Tôhoku Math. Journ.
33 (1981), 395-407.

# THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF THE LAPLACIAN ON TORI

KAZUMI TSUKADA

(Received April 25, 1980)

**1. Introduction.** Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional compact connected differentiable manifold. For every Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$, let $\Delta_g$ be the Laplacian acting on differentiable functions on $M$. We denote the first eigenvalue of $\Delta_g$ by $\lambda_1(g)$ and the volume of $(M, g)$ by $\mathrm{Vol}(M, g)$. Berger [1] posed the following problem: *Does there exist a positive constant $k(M)$ such that*

$$(*) \qquad \lambda_1(g)\,\mathrm{Vol}(M, g)^{2/n} \leqq k(M)\ ,$$

*for every Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$?* Hersch [4] showed that if $M$ is diffeomorphic to the 2-dimensional sphere $S^2$, then for every Riemannian metric $g$ on $S^2$,

$$\lambda_1(g)\,\mathrm{Vol}(S^2, g) \leqq 8\pi\ .$$

The equality holds if and only if $g$ is a metric with the constant curvature.

On the other hand, recently the following people constructed examples which admit a family of Riemannian metrics $g(t)$ $(0 < t < \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1(g(t))\,\mathrm{Vol}(M, g(t))^{2/n} \to \infty & \text{as} \quad t \to \infty \\ \lambda_1(g(t))\,\mathrm{Vol}(M, g(t))^{2/n} \to 0 & \text{as} \quad t \to 0\ . \end{cases}$$

(i) Urakawa [8] constructed such a family of metrics on a compact connected Lie group with a non-trivial commutator subgroup.

(ii) Tanno [7] constructed such on any odd dimensional sphere $S^{2n+1}$ $(n \geqq 1)$.

(iii) Urakawa and Muto [10] constructed such on compact homogeneous spaces which satisfy some conditions.

(iv) Muto [5] constructed such on any even dimensional sphere $S^{2n}$ $(n \geqq 2)$.

For an $n$-dimensional torus $T^n$, it is known that there exists a constant $k(T^n)$ such that $(*)$ holds for every "flat" metric (cf. [9]). In this paper we prove that there exists no constant $k(T^n)$ such that $(*)$ holds for any metric on $T^n$ $(n \geqq 3)$. Namely we show the following.

THEOREM. *On any $n$-dimensional torus $T^n$ $(n \geqq 3)$, there exists a family of metrics $g(t)$ $(0 < t < \infty)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1(g(t)) \to \infty & as \quad t \to \infty \\ \lambda_1(g(t)) \to 0 & as \quad t \to 0 \end{cases}$$

*and* $\mathrm{Vol}(T^n, g(t)) = constant.$

The author wishes to thank Professor K. Ogiue for his many valuable comments.

**2. Some formulas for a Riemannian submersion.** In [6] O'Neill studied fundamental equations of a Riemannian submersion. We review some formulas in it which are useful in the sequel. Given a Riemannian submersion $\pi: M \to B$, we denote by $\mathscr{V}E$ (resp. $\mathscr{H}E$) a vertical part (resp. a horizontal part) of a vector field $E$ on $M$. Following O'Neill, we define two tensor fields $T$ and $A$ for arbitrary vector fields $E$ and $F$ by

$$T_E F = \mathscr{H}\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathscr{V}E}\mathscr{V}F + \mathscr{V}\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathscr{V}E}\mathscr{H}F$$

and

$$A_E F = \mathscr{H}\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathscr{H}E}\mathscr{V}F + \mathscr{V}\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathscr{H}E}\mathscr{H}F$$

respectively, where we denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Riemannian connection on $M$.

We review some formulas for the tensor field $A$ which will be used in the sequel. The tensor field $A$ is called an integrability tensor associated with the submersion.

DEFINITION. A basic vector field is a horizontal vector field $X^*$ which is $\pi$-related to a vector field $X$ on $B$, i.e., $\pi X^*_u = X_{\pi(u)}$ for all $u \in M$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose $X^*$ and $Y^*$ are basic vector fields on $M$ which are related to $X$ and $Y$ on $B$. Then*

(1) *$\mathscr{H}([X^*, Y^*])$ is basic and is $\pi$-related to $[X, Y]$.*

(2) *$\mathscr{H}\tilde{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^*$ is basic and $\pi$-related to $\nabla_X Y$ where $\nabla$ is the Riemannian connection on $B$.*

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ be horizontal vector fields on $M$. Then we have*

$$A_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} = \mathscr{V}([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}])/2 .$$

The proof of these results is found in [6].

**3. The Laplacian of a metric $g$ on $M \times S^1$.** In this section, in the same way as Vilms [11], we introduce a Riemannian metric $g$ on a product manifold $M \times S^1$ and calculate its Laplacian $\Delta_g$.

Let $(M, h)$ be an $n$-dimensional ($n \geqq 2$) compact connected Riemannian manifold and $\omega$ be a 1-form on $M$. We denote $\boldsymbol{R}/2\pi\boldsymbol{Z}$ by $S^1$ and its

coordinate system by $\{s\}$. We consider a product manifold $M \times S^1$ with natural projections $\pi: M \times S^1 \to M$ and $\eta: M \times S^1 \to S^1$. We define a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M \times S^1$ by

$$g = \pi^* h + (\omega + ds) \otimes (\omega + ds) ,$$

where we simply denote $\pi^*\omega$ and $\eta^* ds$ by $\omega$ and $ds$, respectively. We remark that $(M \times S^1, g)$ may be regarded as a trivial $S^1$-bundle with a connection $\omega + ds$.

We denote by $\zeta$ the vector field $d/ds$ which is naturally regarded as a vector field on $M \times S^1$. We denote by $\xi$ a contravariant form of $\omega$ on $M$. We may naturally regard $\xi$ as a vector field on $M \times S^1$. We denote by $L_X$ the Lie derivation with respect to $X$. We consider the Laplacian $\Delta_M$ on $(M, h)$ as a differential operator acting on differentiable functions on $M \times S^1$ in the following sense: For $\varphi \in C^\infty(M \times S^1)$, $\Delta_M \varphi(x, s) = \Delta_M \iota_s^* \varphi(x)$ at $(x, s)$, where $\iota_s$ denotes the natural imbedding $\iota_s: M \to M \times S^1$ given by $\iota_s(x) = (x, s)$.

We easily get:

LEMMA 3.1. *The metric $g$ on $M \times S^1$ has the following properties:*

(1) *The vector field $\zeta$ is a unit Killing vector field on $(M \times S^1, g)$.*

(2) *The projection $\pi$ is a Riemannian submersion from $(M \times S^1, g)$ to $(M, h)$ with totally geodesic fibres.*

PROPOSITION 3.2. *For $\varphi \in C^\infty(M \times S^1)$, we have*

$$\Delta_g \varphi = \Delta_M \varphi - (1 + |\omega|^2) L_\zeta L_\zeta \varphi + 2 L_\xi L_\zeta \varphi - (\delta\omega) L_\zeta \varphi ,$$

*where we calculate the norm of $\omega$ and the co-differential operator $\delta$ with respect to the metric $h$.*

PROOF. For an arbitrary point $x \in M$, let $U$ be a neighborhood of $x$ in $M$ and $\{X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n\}$ be a local field of orthonormal frames on $U$. We naturally regard $X_j$ as a vector field on $U \times S^1$ and define a vector field $X_j^*$ on $U \times S^1$ by $X_j^* = X_j - \omega(X_j)\zeta$. Then $X_j^*$ is a basic vector field which is related to $X_j$. We easily see that $\{X_1^*, X_2^*, \cdots, X_n^*, \zeta\}$ is a local field of orthonormal frames on $U \times S^1$. By the definition of the Laplacian, for $\varphi \in C^\infty(M \times S^1)$ we have

$$-\Delta_g \varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (X_j^* X_j^* \varphi - \tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*} X_j^* \varphi) + \zeta\zeta\varphi - \tilde{\nabla}_\zeta \zeta \varphi \quad \text{on} \quad U \times S^1 .$$

We see that $\tilde{\nabla}_\zeta \zeta = 0$ since $\zeta$ is a unit Killing vector field. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\mathscr{V}(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*}X_j^*) = A_{X_j^*}X_j^* = \mathscr{V}([X_j^*, X_j^*])/2 = 0$$
$$\mathscr{H}(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*}X_j^*) = (\nabla_{X_j}X_j)^* = \nabla_{X_j}X_j - \omega(\nabla_{X_j}X_j)\zeta ,$$

where $\nabla_{X_j}X_j$ is regarded as a vector field on $U \times S^1$. Hence we get $\tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*}X_j^* = \nabla_{X_j}X_j - \omega(\nabla_{X_j}X_j)\zeta$. Noticing that $[X_j, \zeta] = 0$ and $\zeta\omega(X_j) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_j^*X_j^*\varphi - \tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*}X_j^*\varphi &= (X_j - \omega(X_j)\zeta)(X_j - \omega(X_j)\zeta)\varphi - (\nabla_{X_j}X_j - \omega(\nabla_{X_j}X_j)\zeta)\varphi \\ &= X_jX_j\varphi - X_j\omega(X_j)\cdot\zeta\varphi - \omega(X_j)X_j\zeta\varphi \\ &\quad - \omega(X_j)\zeta X_j\varphi + \omega(X_j)\zeta\cdot\omega(X_j)\cdot\zeta\varphi \\ &\quad + \omega(X_j)^2\zeta\zeta\varphi - (\nabla_{X_j}X_j)\varphi + \omega(\nabla_{X_j}X_j)\zeta\varphi \\ &= X_jX_j\varphi - (\nabla_{X_j}X_j)\varphi + \omega(X_j)^2\zeta\zeta\varphi \\ &\quad - \{X_j\omega(X_j) - \omega(\nabla_{X_j}X_j)\}\zeta\varphi - 2\omega(X_j)X_j\zeta\varphi . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g\varphi &= \sum_{j=1}^n (X_jX_j\varphi - \nabla_{X_j}X_j\varphi) + \Big(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \omega(X_j)^2\Big)\zeta\zeta\varphi \\ &\quad - 2\sum_{j=1}^n \omega(X_j)X_j\zeta\varphi - \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j\omega(X_j) - \omega(\nabla_{X_j}X_j))\zeta\varphi \\ &= -\Delta_M\varphi + (1 + |\omega|^2)L_\zeta L_\zeta\varphi - 2L_\xi L_\zeta\varphi + \delta\omega L_\zeta\varphi . \end{aligned}$$

Following Tanno [7], we define a family of Riemannian metrics $g(t)$ $(0 < t < \infty)$ by

$$g(t) = t^{-1}g + (t^n - t^{-1})(\omega + ds) \otimes (\omega + ds) \qquad 0 < t < \infty .$$

By ${}^{(t)}\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\Delta_{g(t)}$, we denote the Riemannian connection and the Laplacian with respect to $g(t)$.

LEMMA 3.3. *$(M \times S^1, g(t))$ has the following properties.*

(1) *Volume elements with respect to $g(t)$ and $g(1) = g$ are identical; $dV_{g(t)} = dV_g$, and $\mathrm{Vol}(M \times S^1, g(t)) = \mathrm{Vol}(M \times S^1, g)$.*

(2) *The vector field $\zeta$ is a Killing vector field with constant length $t^{n/2}$.*

(3) *The projection $\pi$ is a Riemannian submersion from $(M \times S^1, g(t))$ to $(M, t^{-1}h)$ with totally geodesic fibres.*

(4) *Horizontal distributions associated with the submersion $\pi$: $(M \times S^1, g(t)) \to (M, t^{-1}h)$ and the submersion $\pi$: $(M \times S^1, g) \to (M, h)$ are identical.*

(5) *If $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are horizontal vector fields, then we have ${}^{(t)}A_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} = A_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y}$, where ${}^{(t)}A$ denotes the integrability tensor associated with the submersion $\pi$: $(M \times S^1, g(t)) \to (M, t^{-1}h)$.*

(6) *Suppose $X^*$ and $Y^*$ are basic vector fields which are related*

*to $X$ and $Y$. Then we get* ${}^{(t)}\tilde{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^* = \tilde{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^*$.

PROOF. (1), (2), (3), and (4) are easily checked. (5) By Lemma 2.2, we get ${}^{(t)}A_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} = \mathscr{V}([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}])/2 = A_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y}$. (6) By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have $\mathscr{V}({}^{(t)}\tilde{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^*) = {}^{(t)}A_{X^*}Y^* = A_{X^*}Y^* = \mathscr{V}(\tilde{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^*)$, $\mathscr{H}({}^{(t)}\tilde{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^*) = ({}^{(t)}\nabla_X Y)^*$, where ${}^{(t)}\nabla$ denotes the Riemannian connection with respect to $(M, t^{-1}h)$. Since $(M, t^{-1}h)$ is a homothetic deformation of $(M, h)$, ${}^{(t)}\nabla$ coincides with $\nabla$. Therefore we have $({}^{(t)}\nabla_X Y)^* = (\nabla_X Y)^* = \mathscr{H}(\tilde{\nabla}_{X^*}Y^*)$. Hence we get (6).

As for the relation between $\Delta_g$ and $\Delta_{g(t)}$, we show the following.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *For $\varphi \in C^\infty(M \times S^1)$, we have $\Delta_{g(t)}\varphi = t\Delta_g\varphi + (t - t^{-n})L_\zeta L_\zeta\varphi$.*

PROOF. We use again a local frame field $\{X_1^*, \cdots, X_n^*, \zeta\}$ given in the proof of Proposition 3.2. By Lemma 3.3 (4), $X_j^*$ is a basic vector field associated with the submersion $\pi: (M \times S^1, g(t)) \to (M, t^{-1}h)$. We easily see that $\{t^{1/2}X_1^*, \cdots, t^{1/2}X_n^*, t^{-n/2}\zeta\}$ is an orthonormal frame field on $U \times S^1$ with respect to the metric $g(t)$. Noticing that ${}^{(t)}\tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*}X_j^* = \tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*}X_j^*$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{g(t)}\varphi &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}(t^{1/2}X_j^* t^{1/2}X_j^*\varphi - {}^{(t)}\tilde{\nabla}_{t^{1/2}X_j^*}t^{1/2}X_j^*\varphi) + t^{-n/2}\zeta t^{-n/2}\zeta\varphi \\
&= t\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_j^* X_j^*\varphi - {}^{(t)}\tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*}X_j^*\varphi) + \zeta\zeta\varphi\right\} - (t - t^{-n})\zeta\zeta\varphi \\
&= t\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_j^* X_j^*\varphi - \tilde{\nabla}_{X_j^*}X_j^*\varphi) + \zeta\zeta\varphi\right\} - (t - t^{-n})\zeta\zeta\varphi \\
&= -t\Delta_g\varphi - (t - t^{-n})L_\zeta L_\zeta\varphi\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

**4. Proof of Theorem in the 3-dimensional case.** 4.1. *The Laplacian of warped product.* Ejiri [3] studied the Laplacian of a warped product. Here we review his results. Let $(B, g)$ and $(F, h)$ be Riemannian manifolds and $f$ be a positive differentiable function on $B$. Consider the product manifold $B \times F$ with projections $\pi: B \times F \to B$ and $\eta: B \times F \to F$. The warped product $M = B \times_f F$ is the manifold $B \times F$ furnished with the Riemannian structure $\bar{g}$ defined by

$$\bar{g}(X, Y) = g(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y) + f^2(\pi u)h(\eta_* X, \eta_* Y)$$

for tangent vectors $X, Y \in T_u M$. We denote by $\Delta_M$, $\Delta_B$, and $\Delta_F$ the Laplacians of $(M, \bar{g})$, $(B, g)$ and $(F, h)$, respectively. By grad $f$ we denote the gradient of $f$ defined by the metric tensor $g$ and we regard grad $f$ as a vector field on $M$. Ejiri found the following relation among $\Delta_B$, $\Delta_F$ and $\Delta_M$.

LEMMA 4.1. [3]

$$\Delta_M = \Delta_B - (n/f)\ \mathrm{grad}\, f + (1/f)^2 \Delta_F\ ,$$

*where $n$ is the dimension of $F$.*

In this note we deal with a warped product $S^1 \times_f S^1$, where $S^1$ denotes $\boldsymbol{R}/2\pi\boldsymbol{Z}$.

COROLLARY 4.2.

$$\Delta_{S^1 \times_f S^1} = -\partial^2/\partial t^2 - (f'/f)(\partial/\partial t) - (1/f)^2 \partial^2/\partial u^2\ ,$$

*where $t$ (resp. $u$) is the coordinate for the first (resp. second) $S^1$ and $f' = df/dt$.*

4.2. *A construction of a Riemannian metric on $T^3$.* We introduce a Riemannian metric $g$ on $T^3$ as follows. We consider $T^3$ as $T^2 \times S^1$ and we apply the method in §3. We define $(T^2, h)$ as the warped product $T^2 = S^1 \times_f S^1$, where $f$ is a positive function on $S^1$. By $S^1$ we mean $\boldsymbol{R}/2\pi\boldsymbol{Z}$ and we use $\{t, u\}$ as the coordinate system on $T^2 = S^1 \times_f S^1$. Put $\xi = \partial/\partial u$. Then its dual 1-form on $S^1 \times_f S^1$ is $f^2 du$, which is denoted by $\omega$. Following §3, we define a Riemannian structure $g$ on $T^3 = T^2 \times S^1$ by $g = \pi^* h + (\omega + ds) \otimes (\omega + ds)$. Then the Riemannian metric is represented as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f^2 + f^4 & f^2 \\ 0 & f^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in terms of the coordinate system $\{t, u, s\}$.

Therefore we get:

LEMMA 4.3. *The volume element $dV_g$ of $(T^3, g)$ is given by $dV_g = f dt \wedge du \wedge ds$.*

Now we calculate the Laplacian of $(T^3, g)$.

PROPOSITION 4.4.

$$\Delta_g = -\partial^2/\partial t^2 - (f'/f)(\partial/\partial t) - (1/f)^2 L_\xi L_\xi - (1 + f^2) L_\zeta L_\zeta + 2 L_\xi L_\zeta\ .$$

PROOF. It is easily checked that $\xi$ is a Killing vector field. So we have $\delta\omega = \mathrm{div}\, \xi = 0$. Applying Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.2 we obtain Proposition 4.4 immediately.

4.3. *Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $(T^3, g)$.* By $C^\infty(T^3)$ we denote the space of complex-valued differentiable functions on $T^3$. We define a scalar product on $C^\infty(T^3)$ by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_1 = \int_{T^3} \varphi \bar{\psi} dV_g = \int_{T^3} \varphi \bar{\psi} f dt \wedge du \wedge ds \qquad \text{for} \quad \varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(T^3) .$$

On the other hand, we introduce on $T^3$ another Riemannian metric $g_0$ which is the natural Riemannian product on $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$. We define a scalar product with respect to $g_0$ by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_0 = \int_{T^3} \varphi \bar{\psi} dV_{g_0} = \int_{T^3} \varphi \bar{\psi} dt \wedge du \wedge ds .$$

We denote the minimum of $f$ and the maximum of $f$ by $m$ and $M$, respectively. Then we have $m \| \varphi \|_0^2 \leqq \| \varphi \|_1^2 \leqq M \| \varphi \|_0^2$ for $\varphi \in C^\infty(T^3)$, where as usual $\| \ \|_0$ and $\| \ \|_1$ denote the norms on $C^\infty(T^3)$ defined by $\langle \ , \ \rangle_0$ and $\langle \ , \ \rangle_1$, respectively. Therefore we get:

LEMMA 4.5. *If $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a complete basis for $(C^\infty(T^3), \langle \ , \ \rangle_1)$, then it is also a complete basis for $(C^\infty(T^3), \langle \ , \ \rangle_0)$, and vice versa.*

By $C^\infty(S^1)$, we denote the space of complex-valued differentiable functoins on $S^1$ with a scalar product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{S^1} \varphi \bar{\psi} f dt$. For integers $k$ and $l$, we define a differential operator acting on $C^\infty(S^1)$ by

$$L(k; l)\varphi = -d^2\varphi/dt^2 - (f'/f)(d\varphi/dt) + (l/f - kf)^2\varphi + k^2\varphi .$$

LEMMA 4.6. *$L(k; l)$ is a strongly elliptic self-adjoint operator acting on $C^\infty(S^1)$.*

PROOF. We will show that it is a self-adjoint operator. For $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(S^1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle L(k; l)\varphi, \psi \rangle \\
&\quad = \int_{S^1} f\{-d^2\varphi/dt^2 - (f'/f)(d\varphi/dt) + (l/f - kf)^2\varphi + k^2\varphi\}\bar{\psi} dt \\
&\quad = \int_{S^1} \Big\{ -\frac{d}{dt}\Big(f \frac{d\varphi}{dt}\bar{\psi}\Big) + \frac{df}{dt}\frac{d\varphi}{dt}\bar{\psi} + f\frac{d\varphi}{dt}\frac{d\bar{\psi}}{dt} - \frac{df}{dt}\frac{d\varphi}{dt}\bar{\psi} \\
&\qquad + f(l/f - kf)^2\varphi\bar{\psi} + fk^2\varphi\bar{\psi}\Big\} dt \\
&\quad = \int_{S^1} \Big\{ f\frac{d\varphi}{dt}\frac{d\bar{\psi}}{dt} + f(l/f - kf)^2\varphi\bar{\psi} + fk^2\varphi\bar{\psi}\Big\} dt .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\langle \varphi, L(k; l)\psi \rangle = \int_{S^1} \Big\{ f\frac{d\varphi}{dt}\frac{d\bar{\psi}}{dt} + f(l/f - kf)^2\varphi\bar{\psi} + fk^2\varphi\bar{\psi}\Big\} dt .$$

Let $\{\mu_1(k; l) \leqq \mu_2(k; l) \leqq \cdots\}$ be the eigenvalues of $L(k; l)$, and $\varphi_j(k; l)$ be the eigenfunction such that $L(k; l)\varphi_j(k; l) = \mu_j(k; l)\varphi_j(k; l)$. By Lemma

4.6, for each pair $(k, l)$, $\{\varphi_j(k; l)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete basis of $C^{\infty}(S^1)$. As is well known, $e^{iks}$ $(k \in \boldsymbol{Z})$ is an eigenfunction of $-d^2/ds^2$ on $S^1$. We write $\theta_k(s) = e^{iks}$ and $\psi_l(u) = e^{ilu}$ for $k, l \in \boldsymbol{Z}$.

LEMMA 4.7. *$\varphi_j(k; l)\psi_l\theta_k$ is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_g$ and its eigenvalue is $\mu_j(k; l)$:*

$$\Delta_g\varphi_j(k; l)\psi_l\theta_k = \mu_j(k; l)\varphi_j(k; l)\psi_l\theta_k .$$

PROOF. We see that $L_\xi\psi_l = il\psi_l$ and $L_\zeta\theta_k = ik\theta_k$. Applying Proposition 4.4, we obtain the result.

Next we have:

PROPOSITION 4.8. *$\{\varphi_j(k; l)\psi_l\theta_k,\ k, l \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ j = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ is a complete basis for $(C^{\infty}(T^3), \langle\ ,\ \rangle_1)$ and hence $\{\mu_j(k; l);\ k, l \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ j = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ is the spectrum of $(T^3, g)$.*

PROOF. Let $u_h(t) = e^{iht}$, $h \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, be an eigenfunction of $-d^2/dt^2$ on $S^1$. Since for each $(k, l)$, $\{\varphi_j(k; l)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete basis for $C^{\infty}(S^1)$, for $u_h$ there exist $a_j \in \boldsymbol{C}$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots$, such that $\lim_{p\to\infty} \| u_h - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j\varphi_j(k; l) \| = 0$, where $\|\ \ \|$ denotes the norm on $C^{\infty}(S^1)$ defined by the scalar product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ with respect to the measure $f dt$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| u_h\psi_l\theta_k - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j\varphi_j(k; l)\psi_l\theta_k \right\|_1 \\
&\quad = \left\| \left( u_h - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j\varphi_j(k; l) \right) \psi_l\theta_k \right\|_1 \\
&\quad = \left\| u_h - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j\varphi_j(k; l) \right\| \left\{ \int_{S^1} \psi_l\bar{\psi}_l du \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{S^1} \theta_k\bar{\theta}_k ds \right\}^{1/2} ,
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $\lim_{p\to\infty} \| u_h\psi_l\theta_k - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j\varphi_j(k; l)\psi_l\theta_k \|_1 = 0$, where $\|\ \ \|_1$ denotes the norm on $C^{\infty}(T^3)$ defined by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_1$. On the other hand, it is well known that $\{u_h\psi_l\theta_k;\ h, l, k \in \boldsymbol{Z}\}$ is a complete basis for $(C^{\infty}(T^3), \langle\ ,\ \rangle_0)$ (cf. [2]). By Lemma 4.5 $\{u_h\psi_l\theta_k;\ h, l, k \in \boldsymbol{Z}\}$ is also a complete basis for $(C^{\infty}(T^3), \langle\ ,\ \rangle_1)$. The above arguments imply that $\{\varphi_j(k; l)\psi_l\theta_k;\ k, l \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ $j = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ is a complete basis for $(C^{\infty}(T^3), \langle\ ,\ \rangle_1)$.

4.4. *Estimates of eigenvalues of the operator $L(k; l)$.* In this part, making use of the minimum principle we estimate eigenvalues of $L(k; l)$ from below. First of all, we apply the minimum principle to the self-adjoint operator $L(k; l)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1(k; l) &= \inf_{\varphi} \langle L(k; l)\varphi, \varphi\rangle / \langle\varphi, \varphi\rangle \\
&= \inf \int_{S^1} \{ f\varphi'\bar{\varphi}' + f(l/f - kf)^2\varphi\bar{\varphi} + fk^2\varphi\bar{\varphi} \} dt \Big/ \int_{S^1} f\varphi\bar{\varphi} dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \inf \int_{S^1} \{f\varphi'\bar{\varphi}' + f(l/f - kf)^2\varphi\bar{\varphi}\}dt \Big/ \int_{S^1} f\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt + k^2 ,$$

where $\varphi' = d\varphi/dt$ and $\bar{\varphi}' = d\bar{\varphi}/dt$ and the infimum is taken over all non-zero $\varphi$ in $C^\infty(S^1)$.

LEMMA 4.9. *If $f$ is not constant on $S^1$ and at least one of $k$ and $l$ is not zero, then there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$ which does not depend on $k$ and $l$ such that*

$$\inf_{\varphi} \left\{\int_{S^1} f\varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \int_{S^1} f(l/f - kf)^2\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt\right\} \Big/ \int_{S^1} f\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt \geqq \varepsilon ,$$

*where the infimum is taken over all $\varphi$ as above.*

PROOF. Let $m$ and $M$ be the minimum and the maximum of $f$, respectively. In the proof of this lemma, for simplicity we omit $S^1$ in the integral sign. We have

$$(**) \qquad \left\{\int f\varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \int f(l/f - kf)^2\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt\right\} \Big/ \int f\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt$$
$$\geqq \frac{m}{M}\left\{\int \varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \frac{1}{Mm}\int (l - kf^2)^2\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt\right\} \Big/ \int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt .$$

When $k = 0$, since $l$ is not zero, we have

$$\frac{m}{M}\left\{\int \varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \frac{1}{Mm}l^2\int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt\right\} \Big/ \int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt$$
$$\geqq \frac{m}{M}\left\{\int \varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \frac{1}{Mm}\int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt\right\} \Big/ \int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt .$$

Let $\varepsilon_1 = \inf_\varphi \left\{\int \varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + (1/Mm)\int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt\right\} \Big/ \int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt$. $\varepsilon_1$ is positive. Then, in the case $k = 0$, we have

$$(**) \geqq m\varepsilon_1/M \qquad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in C^\infty(S^1) , \quad \varphi \not\equiv 0 .$$

When $k \neq 0$, we have

$$(**) \geqq \frac{m}{M}\left\{\int \varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \frac{k^2}{Mm}\int (f^2 - l/k)^2\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt\right\} \Big/ \int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt$$
$$\geqq \frac{m}{M}\left\{\int \varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \frac{1}{Mm}\int (f^2 - l/k)^2\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt\right\} \Big/ \int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt .$$

We put $\alpha = (M^2 - m^2)/2$. Since $f$ is not constant, $\alpha$ is positive. Let $t_1$ be a point which attains the maximum of $f$. Then there exists a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that $f^2(t) - \alpha > 0$ for $t \in (t_1 - \delta, t_1 + \delta)$. There exists a non-negative differentiable function $g_1$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(g_1) \subset (t_1 - \delta, t_1 + \delta)$,

$(f^2-\alpha)^2 \geqq g_1^2$ on $S^1$, and $g_1$ is not identically zero. Let $t_2$ be a point which attains the minimum of $f$. Then there exists a positive number $\delta' > 0$ such that $\alpha - f^2(t) > 0$ for $t \in (t_2-\delta', t_2+\delta')$. Similarly there exists a non-negative function $g_2$ on $S^1$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(g_2) \subset (t_2-\delta', t_2+\delta')$, $(\alpha - f^2)^2 \geqq g_2^2$ on $S^1$, and $g_2$ is not identically zero. If $l/k$ is not greater than $\alpha$, then we have $(f^2 - l/k)^2 \geqq g_1^2$. When $l/k$ is not less than $\alpha$, then we have $(f^2 - l/k)^2 \geqq g_2^2$. We put

$$\varepsilon_2 = \inf_{\varphi} \left\{ \int \varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \frac{1}{Mm}\int g_1^2\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt \right\} \Big/ \int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt ,$$

and

$$\varepsilon_3 = \inf_{\varphi} \left\{ \int \varphi'\bar{\varphi}'dt + \frac{1}{Mm}\int g_2^2\varphi\bar{\varphi}dt \right\} \Big/ \int \varphi\bar{\varphi}dt ,$$

where the infimum is taken over all non-zero $\varphi$ in $C^\infty(S^1)$. Since $g_1$ and $g_2$ are not identically zero, we have $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_3 > 0$. Therefore, when $l/k \leqq \alpha$, we have

$$(**) \geqq m\varepsilon_2/M \qquad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in C^\infty(S^1) , \quad \varphi \not\equiv 0 .$$

Similarly, when $l/k \geqq \alpha$, we have

$$(**) \geqq m\varepsilon_3/M \qquad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in C^\infty(S^1) , \quad \varphi \not\equiv 0 .$$

By putting $\varepsilon =$ the minimum of $\{m\varepsilon_1/M, m\varepsilon_2/M, m\varepsilon_3/M\}$, we get Lemma 4.9.

When $k = l = 0$, we see that $\mu_1(0; 0) = 0$ and its eigenfunction is constant. Moreover, we have $\mu_2(0; 0) > 0$.

PROPOSITION 4.10. *Let $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ be the minimum of $\mu_2(0; 0)$ and $\varepsilon$ in Lemma 4.9. We have $\mu_j(k; l) - k^2 \geqq \tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ for any $j$ when at least one of $k$ and $l$ is not zero, and for $j \geqq 2$ when $k = l = 0$.*

4.5. *Proof of Theorem in the 3-dimensional case.* Following §3, we define a family of Riemannian metrics on $T^3$ by

$$g(t) = t^{-1}g + (t^2 - t^{-1})(\omega + ds) \otimes (\omega + ds) , \qquad 0 < t < \infty .$$

By Proposition 3.4, we have $\Delta_{g(t)}\varphi = t\Delta_g\varphi + (t - t^{-2})L_\zeta L_\zeta\varphi$. We put $\Psi_{jkl} = \varphi_j(k; l)\psi_l\theta_k$, $k, l \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then we have

$$\Delta_{g(t)}\Psi_{jkl} = \{t(\mu_j(k; l) - k^2) + t^{-2}k^2\}\Psi_{jkl} .$$

By Lemma 3.3 (1), $\{\Psi_{jkl}; k, l \in \boldsymbol{Z}, j = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ is a complete basis with respect to $g(t)$ for the space of differentiable functions on $T^3$. So $\{t(\mu_j(k; l) - k^2) + t^{-2}k^2; k, l \in \boldsymbol{Z}, j = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ is the spectrum of $(T^3, g(t))$.

When $k = l = 0$ and $j = 1$, $\Psi_{1,0,0}$ is a constant function with 0 as its eigenvalue. By Proposition 4.10, for a non-zero eigenvalue of $\Delta_{g(t)}$ we have $t(\mu_j(k; l) - k^2) + t^{-2}k^2 \geqq t\tilde{\varepsilon}$. Therefore we obtain $\lambda_1(g(t)) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. We easily get $\lambda_1(g(t)) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3 (1) we see that $\mathrm{Vol}(T^3, g(t)) = \mathrm{Vol}(T^3, g)$. Thus Theorem in the 3-dimensional case is proved.

**5. Proof of Theorem for $T^n$ ($n \geqq 4$).** When $n \geqq 5$, we can prove Theorem by following the same process as in the 4-dimensional case. So we will prove Theorem only in the 4-dimensional case in this section.

5.1. *A construction of a Riemannian metric $g$ on $T^4$.* Following §3 again, we define a Riemannian metric $g$ on $T^4$. We consider $T^4$ as a product manifold $T^3 \times S^1$ with the natural projection $\tilde{\pi}: T^3 \times S^1 \to T^3$. $T^3$ is furnished with the Riemannian metric $g$ given in §4. By $\tilde{\omega}$ we denote the 1-form dual to the vector field $\zeta$ in $(T^3, g)$. Then we have $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + ds$. We define $\tilde{g}$ on $T^4$ by

$$\tilde{g} = \tilde{\pi}^* g + (\tilde{\omega} + d\tilde{s}) \otimes (\tilde{\omega} + d\tilde{s}) ,$$

where $\{\tilde{s}\}$ is a normal coordinate system in $S^1$. By $\tilde{\zeta}$ we denote the vector field $\partial/\partial\tilde{s}$ in $T^4$.

Noticing that $\zeta$ is a unit Killing vector field in $(T^3, g)$, by Proposition 3.2 we easily get:

PROPOSITION 5.1. *For $\varphi \in C^\infty(T^4)$ we have*

$$\Delta_{\tilde{g}}\varphi = \Delta_g\varphi - 2L_{\tilde{\zeta}}L_{\tilde{\zeta}}\varphi + 2L_\zeta L_{\tilde{\zeta}}\varphi .$$

Contrary to the arguments in §4, we denote by $\lambda_j$ ($0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots$) the $j$-th eigenvalue of $(T^3, g)$ with its eigenspace $V(\lambda_j)$. Since $\zeta$ is a unit Killing vector field on $(T^3, g)$, $L_\zeta$ and $\Delta_g$ commute, which implies that $L_\zeta$ is a linear transformation of $V(\lambda_j)$. By the results in §4, the following is clear.

LEMMA 5.2. *For each eigenvalue $\lambda_j$ of $\Delta_g$, $V(\lambda_j)$ has the orthogonal decomposition:*

$$V(\lambda_j) = \sum_{k \in Z} V_k(\lambda_j) ,$$

*where $L_\zeta\varphi = ik\varphi$ for $\varphi \in V_k(\lambda_j)$ $k \in Z$. (Here we do not care if some $V_k(\lambda_j)$ is trivial or not.) Moreover, the above decomposition has the following property. If $\lambda_j$ is not zero and $V_k(\lambda_j)$ is not trivial, then there exists a positive number $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $\lambda_j - k^2 \geqq \tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ does not depend on $j$ and $k$.*

By $\phi_h$, $h \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, we denote an eigenfunction $e^{ih\tilde{s}}$ on $S^1$. By Proposition 5.1, we have:

PROPOSITION 5.3. *If $V_k(\lambda_j)$ is not trivial, $\varphi\phi_h$ is an eigenfunction of $(T^4, \tilde{g})$ with its eigenvalue $\lambda_j + 2h^2 - 2kh$ for $\varphi \in V_k(\lambda_j)$ and $\phi_h$. The set of eigenfunctions of this form is a complete basis for $C^\infty(T^4)$ with respect to $\tilde{g}$.*

5.2. *Proof of Theorem.* Following §3, we define a family of Riemannian metrics $\tilde{g}(t)$ by

$$\tilde{g}(t) = t^{-1}\tilde{g} + (t^3 - t^{-1})(\tilde{\omega} + d\tilde{s}) \otimes (\tilde{\omega} + d\tilde{s}) \qquad 0 < t < \infty .$$

By Proposition 3.4, we have $\Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)}\Phi = t\Delta_{\tilde{g}}\Phi + (t - t^{-3})L_{\tilde{\zeta}}L_{\tilde{\zeta}}\Phi$. Then we have $\Delta_{\tilde{g}(t)}\varphi\phi_h = \{t(\lambda_j + h^2 - 2kh) + t^{-3}h^2\}\varphi\phi_h$. By the same arguments as in §4, each eigenvalue of $(T^4, \tilde{g}(t))$ has the above form, i.e., $t(\lambda_j + h^2 - 2kh) + t^{-3}h^2$. If $j \neq 0$, by Lemma 5.2 we have

$$t(\lambda_j + h^2 - 2kh) + t^{-3}h^2 \geqq t(k^2 + \tilde{\varepsilon} + h^2 - 2kh) \geqq t(\tilde{\varepsilon} + (k - h)^2) \geqq t\tilde{\varepsilon} .$$

If $j = 0$, then $k = 0$ and we have $t(\lambda_0 + h^2 - 2kh) + t^{-3}h^2 = th^2 + t^{-3}h^2$. Therefore for every positive eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $(T^4, \tilde{g}(t))$ we have $\lambda \geqq t\varepsilon'$, where $\varepsilon'$ is the minimum of 1 and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$. So we have $\lambda_1(\tilde{g}(t)) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. We easily get $\lambda_1(\tilde{g}(t)) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3 (1) we see that $\mathrm{Vol}(T^4, \tilde{g}(t)) = \mathrm{Vol}(T^4, \tilde{g})$.

## REFERENCES


[1] M. BERGER, Sur les premières valeurs propres des variétés riemanniennes, Compositio Math. 26 (1973), 129-149.

[2] M. BERGER, P. GAUDUCHON AND E. MAZET, Le spectre d'une variété riemannienne, Lecture Notes in Math. 194 (1971), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

[3] N. EJIRI, A construction of non-flat, compact irreducible Riemannian manifolds which are isospectral but not isometric, Math. Z. 168 (1979), 207-212.

[4] J. HERSCH, Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 270 (1970), 1645-1648.

[5] H. MUTO, The first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on even dimensional spheres, Tohoku Math. J. 32 (1980), 427-432.

[6] B. O'NEILL, The fundamental equations of a submersion, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 459-469.

[7] S. TANNO, The first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on spheres, Tôhoku Math. J. 31 (1979), 179-185.

[8] H. URAKAWA, On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1979), 209-226.

[9] H. URAKAWA, On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for the compact quotient of a certain Riemannian symmetric space, Nagoya Math. J. 78 (1980), 137-152.

[10] H. URAKAWA AND H. MUTO, On the least positive eigenvalue of Laplacian for compact homogeneous spaces, Osaka J. Math. 17 (1980), 471-484.

[11] J. VILMS, Totally geodesic maps, J. Differential Geometry, 4 (1970), 73–79.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
SETAGAYA, TOKYO 158
JAPAN