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In Part I of this series, quoted hereafter as [Sa2], we gave an algebraic formulation of the Siegel domain realization of symmetric domains and applied it to the determination of "rational points" of symmetric domains with $\boldsymbol{Q}$-structure. To be more precise, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a (real) semisimple Lie algebra of hermitian type defined over $\boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{Q}$-simple and of $Q$-rank $r_{0}$. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a symmetric domain associated with $\mathfrak{g}$, which (as a set) may be identified with the set of Cartan involutions of $\mathfrak{g}$. A point of $\mathscr{D}$ is called rational if the corresponding Cartan involution is defined over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. We showed in [Sa2] (Th. 3) that, if $r_{0}>0$, then the determination of rational points is essentially reduced to that for the "last" (i.e., the $r_{0}$-th) rational boundary components, which are always of classical type. By virtue of the isomorphisms between classical groups, it is known that all classical domains with $r_{0}=0$ are realized as a domain of type (I) (see §1 of this paper). The main purpose of this Part II is to give an actual determination of rational points in the case of domains of type (I).

The semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, or the associated symmetric domain $\mathscr{D}$, is called pure if all $\boldsymbol{R}$-simple factors of g are $\boldsymbol{R}$-isomorphic to one another. It is called strictly pure if all $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factors in the reductive part of the $\boldsymbol{Q}$-parabolic subalgebras corresponding to rational boundary components of $\mathscr{D}$ are pure. (Note that these two conditions are actually equivalent except for the case where g is of type $\left(D_{l}^{m}\right)$.) The results in [Sa2] (Lem. 3, Th. 3) imply that, if $\mathscr{D}$ has rational points, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is strictly pure. For the domains of tube type the converse of this is also true except for the case of domains of type (I), which is discussed in detail in this paper. A part of our results was obtained by K. Oiso in his Master thesis. It is given here in a refined form with a different proof.

Sections 1 and 2 are mostly of preliminary nature. In $\S 1$ we give a list of pure $Q$-simple classical Lie algebras of hermitian type and in $\S 2$ summarize some basic facts on "unitary involutions" (i.e., involutions of the second kind) and hermitian forms. Then in $\S 3$ we explain a method to determine rational points of a domain $\mathscr{D}$ of type $\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, q}\right)^{m}$ and give a necessary condition for the existence of rational points (Th. 4). The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of rational points (with a given CM-field) are given in $\S 4$ (Th. $5,6,7$ ). Using these results, we discuss in $\S 5$ the rational
points of classical domains in general. We also give in the concluding Remark a similar determination in the case of the exceptional domains.

Rational points of symmetric domains are a special case of the "isolated fixed points" (or "special points", "CM-points") in the sense of Shimura [Sh2, 3] (or Deligne [D]) which play an essential role in the theory of canonical models. Naturally our result has some relevance with the canonical model. Let $G$ be a $Q$-simple algebraic group of adjoint type with Lie $G(\boldsymbol{R})=\mathfrak{g}$ and let $h$ be a Hodge structure of $g$ defining the given complex structure of $\mathscr{D}$. Then, by a criterion of Deligne [D], it is easy to see that the field of definition $E(G, \hbar)$ of the model $M_{c}(G, h)$ (in the sense of [D]) reduces to $\boldsymbol{Q}$ if and only if the domain $\mathscr{D}$ is of tube type and $\mathfrak{g}$ is strictly pure. As mentioned above, this is certainly the case for the symmetric domains of tube type with $Q$-rational points.

Notation. We use the standard notation $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{Z}$, etc. For a positive real number $\alpha$ we put $\sqrt{\alpha}=\alpha^{1 / 2}>0$ and $\sqrt{-\alpha}=\sqrt{-1} \sqrt{\alpha}$. For a field $F, F^{\times}$denotes the multiplicative group of non-zero elements of $F$; when $F$ is a totally real number field, $F_{+}^{\times}$is the subgroup of $F^{\times}$consisting of totally positive elements. For a subgroup $H$ of $F^{\times}$, the multiplicative equivalence class of $a \in F^{\times}$modulo $H$ is denoted as $a\left(\bmod ^{\times} H\right)$. The multiplicative equivalence relation in $F^{\times}$is often denoted as $\sim$ (see 2.1).

For a vector space $V$ over $F$ and a field extension $F^{\prime} / F$, we write $V_{F^{\prime}}$ for $V \otimes_{F} F^{\prime}$ viewed as a vector space over $F^{\prime}$. When $F^{\prime} / F$ is finite, we set $N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)=N_{F^{\prime} / F}\left(F^{\prime \times}\right)$. The symbol $R_{F^{\prime} \mid F}$ stands for the functor of restricting the ground field from $F^{\prime}$ to $F$.

For an algebra $D$ over $F, \operatorname{tr}_{D / F}$ and $N_{D / F}$ (or simply $\operatorname{tr}$ and $N$ ) denote always the reduced trace and norm of $D$ over $F$. The algebra of all $v \times v$ matrices with entries in $D$ is denoted by $M_{v}(D)$. When $D$ has an involution $\rho$ of the second kind (or a "unitary involution" as we call it), the subspace of $M_{v}(D)$ consisting of hermitian matrices with respect to $\rho$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Her}_{v}(D, \rho)$. The diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{v}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{v}\right)$; especially, $1_{v}=\operatorname{diag}(1, \cdots, 1)$ is the identity matrix of degree $v$. For $A \in M_{v}(D),{ }^{'} A$ stands for the transposed of $A$. For a (right) $D$-module $V$, the $D$-submodule generated by $v_{i} \in V(1 \leq i \leq m)$ is denoted by $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right\}_{D}$. The identity transformation of $V$ is denoted by $1_{V}$ or simply 1 or id.

In $\S 4$, for $\alpha, \beta \in F^{\times}$, we denote by $(\alpha, \beta)_{F}$ the quaternion algebra $D$ over $F$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=\{1, u, v, u v\}_{F}, \\
& u^{2}=\alpha, \quad v^{2}=\beta, \quad u v=-v u .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also set $D_{-}=\{x \in D \mid \bar{x}=-x\}, x \mapsto \bar{x}=\operatorname{tr}(x)-x$ denoting the canonical involution of $D$. The similarity relation (Brauer equivalence relation) between central simple algebras over $F$ is written as $\sim$. Especially, $D \sim 1$ means that $D$ splits over $F$.

For an algebraic number field $F$, we fix an imbedding $\sigma_{1}: F \rightarrow C$ and consider $F$ to be contained in $\boldsymbol{C}$. The complex conjugation of $\boldsymbol{C}$ is denoted by $\rho_{0}$. When $F$ is totally
real number field (imbedded in $\boldsymbol{R}$ ) and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real Lie algebra defined over $F$, we denote by $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ the Lie algebra over $F$ consisting of $F$-rational points in $\mathfrak{g}$; then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}(F)_{\mathbf{R}}$. By an abuse of notation, for any imbedding $\sigma: F \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$, we set $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}=\mathfrak{g}\left(F^{\sigma}\right)_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ and call it a "conjugate" of $g$.

## 1. Classical symmetric domains.

1.1. The notation will be basically the same as in Part I ([Sa2]). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a real semisimple Lie algebra of hermitian type defined over $\boldsymbol{Q}$, which we assume to be non-compact, $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple and of $\boldsymbol{Q}$-rank $r_{0}(\geq 0)$. We write $\mathfrak{g}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}=R_{F / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a totally real number field of degree $m, \sigma_{1}(=\mathrm{id}), \cdots, \sigma_{m}$ are distinct imbeddings of $F$ into $\boldsymbol{R}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ is an (absolutely) simple real Lie algebra of hermitian type defined over $F$. In view of [Sa2], Lemma 3, we assume further that $\mathfrak{g}$ is "pure", i.e., the following condition is satisfied:
(R1) All conjugates $\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq m)$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ are $\boldsymbol{R}$-isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. (In particular, we have $\boldsymbol{R}$-rank $\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}=\boldsymbol{R}$-rank $\mathrm{g}_{1}=r_{1}>0$.)

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called of "classical" type if $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ is obtained from a (simple associative) algebra with involution, or equivalently from an $\varepsilon$-hermitian form. Under the assumption (R1), we know that there are the following seven cases.
(III ${ }_{v / 2}^{(1)}$ ) ( $v$ even, $\geq 2$ ) $\mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s p}(v, F)=\mathfrak{s p}\left(A_{1}, F\right)$, where $A_{1}$ is a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form on $F^{v}$. One has $r_{1}=r_{0}=v / 2$.
$\left(\mathrm{III}_{v}^{(2)}\right) \quad(v \geq 1) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s u}(v, h, D / F)$, where $D$ is a totally indefinite (central) division quaternion algebra over $F$ and $h$ is a non-degenerate $D$-hermitian form on $D^{v}$. One has $r_{1}=v$ and $r_{0}=[v / 2]$.
$\left(\mathrm{IV}_{v-2}^{(1)}\right) \quad(v \geq 5) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s o}\left(v, S_{1}, F\right)$, where $S_{1}$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $F^{v}$ with $\operatorname{sign}\left(S_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}\right)=(v-2,2)(1 \leq i \leq m)$. One has $r_{1}=2$ and $r_{0}=1$ or 2 for $v=5,6$ and $r_{0}=2$ for $v \geq 7$.
$\left(\operatorname{IV}_{2 v-2}^{(2)}\right) \quad(v \geq 3) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s u}^{-}(v, h, D / F)$, where $D$ is a totally indefinite division quaternion algebra over $F$ and $h$ is a non-degenerate $D$-skewhermitian form on $D^{v}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}} \cong \mathfrak{s v}(2 v-2,2)(1 \leq i \leq m)$. One has $r_{1}=2$ and $r_{0}=0$ or 1 for $v=3$ and $r_{0}=1$ for $v \geq 4$.
$\left(\mathrm{II}_{v}^{(2)}\right) \quad(v \geq 3) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s u}^{-}(v, h, D / F)$, where $D$ is a totally definite quaternion algebra over $F$ and $h$ is a non-degenerate $D$-skewhermitian form on $D^{\nu}$. One has $r_{1}=[v / 2]$ and $r_{0}=[v / 2]$ or $[v / 2]-1$.
$\left(\mathrm{II}_{4}-\mathrm{IV}_{6}^{(2)}\right) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s u}^{-}(v, h, D / F)$, where $D$ is a quaternion algebra over $F$ such that $\left(D^{\sigma_{i}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ is division for $1 \leq i \leq m_{1}$ and $\cong M_{2}(\boldsymbol{R})$ for $m_{1}+1 \leq i \leq m$ with $1 \leq m_{1}<$ $m$ and $h$ is a non-degenerate $D$-skewhermitian form on $D^{4}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}} \cong \mathfrak{s p}(6,2)$ for $m_{1}+1 \leq i \leq m$. One has $r_{1}=2$ and $r_{0}=1$.
$\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, q}^{(\delta)}\right) \quad(p \geq q \geq 1, p+q=\delta v \geq 2) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s u}\left(v, h, D^{\prime} / F^{\prime} / F\right)$, where $F^{\prime} / F$ is a CM-field, $D^{\prime}$
is a (central) division algebra over $F^{\prime}$ of degree $\delta$ with a "unitary involution" $\rho$ (i.e., involution of the second kind) relative to $F^{\prime} / F$ and $h$ is a nondegenerate $\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$-hermitian form on $D^{\prime v}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}} \cong \mathfrak{s u}(p, q)$ for $1 \leq i \leq$ $m$. One has $r_{1}=q$ and $r_{0} \leq q / \delta(\leq v / 2)$.

Remark. In general, one has $r_{0} \leq r_{1} / \delta^{\prime} \leq v / 2$ for a certain positive integer $\delta^{\prime}$ ( $=1,2, \delta$ according to the case). A lower bound for $r_{0}$ is obtained from Theorems 1 and 2 below.
1.2. The following theorem is classical.

Theorem 1. Among the $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple classical Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of hermitian type listed above, the "anisotropic" case (i.e., the case with $r_{0}=0$ ) occurs only in the following cases:

$$
\left(\mathrm{III}_{1}^{(2)}\right), \quad\left(\mathrm{IV}_{4}^{(2)}\right), \quad\left(\mathrm{II}_{3}^{(2)}\right), \quad\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, q}^{(\delta)}\right) \quad(v \leq 2 \text { or } q<\delta)
$$

This follows essentially from the Hasse principle or "local global principle" for isotropy (see, e.g., [Sc], p. 346-7, Bl). For (III $(1)$ ) $(v \geq 2)$ and (IV $\left.v_{v-2}^{(1)}\right)(v \geq 5)$, it is well known that one has always $r_{0}>0$. For $\left(\mathrm{III}_{v}^{(2)}\right)(v \geq 1)$, one has $r_{0}=0$ if and only if $v=1$ ([Sc], p. 352, Ex. 1.8, (iii)). For (IV ${ }_{2 v-2}^{(2)}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{II}_{v}^{(2)}$ ) with $v \geq 4$, one has $r_{0}>0$ by [Sc], Lem. 10.3.5 and Th. 10.4.1, (i) (Kneser); and the same is also true for $\left(\mathrm{II}_{4}-\mathrm{IV}_{6}^{(2)}\right)$. For ( $\mathrm{I}_{p, q}^{(\delta)}$ ) with $v \geq 3$ and $q \geq \delta$, one has $r_{0}>0$ by [Sc], Th. 10.6.2 and p. 374, Rem. 6.3 and 6.4. Note that, when a place $v$ is "non-decomposed" in $F^{\prime} / F$ (which is the case for all real places), the localization $h_{v}$ is "isotropic" (in the sense of [Sc], p. 373), if and only if the corresponding $F_{v}^{\prime}$-hermitian form of $\delta v$ variables has Witt index $\geq \delta$ (Lemma 1 below).

It is well known that for the anisotropic cases in Theorem 1 one has the following isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathrm{III}_{1}^{(2)}\right) \cong\left(\mathrm{I}_{1,1}^{(\delta)}\right) & (\delta=1 \text { or } 2), \\
\left(\mathrm{IV}_{4}^{(2)}\right) \cong\left(\mathrm{I}_{2,2}^{(\delta)}\right) & (\delta=2 \text { or } 4), \\
\left(\mathrm{II}_{3}^{(2)}\right) \cong\left(\mathrm{I}_{3,1}^{(\delta)}\right) & (\delta=2 \text { or } 4) . \tag{1.3}
\end{array}
$$

Thus all the classical anisotropic cases can be reduced to the unitary case $\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, q}^{(\delta)}\right)$.

## 2. Unitary involutions and hermitian forms.

2.1 In order to fix the notation and terminology, we recall briefly some basic facts on hermitian forms pertinent to our considerations.

Let $F^{\prime} \mid F$ be a quadratic extension (in characteristic 0 ) with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)=\left\{1, \rho_{0}\right\}$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a central division algebra over $F^{\prime}$ of degree $\delta$, i.e., $\operatorname{dim}_{F^{\prime}} D^{\prime}=\delta^{2}$, with a "unitary involution" $\rho$ with respect to $F^{\prime} \mid F$, (i.e., $\rho$ is an $F^{\prime}$-semilinear, involutive antiautomorphism of $D^{\prime}$ ). Let $V$ be a right $D^{\prime}$-module of rank $v$ and, for $\alpha \in D^{\prime}$, let $\mu_{\alpha}$ denote the right multiplication $v \mapsto v \alpha(v \in V)$. By a " $(D, \rho)$-hermitian form" $h$ on $V$, we mean an $F$-bilinear map $h: V \times V \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(v, v^{\prime} \alpha\right)=h\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \alpha \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=h\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)^{\rho} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v, v^{\prime} \in V$ and $\alpha \in D^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that $h$ can be identified with an $F$-linear map $V \mapsto V^{*}$ denoted also by $h$, satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
h \circ \mu_{\alpha}={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mu_{\alpha^{\circ}} \circ h, \\
\left\langle h(v), v^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle h\left(v^{\prime}\right), v\right\rangle^{\rho}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $v, v^{\prime} \in V$ and $\alpha \in D^{\prime}$, the identification being made by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}_{D^{\prime} / F}\left(h\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left\langle h(v), v^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{tr}_{D^{\prime} / F^{\prime}}$ is (as always) the reduced trace.
Let $\boldsymbol{A}=\operatorname{End}\left(V / D^{\prime}\right)$ be the algebra of all $D^{\prime}$-linear endomorphisms of $V$. Then $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a central simple algebra over $F^{\prime}$ and the $F$-linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{h}: x \mapsto h^{-1 \mathrm{t}} x h \quad(x \in \boldsymbol{A}) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a unitary involution of $\boldsymbol{A}$ with respect to $F^{\prime} / F$. Clearly one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x^{\rho_{n}}(v), v^{\prime}\right)=h\left(v, x v^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(x \in A, v, v^{\prime} \in V\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

One fixes a $D^{\prime}$-basis ( $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{v}$ ) of $V$, which gives an isomorphism $M: A \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{v}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. One denotes by $\operatorname{Her}_{v}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ the space of $\rho$-hermitian matrices in $M_{v}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$; in particular, $\operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ is the space of $\rho$-invariant elements in $D^{\prime}$. The hermitian form $h$ is represented by a $\rho$-hermitian matrix $\left(h\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq v}$. In what follows, we write $M(h)=$ $\left(h\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Her}_{v}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ and set $\operatorname{det}(h)=N(M(h))\left(\bmod ^{\times} N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)\right)$, where (the first) $N$ denotes the reduced norm of $M_{v}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ over $F^{\prime}$ and $N\left(F^{\prime} \mid F\right)$ stands for $N_{F^{\prime} \mid F}\left(F^{\prime \times}\right)$. We always assume that $h$ is "non-degenerate", i.e., $\operatorname{det}(h) \neq 0$; then $\operatorname{det}(h)$ is an element of $F^{\times} / N\left(F^{\prime} \mid F\right)$. The (multiplicative) equivalence relation in $F^{\times}\left(\bmod ^{\times} N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)\right.$ ) will be written as $\sim$.
2.2. Now let $E^{\prime} / E$ be another quadratic extension and $D^{\prime \prime}$ a central division algebra over $E^{\prime}$ with a unitary involution $\rho^{\prime}$ with respect to $E^{\prime} / E$. Suppose there is given an imbedding $F^{\prime} \varsigma E^{\prime}$ such that $F^{\prime} \cap E=F$; then $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \otimes_{F^{\prime}} E^{\prime}$ is a central simple algebra over $E^{\prime}$ and the involution $\rho$ can naturally be extended to an involution of $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, which is again denoted by $\rho$. Suppose that one has an $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $M_{E^{\prime}}: D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\delta^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then, as is well known, there exists an invertible element $A \in \operatorname{Her}_{\delta^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime \prime}, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ determined uniquely modulo $E^{\times}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E^{\prime}}\left(x^{\rho}\right)=A^{-1 t} M_{E^{\prime}}(x)^{\rho^{\prime}} A \quad\left(x \in D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $x$ is $\rho$-invariant if and only if $A M_{E^{\prime}}(x) \in \operatorname{Her}_{\delta^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime \prime}, \rho^{\prime}\right)$. More generally, for any positive integer $v$ one has an $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $M_{E^{\prime}} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{M_{v}}: M_{v}\left(D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} M_{v \delta^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and for $x \in M_{v}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ one has $x \in \operatorname{Her}_{v}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ if and only if

$$
\tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(x)=\left(A \otimes 1_{v}\right) \cdot\left(M_{E^{\prime}} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{M_{v}}\right)(x) \in \operatorname{Her}_{v \delta^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime \prime}, \rho^{\prime}\right)
$$

For a ( $D^{\prime}, \rho$ )-hermitian form $h$, we call $\tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(M(h))$ the "matrix expression" of $h$ in $D^{\prime \prime}$ (determined by $M, M_{E^{\prime}}$ and $A$ ) and write $h \simeq \tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(M(h))$. Clearly one has

$$
\operatorname{det}(h) \sim N(A)^{-v} N\left(\tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(M(h))\right) \quad \text { in } \quad E^{\times} / N\left(E^{\prime} / E\right) .
$$

2.3. Now we assume that $F$ is an algebraic number field of finite degree. For a place $v$ of $F$, let $F_{v}$ denote the completion of $F$ at $v$. Then $F_{v}^{\prime}=F_{v} \otimes_{F} F^{\prime}$ is either isomorphic to $F_{v} \oplus F_{v}$ or a quadratic extension of $F_{v}$; accordingly one says that $v$ is "decomposed" or "non-decomposed" in $F^{\prime} / F$. One sets $D_{v}^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \otimes_{F} F_{v}, V_{v}=V \otimes_{F} F_{v}, \cdots$, etc. Then $V_{v}$ is a vector space over $F_{v}$ with a structure of free $D_{v}^{\prime}$-module of rank $v$. Any ( $D^{\prime}, \rho$ )-hermitian form $h$ on $V$ can naturally be extended to a ( $D_{v}^{\prime}, \rho$ )-hermitian form $h_{v}: V_{v} \times V_{v} \rightarrow D_{v}^{\prime}$, called the "localization" of $h$ at $v$. The localization $h_{v}$ is called "isotropic" if there exists a $D_{v}^{\prime}$-basis $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, e_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ of $V_{v}$ such that $h_{v}\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0$ (see [Sc], p. 373).

It is well known (after Jacobson, cf. [Sc], Th. 10.2.2, (ii)) that, when $v$ is nondecomposed, one has an isomorphism $M_{v}: D_{v}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\delta}\left(F_{v}^{\prime}\right)$, which gives a matrix expression of $h$ in $F_{v}^{\prime}: \tilde{M}_{v}(M(h))=A_{v} M_{v}\left(h_{v}\left(e_{k}^{\prime}, e_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Her}_{\delta v}\left(F_{v}^{\prime} / F_{v}\right)$. The following lemma is easily proved.

Lemma 1. The localization $h_{v}$ is "isotropic" if and only if $v$ is decomposed in $F^{\prime} \mid F$ and $v \geq 2$ or $v$ is non-decomposed in $F^{\prime} \mid F$ and the matrix expression of $h$ in $F_{v}^{\prime}$ has Witt index $\geq \delta$.
2.4. Now assume further that $F^{\prime} / F$ is a CM-extension with a given CM-type in the sense of [Sa2], 1.2, i.e., $F$ is a totally real number field of degree $m, F^{\prime} / F$ is a totally imaginary quadratic extension, and for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ one fixes an extension of $\sigma_{i}: F \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ to an imbedding $F^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$, denoted again by $\sigma_{i}$. (We always assume that $\sigma_{1}$ is the inclusion map.) Then, for each real place $v=\sigma_{i}$ of $F$, extended to a complex place of $F^{\prime}$, one can identify $F_{v}^{\prime}$ with $C$ and $D_{v}^{\prime}$ with $\left(D^{\prime \sigma_{i}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{c}}=D^{\prime} \otimes_{F^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}} C$. One fixes an isomorphism

$$
M_{i}:\left(D^{\prime \sigma_{i}}\right) \boldsymbol{c} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{C}) ;
$$

then there exists an invertible element $A_{i} \in \operatorname{Her}_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{C})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}\left(x^{\sigma_{i}^{-1} \rho \sigma_{i}}\right)=A_{i}^{-1 t} \overline{M_{i}(x)} A_{i} \quad\left(x \in D^{\prime \sigma_{i}}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The involution $\rho$ is called "totally positive" if all $A_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$ can be taken to be positive definite. (This condition is equivalent to saying that $R_{F / Q}(\rho)$ is a "positive involution" of $R_{F^{\prime} / Q}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ in the sense of [W].) It is well known ([A], Ch. X) that, for a CM-field $F^{\prime} / F$, a central division algebra $D^{\prime}$ over $F^{\prime}$ has a unitary involution with respect to $F^{\prime} \mid F$ if and only if $D^{\prime}$ can be expressed as a cyclic algebra ( $Z^{\prime}, \sigma, \alpha$ ), where $Z^{\prime}=Z F^{\prime}$ with a cyclic extension $Z$ of $F$ of degree $\delta$ not containing in $F^{\prime}, \sigma$ is a generator
of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z^{\prime} / F^{\prime}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Gal}(Z / F)$, and $\alpha$ is an element of $F^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha^{1+\rho_{0}} \in N(Z / F), \rho_{0}$ denoting the complex conjugation. Under this condition, the algebra $D^{\prime}$ has always a totally positive unitary involution with respect to $F^{\prime} / F$. In what follows, we always assume that the above condition is satisfied, $\rho$ is totally positive and the $A_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$ are taken to be positive definite. A ( $\left.D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$-hermitian form $h$, or a hermitian matrix $M(h) \in \operatorname{Her}_{v}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$, is called positive (resp., totally positive) if $\tilde{M}_{1}(M(h))$ is [resp., all $\tilde{M}_{i}\left(M(h)^{\sigma_{i}}\right)=\left(A_{i} \otimes 1_{\nu}\right)\left(\left(M_{i} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(M(h)^{\sigma_{i}}\right)\right)(1 \leq i \leq m)$ are] positive definite. In notation, we write $h>0$ or $M(h)>0$ (resp., $h \gg 0$ or $M(h) \gg 0$ ). More generally we define $\operatorname{sign}\left(h^{\sigma_{i}}\right)=\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)$ to be the signature of $\tilde{M}_{i}\left(M(h)^{\sigma_{i}}\right)$. (Note that these notions are independent of the choices of $M, M_{i}$ and $A_{i}$ under the above assumptions.) Then one has:

Theorem 2. Let h be a non-degenerate ( $\left.D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$-hermitian form on $V\left(\cong D^{\prime v}\right)$ over a $C M$-field $F^{\prime}$. Then $h$ is isotropic if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\operatorname{Min}\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right) \geq \delta$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$,
(ii) $v \geq 3$ or $v=2$ and $\operatorname{det}(h) \sim(-1)^{\delta}\left(\bmod ^{\times} N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)\right)$.

Proof. First suppose that $h$ is isotropic. Then clearly $v \geq 2$ and the condition (i) is satisfied. When $v=2, h$ is necessarily hyperbolic, i.e.,

$$
h \simeq\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

in $D^{\prime}$. Hence $\operatorname{det}(h) \sim(-1)^{\delta}$.
Conversely, suppose that the conditions (i), (ii) are satisfied. When $v=2$, one has by the assumption $p_{i}=q_{i}=\delta$ and $\operatorname{det}(h) \sim(-1)^{\delta}$. Hence the hermitian form $h$ and the hyperbolic form of 2 variables have the same invariants (determinant and signatures), so that one has

$$
h \simeq\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

([Sc], Cor. 10.6.6). Next suppose that $v \geq 3$. By the assumption, one has $\operatorname{Min}\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right) \geq \delta$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. For a finite place $v=\mathfrak{p}$, which is non-decomposed in $F^{\prime} / F$, let $r_{p}$ denote the Witt index of the matrix expression of $h$ in $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ (defined by the imbedding $F^{\prime} / F \hookrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ). Then by the theory of hermitian forms in $\mathfrak{p}$-adic fields one has

$$
r_{\mathrm{p}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}(\delta v-1) & \text { if } \delta v \text { is odd }  \tag{2.8}\\ \frac{1}{2} \delta v & \text { if } \delta v \text { is even and } \operatorname{det}\left(h_{v}\right) \sim-1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \delta v-1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In all cases, since $v \geq 3$, one has $r_{p} \geq \delta$. Hence by Lemma 1 the localizations $h_{p}$ are isotropic everywhere and so $h$ is globally isotropic ([Sc], Th. 10.6.2).
q.e.d.

## 3. Domains of type $\left(I_{p, q}^{(\delta)}\right)$ with rational points.

3.1. Let $F^{\prime}=F(\sqrt{-\beta})$ be a CM-field (with the "standard" CM-type determined by $\sqrt{-\beta^{\sigma_{i}}}=\sqrt{-1}\left(\beta^{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{1 / 2}$, see [Sa2], 1.3), $D^{\prime}$ a central division algebra over $F^{\prime}$ of degree $\delta$ with a totally positive unitary involution $\rho$ with respect to $F^{\prime} / F$, and $h$ a non-degenerate ( $D^{\prime}, \rho$ )-hermitian form on $V \cong D^{\prime v}$ with $\delta v \geq 2$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{1}=\mathfrak{s u}\left(v, h, D^{\prime} \mid F^{\prime} / F\right)_{\mathbf{R}}$ is by definition a real Lie algebra defined over $F$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\left\{x \in A=\operatorname{End}\left(V / D^{\prime}\right) \mid \operatorname{tr}_{A / F}(x)=0, x^{\rho_{h}}=-x\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}=R_{R / \boldsymbol{Q}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)$ is a real semisimple Lie algebra of hermitian type with a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple $\boldsymbol{Q}$-structure. g satisfies ( R 1$)$ if and only if one has $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=(p, q)$ or $(q, p)(p \geq q)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, in which case $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, q}^{(\delta)}\right)$ in the notation of 1.1 . Replacing $h$ by a suitable scalar multiple $\mu h$ with $\mu \in F^{\times}$if necessary, we will henceforce assume that $p_{i}=p, q_{i}=q$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We will also assume that g is non-compact, i.e., $q>0$, unless otherwise expressed.

Let $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{D}\left(V / D^{\prime}, h\right)$ be the symmetric domain associated with $\mathfrak{g}$, which we regard as the set of Cartan involutions of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\theta \in \mathscr{D}$ and $\theta=\left(\theta_{i}\right)$ with Cartan involutions $\theta_{i}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}$. Then $\theta$ is "rational" if and only if $\theta_{1}$ is $F$-rational and one has $\theta_{i}=\theta_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. It is classical (cf., e.g., [W]) that all $F$-rational Cartan involutions $\theta_{1}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ are obtained in the form $\theta_{1}=-\rho^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)$ with positive unitary involutions $\rho^{\prime}$ of $\boldsymbol{A}$ such that $\left[\rho_{h}, \rho^{\prime}\right]=0$. Such an involution $\rho^{\prime}$ can be written as $\rho^{\prime}=\rho_{h^{\prime}}$ with a positive ( $D^{\prime}, \rho$ )-hermitian form $h^{\prime}$ on $V$, and $\theta_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}$ is a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}$ for all $i$ if and only if $h^{\prime}$ can be taken to be totally positive. The hermitian form $h^{\prime}$ is then uniquely determined modulo the multiplicative group of all totally positive elements in $F^{\times}$, which we denote by $F_{+}^{\times}$. It is easy to see that one has $\left[\rho_{h}, \rho_{h^{\prime}}\right]=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h^{-1} h^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\lambda 1 \quad \text { with } \quad \lambda \in F^{\times} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition implies $h^{-1} h^{\prime} h^{-1}=\lambda h^{\prime-1}$, whence one has $\lambda \in F_{+}^{\times}$. Clearly $\lambda$ is uniquely determined by $\rho^{\prime}$ modulo $\left(F_{+}^{\times}\right)^{2}$. We denote by $\mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right)$ the set of all totally positive ( $D^{\prime}, \rho$ )-hermitian forms $h^{\prime}$ on $V$ satisfying (3.2). Then the correspondence $\theta \mapsto h^{\prime}$ $\left(\bmod F_{+}^{\times}\right)$gives a bijective correspondence between the set of rational points in $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right) / F_{+}^{\times}$.

Lemma 2. Let $h^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right)$ and $T=h^{-1} h^{\prime}$. Then $T$ is a $D^{\prime}$-linear endomorphism of $V$ having the following properties:

$$
T^{2}=\lambda 1 \quad \text { with } \quad \lambda \in F_{+}^{\times} \quad \text { and } \quad T^{\rho_{h}}=T .
$$

The last equation follows from the relation

$$
h\left(T^{\rho_{h}} v, v^{\prime}\right)=h\left(v, T v^{\prime}\right)=h^{\prime}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=h\left(T v, v^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Now let $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}\right)$ be the Cartan involution corresponding to $h^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right)$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{1}$ the maximal compact subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ corresponding to $\theta_{1}$. Then for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in \mathfrak{F}_{1} \Leftrightarrow \theta_{1} x=x \Leftrightarrow x^{\rho_{h^{\prime}}}=-x \Leftrightarrow[T, x]=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[According to our convention, we denote the $\boldsymbol{R}$-linear extension of $\rho$ (resp. $\rho_{h}$ or $\rho_{h^{\prime}}$ ) to $D_{\boldsymbol{C}}^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \otimes_{F^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{C}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{C}}=\boldsymbol{A} \otimes_{F^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{C}$ ) by the same letter.]

By Lemma 2, one has $(\sqrt{-\beta} T)^{\rho_{h}}=-\sqrt{-\beta} T$. On the other hand, one has

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{A^{\sigma_{i} / F^{\prime} \sigma_{i}}} T^{\sigma_{i}}=(p-q)\left(\lambda^{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad(1 \leq i \leq m)
$$

Hence, if $p>q$, one has $\sqrt{\lambda} \in F^{\times}$and $\sqrt{\lambda} \sigma^{\sigma_{i}}=\left(\lambda^{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{1 / 2}$, which means $\sqrt{\lambda} \in F_{+}^{\times}$. In general, one puts

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}=T-\frac{p-q}{p+q} \sqrt{\lambda} 1_{V} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one has $\sqrt{-\beta} T^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)$, and from the above one sees that the centralizer of $\left(\sqrt{-\beta} T^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma_{i}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}$ coincides with the maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{f}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}$. Hence, if one puts

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=\left(H_{0, i}\right), \quad H_{0, i}=\frac{1}{2}\left((\beta \lambda)^{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\sqrt{-\beta} T^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma_{i}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $H_{0}$ is an " $H$-element" of g and the matrix $\left(M_{i} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_{v}}\right)\left(H_{0, i}\right)$ is similar to

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{q}{p+q} \sqrt{-1} 1_{p},-\frac{p}{p+q} \sqrt{-1} 1_{q}\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We will henceforth assume that the complex structure on $\mathscr{D}$ is compatible with this $H$-element. Then the point $\theta$ in $\mathscr{D}$ corresponding to $H_{0}$ is a rational point with CM-field $F^{\prime \prime}=F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ endowed with the standard CM-type. The set of all such rational points in $\mathscr{D}$ is denoted by $\mathscr{D}(F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda}) / F)$ (see [Sa], 1.3; 3.4).

Summing up, one has
Theorem 3. Let $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{D}\left(V / D^{\prime}, h\right)$ be the symmetric domain associated with $\mathfrak{g}$. For $h^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right)$, let $T=h^{-1} h^{\prime}$ and $T^{2}=\lambda 1$ with $\lambda \in F_{+}^{\times}$. Then, under the above assumption, $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}\right), \theta_{1}=-\rho_{h^{\prime}} \mid \mathfrak{g}$ is a rational point in $\mathscr{D}$ with $C M$-field $F^{\prime \prime}=F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})($ endowed with the standard CM-type) and, for a fixed $\lambda \in F_{+}^{\times}$, the map $h^{\prime} \mapsto \theta$ gives a bijective correspondence between $\left\{h^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right) \mid\left(h^{-1} h^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\lambda 1\right\}$ and $\left.\mathscr{D}(F \sqrt{-\beta \lambda}) / F\right)$.
3.2. For $\lambda \in F_{+}^{\times}$, set $E=F(\sqrt{\lambda}), E^{\prime}=E F^{\prime}$, and $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \otimes_{F^{\prime}} E^{\prime}$. We distinguish three cases:

1. The case where $\lambda \in\left(F^{\times}\right)^{2}$, i.e., $E=F$.
2. The case where $\lambda \notin\left(F^{\times}\right)^{2}$. In this case, one has $[E: F]=\left[E^{\prime}: F^{\prime}\right]=2$.
2.1. The case where $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ remains division.
2.2. The case where $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is not division.

A rational point $\theta$ with CM-field $F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ will be called of the first, second, and third type, according as $\lambda$ is in Case 1, 2.1, and 2.2.

Remark. As mentioned in the Introduction, the notion of the rational points is a special case of the "isolated fixed points" in [Sh] and [M]. Actually, if one puts

$$
j_{0}=\left(\left((\beta \lambda)^{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{-1 / 2}(\sqrt{-\beta} T)^{\sigma_{i}}\right) \in M_{v}\left(D_{1}\right) \otimes_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \boldsymbol{R}=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} M_{v}\left(D_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{c}},
$$

then $j_{0}$ satisfies the condition in [M], 1.4 and the symmetric domain $\mathscr{D}$ (with the complex structure specified above) is identified with $\mathscr{H}_{j_{0}}$ in [M]. In the notation there, $C\left(j_{0}\right)$ is the commutor of $T$ in $M_{v}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ and hence

$$
C\left(j_{0}\right)_{\mathbf{R}} \simeq \stackrel{m}{\oplus}\left(M_{p}(\boldsymbol{C}) \oplus M_{q}(\boldsymbol{C})\right) .
$$

Therefore $P=\widetilde{C}\left(j_{0}\right)$ (the commutor of $C\left(j_{0}\right)$ in $\left.M_{v}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ coincides with the center of $C\left(j_{0}\right)$ (which assures that $j_{0}$ is an "isolated fixed point"). One has

$$
P=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{1} \oplus P_{2}, \quad P_{1} \simeq P_{2} \simeq F^{\prime} \quad \text { in Case } 1, \\
P_{1}=F^{\prime}(T) \simeq E^{\prime} \quad \text { in } \text { Case } 2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In Case $2, E^{\prime} \mid E$ is a CM-extension with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E^{\prime} \mid E\right)=\left\{1, \rho_{0}\right\}$. Let $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E^{\prime} \mid F^{\prime}\right)=\{1, \tau\}$. We extend $\sigma_{i}: F^{\prime} \varsigma \boldsymbol{C}$ to an imbedding $E^{\prime} \varsigma \boldsymbol{C}$ (denoted by the same letter) by setting $\sqrt{\lambda}{ }^{\sigma_{i}}=\left(\lambda^{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ ( $\sigma_{1}$ being the inclusion map). Then $\left\{\sigma_{i}\left|E, \tau \sigma_{i}\right| E(1 \leq i \leq m)\right\}$ is the set of distinct imbeddings of $E$ into $R$ and the standard CM-type of $E^{\prime}=E(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-\beta \lambda^{\sigma_{i}}}=\sqrt{-\beta \lambda \rho_{0 \tau \sigma_{i}}}=\sqrt{-1}\left((\beta \lambda)^{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Case 2.2, let $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \cong M_{\delta^{\prime}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ with a central division algebra $D_{1}^{\prime}$ of degree $\delta_{1}=\delta / \delta^{\prime}$. Then a simple right ideal of $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is of $D_{1}^{\prime}-$ rank $\delta^{\prime}$ and of $D^{\prime}$-rank $2 / \delta^{\prime}$. Since $\delta^{\prime}>1$, one has $\delta^{\prime}=2$ and $\delta_{1}=\delta / 2$.

The following necessary condition for the existence of rational points was obtained by K. Oiso by a different method (unpublished).

Theorem 4. Suppose that the symmetric domain $\mathscr{D}\left(V / D^{\prime}, h\right)$ has a rational point. Then, in addition to $(\mathrm{R} 1)$, the following condition $(\mathrm{R} 2)$ is satisfied.
(R2) $\delta \mid q$ or $p=q$.
Proof. By the assumption, $\mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right) \neq \varnothing$. Let $h^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right)$ and $T=$ $h^{-1} h^{\prime}, T^{2}=\lambda 1$ with $\lambda \in F_{+}^{\times}$. Then by the observation in 3.1 , one has $p=q$ in Case 2. Hence it is enough to show that in Case 1 one has $\delta \mid q$. In this case, one has $\sqrt{\lambda} \in F^{\times}$ $\left(\epsilon F_{+}^{\times}\right.$if $\left.p>q\right)$. Hence, for simplicity, replacing $h$ by $\sqrt{\lambda} h$, we assume that $\lambda=1$. Put

$$
V_{ \pm}=\{v \in V \mid T v= \pm v\} .
$$

Then $V_{ \pm}$are $D^{\prime}$-submodules and one has a direct sum decomposition $V=V_{+} \oplus V_{-}$. It is easy to see that the subspaces $V_{+}$and $V_{-}$are mutually orthogonal with respect to $h$ and $h^{\prime}$. Let $v_{1}=\operatorname{rank}_{D^{\prime}} V_{+}$and let $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{v}\right)$ be an orthogonal $D^{\prime}$-basis of $V$ with respect to $h$ such that $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{v_{1}}\right)$ is a $D^{\prime}$-basis of $V_{+}$. Then one has a matrix expression of $h$ in $D^{\prime}$ of the form

$$
h \simeq \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{v_{1}}, a_{v_{1}+1}, \cdots, a_{v}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
h^{\prime} \simeq \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{v_{1}},-a_{v_{1}+1}, \cdots,-a_{v}\right)
$$

Since $h^{\prime}$ is totally positive, one should have $a_{k} \gg 0\left(1 \leq k \leq v_{1}\right)$ and $a_{k} \ll 0\left(v_{1}+1 \leq k \leq v\right)$. Thus one has

$$
p=\delta v_{1}, \quad q=\delta\left(v-v_{1}\right)
$$

which proves our assertion.
q.e.d.

Corollary. Suppose that $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{D}\left(V / D^{\prime}, h\right)$ is not of tube type and has a last rational boundary component of positive dimension (i.e., $\mathscr{D}$ is "of type (U2)", see 5.1). Then $\mathscr{D}$ has no rational points.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathscr{D}$ has a rational point. Then by Theorem 4 and the assumptions the last rational boundary component $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ of $\mathscr{D}$ is of type $\left(\mathrm{I}_{p_{0} q_{0}}^{(\delta)}\right)$ with $p_{0}>q_{0}>0$ and $\delta \mid q_{0}$. It then follows that $v_{0}=\left(p_{0}+q_{0}\right) / \delta \geq 3$, which contradicts Theorem 1 .
q.e.d.
3.3. Assuming the existence of rational points with CM-field $F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$, we further consider Case 2. In the above notation, let $V_{E^{\prime}}=V \otimes_{F^{\prime}} E^{\prime}$ and, extending $T$ to an endomorphism of $V_{E^{\prime}}$ by linearity, put

$$
V_{ \pm}=\left\{v \in V_{E^{\prime}} \mid T v= \pm \sqrt{\lambda} v\right\} .
$$

Then one has a direct sum decomposition

$$
V_{E^{\prime}}=V_{+} \oplus V_{-}, \quad V_{-}=V_{+}^{\tau},
$$

where the subspaces $V_{ \pm}$are $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-invariant and mutually orthogonal with respect to (the natural extensions of) $h$ and $h^{\prime}$.

In Case 2.1 where $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is division, let $v_{1}=D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}-$ rank $V_{+}$; then one has $v=2 v_{1}$. Let $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{v_{1}}\right)$ be an orthogonal $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-basis of $V_{+}$with respect to $h$; then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \mid V_{+} \simeq \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{v_{1}}\right), \quad a_{k} \in D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\left(e_{1}^{\tau}, \cdots, e_{v_{1}}^{\tau}\right)$ is an orthogonal $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-basis of $V_{-}=V_{+}^{\tau}$ and one has

$$
h \mid V_{-} \simeq \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}^{\tau}, \cdots, a_{v_{1}}^{\tau}\right) .
$$

In the $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-basis $\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{v_{1}}, e_{1}^{\tau}, \cdots, e_{v_{1}}^{\tau}\right)$ of $V_{E^{\prime}}$ one then has

$$
h^{\prime} \simeq \operatorname{diag}\left(\sqrt{\lambda} a_{1}, \cdots, \sqrt{\lambda} a_{v_{1}},-\sqrt{\lambda} a_{1}^{\tau}, \cdots,-\sqrt{\lambda} a_{v_{1}}^{\tau}\right) .
$$

Hence one has $\sqrt{\lambda} a_{k} \gg 0\left(1 \leq k \leq v_{1}\right)$ and $p=q=\delta v_{1}$. It should be noted that one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(h) \sim \lambda^{\delta v_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{v_{1}} N\left(a_{i}\right)^{1+\tau} \quad \text { in } \quad F^{\times} / N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Case 2.2 where $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is not division, one has $2 \mid \delta$. The space $V$, viewed as a ( $T, D^{\prime}$ )-module, can be endowed with a structure of (right) $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-module by setting $T v=\sqrt{\lambda} v$ for $v \in V$. Since $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-modules are completely reducible, the problem can be reduced to the case where $V$ is irreducible. Then, the space $V$, being isomorphic to a simple right ideal of $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, is of $D^{\prime}$-rank one. Hence, let $V=\left\{e_{1}\right\}_{D^{\prime}}$ and set $T e_{1}=e_{1} t_{1}$ with $t_{1} \in D^{\prime}$. Then $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ contains matrix units $e_{k l}(k, l=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{11}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{\lambda}^{-1} t_{1}\right), \quad e_{22}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{\lambda}^{-1} t_{1}\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D_{1}^{\prime}$ be the centralizer of $\left\{e_{k l}(k, l=1,2)\right\}$ in $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Then from the above $D_{1}^{\prime}$ is a central division algebra of degree $\delta_{1}=\delta / 2$ with unitary involution with respect to $E^{\prime} / E$ and one has an $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $M_{E^{\prime}}: D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\rho_{1}$ be a totally positive involution of $D_{1}^{\prime}$ with respect to $E^{\prime} / E$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E}\left(x^{\rho}\right)=A^{-1 t} M_{E}(x)^{\rho_{1}} A \quad\left(x \in D^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A \in \operatorname{Her}_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, which one assumes to be totally positive. Let $h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=a_{1}$. Then one has $h^{\prime}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=h\left(e_{1}, e_{1} t_{1}\right)=a_{1} t_{1}$ and so $a_{1} t_{1}=\left(a_{1} t_{1}\right)^{\rho}=t_{1}^{\rho} a_{1}$. It follows that

$$
M_{E^{\prime}}\left(a_{1}\right) M_{E^{\prime}}\left(t_{1}\right)=M_{E^{\prime}}\left(t_{1}^{\rho}\right) M_{E^{\prime}}\left(a_{1}\right)=A^{-1 t} M_{E^{\prime}}\left(t_{1}\right)^{\rho_{1}} A M_{E^{\prime}}\left(a_{1}\right)
$$

Since

$$
M_{E^{\prime}}\left(t_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{\lambda} & 0 \\
0 & -\sqrt{\lambda}
\end{array}\right)
$$

setting $\tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(\cdot)=A M_{E^{\prime}}(\cdot)$, one has

$$
\left[\tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}\left(a_{1}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{\lambda} & 0 \\
0 & -\sqrt{\lambda}
\end{array}\right)\right]=0 .
$$

It follows that the expressions of $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ in $D_{1}^{\prime}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \simeq \tilde{M}_{E}\left(a_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & a_{1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{3.11}\\
& h^{\prime} \simeq \tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}\left(a_{1} t_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{\lambda} a_{1}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & -\sqrt{\lambda} a_{1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence one has $\sqrt{\lambda} a_{1}^{\prime} \gg 0$ and $\sqrt{\lambda} a_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ll 0$.

## 4. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of rational points.

4.1. We retain the notation and the assumptions in §3. First we consider Case 1.

Theorem 5. The symmetric domain $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{D}\left(V / D^{\prime}\right.$, h) has a rational point of the first type if and only if condition ( R 1 ) and the following condition $(\mathrm{R} 2.1)$ are satisfied:
(R2.1) $\quad \delta \mid q$.
Proof. The "only if" part was already shown in the proof of Theorem 4. By virtue of the result in [Sa2], 3.4, for the proof of the "if" part one may assume that $r_{0}=0$, i.e., $h$ is anisotropic. If $h$ is definite ( $q=0$ ), then $\mathscr{D}$ reduces to a point, which may be regarded as a rational point of the first type. (Note that in our case the special CM-field mentioned in [Sa2], 3.4, $2^{\circ}$ coincides with $F(\sqrt{-\beta})$.) If $h$ is anisotropic but indefinite $(q>0)$, then by ( R 2.1 ) and Theorem 2 one has $v=2, p=q=\delta$ and $\operatorname{det}(h) \nsucc(-1)^{\delta}$. Take any $a_{1} \in \operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ which is totally positive. By [Sc], Th. 10.6.9, one can then find $a_{2} \in \operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ which is totally negative and satisfying the relation $N\left(a_{2}\right)=N\left(a_{1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{det}(h)$. Then $h$ and the hermitian form represented by the matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ have the same invariants and hence are mutually equivalent ([Sc], Cor. 10.6.6). In other words, one has

$$
h \simeq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & 0 \\
0 & a_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some $D^{\prime}$-basis of $V$. Then the hermitian form $h^{\prime}$ on $V$ defined by

$$
h^{\prime} \simeq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & 0 \\
0 & -a_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in the same basis belongs to $\mathscr{P}\left(V / D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right)$ and gives a rational point in $\mathscr{D}$ of the first type.
4.2. Next, suppose that $\lambda$ is in Case 2, i.e., $\lambda \in F_{+}^{\times}$, but $\notin\left(F^{\times}\right)^{2}$.

Theorem 6. Assume that $\lambda$ is in Case 2.1. Then the symmetric domain $\mathscr{D}=$ $\mathscr{D}\left(V / D^{\prime}, h\right)$ has a rational point of the second type with CM-field $F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ if and only if conditions $(\mathrm{R} 1),(\mathrm{R} 2.1)$ and the following conditions $(\mathrm{R} 2.2)$ and $(\mathrm{R} 3)$ are satisfied:
(R2.2) $p=q$.
(R3) $\quad(-1)^{\delta v / 2} \operatorname{det}(h) \in N(E / F) N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$.
Remark. Note that under condition (R2.2) one has $\delta v / 2=p$ and hence $p \equiv 0$ or $\equiv \delta / 2(\bmod \delta)$. Note also that, if one sets

$$
D(h)=\left(-\beta,(-1)^{\delta v / 2} \operatorname{det}(h)\right)_{F},
$$

then condition (R3) is equivalent to saying that $F^{\prime \prime}=F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ splits $D(h)$ (see Example

1 below).
Proof. The "only if" part follows immediately from 3.3. To prove the "if" part, we may (hence will) again assume that $h$ is anisotropic (and $v>0$ ). Then, by the assumptions, one has $v=2, p=q=\delta$ and there exists $\xi \in E^{\times}$such that $(-1)^{\delta} \operatorname{det}(h) \sim \xi^{1+\tau}$ in $F^{\times} / N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$. Here $\xi^{1+\tau}$ is totally positive and $\xi$ may be replaced by $\xi \eta$ with any $\eta \in E^{\times}$with $\eta^{1+\tau}=1$. Since the set $\left\{\eta \in E \mid \eta^{1+\tau}=1\right\}$ may be viewed as the set of $F$-rational points on a quadratic curve $C$ defined over $F, C(F)=\left(R_{F / \boldsymbol{Q}} C\right)(\boldsymbol{Q})$, which is dense in $\left(R_{F / \mathbf{Q}} C\right)(\boldsymbol{R})$, one can choose $\xi$ to be totally positive. (The author owes this argument to Y. Morita.) By [Sc], Th. 10.6.9, one can then find $a_{1}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D_{E}^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ which is totally positive and satisfying $N\left(a_{1}^{\prime}\right) \sim \xi$ in $E^{\times} / N\left(E^{\prime} / E\right)$. Take $e_{1}^{\prime} \in V_{E^{\prime}}$ with $e_{1}^{\prime} \neq e_{1}^{\prime \tau}$. Then $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{1}^{\prime \tau}\right)$ is a $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-basis of $V_{E^{\prime}}$. Put $a_{1}=\sqrt{\lambda}^{-1} a_{1}^{\prime}$ and let $h_{1}$ be a $\left(D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \rho\right)$-hermitian form on $V_{E^{\prime}}$ with matrix expression

$$
h_{1} \simeq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & 0 \\
0 & a_{1}^{\tau}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in the basis $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{1}^{\prime \tau}\right)$. Then one has $h_{1}^{\tau}=h_{1}$, i.e., $h_{1}$ comes from a ( $\left.D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$-hermitian form on $V$ (which one denotes by the same letter $h_{1}$ ) by scalar extension. By the choice of $a_{1}$, one has

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(h_{1}^{\sigma_{i}}\right)=(\delta, \delta)=\operatorname{sign}\left(h^{\sigma_{i}}\right) \quad(1 \leq i \leq m)
$$

and by (3.8)

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(h_{1}\right) \sim \lambda^{\delta} N\left(\sqrt{\lambda}^{-1} a_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1+\tau} \sim(-1)^{\delta} \xi^{1+\tau} \sim \operatorname{det}(h)
$$

in $F^{\times} / N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$. Hence, by [Sc], Cor. 10.6.6, $h$ and $h_{1}$ are equivalent over $D^{\prime}$. This implies that there exists $e_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in V_{E^{\prime}}$ such that $e_{1}^{\prime \prime} \neq e_{1}^{\prime \prime \tau}$ and

$$
h \simeq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & 0 \\
0 & a_{1}^{\tau}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in the $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-basis $\left(e_{1}^{\prime \prime}, e_{1}^{\prime \prime \tau}\right)$. Then the hermitian form $h^{\prime}$ on $V$ defined by

$$
h^{\prime} \simeq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & a_{1}^{\prime \tau}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in the same basis belongs to $\mathscr{P}\left(V, D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right)$ and gives a rational point in $\mathscr{D}$ of the second type with CM-field $F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$.

Example 1: $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1,1}^{(1)}\right)$. Let $\beta, \lambda \in F_{+}^{\times}$and $F^{\prime}=F(\sqrt{-\beta}), E=F(\sqrt{\lambda})$ be as above and take $\alpha \in F_{+}^{\times}, \notin N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$. Let $D^{\prime}=F^{\prime}$ and $h=\operatorname{diag}(1,-\alpha) \in \operatorname{Her}_{2}\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$. Then $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=$ $(1,1)(1 \leq i \leq m), h$ is anisotropic (Th. 2), and $D(h)=(\alpha,-\beta)_{F}$. Clearly, $D(h)$ is division and totally indefinite. It is easy to see that one has an $F$-isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s u}\left(2, h, F^{\prime} / F\right) \simeq \mathfrak{s l}(1, D(h)), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{s l}(1, D(h))=D(h)_{-}=\left\{u \in D(h) \mid \operatorname{tr}_{D(h) / F}(u)=0\right\}$. ((4.1) gives the isomorphism (1.2) with $\delta=1$.) Conditions (R1), (R2.1), (R2.2) are clearly satisfied, and we are in Case 2.1. Condition (R3) is equivalent to saying that one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\xi^{2}-\alpha\left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\beta \eta_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \xi, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in F, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently, $-\beta \lambda \in D(h)_{-}^{2}$. Thus we see that the corresponding domain $\mathscr{D}$ has rational points of the second type with CM-field $F^{\prime \prime}=F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ if and only if $F^{\prime \prime}$ splits $D(h)$.
4.3. In order to treat the third case, we need more preparation. Suppose there is given a central division algebra $D_{1}^{\prime}$ of degree $\delta_{1}=\delta / 2$ over $E^{\prime}=F^{\prime}(\sqrt{\lambda})$ such that one has an $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \simeq M_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, since $D_{1}^{\prime} \sim D_{1}^{\prime \tau}$, one has an $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $\varphi: D_{1}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{1}^{\prime \tau}$. For $x_{1} \in D_{1}^{\prime}$, set $x_{1}^{[\tau]}=\varphi^{-1} x_{1}^{\tau}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{[\tau]^{2}}=\varphi^{-1} \varphi^{-\tau}\left(x_{1}\right)=f_{1}^{-1} x_{1} f_{1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $f_{1} \in D_{1}^{\prime \times}$, where one may (hence will) assume that $f_{1}^{[\tau]}=f_{1}$.
We fix an $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $M_{E^{\prime}}: D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E^{\prime}}^{[\tau]}(\cdot)=\varphi^{-1} M_{E^{\prime}}^{\tau}(\cdot)=C^{-1} M_{E^{\prime}}(\cdot) C \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C \in G L_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. It is easy to see that

$$
C C^{[\tau]}=\gamma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{1} & 0  \tag{4.4a}\\
0 & f_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\gamma \in F^{\prime \times}$. We also fix a totally positive involution $\rho_{1}$ of $D_{1}^{\prime}$ with respect to $E^{\prime} / E$ and a totally positive element $A$ in $\operatorname{Her}_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}, \rho_{1}\right)$ satisfying (3.10). Put $\rho_{1}^{[\tau]}=[\tau]^{-1} \rho_{1}[\tau]$; then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{\rho_{1}^{[1]}}=b_{1}^{-1} x_{1}^{\rho_{1}} b_{1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}, \rho_{1}\right)$. It follows from (4.3) and (4.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1} b_{1}^{[\tau]}=\beta_{1} f_{1}^{\rho_{1}} f_{1} \tag{4.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta_{1} \in F^{\prime \times}$.

## Lemma 3. One has

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{t}} C^{\rho_{1}} A C A^{-[\tau]}=\varepsilon\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & 0  \tag{4.6}\\
0 & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\varepsilon \in E^{\times}$.
Proof. For $x \in D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, one has $x^{\rho \tau}=x^{\tau \rho}$. Hence, computing $M_{E}^{[\tau]}\left(x^{\rho \tau}\right)=M_{E}^{[\tau]}\left(x^{\tau \rho}\right)$ in two different ways by (3.10), (4.4) and (4.5), one has

$$
M_{E^{\prime}}^{[\tau]}\left(x^{\rho \tau}\right)=A^{-[\tau]}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & 0 \\
0 & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}{ }^{t} C^{\rho_{1} t} M_{E^{\prime}}\left(x^{\tau}\right)^{\rho_{1} t} C^{-\rho_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & 0 \\
0 & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) A^{[\tau]},
$$

and

$$
M_{E}^{[\tau]}\left(x^{\tau \rho}\right)=C^{-1} A^{-1 t} M_{E^{\prime}}\left(x^{\tau}\right)^{\rho_{1}} A C .
$$

Hence one has

$$
A C=\varepsilon^{\mathrm{t}} C^{-\rho_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & 0 \\
0 & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) A^{[\tau]}
$$

with $\varepsilon \in E^{\prime \times}$, which proves (4.6). This relation implies that $\varepsilon^{\rho_{0}}=\varepsilon$, i.e., $\varepsilon \in E^{\times}$. q.e.d.
From (4.6), using (4.5a), one obtains $\beta_{1}=\varepsilon^{-1-\tau} \gamma^{1+\rho_{0}}$. Taking the norm of both sides of (4.4a), (4.5a) and (4.6), one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
N(C)^{1+\tau}=\gamma^{\delta} N_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)^{2},  \tag{4.4b}\\
\left(\varepsilon^{\delta / 2} N_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)\right)^{1+\tau}=\left(\gamma^{\delta / 2} N_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)\right)^{1+\rho_{0}},  \tag{4.5b}\\
N(A)^{1-\tau} N(C)^{1+\rho_{0}}=\varepsilon^{\delta} N_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}, \tag{4.6b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where (and in what follows) $N$ and $N_{1}$ denote the reduced norm of $M_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $D_{1}^{\prime}$, respectively. By (4.4b) one has $\left(N_{1}\left(\gamma f_{1}\right)^{-1} N(C)\right)^{1+\tau}=1$. Hence there exists $\eta \in E^{\prime \times}$, determined modulo $F^{\prime \times}$, such that

$$
N_{1}\left(\gamma f_{1}\right)^{-1} N(C)=\eta^{1-\tau}
$$

Then by (4.5b) and (4.6b) one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=N(A)\left(N_{1}\left(\varepsilon b_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} \eta^{1+\rho_{0}} \in F^{\times} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.
Lemma 4. The class of $\omega$ modulo $N(E / F) \cdot N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)$ is independent of the choices of $D_{1}^{\prime}, \varphi, f_{1}, \rho_{1}, M_{E^{\prime}}, A, b_{1}$ and $C$, and is uniquely determined only by $D^{\prime}, \rho$ and $E^{\prime}$.

We call $\omega$ a correcting factor for $\left(\rho, E^{\prime} / F^{\prime}\right.$ ). (In Case 2.1, one may consider that $\omega=1$.)
4.4. For an actual computation, it will be convenient to take $D_{1}^{\prime}$ and $M_{E^{\prime}}$ in the following manner. Let $W=\left\{e_{1}\right\}_{D^{\prime}}$ be an irreducible $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-module. Then for $\xi \in E^{\prime}$ one has $\xi e_{1}=e_{1} i(\xi)$ with an ( $F^{\prime}$-linear) imbedding $i: E^{\prime} \subsetneq D^{\prime}$. One can then construct matrix units $e_{k l}(k, l=1,2)$ in $D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, satisfying (3.9) with $t_{1}=i(\sqrt{\lambda})$. Setting $D_{1}^{\prime}=e_{11} D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime} e_{11}$, one has an $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $M_{E^{\prime}}: D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ defined as follows: for $x \in D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ one has $M_{E^{\prime}}(x)=\left(x_{i j}\right)$ with $x_{i j} \in D_{1}^{\prime}$ if and only if

$$
x=x_{11}+x_{12} e_{12}+e_{21} x_{21}+e_{21} x_{22} e_{12} .
$$

Since $e_{11}^{\tau}=e_{22}$, one has $D_{1}^{\prime \tau}=e_{22} D_{E}^{\prime}, e_{22}$, and the $\operatorname{map} \varphi: x_{1} \mapsto e_{21} x_{1} e_{12}$ gives an $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $D_{1}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{1}^{\prime \tau}$. One has

$$
x_{1}^{[\tau]}=\varphi^{-1} x_{1}^{\tau}=e_{12} x_{1}^{\tau} e_{21} \quad\left(x_{1} \in D_{1}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Also from $e_{11}^{\tau}=e_{22}$ one obtains

$$
e_{21}^{\tau}=c_{1} e_{12}, \quad e_{12}^{\tau}=e_{21} c_{1}^{-1}
$$

with $c_{1} \in D_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{[\tau]}=c_{1}$. Hence one has (4.4) with

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & c_{1}  \tag{4.4c}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=C^{[\tau]}
$$

Then by (4.4a) one has $c_{1}=\gamma f_{1}$ and hence $N(C)=(-1)^{\delta / 2} N_{1}\left(\gamma f_{1}\right)$. It follows that one can choose $\eta$ to be $=(\sqrt{\lambda})^{\delta / 2}$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=N(A) N_{1}\left(\varepsilon b_{1}\right)^{-1} \lambda^{\delta / 2} . \tag{4.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. Suppose $D_{1}^{\prime}, M_{E^{\prime}}$ and $\omega$ are chosen as above. Let $x \in \operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$ and suppose that

$$
\tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & x_{1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}, \rho_{1}\right)$. Then one has $x_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\varepsilon b_{1} x_{1}^{\prime[\tau]}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x)=\omega^{-1} \lambda^{\delta / 2} N_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1+\tau} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (4.4) and (4.6) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}^{\prime[\tau]} & 0 \\
0 & x_{1}^{\prime \prime[\tau]}
\end{array}\right) & =\tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(x)^{[\tau]}=\left(A^{[\tau]} C^{-1} A^{-1}\right) \tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(x) C \\
& =\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{1} & 0 \\
0 & b_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}{ }^{1} C^{\rho_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & x_{1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right) C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon^{-1} b_{1}^{-1} x_{1}^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon^{-1} b_{1}^{-1} x_{1}^{\prime} c_{1}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence one has $x_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\varepsilon b_{1} x_{1}^{\prime[\tau]}$. It follows from (4.7a) that

$$
N(x)=N(A)^{-1} N_{1}\left(\varepsilon b_{1}\right) N_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1+\tau}=\omega^{-1} \lambda^{\delta / 2} N_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{1+\tau}
$$

which proves (4.8).
q.e.d.

Example 2. The notation being as above, suppose further that the condition $t_{1}^{\rho}=t_{1}$ is satisfied. Then one obtains

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{i i}^{\rho}=e_{i i} \\
e_{21}^{\rho}=d_{1} e_{12}, \quad(i=1,2), \\
e_{12}^{\rho}=e_{21} d_{1}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $d_{1} \in D_{1}^{\prime}, d_{1}^{\rho}=d_{1}$. It follows that $D_{1}^{\prime \rho}=D_{1}^{\prime}$ so that one can set $\rho_{1}=\rho \mid D_{1}^{\prime}$. Then one has (3.10) with

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & d_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

By (4.6) one obtains

$$
d_{1}=c_{1}^{\rho_{1}} c_{1} d_{1}^{-[\tau]}=\varepsilon b_{1}
$$

and hence $N(A)=N_{1}\left(d_{1}\right)=N_{1}\left(\varepsilon b_{1}\right)$. Therefore by (4.7a) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\lambda^{\delta / 2} \sim(-1)^{\delta / 2} \quad\left(\bmod ^{\times} N(E / F)\right) . \tag{4.7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, suppose that $D^{\prime}$ is given in the form of a cyclic algebra $D^{\prime}=$ $\left(Z^{\prime} / F^{\prime}, \sigma, \gamma^{\prime}\right), Z^{\prime}=Z F^{\prime}$ with a totally real $Z$. Then there exists an injection $\imath: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ and $u \in D^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{\delta-1} l\left(Z^{\prime}\right) u^{i}, \quad u^{-1} l(\xi) u=l\left(\xi^{\sigma}\right), \quad u^{\delta}=\gamma^{\prime} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can define a (totaly positive) unitary involution $\rho$ with respect to $F^{\prime} / F$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(\xi)^{\rho}=l\left(\xi^{\rho_{0}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad u^{\rho}=l(\alpha) u^{-1} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a (totally positive) element of $Z$ satisfying the condition $N_{Z \mid F}(\alpha)=N_{F^{\prime} / F}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$ (see the assumptions in 2.4). Since $\delta=2 \delta_{1}$, there is a unique totally real quadratic subextension $E / F$ of $Z / F$. If $E=F(\sqrt{\lambda})$ and $\rho$ are given in this manner, then $t_{1}=t(\sqrt{\lambda})$ satisfies the condition $t_{1}^{\rho}=t_{1}$.
4.5. We retain the assumptions and the notation in 4.3. We obtain the following

Theorem 7. Assume that $\lambda$ is in Case 2.2. Then the symmetric domain $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{D}\left(V / D^{\prime}, h\right)$ has a rational point of the third type with CM-field $F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ if and only if conditions $(\mathrm{R} 1),(\mathrm{R} 2.2)$ and the following condition $\left(\mathrm{R} 3^{\prime}\right)$ are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{\delta v / 2} \omega^{v} \operatorname{det}(h) \in N(E / F) \cdot N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right) \tag{R3'}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the correcting factor for $\left(\rho, E^{\prime} \mid F^{\prime}\right)$.
Remark. Note that the class of $\omega^{v} \operatorname{det}(h)$ is determined only by the involution $\rho_{h}$ and $E^{\prime}$. Note also that, as in the case of Theorem 6, condition ( $\mathrm{R} 3^{\prime}$ ) is equivalent to saying that $F^{\prime \prime}=F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ splits the quaternion algebra similar to $D(h) \otimes_{F}(-\beta, \omega)_{F}^{v}$.

Proof. The "only if" part follows from 3.3, Case 2.2 and Lemma 5. To prove the "if" part, suppose that conditions (R1), (R2.2) and (R3') are satisfied. Without any loss of generality, we may further assume that $h$ is anisotropic; then by Theorem 2 we have $v=1$ or 2 . We give a proof only in the first case, since the proof in the second case is similar.

We choose $D_{1}^{\prime}, M_{E^{\prime}}$ and $\omega$ as explained in 4.4. In the case $v=1$, one has $p=q=\delta / 2$ by ( R 2.2 ). By ( $\mathrm{R} 3^{\prime}$ ) there exists $\xi \in E^{\times}$such that

$$
(-1)^{\delta / 2} \omega \operatorname{det}(h) \sim \xi^{1+\tau} \quad\left(\bmod ^{\times} N\left(F^{\prime} / F\right)\right) .
$$

Then one has $\varepsilon^{\delta / 2} \xi^{1+\tau} \gg 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 6 one may assume that $\xi^{\sigma_{i}}>0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then by [Sc], Th. 10.6.9, one can find $a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}, \rho_{1}\right)$ such that $N_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=(\sqrt{\lambda})^{-\delta / 2} \xi$ and $a^{\prime \sigma_{i}}>0, \varepsilon^{\sigma_{i}} a^{\prime \tau] \sigma_{i}}<0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Put $a^{\prime \prime}=\varepsilon b_{1} a^{\prime \tau]}$ and find $x \in D_{E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ such that $\tilde{M}_{E^{\prime}}(x)=\operatorname{diag}\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then it is easy to check that $x^{\tau}=x^{\rho}=x$, i.e., $x \in \operatorname{Her}_{1}\left(D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$. Moreover, one has $\operatorname{sign}\left(x^{\sigma_{i}}\right)=(\delta / 2, \delta / 2)$ and by Lemma 5

$$
N(x)=\omega^{-1} \lambda^{\delta / 2} N_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{1+\tau}=(-1)^{\delta / 2} \omega^{-1} \xi^{1+\tau} .
$$

Let $V=\left\{e_{1}\right\}_{D^{\prime}}$ and let $h_{1}$ be a ( $\left.D^{\prime}, \rho\right)$-hermitian form on $V$ with $h_{1}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=x$. Then by [Sc], Cor. 10.6.6, $h$ and $h_{1}$ are equivalent over $D^{\prime}$. This means that there exists $e_{1}^{\prime} \in V$ such that $h\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=x$. Then the ( $D^{\prime}, \rho$ )-hermitian form $h^{\prime}$ defined by $h^{\prime}\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=x t_{1}$ with $t_{1}=i(\sqrt{\lambda})$ belongs to $\mathscr{P}\left(V, D^{\prime}, \rho, h\right)$ and gives a rational point in $\mathscr{D}$ of the third type with CM-field $F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$.
q.e.d.
4.6. We consider here a special case where $\delta_{1}$ is odd. In this case, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime}=D_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{F^{\prime}} D_{2}^{\prime}, \quad D_{0 E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \simeq D_{1}^{\prime}, \quad D_{2 E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \sim 1 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0}^{\prime}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}$ are central division algebras over $F^{\prime}$ of degree $\delta_{1}$ and 2 , respectively. We follow the notation of 4.4 and, in doing so, choose $e_{i j}$ in $D_{2 E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Then one has $c_{1} \in F^{\prime}$, i.e., one may set $c_{1}=\gamma, f_{1}=e_{11}$. This implies that $[\tau]^{2}=1$, i.e., $\{1, \varphi\}$ is a 1 -cocycle, which defines an $F^{\prime}$-form of $D_{1}^{\prime}$. (It is easy to see that, conversely, if $f_{1}$ can be taken to be $=1$, then $\delta_{1}$ is odd.) One denotes by $\psi$ the $E^{\prime}$-isomorphism $D_{1}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{0 E^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ defined by $\psi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{0} \Leftrightarrow x_{1}=x_{0} \otimes e_{11}\left(x_{1} \in D_{1}^{\prime}, x_{0} \in D_{0 E}^{\prime}\right)$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+\varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \quad \psi\left(x_{1}^{[\tau]}\right)=\psi\left(x_{1}\right)^{\tau} \quad\left(x_{1} \in D_{1}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $F^{\prime}$-form of $D_{1}^{\prime}$ mentioned above is given by $D_{0}^{\prime} \otimes e_{11}$.
By [A], Ch. X, the algebras $D_{i}^{\prime}(i=0,2)$ have a totally positive unitary involution $\rho_{i}^{\prime}$ $(i=0,2)$ with respect to $F^{\prime} / F$. One defines the involution $\rho_{1}$ of $D_{1}^{\prime}$ by setting $x_{1}^{\rho_{1}}=\psi^{-1}\left(\psi\left(x_{1}\right)^{\rho_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$ for $x_{1} \in D_{1}^{\prime}$. Then by (4.12) one has $\rho_{1}^{[\tau]}=\rho_{1}$. Hence one may set $b_{1}=e_{11}$.

On the other hand, let $x_{2} \mapsto \bar{x}_{2}$ denote the canonical involution of the quaternion algebra $D_{2}^{\prime}$. Then the map $x_{2} \mapsto \bar{x}_{2}^{\rho_{2}^{\prime}}$, being a semilinear involutive automorphism of $D_{2}^{\prime}$, defines an $F$-form $D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ of $D_{2}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{x_{2} \in D_{2}^{\prime} \mid \bar{x}_{2}^{\rho_{2}^{\prime}}=x_{2}\right\} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{E^{\prime}}=D_{2 E^{\prime}}^{\prime} \sim 1$, one can conclude that

$$
D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right) \sim D_{2} \otimes_{F} D_{3}
$$

where $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ are central quaternion algebras over $F$ such that $D_{2 E} \sim 1$ and $D_{3 F^{\prime}} \sim 1$. Then one has $D_{2}^{\prime}=D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{F^{\prime}} \simeq D_{2 F^{\prime}}$, which means that $D_{2}$ is also an $F$-form of $D_{2}^{\prime}$. We regard $D_{2}$ as contained in $D_{2}^{\prime}$ and denote by $\rho_{2}$ the (not totally positive) unitary involution of $D_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $D_{2}=D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)$. Since $D_{2 E} \sim 1$, one may choose $e_{i j}$ in $D_{2 E}$. Then
one has $\gamma \in F$ and

$$
D_{2}=(\lambda, \gamma)_{F}
$$

For $x=x_{0} \otimes x_{2}\left(x_{0} \in D_{0}^{\prime}, x_{2} \in D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ let

$$
x^{\rho}=a^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{\rho_{0}^{\prime}} \otimes x_{2}^{\rho_{2}}\right) a
$$

with $a \in D^{\prime}, a^{\rho}=-a$. Then by the definitions one has

$$
M_{E^{\prime}}\left(x_{0}^{\rho_{0}^{0}} \otimes x_{2}^{\rho_{2}}\right)=\psi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)^{\rho_{1}} \cdot J^{-1 t} M_{E^{\prime}}\left(x_{2}\right)^{\rho_{0}} J
$$

with

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence one has

$$
M_{E^{\prime}}\left(x^{\rho}\right)=A^{-1 \mathrm{t}} M_{E^{\prime}}(x)^{\rho_{1}} A
$$

with $A=J M_{E^{\prime}}(a) \in \operatorname{Her}_{2}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}, \rho_{1}\right)$. Since $\rho$ is totally positive, one can choose $a$ so that $A$ is totally positive. From $a^{\tau}=a$ one has $A^{[\tau]}=J C^{-1} A C$. Hence it follows from Lemma 3 and (4.4c) that $\varepsilon=-\gamma$ (and $b_{1}=e_{11}$ ). Therefore by (4.7a) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=N(a) N_{1}\left(\varepsilon b_{1}\right)^{-1} \lambda^{\delta_{1}} \sim \gamma N(a) \quad\left(\bmod ^{\times} N(E / F)\right) . \tag{4.7c}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Example 3 below, we need the following lemma, which we state in a little more general situation.

Lemma 6. Let $\rho_{2}$ and $\rho_{2}^{\prime}$ be unitary involutions of $D_{2}^{\prime}$ with respect to $F^{\prime} / F$ and let

$$
x^{\rho_{2}^{\prime}}=a_{2}^{-1} x^{\rho_{2}} a_{2} \quad \text { for } \quad x \in D_{2}^{\prime}
$$

with $a_{2} \in D_{2}^{\prime \times}, a_{2}^{\rho_{2}}=-a_{2}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right) \sim D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \otimes_{F}\left(-\beta,-N_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)\right)_{F} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N_{2}$ denoting the reduced norm of $D_{2}^{\prime}$.
Corollary. A unitary involution $\rho_{2}^{\prime}$ is totally positive if and only if $D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is totally definite.

This follows from Lemma 6 by taking $\rho_{2}$ as specified above.
Proof of Lemma 6. One writes $a_{2}$ and $x \in D_{2}^{\prime}$ in the form

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
a_{2}=\alpha_{2} \sqrt{-\beta}+u^{\prime} & \text { with } \quad \alpha_{2} \in F, u^{\prime} \in D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)_{-} \\
x=x^{\prime}+\sqrt{-\beta} x^{\prime \prime} & \text { with } \quad x^{\prime}, \quad x^{\prime \prime} \in D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Then an easy computation shows that one has

$$
\bar{x}^{\rho_{2}^{\prime}}=x \Leftrightarrow \bar{x}^{\rho_{2}} a_{2}=a_{2} x \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[u^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right]=2 \alpha_{2} \beta x^{\prime \prime}} \\
u^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}+x^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

When $u^{\prime}=0$, the assertion of the lemma is trivial. Hence, assuming $u^{\prime} \neq 0$, one sets

$$
D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=\left\{1, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{F}=\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{F}
$$

with $u^{\prime 2}=\gamma^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime 2}=\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ and $u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}=-u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime}$. Then one has

$$
D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{1, u^{\prime}, \alpha_{2} \beta u^{\prime \prime}+\sqrt{-\beta} u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}, \alpha_{2} \beta u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}+\gamma^{\prime} \sqrt{-\beta} u^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{F}=\left(\gamma^{\prime},\left(\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta+\gamma^{\prime}\right) \beta \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{F} .
$$

Since $-N_{2}\left(a_{2}\right) \beta=\left(\alpha_{2}^{2} \beta+\gamma^{\prime}\right) \beta$ is in $N\left(F\left(\sqrt{-\beta \gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right)$, one has

$$
D_{2}\left(\rho_{2}^{\prime}\right) \sim\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)_{F} \otimes_{F}\left(-\beta,-N_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)\right)_{F},
$$

which proves our assertion.
q.e.d.

Example 3: $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1,1}^{(2)}\right)$. The notation being as above, consider the case $\delta_{1}=1$, i.e., $D^{\prime}=D_{2}^{\prime}$, and $v=1$. Let $h \simeq\left(a_{1}\right)$ with $a_{1}^{\rho}=a_{1},\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=(1,1)(1 \leq i \leq m)$. Then one has

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=\mathfrak{s u}\left(1, h, D^{\prime} \mid F^{\prime} / F\right)=\left\{x \in D^{\prime} \mid x^{\rho_{h}}=\bar{x}=-x\right\},
$$

where $x^{\rho_{h}}=a_{1}^{-1} x^{\rho} a_{1}=\left(a a_{1}\right)^{-1} \bar{x}^{\rho_{2}} a a_{1}$. Thus one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{1}(F)=D\left(\rho_{h}\right)_{-}=\mathfrak{s l}\left(1, D\left(\rho_{h}\right)\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(which gives the isomorphism (1.2) with $\delta=2$ ). By Lemma 6 one has

$$
D\left(\rho_{h}\right) \sim D\left(\rho_{2}\right) \otimes\left(-\beta,-N\left(a a_{1}\right)\right)_{F},
$$

which (or (4.15)) shows that $D\left(\rho_{h}\right)$ is totally indefinite. Since $D\left(\rho_{2}\right)=(\lambda, \gamma)_{F}$, it follows from (4.7c) that

$$
D\left(\rho_{h}\right)_{F^{\prime \prime}} \sim(-\beta, \omega)_{F^{\prime \prime}} \otimes_{F^{\prime \prime}} D(h)_{F^{\prime \prime}}
$$

Thus, in this case, condition ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ ) is equivalent to saying that $F^{\prime \prime}$ splits $D\left(\rho_{h}\right)$.

## 5. Classification.

5.1. In general, let $\mathscr{D}$ be a $Q$-irreducible symmetric domain of $\boldsymbol{Q}$-rank $r_{0}$ satisfying the condition (R1). Such a domain $\mathscr{D}$ is classified into the following four types ([Sa2], 3.4):
(T1) The case where $\mathscr{D}$ is of tube type and the "last" (i.e., the $r_{0}$-th) rational boundary components reduce to a point.
(T2) The case where $\mathscr{D}$ is of tube type and the last rational boundary components are of positive dimension.
(U1) The case where $\mathscr{D}$ is not of tube type and the last rational boundary components reduce to a point.
(U2) The case where $\mathscr{D}$ is not of tube type and the last rational boundary
components are of positive dimension.
For the domains of classical type listed in 1.1 we obtain the following classification:
(T1): (III ${ }_{v / 2}^{(1)}$ ), (III $\left.{ }_{v}^{(2)}\right)\left(v\right.$ even, $\left.\geq 2, r_{0}=v / 2\right),\left(\mathrm{IV}_{v-2}^{(1)}\right)\left(v \geq 5, r_{0}=1,2\right)$,
( $\mathrm{II}_{v}^{(2)}$ ) ( $v$ even, $\geq 4, r_{0}=v / 2$ ), ( $\left.\mathrm{I}_{p, p}^{(\delta)}\right)\left(r_{0}=p / \delta=v / 2 \geq 1\right)$.
(T2): (III (2) $\left(v\right.$ odd, $\left.\geq 1, r_{0}=(v-1) / 2\right),\left(\mathrm{IV}_{2 v-2}^{(2)}\right)\left(v \geq 3, r_{0}=0,1\right)$,
( $\left.\mathrm{II}_{v}^{(2)}\right)\left(v\right.$ even, $\left.\geq 4, r_{0}=v / 2-1\right),\left(\mathrm{II}_{4}-\mathrm{IV}_{6}^{(2)}\right)\left(r_{0}=1\right)$,
$\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, p}^{(\delta)}\right)\left(p / \delta=v / 2, r_{0}=[(v-1) / 2] \geq 0\right)$.
(U1): ( $\left.\mathrm{II}_{v}^{(2)}\right)\left(v\right.$ odd, $\left.\geq 3, r_{0}=(v-1) / 2\right),\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, q}^{(\delta)}\right)\left(p>q \geq 1, r_{0}=q / \delta<v / 2\right)$.
(U2): ( $\left.\mathrm{II}_{v}^{(2)}\right)\left(v\right.$ odd, $\left.\geq 3, r_{0}=(v-3) / 2\right),\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, q}^{(\delta)}\right)\left(p>q \geq 1, r_{0}<q / \delta<v / 2\right)$.
5.2. Let $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ be a last rational boundary component of $\mathscr{D}$ and let $\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}=R_{F / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}, 1}^{(1)}\right)$ (with $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0,1}}^{(1)}$ defined over $F$ ) be the semisimple hermitian part of the reductive quotient of the parabolic subalgebra corresponding to $\mathscr{F}_{0}$. (In the notation of [Sa2], $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ is $\boldsymbol{Q}$-isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{\kappa}^{(11)}$ for $\left.\kappa \in \mathscr{K}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}.\right) \mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ is the Lie algebra of the semisimple $\boldsymbol{Q}$-group acting on $\mathscr{F}_{0}$. For the domain of type (T1), $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ reduces to $\{1\}$. For the domain of type (U1), $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ is of type $\left(\mathbf{I}_{v_{0} \delta, 0}^{(\delta)}\right)$ with $v_{0} \geq 1$. As was shown in [Sa2], the domain $\mathscr{D}$ of type (T1) has rational points with any CM-field and CM-type and the one of type (U1) has rational points with a particular CM-field and CM-type. The domain of type (U2) has no rational points (by 1.2 and Cor. to Th. 4).

As for the domain of type (T2) we have the following three cases.
(a) $\left(\mathrm{III}_{v}^{(2)}\right)(v$ odd, $\geq 1),\left(\mathrm{IV}_{2 v-2}^{(2)}\right)\left(v \geq 3, r_{0}=1\right)$.
(b) $\left(\mathrm{II}_{v}^{(2)}\right)\left(v\right.$ even, $\left.\geq 4, r_{0}=v / 2-1\right),\left(\mathrm{II}_{4}-\mathrm{IV}_{6}^{(2)}\right)\left(r_{0}=1\right)$.
(c) $\quad\left(\mathrm{IV}_{4}^{(2)}\right)\left(r_{0}=0\right)\left(\simeq\left(\mathrm{I}_{2,2}^{(\delta)}\right)(\delta=2,4)\right),\left(\mathrm{I}_{p, p}^{(\delta)}\right)\left(p=\delta v / 2, r_{0}=[(v-1) / 2]\right)$.

In the case (a), $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ is of type ( $\mathrm{III}_{1}^{(2)}$ ), and one has
with "the" given totally indefinite division quaternion algebra $D$ over $F$. Hence the domain $\mathscr{D}$ has rational points with any CM-field which splits D.

In the case (b), $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ is again of type ( $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ ), but the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ has compact factors. In fact, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ has $2 m$ or $3 m$ simple factors (over $\boldsymbol{R}$ ), but has only $m$ non-compact simple factors. To be more precise, let $h=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{v}\right), a_{i}^{2}=\alpha_{i} \in F$, and $\Delta=(-1)^{v} \operatorname{det}(h)=\prod_{i=1}^{v} \alpha_{i}$. Then the action of the Galois $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} / F)$ on the root diagram of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0,1}}^{(1)}$ is non-trivial (i.e., the arrow prevails in Figure 1) if and only if one has $\Delta \nsucc 1\left(\bmod ^{\times}\left(F^{\times}\right)^{2}\right)$.

When $\Delta \sim 1, \mathrm{~g}_{\mathfrak{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ has two $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factors corresponding to the "last" two vertices of the root diagram of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{F}_{0}, 1}^{(1)}$; they are given (in $\boldsymbol{Q}$-rational points) by $\mathfrak{s l}\left(1, D_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$,


Figure 1


Figure 2
where $D_{i}$ 's are (central division) quaternion algebras over $F$ such that $D_{1} \otimes D_{2} \sim D$. (The numeration of the vertices of the root diagram is as shown in Figure 1.) When $\Delta \nmid 1, \mathrm{~g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ has instead a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factor given by $R_{F(\sqrt{4}) / F}\left(\mathfrak{s l}\left(1, D_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, where $D_{1}^{\prime}$ is a (central division) quaternion algebra over $F(\sqrt{\Delta})$. This $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factor is not pure, having $m$ compact and $m$ non-compact simple factors (over $\boldsymbol{R}$ ). For ( $\mathrm{II}_{4}^{(2)}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{II}_{4}-\mathrm{IV}_{6}^{(2)}\right)$ ( $r_{0}=1$ ), one has one more $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factor corresponding to the "first" vertex of the root diagram of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}, 1}^{(1)}$, which is given by $\mathfrak{s l}(1, D)$. For ( $\left.\mathrm{II}_{4}^{(2)}\right)$ this $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factor is compact. But for ( $\mathrm{II}_{4}-\mathrm{IV}_{6}^{(2)}$ ) it is not pure, having $m_{1}$ compact and $m-m_{1}$ non-compact simple factors (over $\boldsymbol{R}$ ). [The relation of the root diagrams for ( $\mathrm{II}_{4}-\mathrm{IV}_{6}^{(2)}$ ) over $F^{\sigma_{i}}$ ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and over $\boldsymbol{R}$ is shown in Figure 2.] Thus one sees that, except for the special case $\left(\mathrm{II}_{v}^{(2)}\right)\left(r_{0}=v / 2-1\right)$ with $\Delta \sim 1$ for which one of the $D_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$, say $D_{1}$, is totally definite (hence the other, $D_{2}$, is totally indefinite), (all) the non-compact $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factor(s) of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ is (are) not pure, and hence the domain $\mathscr{D}$ has no rational points. In that special case, where $D_{2}$ is totally indefinite, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathscr{Y}_{0}}^{(1)}$ has, along with one or two compact $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factor(s), a pure non-compact $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple factor, which is of type ( $\mathrm{III}_{1}^{(2)}$ ); hence $\mathscr{D}$ has rational points with CM-fields which split $D_{2}$. In particular, the case ( $\mathrm{II}_{4}^{(2)}$ ) with $D_{1}$ totally definite reduces to the case (a), ( $\mathrm{IV}_{6}^{(2)}$ ).

In the case $(\mathrm{c})$, according as $p \equiv 0$ or $\delta / 2(\bmod \delta), \mathscr{F}_{0}$ is of type $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\delta, \delta}^{(\delta)}\right)(v=2)$ or $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\delta / 2, \delta / 2}^{(\delta)}\right)(v=1)$. In the first case, the domain $\mathscr{D}$ has rational points of the first and second type (Th. 5 and 6). In particular, the case $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1,1}^{(1)}\right)$ reduces to the case (a), $\left(\mathrm{III}_{1}^{(2)}\right)$ (Example 1). In the second case, the domain $\mathscr{D}$ has no rational points of the first and second type, but has rational points of the third type with CM-field $F(\sqrt{-\beta \lambda})$ for which condition ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ ) is satisfied (Th. 7). In particular, the case ( $\mathrm{I}_{1,1}^{(2)}$ ) reduces to the case (a), (III ${ }_{1}^{(2)}$ ) (Example 3).

Remark. For the domains of exceptional type, satisfying (R1), we have the following possibilities for $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. We use the notation in the list of Tits [T].
(T1) $\left(E_{7,3}^{28}\right)\left(r_{0}=3\right)$.
(T2) $\left({ }^{3} D_{4,1}^{9}\right),\left({ }^{6} D_{4,1}^{9}\right)\left(r_{0}=1\right),\left(E_{7,2}^{31}\right)\left(r_{0}=2\right)$.
(U1) $\left({ }^{2} E_{6,2}^{16^{\prime}}\right)\left(r_{0}=2\right)$.
(U2) $\left({ }^{2} E_{6,1}^{35}\right)\left(r_{0}=1\right)$.

The fact that these are the only possibilities in the list of Tits follows from a kind of Hasse principle given by Harder ([H], Satz 4.3.3). Among the domains of type (T2), the domain $\mathscr{D}$ corresponding to $\left(E_{7,2}^{31}\right)$ has the last rational boundary component $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ of type ( $\mathrm{III}_{1}^{(2)}$ ) (as in the above case (a)). Hence $\mathscr{D}$ has rational points. For the one corresponding to $\left({ }^{3} D_{4,1}^{9}\right)$ or $\left({ }^{6} D_{4,1}^{9}\right)$ the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\boldsymbol{F}_{0}}^{(1)}$ is $\boldsymbol{Q}$-simple but not pure, having $2 m$ compact and $m$ non-compact factors (similarly to the case (b) above). Hence $\mathscr{D}$ has no rational points.
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