

CHANGES OF LAW, MARTINGALES AND THE CONDITIONED SQUARE FUNCTION

NORIIHIKO KAZAMAKI

(Received December 26, 1978)

Let (Ω, F, P) be a complete probability space, given an increasing sequence (F_n) of sub σ -fields of F such that $F = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} F_n$. If $f = (f_n, F_n)$ is a martingale with difference sequence $d = (d_n)_{n \geq 1}$, we shall set $f^* = \sup_{n \geq 0} |f_n|$, $S(f) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^2)^{1/2}$ and $s(f) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[d_n^2 | F_{n-1}])^{1/2}$. Let us assume that $f_0 = 0$. The operator $s(f)$, which is not of matrix type, is called the conditioned square function. It was studied by Burkholder and Gundy [3]. Let $s_n(f) = (\sum_{k=1}^n E[d_k^2 | F_{k-1}])^{1/2}$. Clearly, $s_n(f)$ is F_{n-1} -measurable. Throughout the paper, we fix a BMO-martingale $M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k$, $M_0 = 0$ such that $-1 + \delta < m_k$, ($k \geq 1$) for some constant δ with $0 < \delta \leq 1$, and consider the process Z given by the formula $Z_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + m_k)$, $Z_0 = 1$. Z is a positive uniformly integrable martingale which satisfies the condition

$$(A_p) \quad Z_n E[Z_{\infty}^{-1/(p-1)} | F_n]^{p-1} \leq C_p, \quad n \geq 0$$

for some $p > 1$; see [6]. As $Z_{\infty} > 0$ a.s., the weighted probability measure $d\hat{P} = Z_{\infty} dP$ is equivalent to dP . Note that for every \hat{P} -integrable random variable Y

$$\hat{E}[Y | F_n] = E[Z_{\infty} Y | F_n] / Z_n \quad \text{a.s., under } dP \text{ and } d\hat{P},$$

where \hat{E} denotes the expectation over Ω with respect to $d\hat{P}$.

Our aim is to prove the following:

THEOREM. *Let $0 < p \leq 2$. Then the inequality*

$$(1) \quad \hat{E}[(f^*)^p] \leq c_p \hat{E}[s(f)^p]$$

is valid for all martingales $f = (f_n)$.

Furthermore, if $2 \leq p < \infty$ and Z satisfies the (A_p) condition, then we have

$$(2) \quad \hat{E}[s(f)^p] \leq C_p \sup_{n \geq 0} \hat{E}[|f_n|^p].$$

Here, the choice of c_p and C_p depends only on p .

This result is well-known for the case where $Z \equiv 1$; see Theorem 5.3 of [3]. To prove the theorem, we need several lemmas, which will

be stated without proof in the following. The letter C_p denotes a positive constant, not necessarily the same number from line to line.

LEMMA 1. *If $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of non-negative random variables, then for $p \geq 1$*

$$E\left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[a_n | F_n]\right)^p\right] \leq p^p E\left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right)^p\right].$$

See Theorem 3.2 of [2].

LEMMA 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If Z satisfies (A_p) , then the inequality*

$$\widehat{E}[(f^*)^p] \leq C_p \sup_{n \geq 0} \widehat{E}[|f_n|^p]$$

is valid for all martingales $f = (f_n)$.

In our case, (A_p) implies $(A_{p-\varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and so this inequality follows from Theorem 2 of [5]. It is proved in [5] that the converse to Lemma 2 is true.

LEMMA 3. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $f = (f_n)$ is a martingale, then*

$$c_p \widehat{E}[S(f)^p] \leq \widehat{E}[(f^*)^p] \leq C_p \widehat{E}[S(f)^p].$$

For the proof, see [4]. The inequality corresponding to the continuous parameter case was obtained by Bonami and Lépingle [1] and Sekiguchi [8] independently.

LEMMA 4. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. Then we have*

$$Z_n^\varepsilon \leq C_\varepsilon E[Z_\infty^\varepsilon | F_n], \quad n \geq 1.$$

This is Lemma 1 of [7]. It is easy to see that for a martingale $f = (f_n)$ with difference sequence $d = (d_n)$, the process $\widehat{f} = (\widehat{f}_n)$ defined by $\widehat{f}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k / (1 + m_k)$ is a martingale with respect to $d\widehat{P}$. The following lemma is proved in [7].

LEMMA 5. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and set $\widehat{d}_k = d_k / (1 + m_k)$. Then we have*

$$\widehat{E}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{d}_k^2\right)^{p/2}\right] \leq C_p E\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_{k-1}^{2/p} d_k^2\right)^{p/2}\right].$$

PROOF OF THEOREM. Let $s(\widehat{f})$ denote the conditioned square function $(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{E}[\widehat{d}_k^2 | F_{k-1}])^{1/2}$ relative to $d\widehat{P}$. Since $\delta < Z_k / Z_{k-1} = 1 + m_k \leq \|M\|_{\text{BMO}}$ and $\widehat{E}[\widehat{d}_k^2 | F_{k-1}] = E[d_k^2 / (1 + m_k) | F_{k-1}]$, we have $(1 + \|M\|_{\text{BMO}})^{-1/2} s(f) \leq s(\widehat{f}) \leq \delta^{-1/2} s(f)$ and $\widehat{E}[d_k^2 | F_{k-1}] \leq (1 + \|M\|_{\text{BMO}}) E[d_k^2 | F_{k-1}]$.

We start with the case $0 < p \leq 2$. From Lemma 3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{E}[(f^*)^2] &\leq C\widehat{E}[S(f)^2] = C\widehat{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{E}[d_n^2 | F_{n-1}]\right] \\ &\leq C\widehat{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[d_n^2 | F_{n-1}]\right] = C\widehat{E}[s(f)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1) is proved for $p = 2$. Now, let us consider the case $0 < p < 2$. Following the idea of Garsia, we define a martingale transform g by the formula $g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(f)^{(p-2)/2} d_k$. Then by the definition of $s(g)$

$$s(g)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n(f)^{p-2} E[d_n^2 | F_{n-1}] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n(f)^{p-2} \{s_n(f)^2 - s_{n-1}(f)^2\}.$$

But, if $0 < a \leq b$, then $b^{p-2}(b^2 - a^2) \leq 2(b^p - a^p)/p$. This gives $s(g)^2 \leq 2s(f)^p/p$. Therefore, $\widehat{E}[(g^*)^2] \leq C\widehat{E}[s(g)^2] \leq C_p \widehat{E}[s(f)^p]$. On the other hand,

$$f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(f)^{1-p/2} (g_k - g_{k-1}) = g_n s_n(f)^{1-p/2} - \sum_{k=1}^n g_k \{s_k(f)^{1-p/2} - s_{k-1}(f)^{1-p/2}\}$$

and so $f^* \leq 2g^* s(f)^{1-p/2}$. Then we apply Hölder's inequality with exponents $2/p$ and $2/(2 - p)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{E}[(f^*)^p] &\leq 2^p \widehat{E}[(g^*)^p s(f)^{p(1-p/2)}] \leq 2^p \widehat{E}[(g^*)^2]^{p/2} \widehat{E}[s(f)^p]^{1-p/2} \\ &\leq C_p \widehat{E}[s(f)^p]^{p/2} \widehat{E}[s(f)^p]^{1-p/2} \leq C_p \widehat{E}[s(f)^p]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the desired inequality (1) is obtained.

Next we deal with the case $2 \leq p < \infty$. Let us assume that Z satisfies (A_p) ; namely, the weighted norm inequality stated in Lemma 2 holds. As $s(f) \leq cs(\widehat{f})$, we have $\widehat{E}[s(f)^p] \leq C_p \widehat{E}[s(\widehat{f})^p] \leq C_p \widehat{E}[(\widehat{f}^*)^p]$; the right hand side inequality is well-known. See Theorem 5.3 (i) of [3]. By Lemmas 4 and 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{E}[(\widehat{f}^*)^p] &\leq C_p E\left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n^{2/p} d_n^2\right)^{p/2}\right] \leq C_p E\left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n^{2/p} d_n^2\right)^{p/2}\right] \\ &\leq C_p E\left[\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[Z_n^{2/p} d_n^2 | F_n]\right)^{p/2}\right] \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 1 the expectation on the right hand side is smaller than $E[(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n^{2/p} d_n^2)^{p/2}] = \widehat{E}[(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^2)^{p/2}]$. Then this combined with Lemmas 2 and 3 yields (2) as desired. Thus the theorem is established.

REFERENCES

[1] A. BONAMI AND D. LÉPINGLE, Fonction maximale et variation quadratique des martingales en presence d'un poids, Séminaire de Probabilités XIII, Univ. de Strasbourg, Lecture Notes in Math. 721 (1978), Springer-Verlag, 294-306.
 [2] D. L. BURKHOLDER, B. J. DAVIS AND R. F. GUNDY, Integral inequalities for convex functions of operators on martingales, Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. and Prob., U. C. Press (1972), 223-240.

- [3] D. L. BURKHOLDER AND R. F. GUNDY, Extrapolation and interpolation of quasi linear operators on martingales, *Acta. Math.* 124 (1970), 249-304.
- [4] M. IZUMISAWA, Weighted norm inequality for operator on martingales, to appear in *Tôhoku Math. J.* 32 (1980).
- [5] M. IZUMISAWA AND N. KAZAMAKI, Weighted norm inequalities for martingales, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 29 (1977), 115-124.
- [6] N. KAZAMAKI, A sufficient condition for the uniform integrability of exponential martingales, *Math. Rep. Toyama Univ.* vol. 2 (1979), 1-11.
- [7] N. KAZAMAKI, Transformation of H^p -martingales by a change of law, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* 46 (1979), 343-349.
- [8] T. SEKIGUCHI, BMO-martingales and inequalities, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 31 (1979), 355-358.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOYAMA UNIVERSITY
TOYAMA, 930 JAPAN