

THE ABSOLUTE CESÀRO SUMMABILITY AND THE LITTLEWOOD-PALEY FUNCTION

Dedicated to Professor Gen-ichirô Sunouchi on his 60th birthday

MAKOTO KANEKO

(Received Jan. 29, 1971)

Introduction. We denote by H^1 the Hardy-class. That is the totality of all the functions $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ which are holomorphic in the disk $|z| < 1$ in complex plane and

$$\|F\|_1 = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(\theta)|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} < +\infty,$$

where $F(\theta)$ is the boundary function of $F(re^{i\theta})$. By $\sigma_n^\alpha(\theta) = \sigma_n^\alpha(\theta; F)$, we denote the n -th Cesàro mean of order α of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{in\theta}$. The behavior of $h_\alpha(F)(\theta) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^\alpha(\theta) - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha(\theta)|^2/n)^{1/2}$ has been investigated by many authors. G. Sunouchi and A. Zygmund introduced

$$g_\alpha^*(F)(\theta) = \left[\int_0^1 (1-r)^{2\alpha} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|F'(re^{i(\theta+\phi)})|^2}{|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{2\alpha}} d\phi \right) dr \right]^{1/2}$$

in [5] and [6], respectively. Between $h_\alpha(F)$ and $g_\alpha^*(F)$, there are the relations $C_\alpha h_\alpha(F)(\theta) \leq g_\alpha^*(F)(\theta) \leq C'_\alpha h_\alpha(F)(\theta)$ for some constants C_α and C'_α . The following results have been known.

THEOREM A. (i) If $\alpha > \text{Max}(1/2, 1/\lambda)$ and $0 < \lambda < \infty$, then $\|h_\alpha(F)\|_\lambda \leq C_{\alpha,\lambda} \|F\|_\lambda$ and $\|g_\alpha(F)\|_\lambda \leq C_{\alpha,\lambda} \|F\|_\lambda$. (ii) If $\alpha = 1/\lambda$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, then $\|h_\alpha(F)\|_\lambda \leq C_\lambda \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(\theta)|^\lambda \log^+ |F(\theta)| d\theta$ and $\|h_\alpha(F)\|_{\mu\lambda} \leq C_{\lambda,\mu} \|F\|_\lambda$ for any $0 < \mu < 1$ and the same results hold for $g_\alpha^*(F)$.

The case when $\alpha = 1/\lambda$ and $1 < \lambda < 2$ is recently obtained by C. Fefferman [2].

Meanwhile, T. M. Flett proved the following theorem for $h_{\alpha,q}(F)(\theta) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^\alpha(\theta) - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha(\theta)|^q/n)^{1/q}$ and

$$g_{\alpha,q}^*(F)(\theta) = \left[\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|F'(re^{i(\theta+\phi)})|^p}{|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{\alpha p}} d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right]^{1/q},$$

where $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$ in [3].

THEOREM B. If $2 \leq q < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < \infty$ and $\alpha > \text{Max}(1/\lambda, 1 - (1/q))$, then $\|h_{\alpha,q}(F)\|_\lambda \leq C_{\alpha,\lambda,q} \|F\|_\lambda$ and $\|g_{\alpha,q}^*(F)\|_\lambda \leq C_{\alpha,\lambda,q} \|F\|_\lambda$.

We use the letter C as a positive constant, not always the same in different occasions. The constants with indices may depend on them.

The purpose of this paper is to study a critical case $\alpha = 1/\lambda$ in theorem B with the recipe used by C. Fefferman in [2].

I wish to thank Professor G. Sunouchi for suggesting the problem and for many useful advices. I also thank Professor S. Igari for kind advices.

1. Conclusions. We set as usual for holomorphic function $F(z) = F(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ in $|z| < 1$,

$$\tau_n^\alpha(\theta) = \tau_n^\alpha(\theta; F) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1}}{A_n^\alpha} \nu c_\nu e^{i\nu\theta},$$

where $A_n^\alpha = \binom{n+\alpha}{n}$. If we denote $\sigma_n^\alpha(\theta) = \sigma_n^\alpha(\theta; F)$ the n -th Cesàro mean of degree α of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{in\theta}$ and if $\alpha > 0$, then we have

$$\tau_n^\alpha(\theta) = \alpha \{ \sigma_n^{\alpha-1}(\theta) - \sigma_n^\alpha(\theta) \}.$$

If we define a function $h_{\alpha,q}(F)$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$ with respect to the above function F by

$$(1.1) \quad h_{\alpha,q}(F)(\theta) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\tau_n^\alpha(\theta; F)|^q}{n} \right)^{1/q}.$$

Then we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *When $2 \leq q < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < 2$, $1/\lambda + 1/q > 1$ and $\alpha = 1/\lambda$, then*

$$|\{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi); h_{\alpha,q}(F)(\theta) > \kappa\}| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda,q}}{\kappa} \|F\|_\lambda \right)^\lambda$$

for any $\kappa > 0$ and $F \in H^\lambda$.

In the sequel, we denote by $|E|$ the Lebesgue measure of a set E in $(-\infty, \infty)$. For $E \subset (-\pi, \pi)$, \mathbb{E} will be the set $(-\pi, \pi) \setminus E$.

To prove theorem 1, we introduce an auxiliary function

$$(1.2) \quad g_{\alpha,q}^*(F)(\theta) = \left[\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|F'(re^{i(\theta+\phi)})|^p}{|1-re^{i\phi}|^{\alpha p}} d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right]^{1/q},$$

where, now and in the sequel, the relation $1/p + 1/q = 1$ is always assumed. T. M. Flett ([3; Theorem 13]) proved that if $q \geq 2$ and $\alpha > (-1/q)$, then

$$(1.3) \quad h_{\alpha,q}(F)(\theta) \leq C_{\alpha,q} g_{\alpha,q}^*(F)(\theta)$$

for every point θ . We prove in §4 that

THEOREM 2. *If α, q and λ satisfy the conditions of theorem 1, then*

$$|\{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi); g_{\alpha, q}^*(F)(\theta) > \kappa\}| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda, q}}{\kappa} \|F\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}$$

for any $\kappa > 0$ and $F \in H^{\lambda}$.

Theorem 1 follows easily from Theorem 2 and (1.3).

The proof of Theorem 2 proceeds in two steps:

$$(1.4) \quad 1 < \lambda < 2, 2 \leq q < \lambda/(\lambda - 1) \text{ and } \alpha = 1/\lambda,$$

$$(1.5) \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1, 2 \leq q < +\infty \text{ and } \alpha = 1/\lambda.$$

We use also the following notations. For a function $\phi(z) = \phi(re^{i\theta})$ in $|z| < 1$, we denote

$$\nabla \phi(z) = \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}(z), \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}(z) \right), |\nabla \phi(z)|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}(z) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}(z) \right|^2.$$

For $f \in L(-\pi, \pi)$, we introduce

$$(1.6) \quad S_{\alpha, q}(f)(\theta) = \left[\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\nabla f(re^{i(\theta+\phi)})|^p}{|1-re^{i\phi}|^{\alpha p}} d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right]^{1/q},$$

where $f(z) = f(re^{i\theta})$ is the Poisson integral of f . We prove in §3 the following theorem for $S_{\alpha, q}(f)$, which is equivalent to Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *If α, q and λ have the relation (1.4), then*

$$|\{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi); S_{\alpha, q}(f)(\theta) > \kappa\}| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda, q}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}$$

for any $\kappa > 0$ and $f \in L^{\lambda}(-\pi, \pi)$.

2. Preparations. We collect up in this section the lemmas which are used in the proofs. Some of them are well known and others are proved completely.

LEMMA 1. $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 1/|1-re^{i\theta}|^{\beta} d\theta \leq C_{\beta}(1-r)^{1-\beta}$ for any $\beta > 1$ and $0 < r < 1$.

LEMMA 2. When m is an integer greater than 1 and $\beta > -1$,

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\beta} r^{m-1} dr = \frac{1}{mA_m^{\beta}}.$$

LEMMA 3. (e.g. [4; VI. 2]) If $\Omega \subset (-\infty, \infty)$ is an open set which does not contain any infinite interval, then there exists a disjoint sequence $\{I_j\}$ of intervals such that $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$ and they have the following properties:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{dis. } (I_j, \mathbb{Q}) = \inf \{|x-y|; x \in I_j, y \in \mathbb{Q}\} = |I_j|.$$

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{I}_j \cap \bar{I}_i \neq \emptyset \text{ implies } |I_j| \leq 2|I_i|.$$

(2.3) We set $I_j^* = \cup \{I_i; \bar{I}_i \cap \bar{I}_j \neq \emptyset\}$. If $x \notin I_j^*$ and $y_1, y_2 \in I_j$, then $|x - y_1| \leq 3|x - y_2|$.

LEMMA 4. For $f \in L^1(-\pi, \pi)$ and $\kappa > 0$, we denote

$$(2.4) \quad \Omega = \left\{ \theta \in (-\pi, \pi]; \sup_{0 < \xi, \eta \leq \pi} \left(\frac{1}{\xi + \eta} \int_{\theta - \xi}^{\theta + \eta} |f(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) > \kappa^2 \right\}.$$

If $\Omega \neq (-\pi, \pi]$, then we have $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$ by applying lemma 3 and

$$(2.5) \quad |\Omega| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |I_j| \leq \left(\frac{C_\lambda}{\kappa} \|f\|_\lambda \right)^2.$$

$$(2.6) \quad |f(\theta)| \leq \kappa \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{C}\Omega.$$

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{I_j} |f(\theta)|^2 d\theta \leq C\kappa^2 |I_j|.$$

Furthermore, if we decompose f as

$$(2.8) \quad g(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j} f(\tau) d\tau & (\theta \in I_j, j = 1, 2, \dots) \\ f(\theta) & (\theta \notin \Omega) \end{cases}$$

$$f(\theta) = g(\theta) + h(\theta), h_j(\theta) = h(\theta) \chi_{I_j}(\theta) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where χ_{I_j} means the characteristic function of I_j , then

$$(2.9) \quad |g(\theta)| \leq C_\lambda \kappa \text{ a.e. and } \|g\|_\lambda \leq \|f\|_\lambda.$$

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{I_j} |h_j(\theta)| d\theta \leq C_\lambda \kappa |I_j| \text{ and } \|h_j\|_\lambda \leq C_\lambda \kappa |I_j|^{1/\lambda}.$$

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{I_j} h_j(\theta) d\theta = 0.$$

LEMMA 5. Let $P(z) = P(re^{i\theta}) = \text{Re. } (1+z)/(1-z)$ be the Poisson kernel, $R_r(\theta) = \nabla P(re^{i\theta})$ and $H_1(r, \theta) = \sum_{\{j: \theta \in I_j^*\}} (R_r * h_j)(\theta)$, then

$$|H_1(r, \theta)| \leq C_\lambda \frac{\kappa}{1-r}.$$

I_j^* and h_j are defined by (2.3) and (2.8), respectively.

PROOF. If we set $\Phi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, then $|R_r(\theta)| \leq \sqrt{2} |\Phi'(re^{i\theta})| = 2\sqrt{2}/|1 - re^{i\theta}|^2$. For $\theta \notin I_j^*$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in I_j$, we have $|1 - re^{i(\theta - \tau_1)}| \leq (1-r) + |\theta - \tau_1| \leq (1-r) + 3|\theta - \tau_2| \leq C|1 - re^{i(\theta - \tau_2)}|$ by (2.3). Hence

$$|I_j| \sup_{\tau \in I_j} |1 - re^{i(\theta - \tau)}|^{-2} \leq C \int_{I_j} |1 - re^{i(\theta - \tau)}|^{-2} d\tau.$$

By (2.10), we have the estimation that

$$\begin{aligned}
|(R_r * h_j)(\theta)| &\leq \sup_{\tau \in I_j} |R_r(\theta - \tau)| \int_{I_j} |h_j(\tau)| d\tau \leq C_\lambda \kappa |I_j| \sup_{\tau \in I_j} |1 - re^{i(\theta-\tau)}|^{-2} \\
&\leq C_\lambda \kappa \int_{I_j} |1 - re^{i(\theta-\tau)}|^{-2} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

for $\theta \notin I_j^*$. Therefore, we have

$$|H_1(r, \theta)| \leq \sum_{\{j: \theta \notin I_j^*\}} |(R_r * h_j)(\theta)| \leq C_\lambda \kappa \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\tau}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^2} \leq \frac{C_\lambda \kappa}{1 - r},$$

by lemma 1.

$$\text{LEMMA 6.} \quad \int_0^1 \int_{\pi \geq |\phi| \geq 2|\tau|} |R_r(\phi - \tau) - R_r(\phi)| d\phi dr \leq C.$$

PROOF. A simple calculation shows that

$$|R_r(\phi - \tau) - R_r(\phi)| \leq 2\sqrt{2} |e^{-i\tau}(1 - re^{i(\phi-\tau)})^{-2} - (1 - re^{i\phi})^{-2}|.$$

If $2|\tau| \leq |\phi| \leq \pi$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|e^{-i\tau}(1 - re^{i(\phi-\tau)})^{-2} - (1 - re^{i\phi})^{-2}| &\leq |(1 - re^{i(\phi-\tau)})^{-2} - (1 - re^{i\phi})^{-2}| \\
&+ |(e^{-i\tau} - 1)(1 - re^{i\phi})^{-2}| \leq C\{|\tau|[(1 - r) + |\phi|]^{-3} + |\tau||\phi|^{-2}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\pi \geq |\phi| \geq 2|\tau|} |R_r(\phi - \tau) - R_r(\phi)| d\phi dr \leq C \int_{\pi \geq |\phi| \geq 2|\tau|} \left(C' \frac{|\tau|}{|\phi|^2} + \frac{|\tau|}{|\phi|^2} \right) d\phi \leq C.$$

$$\text{LEMMA 7.} \quad \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R} I_j^*} |(R_r * h_j)(\phi)| d\phi dr \leq C_\lambda \kappa |I_j| \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots)$$

PROOF. Let τ_j be the center of I_j . Using the properties (2.11), (2.2), lemma 6 and (2.10) step by step

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R} I_j^*} |(R_r * h_j)(\phi)| d\phi dr \\
&\leq \int_{I_j} |h_j(\tau)| d\tau \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R} I_j^*} |R_r(\phi - \tau) - R_r(\phi - \tau_j)| d\phi dr \\
&\leq \int_{I_j} |h_j(\tau)| d\tau \int_0^1 \int_{|\phi - \tau_j| \geq 2|\tau - \tau_j|} |R_r(\phi - \tau) - R_r(\phi - \tau_j)| d\phi dr \\
&\leq C \int_{I_j} |h_j(\tau)| d\tau \leq C_\lambda \kappa |I_j|.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 8. (T. M. Flett [3; Theorem 7]) If $F \in H^\mu$, $\mu > 0$, and $k \geq 2$, then

$$\left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\int_0^1 (1 - r)^{k-1} |F'(re^{i\theta})|^k dr \right)^{\mu/k} d\theta \right\}^{1/\mu} \leq C_{\mu, k} \|F\|_\mu.$$

LEMMA 9. We define $G_{\alpha,q}(f)(\theta)$ for a real-valued function f in $L(-\pi, \pi)$ by

$$G_{\alpha,q}(f)(\theta) = \left(\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} |\nabla f(re^{i\theta})|^q dr \right)^{1/q} = \left(\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} |(R_r * f)(\theta)|^q dr \right)^{1/q}.$$

If α, q and λ satisfy the condition (1.4), then

$$\|G_{\alpha,q}(f)\|_p \leq C_{\lambda,q} \|f\|_\lambda.$$

PROOF. For f in $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$, we define a function $T(f)$ on the product measure space $\{1, 2\} \times (0, 1) \times (-\pi, \pi)$ by

$$T(f)(\nu, r, \theta) = \begin{cases} (1-r)^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(re^{i\theta}) & (\nu = 1) \\ (1-r)^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta}) & (\nu = 2). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(2.12) \quad \|T(f)\|_{2,2,2} \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_\lambda$$

$$(2.13) \quad \|T(f)\|_{2,\lambda',\lambda} \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_\lambda \quad (1/\lambda + 1/\lambda' = 1),$$

where $\|T(f)\|_{r,s,t} = \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\int_0^1 (\sum_{\nu=1}^2 |T(f)(\nu, \rho, \theta)|^r)^{s/r} d\rho \right]^{t/s} d\theta \right\}^{1/t}$. To prove the inequality (2.12), we use the Parseval's theorem and fractional integral theorem. Let $\{c_n; n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ be the Fourier coefficients of f . We may assume $c_0 = 0$ without loss of generality. Then, by virtue of lemma 2 and the relation $\alpha - 1/2 = 1/\lambda - 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)\|_{2,2,2} &= \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{2\alpha} |\nabla f(re^{i\theta})|^2 dr d\theta \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{n \neq 0} n^2 |c_n|^2 \int_0^1 (1-r)^{2\alpha} r^{(2|n|-1)-1} dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &= C \left(\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{n^2 |c_n|^2}{(2|n|-1) A_{2|n|-1}^{2\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\sum_{n \neq 0} \left| \frac{c_n}{n^{\alpha-(1/2)}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_\lambda \|f\|_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (2.13), consider $F(z) = f(z) + i\tilde{f}(z)$ where $\tilde{f}(z)$ is the conjugate harmonic function of $f(z)$ with $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$. Then $F \in H^1$ and $|\nabla f| \leq \sqrt{2} |F'|$. Hence

$$\|T(f)\|_{2,\lambda',\lambda} \leq \sqrt{2} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda'-1} |F'(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda'} dr \right)^{2/\lambda'} d\theta \right\}^{1/\lambda} \leq C_\lambda \|F\|_\lambda \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_\lambda$$

by lemma 8 and M. Riesz theorem.

We apply the interpolation theorem of A. Benedek and R. Panzone ([1; Theorem 2, p. 316]) to the relations (2.12) and (2.13). Since $1/q =$

$(1-t)/2 + t/\lambda'$ and $1/p = (1-t)/2 + t/\lambda$ for $0 \leq t < 1$, we have $\|T(f)\|_{2,q,p} \leq C_{\lambda,q} \|f\|_{\lambda}$. The equation $\|T(f)\|_{2,q,p} = \|G_{\alpha,q}(f)\|_q$ conclude the lemma 9.

3. Proof of theorem 3. It suffices to prove for real-valued functions. Let $f \in L^1(-\pi, \pi)$ be a real-valued function and define Ω by (2.4). First we consider the case $\Omega \neq (-\pi, \pi]$. Decomposing f as $f = g + h$ by (2.8), we have

$$(3.1) \quad S_{\alpha,q}(f)(\theta) \leq S_{\alpha,q}(g)(\theta) + S_{\alpha,q}(h)(\theta).$$

Further we define $H_1(r, \theta) = \sum_{\{j: \theta \in I_j^*\}} (R_r * h_j)(\theta)$ and $H_2(r, \theta) = \sum_{\{j: \theta \in I_j^*\}} (R_r * h_j)(\theta) = \nabla h(re^{i\theta}) - H_1(r, \theta)$. By definition (1.6),

$$S_{\alpha,q}(h)(\theta) \leq \left[\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|H_1(r, \theta + \phi)|^p}{|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{\alpha p}} d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right]^{1/q} \\ + \left[\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|H_2(r, \theta + \phi)|^p}{|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{\alpha p}} d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right]^{1/q} \equiv Q_1(\theta) + Q_2(\theta), \text{ say.}$$

Hence, replacing $S_{\alpha,q}(h)(\theta)$ by $Q_1(\theta) + Q_2(\theta)$ in (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad S_{\alpha,q}(f)(\theta) \leq S_{\alpha,q}(g)(\theta) + Q_1(\theta) + Q_2(\theta).$$

We estimate the each terms in the right hand side.

The estimation for $S_{\alpha,q}(g)$. For any $\mu, \mu > \lambda$, we see that $g \in L^{\mu}(-\pi, \pi)$ and $\|g\|_{\mu}^{\mu} \leq C_{\lambda,\mu} \kappa^{\mu-\lambda} \|g\|_{\lambda}^{\lambda} \leq C_{\lambda,\mu} \kappa^{\mu-\lambda} \|f\|_{\lambda}^{\lambda}$ by (2.9). In particular, if we take $\mu, \lambda < \mu < p$, then $\|S_{\alpha,q}(g)\|_{\mu}^{\mu} \leq C_{\alpha,\mu,q} \|g\|_{\mu}^{\mu} \leq C_{\lambda,\mu,q} \kappa^{\mu-\lambda} \|f\|_{\lambda}^{\lambda}$ by theorem B in the introduction. Therefore

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \left\{ \theta \in (-\pi, \pi); S_{\alpha,q}(g)(\theta) > \frac{\kappa}{3} \right\} \right| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda,q}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}.$$

The estimation for Q_1 . Note that $p \leq q$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [Q_1(\theta)]^q d\theta &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha p}}{|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{\alpha p}} |H_1(r, \theta + \phi)|^p d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr d\theta \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha p}}{|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{\alpha p}} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |H_1(r, \theta + \phi)|^q d\theta \right)^{p/q} d\phi dr \\ &\quad \text{(by Minkowski's inequality)} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{q/p} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |H_1(r, \theta)|^q d\theta \right) dr \quad \text{(by lemma 1)} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{q-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{C_{\lambda,\kappa}}{1-r} \right)^{q-1} |H_1(r, \theta)| d\theta dr \quad \text{(by lemma 5)} \\ &\leq C_{\lambda,q} \kappa^{q-1} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{\{j: \theta \in I_j^*\}} |(R_r * h_j)(\theta)| d\theta dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C_{\lambda,q} \kappa^{q-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}_j^*} |(R_r * h_j)(\theta)| d\theta dr \\
&\leq C_{\lambda,q} \kappa^q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |I_j| \leq \kappa^q \left(\frac{C_{\lambda,q}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda} \quad (\text{by lemma 7 and (2.5)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can conclude that

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \left\{ \theta \in (-\pi, \pi); Q_1(\theta) > \frac{\kappa}{3} \right\} \right| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda,q}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}.$$

The estimation for Q_2 . We set $h^i = \sum_{\{j: I_j \subset I_i^*\}} h_j$ for every i . Then $H_2(r, \phi) = (R_r * h^i)(\phi)$ for $\phi \in I_i$. I_i^* contains only three intervals I_j for each i . Therefore,

$$\|h^i\|_{\lambda} \leq \sum_{\{j: I_j \subset I_i^*\}} C_{\lambda} \kappa |I_j|^{1/\lambda} \leq C_{\lambda} \kappa \sum_{\{j: I_j \subset I_i^*\}} (2|I_i|)^{1/\lambda} = 3 \cdot 2^{1/\lambda} C_{\lambda} \kappa |I_i|^{1/\lambda} = C_{\lambda} \kappa |I_i|^{1/\lambda}$$

by (2.10) and (2.2). We also have $|1 - re^{i(\phi-\theta)}| \geq C|\theta - \tau_i|$ for $\theta \notin \Omega$, $\phi \in I_i$ and the center τ_i of I_i by the relation (2.3). Now we shall estimate $[Q_2(\theta)]^p$ at $\theta \notin \Omega$. Since $H_2(r, \phi) = 0$ for $\phi \notin \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q_2(\theta)]^p &= \left[\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{I_i} \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha p}}{|1 - re^{i(\phi-\theta)}|^{\alpha p}} |H_2(r, \phi)|^p d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right]^{p/q} \\
&\leq C \left[\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\theta - \tau_i|^{\alpha p}} \int_{I_i} (1-r)^{\alpha p} |H_2(r, \phi)|^p d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right]^{p/q} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\theta - \tau_i|^{\alpha p}} \int_{I_i} \left(\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} |H_2(r, \phi)|^q dr \right)^{p/q} d\phi \\
&\quad (\text{by Minkowski's inequality}) \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|G_{\alpha,q}(h^i)\|_p^p}{|\theta - \tau_i|^{\alpha p}} \\
&\leq C_{\lambda,q} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|h^i\|_{\lambda}^p}{|\theta - \tau_i|^{\alpha p}} \quad (\text{by lemma 9}) \\
&\leq C_{\lambda,q} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa^p |I_i|^{p/\lambda}}{|\theta - \tau_i|^{\alpha p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\theta - \tau_i|^{-\alpha p} d\theta \leq C_{\lambda,q} |I_i|^{-(p/\lambda)+1}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [Q_2(\theta)]^p d\theta \leq C_{\lambda,q} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \kappa^p |I_i| \leq \kappa^p \left(\frac{C_{\lambda,q}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}.$$

Hence

$$\left| \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^2; Q_2(\theta) > \frac{\kappa}{3} \right\} \right| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda,q}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}.$$

The measure $|\Omega|$ is estimated by (2.5). Therefore we get

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \left\{ \theta \in (-\pi, \pi); Q_2(\theta) \geq \frac{\kappa}{3} \right\} \right| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda, q}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}.$$

From the estimates (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), it follows the required estimate:

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \left\{ \theta \in (-\pi, \pi); S_{\alpha, q}(f)(\theta) > \kappa \right\} \right| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda, q}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}.$$

When $\Omega = (-\pi, \pi]$, we have easily

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \left\{ \theta \in (-\pi, \pi); S_{\alpha, q}(f)(\theta) > \kappa \right\} \right| \leq |\Omega| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda}}{\kappa} \|f\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}$$

by a property of the maximal function of Hardy-Littlewood. Consequently we have the theorem with a constant greater than $C_{\lambda, q}$ in (3.6) and C_{λ} in (3.7).

4. Proof of theorem 2. In the case (1.4), theorem 2 is deduced from theorem 3, so that we prove the case (1.5). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $F \in H^{\lambda}$ is free from zero. We set $G(z) = [F(z)]^{1/\mu}$ for some $1 < \mu < p$. Then $G \in H^{\mu}$ and the boundary function $G(\theta)$ is in $L^{\mu}(-\pi, \pi)$. Furthermore, if we set

$$G_{\mu}^{*}(\theta) = \sup_{0 < |h| \leq \pi} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |G(\theta + \tau)|^{\mu} d\tau \right)^{1/\mu},$$

then $|G(re^{i(\theta+\phi)})| \leq C_{\mu} G_{\mu}^{*}(\theta) [1 + |\phi|/(1-r)]^{1/\mu}$. (See e.g. G. Sunouchi [4; Lemma 3]) Applying this relation and $F'(z) = (\mu/\lambda)[G(z)]^{(\mu/\lambda)-1} G'(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha, q}^{*}(F)(\theta) &= \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \left\{ \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha q} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|[G(re^{i(\theta+\phi)})]^{(\mu/\lambda)-1} G'(re^{i(\theta+\phi)})|^p}{|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{\alpha p}} d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right\}^{1/q} \\ (4.1) \quad &\leq C'_{\lambda, \mu} \{G_{\mu}^{*}(\theta)\}^{(\mu/\lambda)-1} \left\{ \int_0^1 (1-r)^{q/\mu} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|G'(re^{i(\theta+\phi)})|^p}{|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{p/\mu}} d\phi \right)^{q/p} dr \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= C'_{\lambda, \mu} \{G_{\mu}^{*}(\theta)\}^{(\mu/\lambda)-1} g_{\beta, q}^{*}(G)(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = 1/\mu$. Since β, q and μ satisfy the relation (1.4) replaced α and λ by β and μ , respectively, we have from the above argument

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi); g_{\beta, q}^{*}(G)(\theta) > (C'_{\lambda, \mu} \kappa)^{\lambda/\mu}\}| \\ (4.2) \quad &\leq \left(\frac{C_{\mu, q}}{(C'_{\lambda, \mu} \kappa)^{\lambda/\mu}} \|G\|_{\mu} \right)^{\mu} = \left(\frac{C_{\lambda, \mu, q}}{\kappa} \|F\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

By a property of the maximal function of Hardy-Littlewood,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi); G_{\mu}^{*}(\theta) > (C'_{\lambda, \mu} \kappa)^{\lambda/\mu}\}| \\ (4.3) \quad &\leq \frac{C}{(C'_{\lambda, \mu} \kappa)^{\lambda}} \|G\|_{\mu}^{\mu} = \left(\frac{C_{\lambda, \mu}}{\kappa} \|F\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

The relation (4.1) and the estimates (4.2) and (4.3) give

$$|\{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi); g_{\alpha, q}^*(F)(\theta) > \kappa\}| \leq \left(\frac{C_{\lambda, q}}{\kappa} \|F\|_{\lambda} \right)^{\lambda},$$

and this completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, The space L^p , with mixed norm. Duke Math. J. 28 (1961) 301-324.
- [2] C. Fefferman, Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators. Acta Math. 124 (1970) 9-36.
- [3] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. Proc. London Math. Soc. 7 (1957) 113-141.
- [4] E. M. Stein, Intégrales singulières et fonctions différentiables de plusieurs variables. Lecture notes, Faculté des Sciences d'Orsay.
- [5] G. Sunouchi, Theorems on power series of the class H^p . Tôhoku Math. J. 8 (1956) 125-146.
- [6] A. Zygmund, On the Littlewood-Paley function $g^*(\theta)$. Proc. Nat. Acad. U.S.A. 42 (1956) 208-212.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY
YAMAGATA, JAPAN