MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS OF PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

By

Hong Oh KIM and Yeon Yong PARK

Abstract. We show that for nonnegative plurisubharmonic functions on the unit ball of C^n the admissible maximal functions are dominated by the radial maximal functions in L^p -mean. This gives another characterization of the class M^p of holomorphic functions and its invariance under the compositions by automorphisms of the unit ball. As a consequence of the invariance all onto endomorphisms of M^1 (n=1) are characterized.

1. Introduction.

Let B be the unit ball of $C^n(n \ge 1)$ and let σ denote the Lebesgue measure on $S=\partial B$, normalized so that $\sigma(S)=1$. For a function $u: B \to C$, the radial maximal function $\mathcal{M}u$ on S is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}u(\eta) = \sup\{|u(r\eta)|: 0 \leq r < 1\}, \quad \eta \in S.$$

For $\alpha > 1$ and $\eta \in S$, we let

$$D_{\alpha}(\eta) = \left\{ z \in B : |1 - \langle z, \eta \rangle | \langle \frac{\alpha}{2} (1 - |z|^2) \right\}.$$

The admissible maximal function $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}u$ on S is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}u(\eta) = \sup\{|u(z)|: z \in D_{\alpha}(\eta)\}$$

We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM I. For $0 , there is a positive constant <math>C = C(n, p, \alpha)$ such that if $u \ge 0$ is plurisubharmonic in B then

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} u(\eta)^{p} d\sigma(\eta) \leq C \! \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M} u(\eta)^{p} d\sigma(\eta).$$

For n=1, the corresponding theorem for harmonic functions on the upper half plane appears in [3, Theorem 3.6].

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). 31C10, 32A35. Key words and phrases. plurisubharmonic function, maximal function; class M^p . Received March 4, 1991, Revised June 4, 1991.

For an application of Theorem I, we consider the class $M^p(B)(0 of holomorphic functions <math>f$ on B for which

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} (\log^+ \mathcal{M} f(\eta))^p d\sigma(\eta) < \infty$$

For n=1, these classes as topological algebras have been studied in [7, 10] for p>1 and in [2, 5, 6] for p=1. For $n\geq 1$, it is shown in [2] that

$$\bigcup_{p>0} H^p \subsetneq \bigcap_{p>1} M^p \subsetneq M^1 \subsetneq N^+,$$

where H^p is the usual Hardy space and N^+ is the Smirnov class on *B*. The main theorem of [2] concerns with the boundary behavior of functions in the class $M^p(p \ge 1)$, with its application to outer factors of functions in M^1 when n=1.

If we take $u = \log^+|f|$ with holomorphic functions f on B in Theorem I, we get the following characterization of M^p immediately.

THEOREM II. A holomorphic function f on B belongs to M^p if and only if

 $\int_{\mathcal{S}} (\log^+ \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} f(\eta))^p d\sigma(\eta) < \infty.$

Since every automorphism of B maps any radius into a curve which approaches the boundary nontangentially, the following corollary is immediate.

COROLLARY III. The class $M^p(0 is invariant under the compositions of automorphisms of B.$

When p>1, this fact is not new because $M^p(p>1)$ can be defined by means of boundary functions. See [2, 7]. As a consequence of this corollary we can characterize all onto algebra endomorphisms of M^1 for the case n=1. For the case p>1, see [7].

THEOREM IV. Let n=1. Then $\Gamma: M^1 \rightarrow M^1$ is an onto algebra endomorphism if and only if

$$\Gamma(f)=f\circ\varphi, \quad f\in M^1$$

for some automorphism φ of the unit disc U of C¹. In particular, Γ is invertible in this case and $\Gamma^{-1}(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$, $f \in M^1$.

The proof will be given in the last section. The theorem might be true for n>1 but we do not have a proof.

2. An inequality of Hardy and Littlewood.

The following lemma is due to Hardy and Littlewood. It is stated in [3, 4] for |u| with harmonic functions u but the proof is exactly the same for non-negative subharmonic functions.

2.1. LEMMA. If $u \ge 0$ is subharmonic on the disc $D(z_0, R)$ with center at z_0 and radius R > 0 in the complex plane C and if 0 , then

$$u(z_0) \leq K \Big(\frac{1}{\pi R^2} \iint_{D(z_0, R)} u(z)^p dx dy \Big)^{1/p},$$

where K = K(p) is a positive constant independent of u.

The next lemma will be a polydisc version of the above inequality. Its statement is suitably adapted for the proof of Theorem I.

Let $z=r\zeta \in B$ and R>0. Let $\zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in S$ be such that $\zeta, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$ form an orthonomal basis for C^n . Define a polydisc $\Delta(z, R)$ with respect to the basis $\zeta, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$ at z as follows:

$$\Delta(z, R) \equiv \Delta(z, R; \zeta, \zeta_2, \cdots, \zeta_n)$$

= $\left\{ w = z + \lambda \zeta + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \zeta_j : |\lambda| < R, |\lambda_j| < R^{1/2}, 2 \le j \le n \right\}$

2.2. LEMMA. Let $\Delta = \Delta(z, R) \subset B$. If $u \ge 0$ is plurisubharmonic in B and 0 , then

$$u(z)^{p} \leq K \frac{1}{m_{n}(\Delta)} \int_{\Delta} u(w)^{p} dm_{n}(w),$$

where K = K(n, p) is a positive constant independent of u and dm_n is the Lebesgue measure on C^n .

PROOF. We define

$$v(\lambda, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n) = u(z + \lambda \zeta + \lambda_2 \zeta_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n \zeta_n).$$

Since *u* is plurisubharmonic in *B*, *v* is an *n*-subharmonic function for $|\lambda| < R$, $|\lambda_j| < R^{1/2} (2 \le j \le n)$. We now apply Lemma 2.1 *n* times to *v*. The positive constants *K*'s in the following are not the same in each occurence but are independent of *v*.

$$v(0, \dots, 0)^{p} \leq K \frac{1}{R} \int_{|\lambda_{n}| < R^{1/2}} v(0, \dots, 0, \lambda_{n}) dm_{1}(\lambda_{n})$$

$$\leq \dots$$

$$\leq K \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \int \cdots \int_{|\lambda_{j}| < R^{1/2} (2 \leq j \leq n)} v(0, \lambda_{2}, \dots, \lambda_{n})^{p} dm_{1}(\lambda_{2}) \cdots dm_{1}(\lambda_{n})$$

Hong Oh KIM and Yeon Yong PARK

$$\leq K \frac{1}{R^{n+1}} \int \cdots \int_{|\lambda_1| < R \cdot |\lambda_j| < R^{1/2} (2 \le j \le n)} v(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)^p dm_1(\lambda_1) \cdots dm_1(\lambda_n).$$

Therefore, we have

$$u(z)^{p} \leq K \frac{1}{m_{n}(\Delta)} \int_{\Delta} u(w)^{p} dm_{n}(w). \qquad Q. E. D.$$

3. Geometric lemmas.

3.1. LEMMA. Let $z=r\zeta \in B$ and let $\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2)) \subset B$ for a choice of $\zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in S$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If r > 1/2 and $w \in \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))$ then

$$r - \delta(1 - r^2) < |w| < r + \delta(1 - r^2)$$

for some choice of a positive constant $\delta = \delta(n, \varepsilon)$ independent of z and ζ 's.

PROOF. Suppose $w = z + \lambda \zeta + \sum_{2}^{n} \lambda_{j} \zeta_{j} \in \Delta(z; \varepsilon(1-r^{2}))$. Then

$$|w|^{2} = |r+\lambda|^{2} + \sum_{2}^{n} |\lambda_{j}|^{2} \leq r^{2} + |\lambda|^{2} + 2|\lambda| + (n-1)\varepsilon(1-r^{2})$$
$$\leq r^{2} + (n+2)\varepsilon(1-r^{2}).$$

Also,

$$|w|^{2} \ge (r-|\lambda|)^{2} = r^{2} - 2r|\lambda| + |\lambda|^{2}$$
$$\ge r^{2} - 2|\lambda| \ge r^{2} - 2\varepsilon(1-r^{2}).$$

If r > 1/2 then

$$||w|-r| \leq 2||w|^2-r^2| \leq 2(n+2)\varepsilon(1-r^2).$$

So we can take $\delta = 2(n+2)\varepsilon$.

Q. E. D.

of completeness.

3.2. LEMMA. Let $\beta > \alpha > 1$ and $z = r\zeta \in D_{\alpha}(\eta)$. Then there is a positive constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2)) \subset D_{\beta}(\eta)$$

for any choice of $\zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in S$.

PROOF. Suppose $w=z+\lambda\zeta+\sum_{2}^{n}\lambda_{j}\zeta_{j}\in\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^{2}))$. Then $|\lambda|<\varepsilon(1-r^{2})$ and and $|\lambda_{j}|<\{\varepsilon(1-r^{2})\}^{1/2}$. By the orthogonality of ζ and ζ_{j} , the Schwarz lemma and the hypothesis $z\in D_{\alpha}(\eta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j}, \eta \rangle| &= |\langle \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{j}, \eta - r \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| \\ &\leq |\eta - r \boldsymbol{\zeta}| \end{aligned}$$

Maximal functions of plurisubharmonic functions

$$\leq \sqrt{2} |1 - \langle r\zeta, \eta \rangle|^{1/2}$$
$$\leq \{\alpha(1-r^2)\}^{1/2}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{split} |1-\langle w, \eta \rangle| &= \left| 1-\left(\langle r\zeta, \eta \rangle + \lambda \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle + \sum_{2}^{n} \lambda_{j} \langle \zeta_{j}, \eta \rangle \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} (1-r^{2}) + \varepsilon (1-r^{2}) + \sum_{2}^{n} \left\{ \varepsilon (1-r^{2}) \right\}^{1/2} |\langle \zeta_{j}, \eta \rangle| \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2} + \varepsilon + (n-1)\varepsilon^{1/2} \alpha^{1/2} \right\} (1-r^{2}) \end{split}$$

On the other hand, from the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$1 - |w|^2 \ge \{1 - (n+2)\varepsilon\}(1-r^2).$$

Therefore we can choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta) > 0$ so small that

$$|1-\langle w, \eta \rangle| < \frac{\beta}{2}(1-|w|^2),$$

for any $w \in \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))$. Therefore $\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2)) \subset D_{\beta}(\eta)$.

We define the radial projection π from $B \setminus \{0\}$ onto S as

$$\pi(w) = w / |w|, \qquad w \in B \setminus \{0\}.$$

For $\eta \in S$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$Q(\eta, \delta) = \{ \zeta \in S : |1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle | < \delta \}$$

is the nonisotropic "ball" of radius $\delta^{1/2}$ around η . The volume $\sigma(Q(\eta, \delta))$ is roughly proportional to δ^n , i.e., $\sigma(Q(\eta, \delta)) \approx \delta^n$. See [9, Proposition 5.1.4].

33. LEMMA. Let $z=r\zeta \in D_{\alpha}(\eta)$, r>0 and $\beta > \alpha > 1$. Then there is a positive constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta)$ so small that

$$\pi(\Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2)) \subset Q\left(\eta, \left(\frac{\beta}{2}+1\right)(1-r^2)\right)$$

for any choice of ζ_2, \dots, ζ_n .

PROOF. Chooce β' so that $\beta > \beta' > \alpha$. Let $w = \rho w \in \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2))$. Then

$$|1-\langle \omega, \eta \rangle| = |1-\langle \rho \omega, \eta \rangle - (1-\rho) \langle \omega, \eta \rangle|$$
$$\leq |1-\langle \omega, \eta \rangle| + (1-\rho^2).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that

$$|1-\langle w, \eta \rangle| < \frac{\beta'}{2}(1-r^2).$$

Q. E. D.

From the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$1 - \rho^2 \leq (1 + 2\varepsilon)(1 - r^2).$$

Therefore we have

$$1-\langle \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle | < \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}'}{2}+1+2\varepsilon\right)(1-r^2).$$

If we choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta) > 0$ even smaller so that $\beta'/2 + 1 + 2\varepsilon < \beta/2 + 1$, we have

Q. E. D.

$$|1-\langle \omega, \eta \rangle| < \left(\frac{\beta}{2}+1\right)(1-r^2);$$

so that $\omega \in Q(\eta, (\beta/2+1)(1-r^2))$.

4. Proof of Theorem I.

It suffices to prove the theorem for a modified admissible maximal function (with the same notation) as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}u(\eta) = \sup\left\{ |u(z)|: |z| \geq \frac{1}{2}, z \in D_{\alpha}(\eta) \right\}.$$

Let $z=r\zeta \in D_{\alpha}(\eta)$, $r \ge 1/2$ and $\beta > \alpha$. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we can choose positive constants $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\delta = \delta(n, \varepsilon) = \delta(n, \alpha, \beta)$ so that

- (i) $\Delta = \Delta(z, \varepsilon(1-r^2)) \subset D_{\beta}(\eta)$ for a choice of ζ_2, \dots, ζ_n ,
- (ii) $\pi(\Delta) \subset Q(\eta, (\beta/2+1)(1-r^2)),$
- (iii) $r-\delta(1-r^2) < |w| < r+\delta(1-r^2)$ if $w \in \Delta$.

Using Lemma 2.2, we have the following computation in which the constants $K=K(n, p, \delta)$ are not the same in each occurrence, but are independent of u.

$$\begin{split} u(z)^{p/2} &\leq K \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{n+1}} \int_{\Delta} u(w)^{p/2} dm_n(w) \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{n+1}} \int_{r-\delta(1-r^2)}^{r+\delta(1-r^2)} \rho^{2n-1} d\rho \int_{Q(\eta, (\beta/2+1)(1-r^2))} \mathcal{M}u(\omega)^{p/2} d\sigma(\omega) \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^n} \int_{Q} \mathcal{M}u(\omega)^{p/2} d\sigma(\omega) \\ &\leq K \frac{1}{\sigma(Q)} \int_{Q} \mathcal{M}u(\omega)^{p/2} d\sigma(\omega) \\ &\leq K M\{(\mathcal{M}u)^{p/2}\}(\eta), \end{split}$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator on S. Therefore we have

$$\{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}u(\eta)\}^{p/2} \leq KM\{(\mathcal{M}u)^{p/2}\}(\eta).$$

We note that the constan K is eventally dependent on n, p, α from the choice

of β and δ . By the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem [9, Theorem 5.2.6], we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} u(\eta)^{p} d\sigma(\eta) \leq C \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M} u(\eta)^{p} d\sigma(\eta).$$

for some positive constant $C = C(n, p, \alpha)$ indpendent of u. Q.E.D.

5. Proof of Theorem IV.

By corollary III, every automorphism φ of U defines an algebra isomorphism $\Gamma(f)=f\circ\varphi, \ \varphi\in M^1$. Conversely, let Γ be any onto endomorphism of M^1 . We will follow the corresponding proof for the case N^+ [8]. Let $\varphi=\Gamma(z)$ and let $\lambda=U$. (z denotes the identity function on U.) Define $\gamma(f)=\Gamma(f)(\lambda), \ f\in M^1$. Since γ is a multiplicative linear functional on M^1, γ corresponds to the point evaluation at some $\beta\in U$ by Theorem 6.4 of [6]. Thus $\beta=\gamma(z)=\Gamma(z)(\lambda)=\varphi(\lambda)$. Hence $\varphi(\lambda)\in U$ for all $\lambda\in U$ and $\Gamma(f)(\lambda)=f(\varphi(\lambda)), \ f\in M^1, \ \lambda\in U$. Since Γ is onto, φ is not constant. Thus $\varphi(U)$ is open in U. Therefore Γ is one-to-one (and onto). Thus Γ^{-1} is also an onto endomorphism, so $\Gamma^{-1}(f)=f\circ\varphi, \ f\in M^1$, for some holomorphic self-map φ of U. But then $z=\Gamma\Gamma^{-1}(z)=\Gamma(\varphi)=\varphi\circ\varphi$ and $\varphi\circ\varphi=z$. Therefore φ is an automorphism of U.

Acknowledgement.

The first author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor P. Ahern for the valuable discussions. He is in part supported by TGRC (KOSEF).

References

- P.R. Ahern and J. Bruna, Maximal and area integral characterization of Hardy-Sobolev space in the unit ball of Cⁿ, Rivista Matemática Iberoamericana, 4 (1988), 123-153.
- [2] B.R. Choe and H.O. Kim, On the boundary behavior of functions holomorphic on the ball, to appear in Complex Variables.
- [3] J.B. Garnett, Bounded analytic functions, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [4] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, Some properties of conjugate functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 167 (1932), 405-423.
- [5] H.O. Kim, On closed maximal ideals of *M*, Proc. Japan Academy, **62A** (1986), 343-346.
- [6] H.O. Kim, On an F-algebra of holomorphic functions, Can. J. Math., 40 (1988), 718-741.
- [7] N. Mochizuki, Algebras of holomorphic functions between H^p and N_* , Proc. AMS, 105 (1989), 898-902.
- [8] J.W. Roberts and M. Stoll, Prime and principal ideals in the algebra N⁺, Arch. Math., 27 (1976), 387-393.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 9 \end{bmatrix}$ W. Rudin, Function theory in the unit ball of C^n , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

[10] M. Stoll, Mean growth and Taylor coefficients of some topological algebras of analytic functions, Ann. Polon. Math., 35 (1977), 139-158.

> Department of Mathematics Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology Taejon 305-701, Korea