

LACUNARY TRIGONOMETRIC SUM AND PROBABILITY

SHIGERU TAKAHASHI

(Received October 11, 1969)

1. Introduction. In the present note let $\{\lambda_k\}$ denote a *lacunary* sequence of *positive* numbers, that is,

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda_{k+1} \geq q\lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{where } q > 1.$$

Then the limiting distributions of lacunary trigonometric sums

$$T_n(x) = B_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos(\lambda_k x + \alpha_k), \quad \text{where } B_n = \left(2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

were considered by Salem and Zygmund [2] who proved that, over any fixed set of positive Lebesgue measure, $T_n(x)$ converges in law to the normal distribution with mean zero and variance 1, provided if $B_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $a_n = o(B_n)$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

In this note we discuss the problem whether the Salem-Zygmund result is valid or not, if we replace the *Lebesgue* measure by a *probability* measure on $(-\infty, \infty)$. If the probability measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then the Salem-Zygmund result implies that $T_n(x)$ is asymptotically normal with respect to this probability measure. But nothing like the Salem-Zygmund result is necessarily valid, even if the probability measure is continuous.

However, if we treat the series in (x, t)

$$\sum a_k \cos(\lambda_k x t + \alpha_k),$$

we can obtain a similar result, under fairly general conditions. This problem was considered by Kaufman when $a_k = 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ [1].

In the following P is a probability measure on $(-\infty, \infty)$ satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} P\{[x, x+h]\} \leq Mh^\beta, & \text{for all } x \in (-\infty, \infty) \text{ and } h > 0, \\ \text{where } \beta, 0 < \beta < 1, \text{ and } M \text{ are positive constants.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM. *Let us put*

$$S_n(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos(\lambda_k x t + \alpha_k) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x, t).$$

Then under the assumptions

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} B_n = \left(2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow +\infty, \\ a_n = o(B_n / \log \log B_n), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases}$$

one has, for a. e. t in $(-\infty, \infty)$, with respect to the Lebesgue measure,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{x; S_n(x, t) \leq y B_n\} = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^y \exp(-u^2/2) du, \quad -\infty < y < +\infty.$$

2. Some Lemmas. Let $\hat{P}(\lambda)$ denote the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of the probability measure P , that is, $\hat{P}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\lambda x) P(dx)$. The next lemma is due to Kaufman.

LEMMA 1. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then we have

$$\int_v^{v+1} |\hat{P}(\lambda t)| dt \leq C |\lambda|^{-\beta/2},$$

where v is any real number and C a constant independent of v .

PROOF. We may assume that $|\lambda| > 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_v^{v+1} |\hat{P}(\lambda t)|^2 dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_v^{v+1} \exp\{i\lambda t(x_1 - x_2)\} dt P(dx_1) P(dx_2) \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min(1, 2|\lambda x_1 - \lambda x_2|^{-1}) P(dx_1) P(dx_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $r > 0$ be the integer defined $2^{-r} < |\lambda|^{-1} \leq 2^{1-r}$; we sum the integrand over the sets:

$$\{|x_1 - x_2| \geq 1\}, \{1 > |x_1 - x_2| \geq 2^{-1}\}, \dots, \{2^{1-r} > |x_1 - x_2| \geq 2^{-r}\}$$

and finally over the set $\{2^{-r} > |x_1 - x_2|\}$. In each case the product measure can be estimated by (1.2) and the theorem of Fubini, summing up we obtain

$$\int_v^{v+1} |\widehat{P}(\lambda t)|^2 dt \leq C^2 |\lambda|^{-\beta}$$

and this completes the proof of the lemma.

Next, let us put $p(m) = \max\{n; B_n \leq \exp(m/\log m)\}$. Then by (1.3), we have

$$a_{p(m)+1}^2 = o(B_{p(m)+1}^2/(\log m)^2), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Further, since $B_n \sim B_{n+1}$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left\{\frac{2(m+1)}{\log(m+1)}\right\} - B_{p(m)}^2 &\geq \exp\left\{\frac{2(m+1)}{\log(m+1)}\right\} - \exp\left\{\frac{2m}{\log m}\right\} \geq \frac{1}{2\log(m+1)} \exp\left\{\frac{2m}{\log m}\right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3\log m} B_{p(m)+1}^2 > a_{p(m)+1}^2, \quad \text{for } m \geq m_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can find an integer m_0 such that if $m > m_0$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \exp\left\{\frac{m-1}{\log(m-1)}\right\} < B_{p(m)} \leq \exp\left\{\frac{m}{\log m}\right\}.$$

In the following, we put

$$(2.2) \quad q(m) = \left\lfloor \frac{3}{\beta'} \log m \right\rfloor, \quad \text{where } \beta' = \beta \log q.$$

LEMMA 2. *For any real number v , there exists a set S_v in $[v, v+1)$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that if $t \notin S_v$, then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_{p(m)}^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{p(m)} A_k^2(x, t) = 1, \quad \text{in probability.}$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that for a.e. t in $[v, v+1)^{1)}$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_{p(m)}^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{p(m)} a_k^2 \cos 2(\lambda_k x t + \alpha_k) = 0, \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Since $B_n \uparrow +\infty$ with n , (1.3) implies that

$$(2.3) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_k| = o(B_n/\log \log B_n), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

1) The term a.e. t means that a.e. t with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Since $p(m) > m - m_0$ for $m > m_0$, we have, by (2.2) and (2.3),

$$B_{p(m)}^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{q(m)-1} a_k^2 = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{p(m)}^{-4} \sum_{k=1}^{p(m)} a_k^4 = o(1), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Further, by the fact that $\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(\lambda t x + \alpha) P(dx) \right| \leq |\hat{P}(\lambda t)|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{p(m)} a_k^2 \cos 2(\lambda_k x t + \alpha_k) \right|^2 P(dx) \\ &= o(B_{p(m)}^4) + \sum_{k=q(m)+1}^{p(m)} a_k^2 \sum_{n=q(m)}^{k-1} a_n^2 [|\hat{P}\{2t(\lambda_k - \lambda_n)\}| + |\hat{P}\{2t(\lambda_k + \lambda_n)\}|] \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in t , as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. On the other hand from (1.1) and (2.2), we obtain that if $k > n \geq q(m)$, then

$$\lambda_k \pm \lambda_n > c_0 q^{3 \log m / \beta'}, \quad \text{for some } c_0 > 0.$$

Therefore, we have, by Lemma 1 and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} B_{p(m)}^{-4} \int_v^{v+1} \sum_{k=q(m)+1}^{p(m)} a_k^2 \sum_{n=q(m)}^{k-1} a_n^2 [|\hat{P}\{2t(\lambda_k - \lambda_n)\}| + |\hat{P}\{2t(\lambda_k + \lambda_n)\}|] dt \\ &= \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} O(e^{-\frac{3}{2} \log m}) = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4) and the above relation we can prove the lemma.

3. Proof of the Theorem. For the proof of the theorem it is sufficient to show that for any fixed v , we have, for a. e. t in $[v, v+1)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{x; S_n(x, t) \leq y B_n\} = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^y \exp(-u^2/2) du.$$

To this end, we first prove that for a. e. t in $[v, v+1)$,

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\{x; S_{p(m)}(x, t) \leq y B_{p(m)}\} = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^y \exp(-u^2/2) du,$$

which is equivalent to the following relation

$$(3.1') \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ \frac{i\lambda S_{p(m)}(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} \right\} P(dx) = \exp(-\lambda^2/2), \quad \text{for all real } \lambda.$$

i. Let λ be any fixed real number and $t \notin S_v$, where S_v is the null set in Lemma 2. Since

$$e^z = (1+z) \exp \left(\frac{z^2}{2} + O(|z|^3) \right), \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow 0,$$

we have, by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left\{ \frac{i\lambda S_{p(m)}(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} \right\} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{p(m)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{i\lambda A_k(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{-\lambda^2}{2B_{p(m)}^2} \sum_{k=1}^{p(m)} A_k^2(x, t) + o(1) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in (x, t) , as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Putting

$$J_{n,m}(x, t, \lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left\{ 1 + \frac{i\lambda A_k(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, p(m),$$

then we have

$$(3.2) \quad |J_{n,m}(x, t, \lambda)| \leq \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2 A_k^2}{B_{p(m)}^2} \right)^{1/2} < \exp(\lambda^2).$$

Further, since $B_{p(m)}^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{p(m)} A_k^2(x, t) \leq 2$ and $t \notin S_v$, we have, by Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ \frac{i\lambda S_{p(m)}(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} \right\} P(dx) \\ &= \exp(-\lambda^2/2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{p(m),m}(x, t, \lambda) P(dx) + o(1), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), (2.3) and (3.2) it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{p(m),m}(x, t, \lambda) &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{p(m)} \frac{i\lambda A_k(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} J_{k-1,m}(x, t, \lambda) \\ &= 1 + o(1) + \sum_{k=q(m)}^{p(m)} \frac{i\lambda A_k(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} J_{k-1,m}(x, t, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 + o(1) + T_m(x, t, \lambda), \quad \text{uniformly in } (x, t), \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\text{where } T_m(x, t, \lambda) = \sum_{k=q(m)}^{p(m)} \frac{i\lambda A_k(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{j=k-r}^{k-1} \frac{i\lambda A_j(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} \right\} J_{k-r-1, m}(x, t, \lambda)$$

and r is an integer satisfying

$$(3.3) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{(q-1)q^r} > \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) = c_0 > 0.$$

Therefore, for the proof of (3.1') it is sufficient to show that for a. e. t in $[v, v+1)$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T_m(x, t, \lambda) P(dx) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \lambda.$$

Since $\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(\lambda x t + \alpha) P(dx) \right| \leq |\hat{P}(\lambda t)|$, we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T_m(x, t, \lambda) P(dx) \right| \leq U_m(t, \lambda),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_m(t, \lambda) &= \sum_{k=q(m)}^{p(m)} \frac{|\lambda a_k|}{B_{p(m)}} \left[|\hat{P}(\lambda_k t)| + \sum_{j=k-r}^{k-1} \frac{|\lambda a_j|}{2B_{p(m)}} \{ |\hat{P}(\lambda_k t + \lambda_j t)| + |\hat{P}(\lambda_k t - \lambda_j t)| \} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=q(m)}^{p(m)} \frac{|\lambda a_k|}{B_{p(m)}} \sum_1 \frac{|a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_s}| |\lambda|^s}{(2B_{p(m)})^s} \sum_2 |\hat{P}\{(\lambda_k \pm \lambda_{k_1} \pm \cdots \pm \lambda_{k_s})t\}| \\ &+ \sum_{k=q(m)}^{p(m)} \frac{|\lambda a_k|}{B_{p(m)}} \sum_{j=k-r}^{k-1} \frac{|\lambda a_j|}{2B_{p(m)}} \sum_1 \frac{|a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_s}| |\lambda|^s}{(2B_{p(m)})^s} \sum_3 |\hat{P}\{(\lambda_k \pm \lambda_j \pm \lambda_{k_1} \pm \cdots \pm \lambda_{k_s})t\}| \end{aligned}$$

where \sum_1 denotes the summation over all complexes (k_1, \dots, k_s) such that $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_s \leq k-r-1$ and $1 \leq s \leq k-r-1$, \sum_2 and \sum_3 over all combinations of $+$ and $-$. Since for each t , $|U_m(t, \lambda)|$ is an increasing function of $|\lambda|$, the convergence of the series.

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \int_v^{v+1} |U_m(t, \lambda)| dt$$

for any fixed λ , implies the validity of (3.4) for all λ . On the other hand from (1.1) and (3.3), we have, for \sum_2

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_k \pm \lambda_{k_1} \pm \cdots \pm \lambda_{k_r} &\geq \lambda_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-r-1} \lambda_j > \lambda_k \left(1 - \sum_{j=r+1}^{\infty} q^{-j}\right) \\ &= \lambda_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{(q-1)q^r}\right) > c_0 \lambda_k > c' q^k, \quad \text{for some } c' > 0,\end{aligned}$$

and for \sum_3

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_k \pm \lambda_j \pm \lambda_{k_1} \pm \cdots \pm \lambda_{k_r} &\geq \lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-r-1} \lambda_j \\ &\geq \lambda_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{(q-1)q^r}\right) > c_0 \lambda_k > c' q^k, \quad \text{for some } c' > 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have, by Lemma 1 and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_v^{v+1} |U_m(t, \lambda)| dt \\ &= O\left(\sum_{k=q(m)}^{p(m)} \frac{|\lambda a_k|}{B_{p(m)} q^{k\beta/2}} \left\{1 + \sum_{j=k-r}^{k-1} \frac{|\lambda a_j|}{B_{p(m)}}\right\} \prod_{j=1}^{k-r-1} \left(1 + \frac{|\lambda a_j|}{B_{p(m)}}\right)\right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{k=q(m)}^{p(m)} \frac{|\lambda a_k|}{B_{p(m)} q^{k\beta/2}} \exp\left\{\frac{|\lambda|}{B_{p(m)}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j^2\right)^{1/2} \sqrt{k}\right\}\right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{k=q(m)}^{p(m)} \frac{|\lambda a_k|}{B_{p(m)} q^{k\beta/2}} \exp(|\lambda| \sqrt{2k})\right) = O(q^{-2q(m)\beta/5}), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \int_v^{v+1} |U_m(t, \lambda)| dt = \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} O(e^{-\frac{6}{5} \log m}) = O(1),$$

and this proves (3.1).

ii. If $p(m) \leq n < p(m+1)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.5) \quad &\left| \frac{S_{p(m)}(x, t)}{B_{p(m)}} - \frac{S_n(x, t)}{B_n} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{B_{p(m)}} - \frac{1}{B_{p(m+1)}} \right| |S_{p(m)}(x, t)| + \frac{1}{B_{p(m)}} |S_n(x, t) - S_{p(m)}(x, t)|.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand from (2.1), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{B_{p(m)}} - \frac{1}{B_{p(m+1)}} \right| = O\left(\frac{B_{p(m+1)}^2 - B_{p(m)}^2}{B_{p(m)}^3} \right) = o\left(\frac{1}{B_{p(m)}} \right), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, we have, for a. e. t in $[v, v+1)$,

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{B_{p(m)}} - \frac{1}{B_{p(m+1)}} \right| |S_{p(m)}(x, t)| = 0, \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Since $\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(\lambda x t + \alpha) P(dx) \right| \leq |\hat{P}(\lambda t)|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{p(m) \leq n < p(m+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_{p(m)}^{-2} |S_n(x, t) - S_{p(m)}(x, t)|^2 P(dx) \\ & \leq \frac{2B_{p(m+1)}^2 - 2B_{p(m)}^2}{B_{p(m)}^2} + \sum_{k=p(m)+1}^{p(m+1)} \frac{|a_k|}{B_{p(m)}} \sum_{j=p(m)}^{k-1} \frac{|a_j|}{B_{p(m)}} \{ |\hat{P}(\lambda_k t - \lambda_j t)| + |\hat{P}(\lambda_k t + \lambda_j t)| \} \\ & = o(1) + \left[\sum_{k=p(m)+1}^{p(m+1)} \sum_{j=p(m)}^{k-1} \{ |\hat{P}(\lambda_k t - \lambda_j t)| + |\hat{P}(\lambda_k t + \lambda_j t)| \}^2 \right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in t , as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. On the other hand from (1.1), it is seen that if $k > j$, then

$$(\lambda_k \pm \lambda_j) \geq (\sqrt{\lambda_k} - \sqrt{\lambda_j})(\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_j}) > \sqrt{\lambda_k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) (\lambda_k \lambda_j)^{1/4} > c_0 q^{(k+j)/4},$$

for some constant $c_0 > 0$.

Further, since (2.1) implies that if $m \geq m_0$, then $p(m) > m - m_0$, we have, by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \int_v^{v+1} \sum_{k=p(m)+1}^{p(m+1)} \sum_{j=p(m)}^{k-1} \{ |\hat{P}(\lambda_k t - \lambda_j t)| + |\hat{P}(\lambda_k t + \lambda_j t)| \}^2 dt \\ & = O\left(\sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=p(m)+1}^{p(m+1)} \sum_{j=p(m)}^{k-1} q^{-(k+j)\beta/8} \right) = O(1), \end{aligned}$$

and this proves that for a. e. t in $[v, v+1)$,

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max_{p(m) \leq n < p(m+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B_{p(m)}} |S_{p(m)}(x, t) - S_n(x, t)|^2 P(dx) = 0.$$

From (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain that for a. e. t in $[v, v+1)$,

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{S_{p(m,n)}(x, t)}{B_{p(m,n)}} - \frac{S_n(x, t)}{B_n} \right| = 0, \quad \text{in probability,}$$

where $p(m, n)$ in (3.8) is a $p(m)$ satisfying $p(m) \leq n < p(m+1)$.

By (3.1) and (3.8) we can complete the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. KAUFMAN, A problem of lacunary series, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged, XXIX(1968), 313-316.
- [2] R. SALEM AND A. ZYGMUND, On lacunary trigonometric series, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 34(1948), 54-62.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY
KANAZAWA, JAPAN