# SOME RESULTS FOR AN ORIENTABLE 5-DIMENSIONAL SUBMANIFOLD OF $\boldsymbol{R}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ 

Katsuei Kenmotsu

(Received Sept 18, 1970)

1. Statement of results. Let $R^{7}$ be a Euclidean space of dimension 7. M.Kobayashi [3] has shown that the properties of the vector cross product on $R^{7}$ induce an almost contact structure on an orientable 5 -dimensional submanifold of $R^{7}$, and he proved that (1) if the submanifold is totally geodesic in $R^{7}$ (for the induced metric), then the almost contact structure is normal and as a partial converse (2) if the structure is normal and the immersion is totally umbilical, then the submanifold is totally geodesic. In the present paper we show that

THEOREM 1. Let $M$ be an orientable submanifold of codimension 2 in $R^{7}$. If the almost contact structure is normal, $M$ is a minimal submanifold of $R^{7}$.

THEOREM 2. Let $M$ be an orientable submanifold of codimension 2 in $R^{7} . M$ is quasi-Sasakian and have the trivial normal connection if and only if $M$ is totally geodesic.

The new device to prove the above mentioned theorems is that we can take locally suitable normal vector fields relative to the almost contact structure on $M$. By virtue of Theorem 1, we see that no closed submanifold can satisfy the normality condition. Furthermore as the second application of the Theorem 1, we see that the 5 -dimensional sphere have at least two different almost cuntact metric structures for the same induced metric, since it is well known that the sphere has a Sasakian structure (i. e., normal contact metric structure). For the later use, we list up the properties of the vector cross product of $R^{7}$ [2]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A \times B=-B \times A,  \tag{1.1}\\
<A \times B, C>=<A, B \times C>, \\
(A \times B) \times C+A \times(B \times C)=2<A, C>B-<B, C>A-<A, B>C, \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{A}(B \times C)=\bar{\nabla}_{A} B \times C+B \times \bar{\nabla}_{A} C, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $A, B$ and $C$ on $R^{7}$, where $<,>$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are the canonical Riemannian metric of $R^{7}$ and the Riemannian connection for $<,>$, respectively.
2. Types of almost contact Riemannian manifolds. Let $M=(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $V(M)$ the module of $C^{\infty}$-vector fields on $M$. An almost contact Riemannian manifold $M$ is a Riemannian manifold equipped with a (1, 1) tensor field $\phi$, a vector field $\xi$ and a 1 -form $\eta$ which satisfy $\phi^{2}=-1+\xi \otimes \eta, \eta(\xi)=1$, $\phi(\xi)=0, \eta(X)=g(X, \xi)$ and $g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \cdot \eta(Y)$. Such a manifold is orientable and odd dimensional. To describe the geometry of an almost contact Riemannian manifold $M$, we consider two special tensors. The first is a 2 -form, $w$, and it is defined for $A, B \in V(M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(A, B)=g(A, \phi B) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second, called the torsion tensor of $M$, is a $(1,2)$ tensor field $S^{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{1}(A, B)= & {[A, B]+\phi[\phi A, B]+\phi[A, \phi B]-[\phi A, \phi B] }  \tag{2.2}\\
& +\{B \eta(A)-A \eta(B)\} \xi
\end{align*}
$$

The following Proposition is used to prove the Proposition 5.1.
Proposition 2.1. Let $A, B, C \in V(M)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d w(A, B, C)-d w(A, \phi B, \phi C)+g\left(A, S^{1}(B, \phi C)\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
= & 2\left(\nabla_{A} w\right)(B, C)-\eta(B)\left\{g\left(A, \nabla_{\phi C} \xi\right)-g\left(\phi C, \nabla_{A} \xi\right)\right\} \\
& +\eta(C) g\left(A, S^{2}(B)\right)-\eta(C)\left\{g\left(A, \nabla_{\phi B} \xi\right)+g\left(\phi B, \nabla_{A} \xi\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $S^{2}(B)$ is, by definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2}(B)=\nabla_{\xi} \phi \cdot B-\nabla_{B} \phi \cdot \xi+\nabla_{\phi B} \xi \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof of (2.3) follows from the facts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d w(A, B, C)=\mathbb{S}_{\left(\nabla_{A} w\right)}(B, C) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{1}(B, C)= & \nabla_{\phi C} \phi \cdot B-\nabla_{B} \phi \cdot \phi C-\nabla_{\phi B} \phi \cdot C+\nabla_{C} \phi \cdot \phi B  \tag{2.6}\\
& +\eta(C) \nabla_{B} \xi-\eta(B) \nabla_{C} \xi,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{S}$ is a cyclic sum for $A, B, C$ and $\nabla$ is a Riemannian connection for $g$.
Q.E.D.
( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ )-structure is called normal if $S^{1}=0 . S^{1}=0$ implies $S^{2}=0$ [4]. It is known [6] that $S^{1}=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \nabla_{B} \phi \cdot C-\nabla_{\phi B} \phi \cdot C-\left(\nabla_{B} \eta\right)(C) \cdot \xi=0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure is called a quasi-Sasakian structure if it is normal and $w$ is closed. In a quasi-Sasakian manifold $\xi$ is a Killing vector field [1]. (S.Tanno has pointed out [5] that there are some gaps in the paper [1], but the above statement is true.)
3. Five dimensional submanifold of $\boldsymbol{R}^{7}$. Let $N_{\imath}(i=1,2)$ be mutually orthogonal unit normal vector fields on a neighborhood of $x \in M$. An almost contact metric structure on $M$ is defined by [3];

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi=N_{1} \times N_{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
\phi A=A \times \xi  \tag{3.2}\\
\eta(X)=g(X, \xi) \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g$ is an induced metric. The second fundamental forms $h_{i}$ and the third fundamental form $s$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{A} N_{1}=-h_{1} A+s(A) N_{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{A} N_{2}=-h_{2} A-s(A) N_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{A} B=\nabla_{A} B+g\left(h_{1} A, B\right) N_{1}+g\left(h_{2} A, B\right) N_{2}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we define a symmetric tensor $H(A, B)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(A, B)=g\left(h_{1} A, B\right) N_{1}+g\left(h_{2} A, B\right) N_{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{R}$ be a curvature tensor of $R^{7}$. Calculating the normal part of $\bar{R}(A, B) C$, $A, B, C \in V(M)$, we see that the Codazzi-Mainardi's equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{A} h_{1} \cdot B-\nabla_{B} h_{1} \cdot A-s(A) h_{2} B+s(B) h_{2} A=0, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{A} h_{2} \cdot B-\nabla_{B} h_{2} \cdot A+s(A) h_{1} B-s(B) h_{1} A=0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widehat{R}$ be the curvature tensor of the normal connection $\hat{\nabla}$, that is, $\hat{\nabla}_{A} V$ $=\left(\bar{\nabla}_{A} V\right)^{N}\left(=\right.$ the normal component of $\left.\bar{\nabla}_{A} V\right)$ for a vector field V normal to $M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{R}(A, B) V=\widehat{\nabla}_{A} \widehat{\nabla}_{B} V-\widehat{\nabla}_{B} \widehat{\nabla}_{A} V-\widehat{\nabla}_{[A, B]} V \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified that for unit vector fields $N_{i}$ normal to $M$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{R}(A, B) N_{1}=2 d s(A, B) N_{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
\widehat{R}(A, B) N_{2}=-2 d s(A, B) N_{1} \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\left(E_{i}, \phi E_{i}, \xi\right)(i=1,2)$ be an adapted frame on a neighborhood of $x \in M$. On account of (1.1)~(1.4), we see that $E_{1} \times E_{2}$ and $E_{1} \times \phi E_{2}$ are mutually orthogonal unit (local) vector fields normal to $M$. Throughout this paper we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}=E_{1} \times E_{2} \text { and } N_{2}=E_{1} \times \phi E_{2} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1. As the preparation we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the normality of $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure on $M$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. The $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure on $M$ is normal if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi \nabla_{\phi \mathbf{x}} \xi+\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \xi=0,  \tag{4.1}\\
H(\phi X, \xi)=\xi \times H(X, \xi) . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. (Necessity) : By virtue of (1.4), (3.2) and (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(A, \nabla_{c} \phi \cdot B\right)=g\left(A \times B, \bar{\nabla}_{c} \xi\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7) and (4.3) we see that $S^{1}=0$ if and only if, for any $A, B, C \in V(M)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\phi A \times B, \bar{\nabla}_{c} \xi\right)+g\left(A \times B, \bar{\nabla}_{\phi G} \xi\right)+\eta(A)\left(\nabla_{c} \eta\right)(B)=0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On account of $\phi A \times B=\xi \times(A \times B)-2 \eta(B) A+\eta(A) B+g(A, B) \xi$, (4.4) is rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(A \times B, \phi \nabla_{c} \xi+\nabla_{\phi d} \xi+H(\phi C, \xi)-\xi \times H(C, \xi)\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \quad-2 \eta(B) g\left(A, \nabla_{c} \xi\right)+2 \eta(A) g\left(B, \nabla_{a} \xi\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $B=\xi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{c} \xi+\phi \nabla_{\phi C} \xi=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A$ and $B$ are orthogonal to $\xi$, by (3.13), $A \times B$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \times B=a \xi+\sum_{i=1}^{2} b^{i} N_{i} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b^{i}$ are scalars.
From (4.5) and (4.7) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\phi C, \xi)=\xi \times H(C, \xi) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sufficiency follows from (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) by a direct calculation. Q. E. D.

By virtue of (1.4), (3.1), (3.4) and (3.5) we have (c.f. [ 3 ])

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{A} \xi+\phi \nabla_{\phi A} \xi= & -h_{1} A \times N_{2}+h_{2} A \times N_{1}+h_{1} \phi A \times N_{1}  \tag{4.9}\\
& +h_{2} \phi A \times N_{2}-H(A, \xi)-\xi \times H(\phi A, \xi) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we obtain
Proposition 4.2. Let $H$ be a mean curvature vector of $M$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(H, N_{1}\right)= & g\left(\nabla_{E_{1}} \xi+\phi \nabla_{\phi E_{1}} \xi, \phi E_{2}\right)-g\left(\nabla_{E_{2}} \xi+\phi \nabla_{\phi E_{2}} \xi, \phi E_{1}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& +g\left(H(\xi, \xi), N_{1}\right), \\
g\left(H, N_{2}\right)= & -g\left(\nabla_{E_{1}} \xi+\phi \nabla_{\phi E_{1}} \xi, E_{2}\right)+g\left(\nabla_{E_{2}} \xi+\phi \nabla_{\phi E_{2}} \xi, E_{1}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
& +g\left(H(\xi, \xi), N_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From the properties of the vector cross-product on $R^{\tau}$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{1} \times \phi E_{2}=\phi E_{1}, N_{2} \times \phi E_{2}=-E_{1}  \tag{4.12}\\
N_{1} \times \phi E_{1}=-\phi E_{2}, N_{2} \times \phi E_{1}=E_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The mean curvature vector $H$ is, by definition,

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\{H\left(E_{i}, E_{i}\right)+H\left(\phi E_{\imath}, \phi E_{i}\right)\right\}+H(\xi, \xi)  \tag{4.13}\\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left\{g\left(h_{j} E_{i}, E_{i}\right)+g\left(h_{j} \phi E_{i}, \phi E_{i}\right)\right\} N_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} g\left(h_{j} \xi, \xi\right) N_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the $g(h, A, B)$ is symmetric, Proposition 4.2 follows from (4.9), (4.12) and (4.13).
Q. E. D.

The proof of Theorem 1 follows from the Proposition 4.1 and 4.2.
Corollary 4. 3. Let $M$ be an orientable 5-dimensional submanifold of $R^{7}$. Then if the almost contact structure induced from the vector cross product is normal, $M$ cannot be compact.

Proof. $M$ must be a minimal submanifold, but it is well known that there are no compact minimal submanifold of $R^{\top}$.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proposition 5.1. Let $M$ be an orientable 5-dimensional submanifold of $R^{\top}$ with the almost contact structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure is a quasi-Sasakian structure,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{A} \xi=0  \tag{2}\\
& h_{1}=\phi h_{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. ((1) $\rightarrow(2)):$ From (2.3) and the last of $\S 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{A} w\right)(B, C)-\eta(B) g\left(A, \nabla_{\phi D} \xi\right)=0 . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $C=\xi$ in this equation and using (4.3), we get $\bar{\nabla}_{A} \xi=0$.
(2) $\rightarrow(1)$ : By (4.3) we have $\nabla_{c} w=0$ and so $d w=0$. From the Proposition 4. $1 S^{1}=0$ is clear.
(2) $\leftrightarrow(3):$ On account of $\bar{\nabla}_{A} \xi=-h_{1} A \times N_{2}+h_{2} A \times N_{1}$ (c. f. [3]), $\bar{\nabla}_{A} \xi=0$ is equivalent to $h_{1} A \times N_{2}=h_{2} A \times N_{1}$. By $N_{1}=N_{2} \times \xi$, this equation is equivalent to
$h_{1}=\phi h_{2}$.
Q.E.D.

Proposition 5.2. Under the same assumption as Proposition 5.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{R}(A, B) N_{1}=2 g\left(h_{2} A, \phi h_{2} B\right) N_{2} \\
& \widehat{R}(A, B) N_{2}=-2 g\left(h_{2} A, \phi h_{2} B\right) N_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF. Since the curvature tensor of $R^{7}$ is zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \bar{\nabla}_{A} \bar{\nabla}_{B} N_{1}-\bar{\nabla}_{B} \bar{\nabla}_{A} N_{1}-\bar{\nabla}_{[A, B]} N_{1} \\
= & -\nabla_{A} h_{1} \cdot B+\nabla_{B} h_{1} \cdot A-H\left(A, h_{1} B\right)+H\left(B, h_{1} A\right) \\
& +\{A(s(B))-B(s(A))-s([A, B])\} N_{2}+s(B) \bar{\nabla}_{A} N_{2} \\
& -s(A) \bar{\nabla}_{B} N_{2} \quad(\text { by }(3.4)) \\
= & \widehat{R}(A, B) N_{1}-2 g\left(h_{2} A, \phi h_{2} B\right) N_{2} \quad \text { (by (3.8) and (3.11)). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter half of the Proposition 5.2 can be shown by a similar fashion. Q.E.D.
Since $g\left(h_{1} A, B\right)$ is symmetric, $h_{1}=\phi h_{2}$ implies $h_{i} \phi=-\phi h_{i}$. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 follows directly from the Proposition 5.1 and 5.2.
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