ISOMETRIES OF A GENERALIZED TRIDIAGONAL ALGEBRAS $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)''}$

By

Young Soo Jo1 and Dae Yeon HA

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be a generalization of a tridiagonal algebra which is defined in the introduction. In this paper it is proved that if $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ is a surjective isometry, then there exists a unitary operator U such that $\varphi(A) = U^*AU$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ or a unitary operator W such that $\varphi(A) = W^tAW^*$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, where tA is the transpose matrix of A.

I. Introduction

In [3], Gilfeather and Larson discovered tridiagonal algebras and in [4], Jo characterized all linear isometric maps of a tridiagonal algebra onto itself. Let $\mathcal H$ be a complex Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_{2n}\}$. Then a member of the tridiagonal algebra on $\mathcal H$ has the form

with respect to the basis $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{2n}\}$, where all non-starred entries are zero. If we write the given basis in the order $\{f_1, f_3, f_5, \dots, f_{2n-1}, f_2, f_4, \dots, f_{2n}\}$, then the above matrix looks like this

¹ AMS (1980) Subject Classification. 47D25.

This paper was supported in part by University affiliated research Institute (1993) and NON DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND. Korea Research Foundation, 1991. Received August 26, 1992. Revised January 11, 1994.

where all non-starred entries are zero. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ and let

be an (n, n)-matrix, where all non-starred entries are zero.

Let S be an (n, n) matrix. Then $S_0 \leq S$ means that if the (i, j)-component of S_0 is *, then the (i, j)-component of S is also *. Let $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & S \\ 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix} : D_1 \right\}$ and D_2 are (n, n) diagonal matrices and S is an (n, n) matrix with m stars in each row and column and $S_0 \leq S$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ is a generalization of a tridiagonal algebra. In this paper, we will prove the following.

THEOREM. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be a surjective isometry. Then there exists a unitary operator U such that $\varphi(A) = U^*AU$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ or a unitary operator W such that $\varphi(A) = W^{\iota}AW^*$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, where ${}^{\iota}A$ is the transposed matrix of A.

From now, we will introduce the terminologies which are used in this paper. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space. If x and y are two vectors in \mathcal{H} , then (x, y) means the inner product of the two vectors x and y. If S is a non-empty subset of \mathcal{H} , then [S] means the closed subspace generated by the vectors of S. An operator is a continuous linear transformation on \mathcal{H} and the set of all such is $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H})$. A projection on \mathcal{H} is a self-adjoint idempotent operator in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H})$. There is an obvious correspondence between projections and their

ranges, which are always norm-closed subspaces of \mathcal{H} .

A lattice \mathcal{L} of projections (or subspaces) is a collection of projections closed under the operations \wedge and \vee , where $E \wedge F$ is the projection whose range is (range E) \cap (range F) and $E \vee F$ is the projection whose range is [(range E) \cup (rauge F)]. An operator A leaves a projection E invariant in case AE = EAE, and we denote by $Alg\mathcal{L}$ the collection $\{A: AE = EAE \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{L}\}$. $Alg\mathcal{L}$ is a weakly closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Dually, if \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $Lat\mathcal{A}$ is the lattice of all orthogonal projections invariant for each operator in \mathcal{A} . An algebra \mathcal{A} is reflexive if $A = AlgLat\mathcal{A}$ and a lattice \mathcal{L} is reflexive if $\mathcal{L} = LatAlg\mathcal{L}$. Let α be in C, then $\bar{\alpha}$ is the complex conjugate of α . Let i and j be non-zero natural numbers. Then E_{ij} is the matrix whose (i, j)-component is 1 and all other components are zero. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

A linear map φ of \mathcal{A}_1 into \mathcal{A}_2 is isometry if it preserves norm.

2. Examples

EXAMPXE 1. Let \mathcal{H} be a 2n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_{2n}\}$. Let $E_{1(n+i),n+i}$, $E_{2(n+i),n+i}$, \cdots , $E_{m(n+i),n+i}$ be in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ for all $i(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and let \mathcal{L} be the subspace lattice generated by $\{[e_1], [e_2], \cdots, [e_n], [e_{1(n+1)}, \cdots, e_{m(n+1)}, e_{n+1}], [e_{1(n+2)}, e_{2(n+2)}, \cdots, e_{m(n+2)}, e_{n+2}], \cdots, [e_{1(2n)}, \cdots, e_{2(2n)}, \cdots, e_{m(2n)}, e_{2n}]\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} = Alg\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ is reflexive.

EXAMPLE 2. Let \mathcal{H} be a 2n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_{2n}\}$ and let U be a (2n, 2n) diagonal unitary matrix whose (i, i)-component is u_{ii} for all $i (1 \leq i \leq 2n)$. Define $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ by $\varphi(A) = U^*AU$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Then φ is an isometry such that $\varphi(E_{ii}) = E_{ii}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2n$. If E_{ij} is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, then the (i, j)-component of $\varphi(A)$ is $\bar{u}_{ii}a_{ij}u_{jj}$ for $A = (a_{ij})$ in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } n+1 \leq j \leq 2n)$.

EXAMPXE 3. Let us consider $\mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)}$ as the following algebra.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & S \\ \mathbf{0} & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is in } \mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)} \text{ if and only if } S = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * & 0 & * \\ * & * & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let V be a (10, 10) matrix whose (1, 2)-, (2, 1)-, (3, 3)-, (4, 4)-, (5, 5)-, (6, 10)-, (7, 8)-, (8, 7)-, (9, 9)-, and (10, 6)-component are 1 and all other components are zero. Define $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)} \to \mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)}$ by $\varphi(A) = V*AV$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)}$. Then φ is an

isometry such that $\varphi(I)=I$, $\varphi(E_{11})=E_{22}$, $\varphi(E_{22})=E_{11}$, $\varphi(E_{33})=E_{33}$, $\varphi(E_{44})=E_{44}$, $\varphi(E_{55})=E_{55}$, $\varphi(E_{66})=E_{10,10}$, $\varphi(E_{77})=E_{88}$, $\varphi(E_{88})=E_{77}$, $\varphi(E_{99})=E_{99}$, and $\varphi(E_{10,10})=E_{66}$.

EXAMPLE 4. Let us consider $\mathcal{A}_8^{(3)}$ as the following algebra.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & S \\ \mathbf{0} & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is in } \mathcal{A}_8^{(3)} \text{ if and only if } S = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & 0 & * \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let U be the unitary matrix whose (1, 8)-, (2, 7)-, (3, 6)-(4, 5)-, (5, 4)-, (6, 3)-, (7, 2)-, and (8, 1)-component are 1 and all other components are 0. Define φ : $\mathcal{A}_8^{(3)} \to \mathcal{A}_8^{(3)}$ by $\varphi(A) = U^t A U$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_8^{(3)}$, where $^t A$ is the transposed matrix of A. Then φ is an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$, $\varphi(E_{11}) = E_{88}$, $\varphi(E_{22}) = E_{77}$, $\varphi(E_{33}) = E_{66}$, $\varphi(E_{44}) = E_{55}$, $\varphi(E_{55}) = E_{44}$, $\varphi(E_{66}) = E_{33}$, $\varphi(E_{77}) = E_{22}$, and $\varphi(E_{88}) = E_{11}$.

EXAMPLE 5. Let us consider $\mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)}$ as the following algebra.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & S \\ \mathbf{0} & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is in } \mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)} \text{ if and only if } S = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let U be a (10, 10)-matrix whose (1, 8)-, (2, 9)-, (3, 10)-, (4, 6)-, (5, 7)-(6, 4)-, (7, 5)-, (8, 1)-, (9, 2)-, and (10, 3)-component are 1 and all other components are zero. Define $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)} \to \mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)}$ by $\varphi(A) = U^t A U^*$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{10}^{(3)}$, where $^t A$ is the transposed matrix of A. Then φ is an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$, $\varphi(E_{11}) = E_{88}$, $\varphi(E_{22}) = E_{99}$, $\varphi(E_{33}) = E_{10,10}$, $\varphi(E_{44}) = E_{66}$, $\varphi(E_{55}) = E_{77}$, $\varphi(E_{66}) = E_{44}$, $\varphi(E_{77}) = E_{55}$, $\varphi(E_{88}) = E_{11}$, $\varphi(E_{99}) = E_{22}$, $\varphi(E_{10,10}) = E_{33}$.

3. Results

Through this section, \mathcal{H} is a 2n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with a fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_{2n}\}$. We see that there is a commutative subspace lattice \mathcal{L} such that $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} = Alg \mathcal{L}$. φ will denote an isometry from $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Let x and y be two non-zero vectors in \mathcal{H} . Then $x \otimes y$ is a rank one operator defined by $(x \otimes y)(h) = (h, x)y$ for every h in \mathcal{H} .

LEMMA 1 ([7]). Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice and let x and y be two vectors. Then $x \otimes y$ is in $Alg \mathcal{L}$ if and only if there exists E in \mathcal{L} such that y is in E and x is in E^{\perp} , where $E_{-} = \bigvee \{F \colon F \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } F \not\geq E\}$ and $E^{\perp} = (E_{-})^{\perp}$. LEMMA 2 ([8]). Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice and let $\varphi: Alg\mathcal{L} \to Alg\mathcal{L}$ be a surjective isometry. If $\varphi(I) = A$ and if $x \otimes x$ is in $Alg\mathcal{L}$, then ||Ax|| = ||x||, where I denetes the identity operator.

THEOREM 3. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry. Then $\varphi(I)$ is a diagonal unitary operator.

PROOF. Let $\varphi(I)=(b_{ij})$. Since $\|\varphi(I)e_i\|=\|e_i\|=1$ and $\varphi(I)e_i=b_{ii}e_i$, $|b_{ii}|=1$ for all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $\|\varphi(I)\|=\|I\|=1$, $\varphi(I)$ is a diagonal unitary operator.

Let $\mathcal{D}=\{A: A \text{ is a diagonal operator in } \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}\}$. Then \mathcal{D} is a maximal abelian subalgebra containing \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}\cap(\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)})^*$, where $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}=Alg\mathcal{L}$ and $(\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)})^*=\{A^*: A \text{ is in } \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}\}$.

LEMMA 4 ([6]). A linear map φ of one C*-algebra into another which carries the identity into the identity and is isometric on normal elements preserves adjoint, i. e., $\varphi(A^*)=(\varphi(A))^*$.

DEFINITION 5. Let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be C^* -algebras. A Jordan isomorphism or C^* -isomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ is a bijective linear map such that if $A = A^*$ in \mathcal{A}_1 , then $\varphi(A) = (\varphi(A))^*$ and $\varphi(A^n) = (\varphi(A))^n$.

LEMMA 6 ([6]). a) A linear bijection φ of one C*-algebra \mathcal{A}_1 onto another \mathcal{A}_2 which is isometric is a C*-isomorphism followed by left multiplication by a fixed unitary operator, viz, $\varphi(I)$.

b) A C*-isomorphism φ of a C*-algebra \mathcal{A}_1 onto a C*-algebra \mathcal{A}_2 is isometric and preserves commutativity.

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry and let $\varphi(I) = U$. Then UA and U^*A are in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ for every A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Define $\hat{\varphi}: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ by $\hat{\varphi}(A) = U^*\varphi(A)$ for every A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Then $\hat{\varphi}$ is an isometry such that $\hat{\varphi}(I) = I$. Since \mathcal{D} is a C^* -algebra, $\hat{\varphi}(I) = I$, and $\hat{\varphi}$ is an isometry, $\hat{\varphi} \mid \mathcal{D}$ preserves adjoint by Lemma 4. From this fact, we can prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 7. $\hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$.

Since $\hat{\varphi}: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ is a surjective isometry, just like φ , and since the main theorem would be true of φ if it were true of $\hat{\varphi}$, we now work exclusively with $\hat{\varphi}$ and drop the " \wedge ". Equivalently we assume that $\varphi(I) = I$. Then we can get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 8. If $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ is an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$, then $\varphi(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$.

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$. Then since $\varphi \mid \mathcal{D}$ and $\varphi^{-1} \mid \mathcal{D}$ are Jordan isomorphisms, we can prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 9. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$. Then E is a projection in \mathcal{D} if and only if $\varphi(E)$ is a projection in \mathcal{D} .

LEMMA 10 ([6]). If φ is a Jordan isomorphism from a C*algebra \mathcal{A}_1 onto a C*-algebra \mathcal{A}_2 , then $\varphi(BAB) = \varphi(B)\varphi(A)\varphi(B)$ with A and B in \mathcal{A}_1 .

Let E and F be orthogonal projections acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then a partial order relation \leq is described as follows: $E \leq F$ if and only if EF = FE = E. From Lemmas 9 and 10, we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 11. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$. Then $\varphi([e_i])$ is rank one for each $i=1, 2, \dots, 2n$.

LEMMA 12 ([8]). Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} = Alg \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} = Alg \mathcal{L}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$. Let E be a projection in \mathcal{D} and let T be in $Alg \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ with $T = ETE^{\perp}$. Then we have $\varphi(T) = \varphi(E)\varphi(T)\varphi(E)^{\perp} + \varphi(E)^{\perp}\varphi(T)\varphi(E)$.

From Lemma 12, we can get the following lemma.

LEMMA 13. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$. Let $E_{i,i(1)}$, $E_{i,i(2)}, \cdots, E_{i,i(m)}$ be in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}(n+1 \leq i(1), \cdots, i(m) \leq 2n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$). Let $\varphi(E_{ii}) = E_{ll}$ and let $\varphi(E_{i(j),i(j)}) = E_{x_j,x_j}$ for all $j=1,2,\cdots,m$. If $1 \leq l \leq n$, then $x_j \geq n+1$ and there exists α_{l,x_j} in C such that $|\alpha_{l,x_j}| = 1$ and $\varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) = \alpha_{l,x_j} E_{l,x_j}$. If $n + 1 \leq l \leq 2n$, then $1 \leq x_j \leq n$ and there exists $\alpha_{x_j,l}$ in C such that $|\alpha_{x_j,l}| = 1$ and $\varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) = \alpha_{x_j,l} E_{x_j,l}$.

PROOF. Suppose that $1 \leq l \leq n$. Since $E_{i,i(j)} = E_{i(j),i(j)}^{\perp} E_{i,j(j)} E_{i,j(j)} E_{i(j),i(j)} = E_{ii} E_{i,i(j)} E_{ii}^{\perp}$, $\varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) = E_{x_j,x_j}^{\perp} \varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) E_{x_j,x_j} + E_{x_j,x_j} \varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) E_{x_j,x_j}^{\perp}$ and $\varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) = E_{ll} \varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) E_{ll}^{\perp} + E_{ll}^{\perp} \varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) E_{ll}$ by Lemma 12. So $x_j \geq n+1$ and $\varphi(E_{i,i(j)}) = \alpha_{l,x_j} E_{l,x_j}$ for some α_{l,x_j} in C and $|\alpha_{l,x_j}| = 1$. Similarly, we can prove the second part of lemma.

LEMMA 14. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$. Let $\varphi(E_{11}) = E_{kk}$. If $1 \le k \le n$ and if $\varphi(E_{1i}) = E_{ll}(1 \le i \le n)$, then $1 \le l \le n$. If $n+1 \le k \le 2n$

and if $\varphi(E_{ii}) = E_{il}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, then $n+1 \leq l \leq 2n$.

PROOF. Define a permutation σ on $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2n\}$ by $\sigma(a)=b$ if $\varphi(E_{aa})=E_{bb}$. Suppose $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since $E_{1,n+1}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, $\sigma(n+1) \geq n+1$ by Lemma 13. Since $E_{2,n+1}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, $\sigma(2) \leq n$. Since $E_{2,n+2}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, $\sigma(n+2) \geq n+1$. Since $E_{3,n+2}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, $\sigma(3) \leq n$. Continue this way. Then $\sigma(i) \leq n$ for all $i=1,2,\cdots$, n. Similarly we can prove the second part of lemma.

LEMMA 15. Let U be a unitary operator. Then ||I+U||=2 if and only if 1 is in $\sigma(U)$, where I denotes the identity and $\sigma(U)$ is the spectrum of U.

PROOF. Suppose that $\|I+U\|=2$. Since U is unitary, I+U is a normal operator. So the norm of I+U is equal to its spectral radius; that is, $2=\|I+U\|=\sup\{|1+\alpha|:\alpha\in\sigma(U)\}$. Hence 1 is in $\sigma(U)$ because $\sigma(U)$ is a compact subset of the unit circle in C. Suppose that 1 is in $\sigma(U)$. Since I+U is a normal operator, $\|I+U\|=\sup\{|1+\alpha|:\alpha\in\sigma(U)\}$. But $\|I+U\|\leq\|I\|+\|U\|=2$. Since 1 is in $\sigma(U)$, $\sup\{|1+\alpha|:\alpha\in\sigma(U)\}\geq 2$. Hence $\|I+U\|=2$.

PROPOSITION 16. Let A be an (n, n) matrix whose (1, 1)-, (1, n)-, (2, 1)-, (2, 2)-, (3, 2)-, (3, 3)-, \cdots , (n, n-1)-, ((n, n)-component are 1 and all other components are zero $(n \ge 2)$. Then ||A|| = 2.

PROPOSITION 17. Let A be an (n, n) matrix whose (1, 1)-, (1, 2)-, (2, 2)-, (2, 3)-, \cdots , (n-1, n-1)-, (n-1, n)-, (n, 1)-component are 1 and all other components are zero $(n \ge 2)$. Then ||A|| = 2.

THEOREM 18. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(E_{ii}) = E_{ii}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, 2n$. Then there exists a unitary operator U such that $\varphi(A) = U^*AU$ for every A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$.

PROOF. Let $\varphi(E_{kj}) = \alpha_{kj} E_{kj}$ for all E_{kj} in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, where $|\alpha_{kj}| = 1$. Let $\alpha_{kj} = e^{i\theta_{kj}}$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ and let $a_{k,k(i)}$ represent the (k,k(i))-component of A, where $1 \le k \le n$, $1 \le i \le m$ and $n+1 \le k(i) \le 2n$. Let $U = (u_{ll})$ be a (2n,2n) unitary diagonal matrix and let $u_{ll} = e^{i\theta_{ll}} (l = 1,2,\cdots,2n)$. Consider U*AU. If the linear system $(*): \theta_{n+1} - \theta_1 = \theta_{1,n+1}, \theta_{1(2)} - \theta_1 = \theta_{1,1(2)}, \cdots, \theta_{1(m)} - \theta_1 = \theta_{1,1(m)}$ $(1(m)=2n), \theta_{2(1)} - \theta_{2,2(1)}, \theta_{2(2)} - \theta_2 = \theta_{2,2(2)}, \cdots, \theta_{2(m)} - \theta_2 = \theta_{2,2(m)}, \cdots, \theta_{n(1)} - \theta_n = \theta_{n,n(1)}, \theta_{n(2)} - \theta_n = \theta_{n,n(2)}, \cdots, \theta_{n(m)} - \theta_n = \theta_{n,n(m)}$ has solutions, then $\varphi(A) = U*AU$ for every A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Let K be the (mn, 2n) matrix consisting of the coefficients of the linear system (*) the let $X = (\theta_{1,1(1)}, \theta_{1,1(2)}, \cdots, \theta_{n,n(m)})^t$. Then

the linear system (*) has solutions if and only if rank $K = \operatorname{rank}(K, X)$. We know that $\operatorname{rank} K = 2n-1$. If $\theta_{k,n+l} - \theta_{l,n+l} + \theta_{l,n+l-1} - \cdots - \theta_{k,n+k} = 0 \ (l > k \ge 1)$ and $\theta_{q,n+p} - \theta_{q,n+q-1} + \theta_{q-1,n+q-1} - \cdots + \theta_{p+1,n+p+1} - \theta_{p+1,n+p} = 0 \ (q-2 \ge p \ge 1)$, then $\operatorname{rank}(K, X) = 2n-1$. Let

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{k,n+k} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \alpha_{k,n+l} \\ \alpha_{k+1,n+k} & \alpha_{k+1,n+k+1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{k+2,n+k+1} & \alpha_{k+2,n+k+2} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots &$$

Then we see that $||V|| = ||\varphi(B)||$ for some B in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Since ||B|| = 2 by Proposition 16, ||V|| = 2. Since

$$V \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_{k,n+k} & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha}_{k+1,n+k+1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & & \cdot & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \bar{\alpha}_{l-1,n+l-1} & 0 \\ 0 & & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \bar{\alpha}_{l,n+l} \end{pmatrix} = I + W,$$

where

where $a_{21} = \alpha_{k+1, n+k} \bar{\alpha}_{k, n+k}$, $a_{32} = \alpha_{k+2, n+k+1} \bar{\alpha}_{k+1, n+k+1}$, \cdots , $a_{l-k+1, l-k} = \alpha_{l, n+l-1} \bar{\alpha}_{l-1, n+l-1}$, and $a_{1, l-k+1} = \alpha_{k, n+l} \bar{\alpha}_{l, n+l}$. 1 is in $\sigma(W)$ by Lemma 15. So $\alpha_{k, n+l} \bar{\alpha}_{l, n+l} \alpha_{l, n+l-1} \bar{\alpha}_{l-1, n+l-1} \bar{\alpha}_{l-1, n+l-1} \cdots \alpha_{k+1, n+k} \bar{\alpha}_{k, n+k} = 1$ or equivalently $\theta_{k, n+l} - \theta_{l, n+l} + \theta_{l, n+l-1} - \cdots + \theta_{k+1, n+k} - \theta_{k, n+k} = 0$. Let

$$V_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{p+1, \, n+p} & \alpha_{p+1, \, n+p+1} & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{p+2, \, n+p+1} & \alpha_{p+2, \, n+p+2} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & 0 & \alpha_{q-1, \, n+q-2} & \alpha_{q-1, \, n+q-1} \\ \alpha_{q, \, n+p} & 0 & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \alpha_{q, \, n+q-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we see that $||V_1|| = ||\varphi(B_1)||$ for some B_1 in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Since $||B_1|| = 2$ by Proposition 17, $||V_1|| = 2$. Since

$$V_{1} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_{p+1,\,n+p} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha}_{p+2,\,n+p+1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \bar{\alpha}_{g,\,n+g-1} \end{pmatrix} = I + W_{1},$$

where

where $b_{12} = \alpha_{p+1, n+p+1} \bar{\alpha}_{p+2, n+p+1}$, $b_{23} = \alpha_{p+2, n+p+2} \bar{\alpha}_{p+3, n+p+2}$, \cdots , $b_{q-p-1, q-p} = \alpha_{q-1, n+q-1} \bar{\alpha}_{q, n+q-1}$, $b_{q-p-1} = \alpha_{q, n+p} \bar{\alpha}_{p+1, n+p}$. 1 is in $\sigma(W_1)$ by Lemma 15. So $\alpha_{q, n+p} \bar{\alpha}_{p+1, n+p} = \alpha_{p+1, n+p+1} \bar{\alpha}_{p+2, n+p+1} \cdots \alpha_{q-1, n+q-1} \bar{\alpha}_{q, n+q-1} = 1$ or equivalently $\theta_{q, n+p} - \theta_{q, n+q-1} + \theta_{q-1, n+q-1} - \cdots + \theta_{p+1, n+p+1} - \theta_{p+1, n+p} = 0$. Hence rank (K, X) = 2n-1. Hence $\varphi(A) = U^*AU$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$.

LEMMA 19. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$ and $\varphi(E_{kk}) = E_{i_k, i_k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$. If $1 \le i_1 \le n$, then there is a unitary operator V such that $V\varphi(E_{kk})V^* = E_{kk}$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$ and $V\varphi(E_{k,k(l)})V^* = \alpha_{i_k, i_{k(l)}} E_{k, k(l)}$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and for some $\alpha_{i_k, i_{k(l)}}$ in C.

PROOF. Let V be a (2n, 2n) matrix whose (k, i_k) -component is 1 for all $k=1, 2, \cdots, 2n$ and all other entries are 0, where $\varphi(E_{kk})=E_{i_k,i_k}$ for all $k=1, 2, \cdots, 2n$. Then $V\varphi(E_{kk})V^*=E_{kk}$ and $V\varphi(E_{k,k(l)})V^*=\alpha_{i_k,i_{k(l)}}E_{i_k,i_{k(l)}}$ for all $k=1, 2, \cdots, 2n$ and $l=1, 2, \cdots, m$, and for some $\alpha_{i_k,i_{k(l)}}$ in C.

THEOREM 20. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$ and $\varphi(E_{kk}) = E_{i_k, i_k}$. If $1 \le i_1 \le n$, then there is a unitary operator W such that $\varphi(A) = W*AW$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$.

PROOF. By Lemma 19 there is a unitary operator V such that $V\varphi(E_{kk})V^*=E_{kk}$ for all $k=1, 2, \cdots, 2n$. Define $\varphi_1: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ by $\varphi_1(A)=V\varphi(A)V^*$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Then φ_1 is an isometry by Lemma 19 and $\varphi_1(E_{kk})=E_{kk}$ for all $k=1, 2, \cdots, 2n$. Then there is a unitary operator U such that $\varphi_1(A)=U^*AU$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ by Theorem 18. Since $\varphi_1(A)=U^*AU=V\varphi(A)V^*$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$, $\varphi(A)=(V^*U^*)A(UV)$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. Put UV=W. Then $\varphi(A)=W^*AW$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$.

LEMMA 21. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(I) = I$ and $\varphi(E_{kk}) = E_{i_k, i_k}(k=1, 2, \dots, 2n)$ If $n+1 \leq i_1 \leq 2n$, then there is a unitary operator V such that $V^t \varphi(A) V^*$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ and $V^t \varphi(E_{kk}) V^* = E_{kk}$ for all $k=1, 2, \dots, 2n$.

PROOF. Let V be a (2n, n) matrix whose (k, i_k) -component is 1 for k=1, $2, \cdots, 2n$ and all other components are 0. If $E_{k,k(l)}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ for $k=1, 2, \cdots, n$ and for $l=1, 2, \cdots, m$, then $\varphi(E_{k,k(l)}) = \alpha_{i_{k(l)},i_{k}} E_{i_{k(l)},i_{k}}$. Since $V^{t}\varphi(E_{k,k(l)})V^{*} = \alpha_{i_{k(l)},i_{k}} V E_{i_{k},i_{k(l)}} V^{*} = \alpha_{i_{k(l)},i_{k}(l)} E_{k,i_{k(l)}} V^{*} = \alpha_{i_{k(l)},i_{k}} E_{k,k(l)}$ for all $k=1, 2, \cdots, n$ and all $l=1, 2, \cdots, m, V^{t}\varphi(A)V^{*}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ and $V^{t}\varphi(E_{kk})V^{*} = E_{kk}$ for all $k=1, 2, \cdots, 2n$.

THEOREM 22. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)} \to \mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ be an isometry such that $\varphi(E_{kk}) = E_{i_k, i_k}$ for $k=1, 2, \dots, 2n$. If $n+1 \leq i_1 \leq 2n$, then there is a unitary operator W such that $\varphi(A) = W^t A W^*$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$.

PROOF. By Lemma 21 there is a unitary operator V such that $V^{\iota}\varphi(E_{kk})V^*=E_{kk}$ for all $k=1, 2, \cdots, 2n$ and $V^{\iota}\varphi(A)V^*$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(u)}$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$. So there is a unitary operator U such that $V^{\iota}\varphi(A)V^*=U^*AU$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$ by Theorem 18. Set ${}^{\iota}(UV)=W$. Then $\varphi(A)=W^{\iota}AW^*$ for all A in $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(m)}$.

References

- [1] W.B. Arveson, "Operator algebras and invariant subspaces", Ann. of Math., 100 (1974), 443-532.
- [2] F. Gilfeather and R.L. Moore, "Isomorphisms of certain CSL algebras", J. Funct. Anal., 67 (1986), 264-291.
- [3] —— and D. Larson, "Commutants modulo the compact operators of certain CSL algebras", Topics in Modern Operator Theory, Advances and Applications, 2, Birkhauser (1982).
- [4] Y.S. Jo, "Isometries of tridiagonal algebras", Pac. J. Math. Vol. 140 (1989), 97-115.
- [5] —, "Isometries of $\mathcal{A}_{2n}^{(n)}$ ", Kyungpook Math. J. to appear.
- [6] R. Kadison, "Isometries of operator algebras", Ann. Math., 54(2) (1951), 325-338.
- [7] W. Longstaff, "Strongly reflexive lattices", J. London Math. Soc., 2(11) (1975), 491-498.
- [8] R.L. Moore and T.T. Trent, "Isometries of nest algebras", J. Funct. Anal., 86 (1989), 180-209.