

## DENSITY PROPERTIES OF HAUSDORFF MOMENT SEQUENCES

Dedicated to Professor Gen-ichirô Sunouchi on his 60th birthday

ROLF TRAUTNER

(Received Aug. 6, 1971)

Let  $d_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\chi(t)$ ,  $\chi \in V[0, 1]$  be a moment sequence. It is shown that  $d_{n_k} = O(c^{n_k})$  ( $0 < c < 1$ ,  $n_k$  naturals) and  $\sum 1/n_k = \infty$  implies  $d_n = O(c^n)$  for all  $n$ , and hence  $d_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\chi(t)$ .

1. Let  $\chi \in V[0, 1]$  ( $V[a, b]$  denotes the space of functions of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ ) and assume that  $\chi(t+0) = \chi(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In what follows we use the notation

$$(1) \quad d_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\chi(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We will call

$$(2) \quad \rho = \inf\{v: \chi(t) = \chi(1), v \leq t \leq 1\}$$

the order of  $\chi(t)$  and also the order of the  $d_n$ . The function

$$(3) \quad D(z) = \sum_0^\infty d_n z^n = \int_0^1 d\chi(t)/(1-zt)$$

is regular in the complex plane apart from a cut from  $1/\rho$  to  $\infty$ , and the integral representation holds in this region. Furthermore, if  $\delta$  is the distance between  $z$  and the line  $[1/\rho, \infty)$ , we have

$$(4) \quad D(z) = O(\delta^{-1}), \quad \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Hardy [2] p. 267 states the following theorem: If  $d_n = O(c^n)$  for some  $0 < c < 1$ , then  $d_n$  is at most of the order  $c$ .

A sharper result follows from a theorem of Pôlya [5] p. 777, see also Bieberbach [1] p. 78 (but none of the authors gives a prove):

Let  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  be analytic in the circle  $|z| < a$ ,  $a > 1$ , apart from the line  $[1, a]$  and let  $f(z) = O(\exp(\delta^{-\alpha}))$ ,  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , where  $\delta$  is the distance between  $z$  and the line  $[1, a]$ . Let  $a_{n_k} = O(c^{n_k})$  for some  $c$ ,  $0 < c < 1$ , and a subsequence  $n_k$ . Then either  $f(z)$  is analytic at  $z = 1$  or  $n_k$  has density zero (i.e.  $\lim k/n_k = 0$ ). Applying this result to the function  $D(z)$ , we immediately find

THEOREM 1. *If*

$$(5) \quad d_{n_k} = O(c^{n_k}), \quad 0 < c < 1,$$

*holds for a subsequence  $n_k$ , then either*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k/n_k = 0$$

*or  $d_n$  is at most of order  $c$ .*

In this paper we will show (Theorem 2) that (6) can be replaced by the stronger statement

$$(7) \quad \sum_0^\infty 1/n_k < \infty,$$

which is best possible. This result can be also applied to Laplace transforms (Theorem 3).

2. LEMMA. *Let  $n_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , be integers with the properties  $0 < n_k < n_{k+1}$ ,*

$$(8) \quad \sum_1^\infty 1/n_k = \infty.$$

*Then there exists a subsequence  $n_{k_j}$  with density zero, i.e.*

$$(9) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j/n_{k_j} = 0,$$

*such that*

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty 1/n_{k_j} = \infty.$$

PROOF. We may assume that

$$(11) \quad \limsup k/n_k = a > 0.$$

If  $\eta(m)$  is defined for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , and if  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \eta(m) = 0$ , then Toeplitz' theorem implies

$$(12) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-M} \sum_1^M 2^m \eta(m) = 0.$$

Let

$$(13) \quad I_m = (2^m + 1, 2^{m+1}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots.$$

It follows from (8) that infinitely many  $I_{m_l}$  exist such that the number of terms  $n_k \in I_{m_l}$  is at least  $\varepsilon \cdot 2^{m_l}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Otherwise, the number of terms would be  $\eta(m) \cdot 2^m$  with  $\eta(m) \rightarrow 0$ , and (12) shows that the density of  $n_k$  is zero in violation of (11).

We now take from each interval  $I_{m_l}$  the first  $[\varepsilon \cdot 2^{m_l}/l]$  terms and define the subsequence  $n_{k_j}$  as the union of these terms. It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/n_{k_j} \geq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (\varepsilon \cdot 2^{m_l}/l) \cdot (1/2^{m_l+1}) = \infty$$

which is (10). Using (12) we finally get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j/n_{k_j} \leq \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-m_L} \sum_{l=1}^L \varepsilon \cdot 2^{m_l}/l = 0,$$

i.e.  $n_{k_j}$  has density zero.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $d_n$  be Hausdorff moments. If*

$$(5) \quad d_{n_k} = O(c^{n_k}), \quad 0 < c < 1$$

*holds for a subsequence  $n_k$ , then either  $d_n$  is at most of order  $c$  or*

$$(7) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/n_k < \infty.$$

**PROOF.** Assume that

$$(14) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/n_k = \infty.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that

a)  $n_k$  has density zero (by the preceding lemma)

b)  $n_0 = 0, n_1 = 1$  (otherwise add these terms to the sequence  $n_k$ )

c)  $d\chi(t) = \phi(t)dt$  with  $\phi(t)$  continuous on  $[0, 1]$  (otherwise take the moment sequence  $d'_n = d_{n+2}/((n+2)(n+1))$ )

d) the order of  $\chi(t)$  is  $\rho = 1$  (otherwise take  $d''_n = d_n/\rho^n$ ).

We define the functions

$$(15) \quad \phi_b(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq t < b \\ \phi(t) & b \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Next we construct the generalized Bernstein polynomials (Lorentz [4] p. 44, Gelfand [3] p. 71) associated to the sequence  $n_k$

$$(16) \quad p_{k\nu}(t) = (-1)^{k-\nu} \sum_{\mu=\nu}^k t^{n_\mu} (n_\mu/n_\nu) \prod_{\substack{j=\nu \\ j \neq \mu}}^k n_j / (n_\mu - n_j).$$

The condition (14) guarantees that for any function  $f(t)$  continuous on  $(a, b) \subset [0, 1]$  and bounded on  $[0, 1]$  we have

$$(17) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_{k\nu}(t) f(\tau_{k\nu}) \longrightarrow f(t) \quad \text{for } k \longrightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on every compact subset of  $(a, b)$ , where

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} \tau_{k\nu} = \prod_{j=\nu+1}^k (1 - 1/n_j) & 0 \leq \nu < k \\ \tau_{kk} = 1. \end{cases}$$

We apply the approximation (17) to the function  $\phi_b(t)$ . The sequence of functions

$$(19) \quad \phi_b^k(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_{k\nu}(t) \phi_b(\tau_{k\nu})$$

converges to  $\phi_b(t)$  uniformly for  $t$  outside of an arbitrary small neighbourhood of  $b$ . Furthermore, since the  $\phi_b^k(t)$  are uniformly bounded on  $[0, 1]$  we have

$$(20) \quad \sigma_k = \left| \int_0^1 \phi_b^k(t) \phi(t) dt \right| \rightarrow \int_0^1 \phi_b(t) \phi(t) dt = \int_b^1 \phi^2(t) dt.$$

In what follows we will show that

$$(21) \quad \sigma_k = o(1)$$

holds, if  $b$  is sufficiently close to 1, which implies  $\phi(t) = 0$  on  $[b, 1]$ . This is a contradiction to the definition of the order  $\rho$  of  $\chi(t)$ .

Let  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(k)$  be the minimum of the numbers  $\nu$  with  $\tau_{k\nu} \geq b$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k &= \left| \sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^k \int_0^1 \phi_b(\tau_{k\nu}) p_{k\nu}(t) \phi(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^k \sum_{\mu=\nu}^k |d_{n_\mu}| (n_\mu/n_\nu) \prod_{\substack{j=\nu \\ j \neq \mu}}^k n_j / |n_j - n_\mu| \\ &\leq C \sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^k \sum_{\mu=\nu}^k c^{n_\mu} (n_\mu/n_\nu) \prod_{\substack{j=\nu \\ j \neq \mu}}^k n_j / |n_j - n_\mu|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $j_1 = j_1(\mu)$  be the maximum of numbers  $j$  with  $n_j \leq 2n_\mu$  and  $j_0 = j_0(\mu)$  be the minimum of numbers  $j \geq \nu$  with  $n_j \geq n_\mu/2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\substack{j=\nu \\ j \neq \mu}}^k n_j / |n_j - n_\mu| &\leq \prod_{\substack{j=j_0 \\ j \neq \mu}}^{j_1} n_j / |n_j - n_\mu| \cdot \prod_{j=j_1}^k n_j / |n_j - n_\mu| = \Pi_1 \cdot \Pi_2, \\ \Pi_1 &\leq (2n_\mu)^{j_1-j_0} / (\Gamma(j_1 - j_0)/2 + 1)^2 \\ &\leq [2n_\mu \cdot 4e / (j_1 - j_0)]^{(j_1-j_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence  $n_k$  has density zero, we have for large  $k$

$$(22) \quad \Pi_1^{1/n_\mu} \leq [8e \cdot n_\mu / (j_1 - j_0)]^{(j_1-j_0)/n_\mu} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Furthermore we have

$$\Pi_2 = \prod_{j=j_1}^k |1 - n_\mu/n_j|^{-1},$$

$$|\log \Pi_2| = -\sum_{j=j_1}^k \log |1 - n_\mu/n_j| \leq 2n_\mu \sum_{j_1}^k n_j^{-1}.$$

From the definition of  $\nu_0$  follows that  $b$  is roughly

$$\prod_{j=\nu_0}^k (1 - 1/n_j) \leq \exp\left(-\sum_{j=\nu_0}^k 1/n_j\right)$$

and a lower bound for  $b$  is obtained from

$$\sum_{j=\nu_0}^k 1/n_j \leq 2 \cdot |\log b| \text{ for large } k,$$

and therefore

$$1/n_\mu \log \Pi_2 \leq 4 \cdot |\log b|.$$

If  $b$  is chosen sufficiently close to 1 we have

$$(23) \quad \Pi_2^{1/n_\mu} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Combining (22) and (23) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k &\leq C \sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^k \sum_{\mu=\nu}^k c^{n_\mu} n_\mu/n_\nu \Pi_1 \Pi_2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^k \sum_{\mu=\nu}^k [c(n_\mu/n_\nu)^{1/n_\mu} (1 + \varepsilon)^2]^{n_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression in the brackets is for large  $k$  less than 1, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k &\leq C \sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^k \sum_{\mu=\nu}^k A^{n_\mu} \quad (0 < A < 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=\nu_0}^k O(A^{n_\nu}) = O(A^{n_{\nu_0}}) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The result of theorem 2 is best possible. For let  $n_k$  be a sequence of positive integers satisfying  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty 1/n_k < \infty$ ,  $n_0 = 0$ , and let  $C'$  be the space of polynomials  $f'$  spanned by the  $t^{n_k}$ . Define a bounded linear functional  $d'$  on  $C'$  by  $d'(f') = f'(0)$ , which can be continued to a functional  $d$  on the space  $C$  of continuous functions  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  by  $d(f) = f(0)$ . By Müntz' approximation theorem  $C'$  is not dense in  $C$  and hence there exists another continuation  $d_1 \neq d$  onto  $C$ ,

$$d_1(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) d\chi(t),$$

where  $\chi(t) \in V[0, 1]$  has positive order  $\rho$ , and  $d_1(t^{n_k}) = 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

In terms of Laplace transforms the preceding theorem reads as follows:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $d(z) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-tz)d\phi(t)$ ,  $\phi(t) \in V[0, \infty)$   $\phi$  real and left continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

*If for a sequence  $n_k$  of integers,  $0 < n_k < n_{k+1}$ , and a  $c$ ,  $0 < c < 1$ ,  $d(n_k) = O(c^{n_k})$  holds, then either  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty 1/n_k < \infty$ , or  $\phi(t)$  is constant on  $[0, |\log c|)$  and so  $d(z) = O(c^x)$ ,  $z = x + iy$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .*

We finitely remark that our result contains a well known theorem of Titchmarsh [6] p. 166 stating that  $h(x) = \int_0^x f(x-t)g(t)dt$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $f, g$  continuous, vanishes identically if and only if  $f(x)$  or  $g(x)$  vanishes identically. For let  $d_n^{(1)}, d_n^{(2)}$  be Hausdorff moments with orders  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  then by theorem 2 the order of the product sequence  $d_n = d_n^{(1)}d_n^{(2)}$  is  $\rho = \rho_1\rho_2$ , which gives a generalized form of Titchmarsh's theorem. Clearly this can also be derived from Pòlya's theorem.

I am much indepted to Professor Peyerimhoff who encouraged me to write this paper and who gave helpfull suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bieberbach, Analytische Fortsetzung, Springer 1953.
- [2] Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford 1956.
- [3] Gelfand, Differenzenrechnung, Berlin 1958.
- [4] Lorentz, Bernstein Polynomials, Toronto 1953.
- [5] Pòlya, Untersuchungen über Lücken und Singularitäten von Potenzreihen, II. Mitteilung, Ann. Math. II, 34, 731-777, (1953).
- [6] Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer 1968.

ABTEILUNG FÜR MATHEMATIK DER UNIVERSITÄT  
79 ULM  
BLAUBEURER STRASSE 30