

# AN EQUIVALENT CONDITION FOR CONTINUOUS MAPS OF A CLASS OF CONTINUA TO HAVE ZERO TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY

By

Jie LÜ<sup>†</sup>, Jincheng XIONG<sup>‡</sup> and Xiangdong YE<sup>\*</sup>

**Abstract.** Extending the famous Bowen-Franks-Misiurewicz's theorem concerning the topological entropy of continuous maps of an interval we prove that continuous maps of a class of continua have zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points are powers of 2.

## §1. Introduction

All maps considered in this paper are continuous. According to the well-known Bowen-Franks-Misiurewicz's theorem, a map of the unit interval has zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points of the map are powers of 2. In [12], the authors shown that the above result is still true when replacing the unit interval by a Warsaw circle. Since Sarkovskii's theorem holds for maps of a hereditarily decomposable chainable continuum (HDCC) [3], it is natural to ask whether Bowen-Franks-Misiurewicz's theorem can be extended to maps of this kind of continua. In this paper, we show that maps of a class of HDCC have zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points are powers of 2. To be more precise we introduce some notations.

By a *continuum* we mean a connected compact metric space. A *subcontinuum* is a subset of a continuum and it is a continuum itself. A continuum is *decomposable (indecomposable)* if it can (cannot) be written as the union of two of its proper subcontinua. A continuum is *hereditarily decomposable* if each of its nondegenerate subcontinuum is decomposable.  $X$  is said to be *chainable or arc-like* if for each given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a continuous map  $f_\varepsilon$  from  $X$  onto  $[0, 1]$

---

*Project supported by NNSF of China.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 58F20, 54F20, 54C20.

Received September 1, 1998

Revised June 4, 1999

such that  $\text{diam}(f_\varepsilon^{-1}(t)) < \varepsilon$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . A continuum is *Suslinean* if each collection of its pairwise disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua is countable.

Let  $X$  be a continuum and  $A \subset X$  be closed. Then there is a subcontinuum  $X_0$  of  $X$  containing  $A$  such that no proper subcontinuum of  $X_0$  contains  $A$  ([6]), and  $X_0$  will be called *irreducible* with respect to  $A$ . Particularly, if  $X$  is irreducible with respect to  $\{a, b\}$  with  $a \neq b \in X$ , then  $X$  is called an *irreducible continuum*.

Let  $X$  be a continuum which is hereditarily decomposable irreducible with respect to  $\{a, b\}$ . Then there is a map  $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g(a) = 0$ ,  $g(b) = 1$  and  $g^{-1}(t)$  is a maximal nowhere dense subcontinuum for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  ([2]). The map  $g$  is called the *Kuratowski function* of  $X$ .  $g^{-1}(t)$  is called a *layer* of  $X$  for each  $t \in [a, b]$ ;  $g^{-1}(0)$  and  $g^{-1}(1)$  are called *end layers* of  $X$  and the others are called *interior layers*. For any  $x, y \in X$ , by  $[x, y]$  we denote the subcontinuum irreducible with respect to  $\{x, y\}$ ; and by  $(x, y)$  we denote  $[x, y]$  minus its end layers. When  $X$  is chainable,  $[x, y]$  will be unique ([7]).

Let  $X$  be a HDCC and  $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{X\}$ . For an ordinal  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  is the set consisting of degenerate elements of  $\mathcal{D}_\beta$  and the layers of the nondegenerate elements of  $\mathcal{D}_\beta$ , and for a limit ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  is the set consisting of the intersections  $\bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta$ , where  $D_\beta \in \mathcal{D}_\beta$ .  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  will be called an  $\alpha$ -th layer of  $X$ . By  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{ND}$  we denote the set of nondegenerate elements of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ , and by  $D_\alpha(x)$  we denote the element of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  containing  $x$  for each  $x \in X$ . It was proved in [5] that there is a countable ordinal  $\tau$  such that  $D_\tau(x) = \{x\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . The minimal such  $\tau$  is said to be the *Order* of  $X$  and will be denoted by  $\text{Order}(X)$ . Note that we write  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(X)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{ND}(X)$  instead of  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{ND}$  respectively when emphasizing the dependence of them on  $X$ .

Let  $C(X, X)$  be the collections of all continuous maps on a compact metric space  $X$  and  $\omega_0$  be the first limit ordinal. Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0+1} = \{X \mid X \text{ is a HDCC and satisfies } \text{Order}(X) = \omega_0+1, (a) \text{ and } (b)\}.$$

(a) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_n^{ND}(X)$  is finite.

(b)  $\mathcal{D}_{\omega_0}^{ND}(X)$  is countable and each of its element is homeomorphic to the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ .

and for each ordinal  $\alpha \leq \omega_0$  let

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{X \mid X \text{ is a HDCC and satisfies } \text{Order}(X) = \alpha \text{ and the above } (a)\}.$$

**MAIN RESULT.** (*Theorem 4.4*). *For each  $X \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0+1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  and  $f \in C(X, X)$ ,  $f$  has zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2.*

REMARK. (i) If  $\varphi \in C(I, I)$  is a piecewise monotone continuous map with zero topological entropy then the inverse limit space  $\varprojlim \{I, \varphi\} \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ([10]).

(ii) In fact, the “only if” part of the main result holds for any  $X$  which is a HDCC (see theorem 4.4).

**§2. Preliminary**

According to [3], a total order “ $\prec$ ” can be defined on a HDCC  $X$  such that if  $a, b, c \in X$  and  $a \prec c \prec b$  then  $c \in [a, b]$ . The total order is not unique on  $X$  ([3]), but in the following we will assume that a total order  $\prec$  on  $X$  was given. Let  $A, B \subset X$ . We say  $A \prec B (A \succ B)$  if  $a \prec b (a \succ b)$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ; say  $A \preceq B$  if  $a \prec B$  or  $a \in B$  for any  $a \in A$  ( $A \succeq B$  is defined similarly).

For  $f \in C(X, X)$  we define  $f^0 = id$  and inductively  $f^n = f \circ f^{n-1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An  $x \in X$  is a *periodic point* of  $f$  of period  $n$  if  $f^n(x) = x$  and  $f^i(x) \neq x$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . An  $x \in X$  is a *recurrent point* of  $f$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(f^n(x), x) < \varepsilon$ , where  $d$  is a metric of  $X$ . An  $x \in X$  is a *non-wandering point* of  $f$  if for any non-empty neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . The collections of periodic points, recurrent points and non-wandering points of  $f$  will be denoted by  $P(f)$ ,  $R(f)$  and  $\Omega(f)$  respectively.

For  $x \in X$ ,  $O(x, f) = \{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\}$  is called the *orbit* of  $x$  under  $f$ . The set of accumulation points of  $O(x, f)$ , denoted by  $\omega(x, f)$ , is called  *$\omega$ -limit set* of  $x$  under  $f$ . Note that we use  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$  to denote  $f(A) \supset B$ , where  $f \in C(X, X)$  and  $A, B \subset X$ .

We use  $h(f)$  to denote the topological entropy of  $f \in C(X, X)$  (for the definition and the basic properties of topological entropy see [1] or [8]). Let  $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \{0, 1\}$ . For  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots), \beta = (\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots) \in \Sigma$ ,  $d(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty (2^{-i}) \cdot |\alpha_i - \beta_i|$  is a metric on  $\Sigma$ , and the sum  $\alpha + \beta = (g_1 g_2 \dots)$  is defined by: if  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 < 2$  then  $g_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1$ ; if  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \geq 2$  then  $g_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 - 2$  and we carry 1 to the next position, and so on. Let  $\delta : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  be defined by  $\delta(\alpha) = \alpha + (100 \dots)$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . It is easy to prove that  $\omega(\alpha, \delta) = \Sigma$  for any  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  and  $\delta$  has zero topological entropy. We shall call  $(\Sigma, \delta)$  an *adding machine* (see [8]).

We need some known theorems and simple lemmas for the proof of the main result.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $I$  be a closed interval and  $f : I \rightarrow I$  be continuous. Then  $f$  has zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2.*

See [1], [4], [11] and [13] for the proof of Theorem A.

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $Y$  be a hereditarily decomposable chainable continuum and let  $X$  be a subcontinuum of  $Y$ . If  $m \triangleleft n$ ,  $f$  is a continuous map of  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $f$  has a periodic point of period  $n$ , then  $f$  has a periodic point of period  $m$ .*

Here, “ $\triangleleft$ ” means Sarkovskii’s order on the set of all natural numbers. See [3] for the proof of Theorem B.

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f \in C(X, X)$ . Then  $h(f) = \sup_{x \in R(f)} h(f|_{\omega(x, f)})$ .*

Theorem C is a simple corollary of Variational Principle (see [8]). See Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 of [3] for the proofs of the Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 respectively.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be HDCC,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous surjection,  $A, B$  be the end layers of  $X$  and  $C$  be an end layer of  $Y$ . If there is an  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in C$  and  $f(X - (A \cup B)) \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $f(A) \supset C$ .*

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be HDCC,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous surjection,  $A, B$  be the end layers of  $X$  and  $a \in A, b \in B, c \in Y$ . If  $c \in (f(a), f(b))$ , then either there exists  $t \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(t) = c$  or  $[f(a), f(b)] \subset f(A) \cap f(B)$ .*

**LEMMA 2.3** [9]. *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space,  $T \in C(X, X)$  and  $(\Sigma, \delta)$  be the adding machine. If there is a continuous surjection  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ , such that  $\varphi \circ T = \delta \circ \varphi$  and  $A = \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \text{Card}(\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)) \geq 2\}$  is countable, then  $h(T) = 0$ .*

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a HDCC and  $f \in C(X, X)$ . If there is a periodic point of  $f$  of period 3 then there exist disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua  $J_1, J_2$  and  $g \in \{f, f^2, f^3\}$  such that  $g^2(J_1) \cap g^2(J_2) \supset J_1 \cup J_2$ .*

See [3, p. 184] for the proof of Lemma 2.4.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $I$  be a connected subset of the real line and  $f : I \rightarrow I$  be continuous. Then (i)  $\overline{R(f)} = \overline{P(f)}$ ; and (ii) If the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2 then  $\omega(x, f)$  is a compact set for any  $x \in \overline{P(f)}$ .*

The claim (i) in the above Lemma is a known result (see [1] for a proof), and (ii) was proved in [12] when  $I = (0, 1]$  and the method can be applied to prove the Lemma when  $I = (0, 1)$ .

### §3. Some Elementary Properties

To prove the main result, we will supply several lemmas in this section.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a HDCC and  $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a Kuratowski function of  $X$ . If there are  $a, b \in [0, 1]$  such that for any  $t \in (a, b)$ ,  $g^{-1}(t)$  is a degenerate element of  $\mathcal{D}_1(X)$ , then  $g|_{g^{-1}((a,b))} : g^{-1}((a,b)) \rightarrow (a,b)$  is a homeomorphism. Moreover, if  $L$  is a path connected component of  $X$  then  $L$  is homeomorphic to a connected subset of the real line.*

**PROOF.** It is easy to check that  $g|_{g^{-1}((a,b))}$  is a continuous bijection and an open map. Hence  $g|_{g^{-1}((a,b))} : g^{-1}((a,b)) \rightarrow (a,b)$  is a homeomorphism.

Let  $L$  be a path connected component of  $X$ , then the subcontinuum  $\bar{L}$  of  $X$  is a HDCC ([6]). Assume  $g : \bar{L} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a Kuratowski function of  $\bar{L}$ . Then for each  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $g^{-1}(t)$  is a degenerate element of  $\bar{L}$  by the path connectivity of  $L$ . Thus  $\bar{L} - (g^{-1}(0) \cup g^{-1}(1))$  is homeomorphic to  $(0, 1)$ . Therefore,  $L$  is homeomorphic to one of  $(0, 1]$ ,  $[0, 1]$  and  $(0, 1)$ . □

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$ ) and  $\mathcal{L}_k$  be the collection of path connected components of  $\bigcup \mathcal{D}_k^{ND} - \bigcup \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^{ND}$ , ( $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ). Then for any  $C \in \mathcal{L}_{k+1}$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=0}^k (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_i) \cup C$  is an open subset of  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0 = X - \bigcup \mathcal{D}_1^{ND}$  is open in  $X$ . For any  $C_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1$ , there is a  $D_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1^{ND}$  such that  $C_1 \subset D_1$ . By considering the Kuratowski function of  $D_1$ , we have that  $B_1 = D_1 - C_1$  is closed in  $D_1$ , and thus  $B_1$  is closed in  $X$ .

Since  $\bigcup \mathcal{D}_1^{ND}$  is the union of finitely many of pairwise disjoint subcontinua, there is an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $D_1$  in  $X$  such that  $W \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D}_1^{ND} - D_1) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $(\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0) \cup D_1 = (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0) \cup W$  is open in  $X$ , and

$$(\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0) \cup C_1 = ((\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0) \cup D_1) - B_1$$

is open in  $X$ .

Suppose  $\bigcup_{i=0}^k (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_i) \cup C_{k+1}$  is open in  $X$  for any  $C_{k+1} \in \mathcal{L}_{k+1}$ . By a discussion similar to the above, it is easy to check that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k+1} (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_i) \cup C_{k+2}$  is open in  $X$  for any  $C_{k+2} \in \mathcal{L}_{k+2}$ . □

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that  $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$ ). Then (i)  $X$  is the union of finitely many of nondegenerate path connected components of  $X$  when  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ; (ii)  $X$  is the union of countably many of nondegenerate path connected components of  $X$  and a totally disconnected set when  $\alpha \in \{\omega_0, \omega_0 + 1\}$ .*

PROOF. It follows directly from the definition of  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$ ).  $\square$

LEMMA 3.4. *Assume  $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$ ),  $f \in C(X, X)$  and the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers 2. Let  $W$  be a subcontinuum of  $X$ ,  $D_0 \prec D_1 \prec \cdots \prec D_n$  be all nondegenerate layers of  $W$ ,  $C_1 \prec C_2 \prec \cdots \prec C_n$  be all path connected components of  $W - \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$  and  $G_i$  be the path connected components of  $W$  with  $G_i \supset C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). If there exist  $a \in D_0$  and  $b \in D_n$  such that  $[f(a), f(b)] = W$ , then*

$$p : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (p(i) = j \Leftrightarrow f(C_i) \subset G_j)$$

*is a permutation.*

PROOF. Since the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2,  $f(D_0) \cap f(D_n) \neq W$ . By Lemma 2.2, for any  $x \in W - (D_0 \cup D_n)$  there exists  $t \in W - (D_0 \cup D_n)$  such that  $f(t) = x$ . Let  $x_1 \in C_1$  and  $t_1 \in W - (D_0 \cup D_n)$  with  $f(t_1) = x_1$ . Then there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $U_\varepsilon(x_1)$  of  $x_1$  in  $W$  with  $U_\varepsilon(x_1) \subset C_1$  and a  $\delta$ -neighborhood  $V_\delta(t_1)$  of  $t_1$  in  $W$  such that  $f(V_\delta(t_1)) \subset U_\varepsilon(x_1)$ . Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$  is nowhere dense in  $W$ , there exists  $t'_1 \in V_\delta(t_1) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i)$  such that  $f(t'_1) \in U_\varepsilon(x_1) \subset C_1$ . Assume  $t'_1 \in C_{j(1)}$ . Then  $f(C_{j(1)}) \subset G_1$ . By the same argument we get that there are  $j(i)$  such that  $f(C_{j(i)}) \subset G_i$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

If there are  $j(i) \neq j'(i)$  such that  $f(C_{j(i)}) \cup f(C_{j'(i)}) \subset G_i$ , then  $f(W) = f(\overline{\bigcup_i C_i}) \subsetneq \overline{\bigcup_i G_i} = W$ , as  $f(C_i)$  is path connected and  $G_k \cup G_l$  is not if  $k \neq l$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $f([a, b]) \supset W$ . Thus if  $f(C_{j(i)}) \cup f(C_{j'(i)}) \subset G_i$  then  $j(i) = j'(i)$ . That is,  $p^{-1}$  is a permutation, so is  $p$ .  $\square$

In the rest of the paper, for each ordinal  $\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$  and each  $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  let

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{L}_i(X) = \{L : L \text{ is a path connected component of } \bigcup \mathcal{D}_i^{ND} - \bigcup \mathcal{D}_{i+1}^{ND}\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $0 \leq i < \min\{\alpha, \omega_0\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_i^{ND}$  is the set consisting of all nondegenerate  $i$ -th layers of  $X$ . Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{i < \omega_0} \mathcal{L}_i \quad (3.2)$$

LEMMA 3.5. Assume  $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \{\omega_0, \omega_0 + 1\}$ ),  $f \in C(X, X)$  and the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2. If  $x \in R(f)$  such that (i)  $\omega(x, f)$  is infinite; (ii)  $\omega(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$ ; (iii)  $D \not\subset \omega(x, f)$  for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega_0}^{ND}$ , then  $f(W) = W$ , where  $W \subset X$  is the subcontinuum irreducible with respect to  $\omega(x, f)$ .

PROOF. It is obvious that  $f(W) \supset W$ , so we need only to prove that  $f(W) \subset W$ . Let  $D_0 \prec D_1 \prec \dots \prec D_n$  be all nondegenerate layers of  $W$ ,  $C_1 \prec C_2 \prec \dots \prec C_n$  be all path connected components of  $W - \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$  and  $G_i$  be the path connected components of  $W$  with  $G_i \supset C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Thus  $\bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i \supset \omega(x, f)$  since  $\omega(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$ .

CLAIM. There are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in D_0$  and  $b \in D_n$  such that  $f^m(a) \in D_0$  and  $f^m(b) \in D_n$ .

Since  $D_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ) are disjoint and closed subset in  $X$  and  $x \in R(f)$ , for any given  $a_0 \in D_0 \cap \omega(x, f)$  there is an  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^{m_0}(a_0) \in D_0$ . Furthermore, for any  $b \in D_n \cap O(x, f)$  there are  $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m = rm_0$  and  $f^m(b) \in D_n$  as  $b \in R(f) = R(f^{m_0})$ . If  $f^m(a_0) \in D_0$ , then obviously the Claim is true. If  $f^m(a_0) \notin D_0$ , then there exists  $2 \leq s \leq r$  such that  $f^{sm_0}(a_0) \in W - D_0$ . Let  $s$  be the minimum integer with  $f^{sm_0}(a_0) \in W - D_0$ . As  $D_0$  is an end layer of  $W$ ,  $f^{m_0}(D_0) \supset [f^{m_0}(a_0), f^{sm_0}(a_0)] \supset D_0$ , and hence  $f^m(D_0) = f^{rm_0}(D_0) \supset D_0$ . Thus, there is an  $a \in D_0$  such that  $f^m(a) \in D_0$ . This ends the proof of Claim.

Replacing  $f$  in Lemma 3.4 by  $f^m$ , we have that

$$p : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (p(i) = j \Leftrightarrow f^m(C_i) \subset G_j)$$

is a permutation, i.e.,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n f^m(C_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i$ . Hence  $f^m(W) = f^m(\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i}) = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n f^m(C_i)} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i} \subset W$  since  $f^m$  is a closed map. Thus, we have that  $W \subset f(W) \subset f^2(W) \subset \dots \subset f^m(W) \subset W$ . That is,  $f(W) = W$ .  $\square$

#### §4. The Proof of Main Result

In this section we will prove the main result of the paper. In order to show that for any  $x \in R(f)$   $h(f|_{\omega(x, f)}) = 0$  providing  $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$ ),  $f \in C(X, X)$  and the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers 2, we will consider two cases:

CASE 1.  $x \in R(f)$ ,  $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined by (3.2).

CASE 2.  $x \in R(f)$ ,  $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$ .

LEMMA 4.1. Assume that  $X \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ,  $f \in C(X, X)$  and the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2. Then for each  $x \in R(f)$  with  $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $h(f|_{\omega(x, f)}) = 0$ .

PROOF. If  $O(x, f)$  is finite, it is clear that  $\omega(x, f)$  is periodic orbit and  $h(f|_{\omega(x, f)}) = 0$ . Hence we assume that  $O(x, f)$  is infinite. Let  $k = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_n) \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $C_0 \in \mathcal{L}_k$  with  $O(x, f) \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $C$  be the path connected component of  $X$  containing  $C_0$ . As  $x \in R(f)$  and  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_i) \cup C_0$  is open in  $X$  (Lemma 3.2), there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^m(C) \subset C$ .

Since  $C$  is homeomorphic to a connected subset of the real line (Lemma 3.1), the periods of all periodic points of  $f^m|_C$  are powers of 2 and  $O(x, f) \cap C_0 \subset R(f^m|_C) \subset \overline{P(f^m|_C)}$  (Lemma 2.5). Then for any  $y \in O(x, f) \cap C_0$  we have that  $\omega(y, f^m)$  is a compact subset of  $C$  by Lemma 2.5. Let  $J = [a, b]$  be the subcontinuum of  $X$  irreducible with respect to  $\omega(y, f^m)$ . Then  $J$  is a compact subset of  $C$ . Let  $r : C \rightarrow J$  be the retraction defined by:  $r|_{[a, b]} = id$ ;  $r(x) = a$  when  $x \in C$  and  $x \prec a$ ;  $r(x) = b$  when  $x \in C$  and  $x \succ b$ . It is clear that  $r \circ f^m|_J \in C(J, J)$  and that  $P(r \circ f^m|_J) \subset P(f)$ . Thus, the periods of all periodic points of  $r \circ f^m|_J$  are powers of 2. By Theorem A we have that  $h(r \circ f^m|_J) = 0$ . Hence  $h(f^m|_{\omega(y, f^m)}) = h(r \circ f^m|_{J \cap \omega(y, r \circ f^m|_J)}) \leq h(r \circ f^m|_J) = 0$ .

As  $f^m(f^i(C)) \subset f^i(C)$ , by a similar argument we can show that  $h(f^m|_{\omega(f^i(y), f^m)}) = 0$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . Hence

$$h(f|_{\omega(x, f)}) = \frac{1}{m} h(f^m|_{\omega(x, f)}) = \frac{1}{m} \max_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} h(f^m|_{\omega(f^i(y), f^m)}) = 0. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \{\omega_0, \omega_0 + 1\}$ ),  $f \in C(X, X)$  and the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  be powers of 2. For any given  $x \in R(f)$ , if  $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$  and  $x \prec f(x)$ , then there are closed subsets  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  of  $X$  such that: (i)  $M_0 \prec M_1$ ; (ii)  $M_0 \supset \omega(x, f^2)$  and  $M_1 \supset \omega(f(x), f^2)$ .

PROOF. Let  $W$  be the subcontinuum irreducible with respect to  $\omega(x, f)$ ,  $D_0 \prec D_1 \prec \dots \prec D_n$  be all nondegenerate layers of  $W$ ,  $C_1 \prec C_2 \prec \dots \prec C_n$  be all path connected components of  $W - \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$  and  $G_i$  be the path connected components of  $W$  with  $G_i \supset C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). It is easy to check that  $\overline{G_i} \subset (D_{i-1} \cup C_i \cup D_i)$ . By Lemma 3.5,

$$p : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (p(i) = j \Leftrightarrow f(C_i) \subset G_j)$$

is a permutation. We complete the proof by considering the following two cases.



CASE 1.  $n = 1$ . Let  $M_0 = D_0$  and  $M_1 = D_1$ . Then (i) holds. Since  $\omega(x, f) \cap C_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $f(M_i \cap \omega(x, f)) \subset M_i \cup M_j$  ( $i \neq j \in \{0, 1\}$ ). In order to show (ii), we need only to prove that  $f(M_i \cap \omega(x, f)) \cap M_i = \emptyset$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . Assume that  $f(M_0 \cap \omega(x, f)) \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $f(C_1) \subset C_1$  and  $f(W) = W$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1,  $f^2(M_0) \cap f^2(M_1) \supset M_0 \cup M_1$ . It contradicts to our assumption that the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2. This proves that  $f(M_0 \cap \omega(x, f)) \cap M_0 = \emptyset$ . By the same reasoning  $f(M_1 \cap \omega(x, f)) \cap M_1 = \emptyset$ . Hence the Lemma is true if  $n = 1$ .

CASE 2.  $n > 1$ . By the minimum property of  $\omega(x, f)$ ,  $p(1) > 1$  and  $p(n) < n$ . Let  $l = \max\{i | p(k) > k \text{ when } k \leq i\}$  and  $r = \min\{i | p(k) < k \text{ when } k \geq i\}$ . It is obvious that either  $l + 1 = r$  or  $l + 1 < r$ .

SUBCASE 2.1.  $l + 1 = r$ . Let  $A_{l,l+1} = \bar{C}_l \cap \bar{C}_{l+1}$ . It is obvious that  $D_l \supset A_{l,l+1} \neq \emptyset$ . Firstly, we show that  $f(A_{l,l+1}) \subset A_{l,l+1}$  and  $A_{l,l+1} \cap \omega(x, f) = \emptyset$ . If there exists  $x \in A_{l,l+1}$  such that  $f(x) \prec A_{l,l+1}$ , then there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $W$  such that  $f(U) \prec A_{l,l+1}$ . Hence, by the nowhere density of  $A_{l,l+1}$  in  $W$ , there exists  $x' \in C_l$  such that  $f(x') \prec A_{l,l+1}$ . It implies that  $p(l) \leq l$ , a contradiction. Similarly,  $f(x) \succ A_{l,l+1}$  dose not hold for any  $x \in A_{l,l+1}$ . By the minimum property of  $\omega(x, f)$ ,  $\omega(x, f) \cap A_{l,l+1} = \emptyset$ .

Secondly, we show that  $p(l - i) = r + i$  and  $p(r + i) = l - i$  ( $0 \leq i < l$ ) and  $n = 2l$ . Let  $A_{i,i+1} = \bar{C}_i \cap \bar{C}_{i+1}$  ( $0 < i < n - 1$ ). Since  $f(A_{l,l+1}) \subset \bar{G}_{p(l)} \cap \bar{G}_{p(l+1)}$ , we have  $l \leq p(r) < p(l) \leq r$ , i.e.,  $p(r) = l$  and  $p(l) = r$ . Suppose that for  $0 \leq i \leq k < l$  we have  $p(l - i) = r + i$  and  $p(r + i) = l - i$ . Then, on one hand,  $r + k < p(l - k - 1)$  by  $p$  being a permutation; on the other hand,  $p(l - k - 1) \leq r + k + 1$  by the fact that  $f(\overline{C_{l-k-1}}) \cap f(\overline{C_{l-k}}) \supset f(A_{l-k-1,l-k}) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $p(l - k - 1) = r + k + 1$ . Similarly, we have that  $p(r + k + 1) = l - k - 1$ . Note the facts that  $p$  is a permutation,  $l = \text{Card}\{C_i | p(i) > l\}$  and  $n - l = \text{Card}\{C_i | p(i) < r\}$ . Then  $l \leq n - l \leq l$ , that is,  $n = 2l$ .

Finally, we give the structure of  $M_0$  and  $M_1$ . If  $A_{l,l+1} = D_l$ , let  $M_0 = \bigcup_{i < l} D_i$  and  $M_1 = \bigcup_{i > l} D_i$ . Then it is easy to check that (i) and (ii) hold. If  $A_{l,l+1} \neq D_l$ , since  $\omega(x, f)$  and  $A_{l,l+1}$  are disjoint closed subsets, there exists an open set  $U$  in  $W$  such that  $U \supset A_{l,l+1}$  and  $U \cap \omega(x, f) = \emptyset$ . Set  $D'_l = D_l - (U \cup \bar{C}_{l+1})$  and  $D''_l = D_l - (U \cup \bar{C}_l)$ . Then  $M_0 := (\bigcup_{i < l} D_i) \cup D'_l$  and  $M_1 := (\bigcup_{i > l} D_i) \cup D''_l$  are the subsets we need.

SUBCASE 2.2.  $l + 1 < r$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=l+1}^{r-1} \bar{C}_i$ . We will first show that  $f(V) \subset V$  and  $\omega(x, f) \cap V = \emptyset$ . In fact, since  $V$  is connected,  $p(l + 1) \leq l + 1$  and

$p(r-1) \geq r-1$ , we have  $p(\{l+1, l+2, \dots, r-1\}) \supset \{l+1, l+2, \dots, r-1\}$ . As  $p$  is a permutation,  $p(\{l+1, l+2, \dots, r-1\}) = \{l+1, l+2, \dots, r-1\}$ , and hence  $f(V) \subset V$ . By the minimum property of  $\omega(x, f)$ ,  $\omega(x, f) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Let  $M_0 = \bigcup_{i \leq l} D_i$  and  $M_1 = \bigcup_{i \geq r} D_i$ . Then (i) holds. In order to show (ii), it is sufficient to prove that:

$$\{1, 2, \dots, l\} \xrightleftharpoons[p]{p} \{r, r+1, \dots, n\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Since  $p$  is a permutation and  $p(l) > l$ , then  $p(l) \geq r$ . As  $f(\overline{C}_l) \cap f(V) \supset f(A_{l, l+1}) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $p(l) \leq r$ , and hence  $p(l) = r$ . Similarly,  $p(r) = l$ . By an induction argument similar to paragraph 2 in Subcase 2.1, we can show that  $p(l-i) = r+i$  and  $p(r+i) = l-i$  ( $0 \leq i < l$ ), that is, (4.1) holds.  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \{\omega_0, \omega_0 + 1\}$ ),  $f \in C(X, X)$  and the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  be powers of 2. If  $x \in R(f)$  and  $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$ , then for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s \in \{0, 1\}$  there exist closed subset  $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$  of  $X$  such that*

- (i)  $\omega(f^k(x), f^{2^s}) \subset M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$ , where  $k = i_1 + i_2 2 + \dots + i_s 2^{s-1}$ .
- (ii)  $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \prec M_{i_1 i_2 \dots \bar{i}_s}$  or  $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \succ M_{i_1 i_2 \dots \bar{i}_s}$ , where  $i_s + \bar{i}_s = 1$ .
- (iii)  $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \supset M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{s+1}} \cup M_{i_1 i_2 \dots \bar{i}_{s+1}}$ .
- (iv) For any  $\gamma = (i_1 i_2 \dots) \in \Sigma$ ,  $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$  is contained in some element of  $th\text{-}\omega_0$  layer of  $X$ , that is, there exists  $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega_0}$  such that  $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \subset A$ .

**PROOF.** As for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\omega(x, f) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^s-1} \omega(f^k(x), f^{2^s})$ , (i)–(iii) are direct consequence of Lemma 4.2. In order to prove (iv), it is sufficient to show that if for an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $D \in \mathcal{D}_m^{ND}$  such that  $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \subset D$  then there exists  $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^{ND}$  such that  $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \subset D'$ . Suppose, for some  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $M_{i_1} \subset D \in \mathcal{D}_m^{ND}$  and  $M_{i_1} \not\subset D'$  for any  $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^{ND}$ . Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the number of nondegenerate layers of  $D$  is less than  $2^k$ . By the way that  $M_{i_1 i_2}$  is obtained (see Lemma 4.2), we know that the number of nondegenerate layers of  $D$  which intersect  $M_{i_1 i_2}$  is less than  $2^{k-1}$ . Inductively, for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$  the number of nondegenerate layers of  $D$  which intersect  $M_{i_1 \dots i_j}$  is less than  $2^{k+1-j}$ . Hence  $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$  intersects only one nondegenerate layer of  $D$ , i.e., there exists  $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^{ND}$  such that  $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} \subset D'$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \subset D'$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.4.** *For each  $X \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  and  $f \in C(X, X)$ ,  $h(f) = 0$  if and only if the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2.*

PROOF. Suppose  $f$  has a periodic point whose period is not a power of 2. By theorem B, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $f^m$  has a periodic point of period 3. By Lemma 2.4, there are disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  of  $X$ , and  $g \in \{f^m, f^{2m}, f^{3m}\}$  such that  $J_1 \cup J_2 \subset g^2(J_1) \cap g^2(J_2)$ , and topological entropy  $h(g^2) \geq \log 2$ , hence  $h(f) > 0$ . Thus, if  $h(f) = 0$  then the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2.

Now we suppose that the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers 2 and want to prove that  $h(f) = 0$ . By theorem C, we need only to prove that for any  $x \in R(f)$ ,  $h(f|_{\omega(x,f)}) = 0$ . If  $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $h(f|_{\omega(x,f)}) = 0$  by Lemma 4.1. Hence we assume  $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$  and  $\omega(x, f)$  is an infinite set. By Lemma 4.3, for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s \in \{0, 1\}$  there exists a closed subset  $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$  of  $X$  with properties listed in the Lemma. Define  $\varphi : \omega(x, f) \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $\varphi(y) = \gamma$  if  $y \in \bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$  and  $\gamma = (i_1 i_2 \dots)$ .

It is easy to check that  $\varphi$  is a continuous surjection and satisfies that  $\varphi(f(y)) = \delta(\varphi(y))$ . By (iv) of Lemma 4.3,  $(\omega(x, f), f|_{\omega(x,f)})$  is topologically conjugate to the adding machine  $(\Sigma, \delta)$  if  $Order(X) = \omega_0$ , or  $(\omega(x, f), f|_{\omega(x,f)})$  is semi-conjugate to the adding machine  $(\Sigma, \delta)$  if  $Order(X) = \omega_0 + 1$ . As  $\mathcal{D}_{\omega_0}^{ND}$  is countable, by lemma 2.3,  $h(f_{\omega(x,f)}) = 0$ . □

Let  $I = [0, 1]$  and  $\varphi \in C(I, I)$ . The *inverse limit space*  $\varprojlim \{I, \varphi\}$  is the subspace of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} I$  defined by

$$\varprojlim \{I, \varphi\} = \{x = (x_1 x_2 \dots) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} I : \varphi(x_{i+1}) = x_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The following corollary shows that the class of HDCC is a larger class in some sense.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let  $\varphi \in C(I, I)$  be a piecewise monotone continuous map with zero topological entropy and  $M = \varprojlim \{I, \varphi\}$ . If  $f \in C(M, M)$  then  $h(f) = 0$  if and only if the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2.*

PROOF. By [10],  $M \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ . □

In the end, we would like to ask the following question: on which hereditarily decomposable chainable continua the Bowen-Franks-Misiurewicz's theorem holds? Our conjecture is:

CONJECTURE. Assume that  $X$  is a Suslinean chainable continuum and  $f \in C(X, X)$ . Then  $h(f) = 0$  if and only if the periods of all periodic points of  $f$  are powers of 2.

## References

- [ 1 ] L. Block and W. A. Coppel, Dynamics in One Dimension, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1513** Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [ 2 ] K. Kuratowski, Topology II Academic Press, New York; PWN, Warsaw, 1968.
- [ 3 ] P. Minc and W. R. R. Transue, Sarkovskii's Theorem for hereditarily decomposable chainable continua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **315** (1989), 173–188.
- [ 4 ] M. Misiurewicz, Horseshoes for mappings of the interval, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), 167–169.
- [ 5 ] L. Mohler, A depth of tranches in  $\lambda$ -dendroida, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **96** (1986), 715–720.
- [ 6 ] S. B. Nadler Jr., Continuum Theory, Pure and Appl. Math. **158** (1992).
- [ 7 ] W. S. Tomas Jr., Monotone decomposition of irreducible continua, Rozprawy Mat, **50** (1960).
- [ 8 ] P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [ 9 ] X. D. Ye, The dynamics of homeomorphisms of hereditarily decomposable chainable continua, Topology Appl., **64** (1995), 85–93.
- [10] X. D. Ye, On inverse limit spaces of maps of an interval with zero entropy, Topology Appl., **91** (1999), 105–118.
- [11] J. C. Xiong, A brief proof of Misiurewicz's Theorem (Chinese), Journal USTC **19** (1989), 21–24.
- [12] J. C. Xiong, X. D. Ye, Z. Q. Zhang and J. Huan, Some dynamics of the continuous maps on Warsaw-circle (Chinese), Acta. math. Sinica, **39** (1996), 294–299.
- [13] Z. L. Zhou, A proof of the conjecture on small entropy (Chinese), Science of China(A) **10** (1985), 882–889.

† Department of Mathematics,  
South China Normal University,  
Guangzhou, Guangdong,  
510631, P.R. China

‡ Department of Mathematics,  
Suzhou University, Suzhou,  
Jiangsu, 215006, P.R. China

\* Department of Mathematics,  
University of Science  
and Technology of China,  
Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P.R. China