l}(t/2) - h_{l}(t)) > 0.$$

For t > 0

$$H_{l}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{l-1}(t-u)dh_{l}(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} H_{l-1}(t-u)dh_{l}(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dh_{l}(u) = 1.$$

DEFINITION. The *n*-th moment of H(t):

(6.4) 
$$M_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t dH(t) \text{ and } M_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t - M_1)^n dH_1(t).$$

LEMMA 6.6. Let  $F(s) \in F$ ,  $N_+ + N_- \ge 1$  then for the H(t) corresponding to F(s),  $M_1=0$  and

$$M_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-2} - c_k^{-2}).$$

PROOF. The standard proof used by Hirschman-Widder, Tanno and others applies here where  $N_+ + N_- \ge 1$  implies convergence via (2.9). Q.E.D.

LEMMA 6.7. Let  $F(s) \in F$  and  $N_+ + N_- \ge 1$  then

$$\begin{split} H(t) &\leq \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-2} - c_k^{-2}) & \text{for } t < 0 \\ 1 - H(t) &\leq \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-2} - c_k^{-2}) & \text{for } t > 0 \,. \end{split}$$

PROOF. For t < 0

$$H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} dH(u) \leq \int_{|u| > |t|} dH(u) \leq \frac{1}{t^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^{2} dH(t)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{t^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{k}^{-2} - c_{k}^{-2}).$$

For t > 0 the proof is similar.

THEOREM 6.8. If  $F(s) \in F$   $N_{+} + N_{-} \ge 1$  and  $a_{k} > 0$  then (1/2)(G(t+)+G(t-)) > 0 in  $-\infty < t < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{k}^{-1}-c_{k}^{-1})$  when F(s) is of class III and (1/2) (G(t+)+G(t-)) > 0 always if F(s) is of class II.

PROOF. It is enough to show that H(t) is strictly increasing for  $t < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1})$  or always if F(s) belong to classes III or II respectively. For every  $t < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1})$ , there exists a  $\delta$  such that  $t + 2\delta < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1})$ . Choose *n* so that

$$S_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-2} - c_k^{-2}) < \frac{\delta^2}{3} \text{ and } t + 2\delta < \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1}).$$

Let  $F_1(s)$  be defined by

194

Q.E.D.

KERNELS FOR A CLASS OF CONVOLUTION-TRANSFORMS

$$F_{1}(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left[ (1 - s/a_{k}) \exp((s/a_{k}))/(1 - s/c_{k}) \exp((s/c_{k})) \right]$$

Define  $F_2(s)$  by  $F_2(s) = F(s)/F_1(s)$ . Define  $H_i(s)$  by

$$F_i(s)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-st} dH_i(t) \quad i=1, 2.$$

One can see easily by Lemma 6.5 that  $H_1(t)$  is strictly increasing for

$$t < \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1}) \equiv t_n.$$

Choose h so that  $t+3h < t_n$ .

$$H(t+h)-H(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [H_1(t-u+h)-H_1(t-u)]dH_2(u)$$
  

$$\geq \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} [H_1(u+h)-H_1(u)]dH_2(t-h)$$
  

$$\geq \inf_{t-\delta \le u \le t+\delta} [[H_1(u+h)-H_1(u)] \cdot \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} dH_2(t)$$
  

$$\geq m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{2S_n}{\delta^2}\right) \ge \frac{m}{3}.$$

By considerations similar to those of Lemma 6.5 m > 0.

# Q.E.D.

7. More Asymptotic estimates. Section 6 permits us to write at least in case  $N_+ + N_- \ge 2$   $G(t) = e^{-\chi(t)}$  where F(s) is of class I or II and  $G(t) = e^{-\chi(t)}$ for  $t < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1})$  where F(s) is of class III.

Theorem. 5.1 with the above notation yields

(7.1) 
$$\chi'(\lambda(r)) \sim r, r \to \infty$$
 where  $F(s) \in \text{class } B$ .

Define the function M(t) when  $F(s) \in$ class III by

(7.2) 
$$t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ (M(t) + a_k)^{-1} - (M(t) + c_k)^{-1} \right], \qquad t > 0.$$

Define the function L(t) when  $F(s) \in$ class II by

Z. DITZIAN AND A. JAKIMOVSKI

(7.3) 
$$t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L(t) [(a_k(a_k + L(t)))^{-1} - (c_k(c_k + L(t)))^{-1}], \quad t > 0.$$

THEOREM 7.1. Let F(s) belong to class B then: (a) F(s) belongs to class III implies

(7.4) 
$$\chi'\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1}) - t\right) \sim M(t) \qquad t \downarrow 0,$$

(b) F(s) belongs to class II implies

(7.5) 
$$\chi'(t) \sim L(t)$$
  $t \to \infty$ .

**PROOF.** We shall prove (a) ((b) is similar)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1}) - t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1}) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(M + a_k)^{-1} - (M + c_k)^{-1}] = \lambda(M(t)).$$

Since  $\chi'(\lambda(r)) \sim r \ (r \to \infty)$  and since  $M(t) \to \infty$  when  $t \downarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\chi}'\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(a_k^{-1} - c_k^{-1}\right) - t\right) = \boldsymbol{\chi}'(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{M}(t))) \sim \boldsymbol{M}(t), \ t \downarrow 0.$$
Q.E.D.

THEOREM 7.2. If F(s) belongs to class II and to class B, then

$$\chi'(t) = L(t + o(1)) \qquad t \to \infty.$$

PROOF. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.4 of [3, p.116]. Define

$$H'_r(u) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} [F_r(s)]^{-1} e^{su} ds$$

where  $A_k(r) = (a_k + r)\sigma(r)$ ,  $C_k(r) = (c_k + r)\sigma(r)$  and

$$F_r(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1-s}{A_k(r)} \exp(\frac{s}{A_k(r)})}{1-s} \exp(\frac{s}{C_k(r)}) \exp(\frac{s}{C_k(r)}) \right].$$

Via the proof of Theorem 5.1 we know

$$e^{ru-\chi(u)} = H'_r\left(\frac{u-\lambda(r)}{\sigma(r)}\right) / \sigma(r)F(-r).$$

 $F(s) \in class B$  implies

(7.6) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \|H_0^{(n)}(u) - H_r^{(n)}(u)\|_{\infty} = 0$$

where

(7.7) 
$$H'_{0}(u) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-u^{2}/2}$$

It is clear that  $H_0''(0) = 0$ ,  $H_0''(-\eta) = -H_0''(\eta) = -\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\eta^{1/2}}$ ,  $H_0'''(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (u^2 - 1) e^{-u^{1/2}}$ and therefore for  $-\frac{1}{2} < u < \frac{1}{2}$ 

$$H_0^{\prime\prime\prime}(u) \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-1/2}.$$

We have

$$H_{0}^{"}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{-1}{n\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-1/2n^{2}} \text{ and } H_{0}^{"}\left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-1/2n^{2}}$$

and therefore for each *n* we can choose  $r \ge r_n > r_1$  so that by (7.6)

$$H_r''\left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2n\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-1/2}, \ H_r''\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \le \frac{-1}{2n\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-1/2}$$

and also for  $-1/n \leq u \leq 1/n$ 

$$H_r''(u) \leq \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-1/8} < 0$$

From these inequalities the existence of one and only one z(r) in [-1,1] such that for  $r \ge r_n$ , -1/n < z(r) < 1/n  $H''_r(z(r))=0$  follows. Since

$$e^{ru-\chi(u)} = [F(-r)\sigma(r)]^{-1}H'_r \left[\frac{u-\lambda(r)}{\sigma(r)}\right]$$
$$(r-\chi'(u))e^{ru-\chi(u)} = \frac{1}{F(-r)\sigma(r)^2}H''_r \left(\frac{u-\lambda(r)}{\sigma(r)}\right)$$

 $r = \chi'(u)$  for  $z(r) = \frac{u - \lambda(r)}{\sigma(r)}$  and  $\chi'(\lambda(r) + \sigma(r)z(r)) = r$ . Since z(r) is continuous for  $r \ge r_1$  and defining r(t) by  $t = \lambda(r) + \sigma(r)z(r)$ 

$$\chi'(t) = r(t)$$

 $r(t) \rightarrow \infty$  whenever  $t \rightarrow \infty$ 

$$t = \lambda(r(t)) + \sigma(r(t))z(r(t))$$

and therefore

$$r(t) = L(t - \sigma(r(t))z(r(t)))$$

and hence

$$\chi'(t) = L(t + o(1))$$
  $r \to \infty$ . Q.E.D.

### References

- Z. DITZIAN AND A. JAKIMOVSKI, A remark on a class of convolution transforms, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 20(1968), 170-174.
- [2] I. I. HIRSCHMAN AND D. V. WIDDER, Generalized inversion formulas for convolution transform, Duke Math. Journ., 15(1948), 473-494.
- [3] I. I. HIRSCHMAN AND D. V. WIDDER, The convolution transform, Princeton Univ. Press, 1955.
- [4] Y. TANNO, On the convolution transform I, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 2(1959), 40-50.
- [5] Y. TANNO, On the convolution transform II, III, Science Reports of the Faculty of Literature and Science, Hirosaki Univ. (Japan) 9(1962), 5-20.
- [6] Y. TANNO, On a class of convolution transforms I, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 18(1966), 157-173.
- [7] D. V. WIDDER, The Laplace transform, Princeton Univ. Press, 1941.
- [8] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric series II, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.

DEPERTMENT OF MATHEMATICS THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA

AND

Tel-Aviv University Tel-Aviv, Israel